# DI: 122959 NIOZ-RAPPORT 1992 - 9

# LONG-TERM EFFECTS OF DISCHARGES OF WASHED AND UNWASHED OBM DRILL CUTTINGS ON THE DUTCH CONTINENTAL SHELF

R. Daan, M. Mulder, W.E. Lewis





# Nederlands Instituut voor Onderzoek der Zee

Beleidsgericht Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek NIOZ (BEWON) Boorspoeling VI en VII (1990 en 1991) RIJKSWATERSTAAT DIRECTIE NOORDZEE BIBLIOTHEEK SIGNATUUR: B1583

© 1992

This report is not to be cited without acknowledgement of the source.

copyright:

Netherlands Institute for Sea Research (NIOZ) P.O. Box 59, 1790 AB Den Burg, Texel, The Netherlands

North Sea Directorate Ministry of Transport and Public works P.O. Box 5807, 2280 HV Rijswijk (Z-H) The Netherlands

ISSN 0923 - 3210

Cover design: H. Hobbelink (The illustration shows the spatial abundance pattern of *Echinocardium cordatum* around drill site L5-5, Sept. 1991; for details see Fig. 10 in this report)

# SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Biological research on the impact of discharges of oil contaminated drill cuttings at offshore installations on the Dutch Continental Shelf focussed in 1990 and 1991 on long-term effects. The investigations were carried out at two locations that were subject of study already in previous years.

At location L5-5 in the Frisian Front area, an explorative drilling took place in the autumn of 1988. During drilling oil based muds (OBM) were used and the drill cuttings contaminated with these muds were "washed" before they were dumped on the seabed. In May 1989 a first benthic survey was carried out around the location to study the initial biological effects of the discharges of these washed cuttings. The main conclusions arising from that study were (a) that the intensity of biological effects was less severe compared to OBM locations, where cuttings were not washed before discharge and (b) that the extent of the area subjected to environmental stress was not reduced. The question remained to what extent the short-term effects observed in 1989 would persist. Therefore follow-up surveys were performed in 1990 and 1991, of which the results are presented in this report.

In April 1990, one and a half year after drilling, a routine macrobenthos survey was carried out at L5-5. Starting at 25 m (in the residual current direction) from the discharge site, 7 stations were sampled at increasing distances, the last station being situated at 5000 m. The results show that the affected area was restricted to a few hundred metres from the discharge site. At distances ≥500 m oil concentrations were consistently at background level and no significant effects were observed. However, the distribution pattern of large *Echinocardium cordatum* suggested that initial effects were possibly still present up to a much larger distance.

In September 1991, 3 years after drilling, the area was investigated again. The number of stations sampled (18) was much higher than in 1990, but the laboratory analysis of the samples was confined to countings of 2 OBM sensitive species, *Echinocardium cordatum* and *Montacuta ferruginosa*. The main outcome of this study was that the distribution patterns of these species both showed reduced frequency of occurrence within 2000 m from the discharge site, when compared to the reference situation at 5000 m. It is argued that this is most likely a long-term consequence of an initial effect and should not be considered an actual effect of contamination at the long term.

The second location investigated was K12a, in the sandy erosion area south-west of the Frisian Front, where extensive drilling activities were terminated 6 years before. Cuttings were not washed before dis-

charge. Between 1985 and 1988 combined biological and chemical monitoring took place in September of each year. The results of these field surveys indicated that, although a substantial decrease of contamination levels in the sediment was not observed, the biological effects seemed to gradually decrease. It was suggested that a possible recovery of the benthic fauna might be explained by either a decrease in toxicity of the oil or a decrease in bioavailability. In 1990 the toxicity of the sediment at K12a was tested in a boxcosm experiment, using intact sediment sections from 3 stations in the residual current direction of K12a. The boxcosms were incubated in an indoor basin under semi-natural conditions and stocked with the test species Echinocardium cordatum. Mortality and sublethal features among this species (deviant burrowing behaviour and loss of spines) were studied during 3 months. Echinocardium appeared to display a clear response to the severely contaminated sediment collected at 100 m and 250 m respectively. In the 5000-m sediment which also showed significantly elevated oil concentrations no response was observed. Although the experimental results may indicate that the toxicity of the oil (or bioavailability of toxic components) seems to decrease at the long term, it is stressed that, 6 years after drilling, the environmental conditions are still clearly affected up to at least 250 m from the discharge site.

The results and conclusions of the 1990 and 1991 studies may be summarized as follows:

- 1. L5-5. In 1990, one and a half year after the drilling, nearly all defined biological effects were detectable up to 100 m from the discharge site. Colonisation of the sediment by opportunistic species was observed only at a very limited scale.
- 2. L5-5. In the same year (1990), adverse effects were evident at 250 m by the absence of some very sensitive species (*e.g. Montacuta ferruginosa*). Moreover, overall low macrofauna abundance at one of the stations sampled at 250 m indicated environmental stress.
- 3. L5-5. Elevated oil concentrations in the sediment were found, in both years, up to 250 m from the discharge site. However, within this area there was no relationship between the extent of accumulation of biological effects and contamination level. Hence, it is suggested that oil is not the only factor responsible for environmental stress and that other substances in the discharged material may have significant impact.
- 4. L5-5. In terms of fauna composition, total macrofauna abundance or abundance of individual species, significant effects were not observed in 1990 at distances ≥500 m from the discharge site. However, large (≥20 mm) specimens of *Echinocardium cordatum* were found almost exclusively at ≥1000

m, indicating that this species was still affected up to a much larger distance.

- 5. L5-5. The more detailed spatial abundance pattern of *Echinocardium cordatum* (specimens ≥15 mm) as observed in 1991 confirmed that large specimens only frequently occurred at large distance from the discharge site. In the residual current direction the species was affected in its distribution up to 2 - 3 km from the discharge site. It is recommended to include size frequency distributions of *Echinocardium* in future surveys.
- 6. L5-5. Although in 1990 a few specimens of *Montacuta ferruginosa* were found at 500 1000 m from the discharge site, which suggested a possible recovery of environmental conditions in this zone, the spatial distribution pattern of this species in 1991 indicated that it still occurred in reduced abundance up to ≈2 km from the discharge site.
- 7. L5-5. The distribution pattern of *Montacuta ferruginosa* was, in both years, closely related to the distribution of larger specimens of its host species *Echinocardium cordatum*. This supports the hypothesis that the putative sensitivity of *Montacuta* to OBM contamination has likely to be explained by the sensitivity of its host, *Echinocardium*, and that the occurrence of *Montacuta* is restricted to those areas where *Echinocardium* can survive.
- 8. L5-5. Interpretation of long-term biological effects, as observed in field situations, should take into account the existence of two types of effects, viz. long-term consequences of initial effects (Type-A effects) and actual effects of contamination at the long term (Type-B effects). The absence (or reduced abundance) of *Montacuta* and large *Echinocardium* in the initially affected zone up to 2000 m from the discharge site should be considered a Type-A effect, viz. the long-term consequence of extermination of these species by the contamination that was initially present. The fact that the pop-

ulations did not recover in 1990 and 1991 should largely be explained by the absence of a substantial spatfall, at least at ≥500 m from the discharge site, where oil concentrations did not exceed background level these years. Within 250 m the oil concentrations may have been still too high at all to allow for settlement of new generations (Type-B effect).

- 9. K12a. The fact that initial fauna densities were substantially lower in the severely contaminated sediment of 100 m and 250 m than in sediment of 5000 m demonstrated that, 6 years after drilling, contamination has still considerable impact on the benthic fauna at least up to 250 m. Both Type-A and Type-B effects may be involved.
- 10. K12a. Mortality among the natural infauna was high (on average ≈80% after 4 months) in all boxcosms, including those of 5000 m. This might be due to sediment contamination, since oil concentrations were also significantly elevated in the 5000-m sediment. However, temporary suboptimal experimental conditions should not be excluded as a possible explanation. Estimated mortality rates in the individual boxcosms were not correlated with total oil concentrations.
- 11. K12a. The test species *Echinocardium cordatum* showed increased frequency of sublethal effects and increased mortality in sediment of 100 m and 250 m. In the 5000-m sediment mortality was close to zero and sublethal effects were not observed.
- 12. K12a. The response of the test species *Echinocardium cordatum* was weak in view of the extremely high contamination levels in the sediment, ranging from 54 mg oil·kg<sup>-1</sup> dry sediment in the least contaminated 5000-m boxcosm to 30000 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> in the most severely contaminated 100-m boxcosm. This indicates that at the long term the biological availability of toxic components decreases.

Sedert 1985 wordt in de Nederlandse sector van de Noordzee onderzoek uitgevoerd naar de biologische effekten van lozingen van oliehoudend boorgruis vanaf boorplatforms. In 1990 en 1991 ging de aandacht speciaal uit naar effekten op de langere termijn. Onderzoek werd uitgevoerd rond 2 lokaties die in voorgaande jaren ook al onderwerp van studie waren.

Lokatie L5-5, gelegen in het Friese Front gebied, is een lokatie waar in de herfst van 1988 één proefboring werd verricht. Tijdens bepaalde fases van de boring werd boorspoeling op olie-basis (OBM) toegepast. Het opgeboorde gruis (met aanhangende boorvloeistof) werd volgens een nieuw ontwikkelde methode 'gewassen' voor het op de zeebodem werd geloosd. In mei 1989 vond een eerste benthische survey rond de lokatie plaats, teneinde de biologische korte-termijn effekten van de lozingen van dit gewassen boorgruis vast te stellen. De belangrijkste conclusies van dat onderzoek waren (a) dat de biologische effekten minder sterk waren dan die welke zijn waargenomen op OBM-lokaties, waar het boorgruis voor de lozing geen wassing had ondergaan, maar (b) dat de omvang van het gebied dat als gevolg van de lozingen onderhevig bleek te zijn aan 'environmental stress' niet kleiner was dan op 'ongewassen-boorgruis' lokaties. De vraag bleef in hoeverre de in 1989 waargenomen korte-termijn effekten ook op langere termiin aantoonbaar zouden bliiven. Om die reden werden in 1990 en 1991 vervolg-surveys uitgevoerd. In dit rapport wordt van de resultaten van deze survevs verslag gedaan.

In april 1990, anderhalf jaar na de boring, werd bij L5-5 een macrobenthos-survey uitgevoerd, volgens een inmiddels gebruikelijk concept. In de reststroomrichting werd, beginnend op 25 m en vervolgens op toenemende afstanden van het lozingspunt, een 7-tal stations bemonsterd. Het laatste station lag op 5000 m van het lozingspunt. Het optreden van biologische effekten werd afgeleid uit de makrofauna-samenstelling van de verschillende stations. De resultaten van deze survey laten zien dat aantoonbare effekten alleen voorkwamen tot op enkele honderden meters van het lozingspunt. Op afstanden ≥500 m werden in het sediment geen verhoogde olieconcentraties meer gevonden ten opzichte van natuurlijke achtergrondwaarden en ook geen significante biologische effekten. Het verspreidingspatroon van grotere Echinocardium cordatum vertoonde echter een indicatie, dat initiële effekten zich mogelijk nog steeds deden gelden tot op veel grotere afstand.

In september 1991, 3 jaar na de boring, werd het gebied nog eens bezocht. Dit keer werd een veel groter aantal stations (18) bemonsterd dan in 1990, maar beperkten de laboratorium-analyses zich tot tellingen van alleen 2 OBM-gevoelige soorten, namelijk Echinocardium cordatum en Montacuta ferruginosa. Het belangrijkste resultaat van deze studie is, dat beide soorten tot op 2000 m van het lozingspunt steeds minder frekwent bleken voor te komen dan daarbuiten. Wat Echinocardium betreft geldt dit met name voor de grotere (oudere) exemplaren. In de discussie wordt uiteengezet, dat dit effekt zeer waarschijnlijk gezien moet worden als het lange-termijn gevolg van een effekt dat op de korte termijn reeds moet hebben plaats gevonden, namelijk sterfte onder deze soorten in het aanvankelijk als gevolg van de lozingen verontreinigde gebied. Het effekt dient dus niet gezien te worden als een direkt gevolg van verontreiniging die op de lange termijn nog aanwezig zou zijn.

De tweede lokatie die in 1990 onderzocht werd was K12a, gelegen in het fijnzandige erosie-gebied ten zuid-westen van het Friese Front. Aan de uitgebreide booraktiviteiten die hier hebben plaats gevonden kwam in 1984, dus 6 jaar tevoren, een eind. Tijdens de boringen werd uitsluitend ongewassen boorgruis geloosd. In de periode 1985-1988 heeft ieder jaar, telkens in september, al een gecombineerde biologischchemische survey rond deze lokatie plaats gehad. De resultaten van deze veldstudies wezen erop, dat, hoewel van een aantoonbare afname van olieconcentraties in het sediment geen sprake was, biologische effekten wel geleidelijk leken af te nemen. Als mogelijke verklaring voor een eventueel herstel van de benthische fauna werd aangevoerd, dat ofwel de toxiciteit van de olie in het sediment zou kunnen zijn afgenomen, ofwel de biologische beschikbaarheid zou zijn verminderd. In 1990 werd de toxiciteit van het sediment rond K12a getest in een boxcosm-experiment. Hiertoe werden intakte sediment-kernen, afkomstig van een drietal stations in de reststroomrichting van K12a, in het laboratorium onder seminatuurlijke omstandighden geïncubeerd. Op elk van de bodemkernen werd een 20-tal exemplaren van de hartegel (Echinocardium cordatum) als proefdier uitgezet. Gedurende 3 maanden werden bij deze soort vervolgens zowel mortaliteit als sublethale effekten (afwijkend ingraafgedrag en stekelverlies) gevolgd. Echinocardium bleek een duidelijke respons te vertonen op het ernstig verontreinigde sediment van zowel 100 als 250 m. In het sediment verzameld op 5000 m van het lozingspunt, waarin olieconcentraties veel lager, maar nog steeds significant verhoogd waren, kon geen respons worden waargenomen. Hoewel de resultaten er op wijzen dat de toxiciteit van de olie (of de biologische beschikbaarheid van toxische componenten) lijkt te zijn afgenomen, laat deze studie zien dat er rond K12a, 6 jaar na de boringen, nog steeds duidelijk sprake is van environmental stress tot op tenminste 250 m van het lozingspunt.

De resultaten en conclusies van de in 1990 en 1991 uitgevoerde studies kunnen als volgt worden samengevat:

- L5-5. In 1990 konden anderhalf jaar na boring bijna alle eerder beschreven biologische effekten worden aangetoond tot op 100 m van het lozingspunt. Kolonisatie van het sediment door opportunistische soorten kon echter alleen in zeer geringe mate worden waargenomen.
- 2. L5-5. In hetzelfde jaar (1990) openbaarde op 250 m een negatief effekt zich slechts in het ontbreken van enkele zeer gevoelige soorten, zoals *Montacuta ferruginosa*. Bovendien duidde een geringe makrofauna-abundantie op één van de stations bemonsterd op 250 m op environmental stress.
- 3. L5-5. Verhoogde olieconcentraties in het sediment werden in beide jaren gevonden tot op 250 m van het lozingspunt. Binnen deze zone was er echter geen correlatie tussen de hoogte van olieconcentraties en de mate waarin een accumulatie van biologische effekten kon worden gevonden. Daarom moet er rekening mee worden gehouden dat andere componenten in het geloosde materiaal een rol van betekenis kunnen hebben gespeeld.
- 4. L5-5. Wat betreft fauna-samenstelling, totale fauna-abundantie of soort-specifieke dichtheden, konden in 1990 geen significante effekten worden aangetoond op afstanden ≥500 m van het lozingspunt. Opvallend was echter dat grotere exemplaren van *Echinocardium cordatum* alleen op grotere afstand (≥1000 m) werden gevonden. Dit wees erop dat deze soort in zijn verspreiding mogelijk nog steeds effekt ondervond tot op veel grotere afstand.
- 5. L5-5. Het meer gedetailleerde ruimtelijke verspreidingspatroon van *Echinocardium cordatum*, zoals dat verkregen werd uit de waarnemingen van 1991, bevestigde dat grotere exemplaren (≥15 mm) alleen frekwent voorkwamen op grote afstand van het lozingspunt. In de reststroomrichting bleek dat grote exemplaren van deze soort in relatief geringe dichtheden voorkwamen binnen een zone van 2 à 3 km van het lozingspunt. Het is aan te bevelen om ook bij toekomstige surveys voor *Echinocardium* niet alleen totale aantallen maar ook lengte-frekwentie verdelingen te bepalen.
- 6. L5-5. Hoewel in 1990 enkele exemplaren van Montacuta ferruginosa werden aangetroffen op afstanden van 500 tot 1000 m van het lozingspunt, hetgeen wees op een mogelijk herstel van de milieu- condities in deze zone, vertoonde het ruimtelijk verspreidingspatroon van deze soort in 1991 nog steeds verlaagde dichtheden tot op ≈2 km van het lozingspunt.
- Het voorkomen van Montacuta ferruginosa was in beide jaren nauw gerelateerd aan het verspreidingspatroon van grotere exemplaren van zijn gast-

heer-soort, *Echinocardium cordatum*. Dit geeft steun aan de hypothese, dat de vermeende gevoeligheid van *Montacuta* voor OBM-verontreiniging waarschijnlijk verklaard moet worden uit de gevoeligheid van *Echinocardium* en dat de verspreiding van *Montacuta* beperkt is tot die gebieden waar *Echinocardium* kan overleven.

- 8. L5-5. Bij de interpretatie van biologische lange-termijn effekten, zoals die in het veld worden waargenomen, dient onderscheid gemaakt te worden tussen 2 soorten effekten, namelijk consekwenties op de langere termijn van effekten die op de korte termijn zijn gegenereerd (Type-A effect) en aktuele effekten van verontreiniging die op de lange termijn nog aanwezig is (Type-B effekt). De afwezigheid (of het minder frekwent voorkomen) van Montacuta en grotere exemplaren van Echinocardium in de aanvankelijk door de lozingen getroffen zone binnen 2000 m van het lozingspunt moet gezien worden als een type-A effekt. Er is hier namelijk sprake van een lange-termijn consekwentie van de sterfte die aanvankelijk als gevolg van de lozingen onder deze soorten is opgetreden. Het feit dat de populaties zich hier in 1990 en 1991 niet hersteld hebben moet voornamelijk geweten worden aan het ontbreken van een behoorlijke broedval in deze jaren. Dit geldt met name voor het gebied op ≥500 m van het lozingspunt, waar olieconcentraties in het sediment niet boven achtergrondniveau uitkwamen. Binnen 250 m waren de olieconcentraties wellicht nog te hoog voor een succesvolle rekolonisatie door eventuele nieuwe generaties (Type-B effekt).
- 9. K12a. Het feit, dat de aanvangsdichtheden van de fauna in het zwaar verontreinigde sediment van de 100-m en 250-m stations aanzienlijk lager waren dan die in het sediment van 5000 m, illustreert dat, 6 jaar na de lozingen, verontreiniging nog steeds effekt heeft op de benthische fauna tot op tenminste 250 m van het lozingspunt. Er kan hier zowel sprake zijn van Type-A effekten als van Type-B effekten.
- 10. K12a. Sterfte onder de nauurlijke infauna was hoog in alle boxcosms (ook in die van 5000 m) en bedroeg na 4 maanden gemiddeld ≈80%. Verontreiniging van het sediment (ook in de 5000-m boxcosms waren olieconcentraties aanmerkelijk verhoogd) kan hiertoe in belangrijke mate hebben bijgedragen. Het kan echter niet worden uitgesloten dat tijdelijk suboptimale experimentele omstandigheden ook een rol hebben gespeeld. De geschatte mortaliteit in de afzonderlijke boxcosms was niet gecorreleerd met totale olieconcentraties.
- K12a. Bij de proefdier-soort *Echinocardium cordatum* trad verhoogde sterfte op in het sediment van 100 m en 250 m. Ook sublethale verschijnselen traden op. In het 5000-m sediment was sterfte vrij-

5

wel nihil en sublethale verschijnselen werden niet waargenomen.

12. K12a. De respons van *Echinocardium* was gering gezien de hoge mate van verontreiniging in het sediment (olieconcentraties varieerden van 54

mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> droog sediment in de minst verontreinigde 5000-m box tot 30000 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> in de zwaarst verontreinigde 100-m box). Dit wijst er op dat op de langere termijn de biologische beschikbaarheid van toxische componenten afneemt.

# **1 INTRODUCTION**

# 1.1 GENERAL PART

During drilling activities in the North Sea large amounts of drill cuttings with adhering drilling muds are dumped on the sea bed. These discharges are a source of concern with respect to their environmental impact, in particular when the cuttings are contaminated with oil based muds (OBM). Although in the late 1980's the amounts of toxic contaminants discharged per drilling were reduced due to tightened regulations with respect to the base oil employed and treatment ('washing') of oil contaminated cuttings before discharge, there is still a substantial emission of pollutants, that may affect the marine environment. In first instance the benthic fauna in the immediate vicinity of drilling locations is exposed to the material discharged.

Since 1985 a number of studies has been carried out on the biological effects of these discharges on the Dutch Continental Shelf. In general the attention was focussed on oil-contaminated cuttings. The research programme included several benthic surveys around, up to now, 6 locations (Fig. 1). Additionally, some experimental studies were performed in boxcosms. Both short-term (<1 year after discharge) and long-term effects were investigated. The research over the period 1985 - 1989 is reported by MULDER et al. (1987, 1988) and DAAN et al. (1990, 1991). All biological investigations were accompanied with chemical research on the distribution of contaminated material and actual oil concentrations in the sediment. The latter work was performed by TNOden Helder and is reported by KUIPER & GROENEWOUD (1986), GROENEWOUD et al., (1988), GROENEWOUD (1991) and GROENEWOUD & SCHOLTEN (1992).

In this report the results of the 1990 and 1991 programmes are presented, which included studies on long-term effects at two different locations with a different load of polluted material, *viz*. L5-5 and K 12-a (Table 1).

#### 1.2 LOCATION L5-5

Location L5-5 is situated in the Frisian Front area. The sediment at the location is characterized by a relatively large silt fraction. The natural grain size distribution is homogeneous in the area within a radius of 5 km from the discharge site (GROENEWOUD & SCHOLTEN, 1992). Drilling took place in summer and autumn of 1988. One hole was drilled and both WBM (water based muds) and OBM were utilized. WBM cuttings were discharged without any preceding treatment, but the OBM cuttings were washed before they were dumped. Washing of drill cuttings is a procedure introduced in 1988 and aimed to regain oil from the



Fig. 1 Positions of drilling sites L5-5 and K12a. Open circles refer to drilling locations investigated in previous studies. Solid line: border of the Dutch part of the Continental Shelf.

cuttings as much as possible and, thus, to minimize the concentration of contaminants in the material discharged. Discharge took place at a few metres above the sea floor. Data on the amount and composition of the material dumped are listed in Table 2. The amount of base oil discharged at L5-5 is low compared to other locations where oil based muds have been utilized. At other locations on the Dutch Continental Shelf that were investigated in earlier studies the amounts of oil discharged ranged from 178 tonnes (L4a, 89 tonnes per hole drilled), 283 tonnes (F 18.9, one hole drilled) to 393 tonnes (K12a, 79 tonnes per hole drilled, see Table 1 and 15).

In May 1989 (*i.e.* half a year after termination of the discharges) the first biological survey was carried out at the location (DAAN *et al.*, 1991). From the effects observed in that year it was concluded that the application of a washing procedure did not result in a reduction of the area around the discharge point, that

was subjected to environmental stress. However, the intensity of the effects observed was generally less severe than at other OBM locations, where cuttings were not washed before discharge. An accumulation of effects connected with a substantially impoverished macrofauna was only assessed in the very close vicinity of the discharge site (at 25 m).

In April 1990 (one and a half year after drilling) a second survey was undertaken to investigate whether

TABLE1 1
Information on drilling locations L5-5 and K12a.

Location L5-5	
Position:	53°48'33.1" N 04°20'54.4" E
Area:	Transition zone between fine sand (South) and silty sediment (North); silt fraction (<63 mm) is ≈15%; depth ≈41 m
Drilling activities:	1 well drilled with low-aromatic OBM, July-November 1988 OBM cuttings washed before discharge
Emission:	Dry rock: 336 m <sup>3</sup> or 891 tonnes WBM: 1241 m3 or 308 tonnes (excl. water)
	OBM: 101 m <sup>3</sup> or 148 tonnes
	oil: 44 tonnes
Platform:	removed after drilling
survey May 1989 GROENEWOUD & Se boxcosms autumn GROENEWOUD & Se	(DAAN <i>et al.</i> , 1991; CHOLTEN, 1992) n 1989 (DAAN <i>et al.</i> , 1991; CHOLTEN, 1992)
Location K12a	
Position:	53°28'36.2" N
	03°47'19.4" E
Area:	Transition zone, fine sand with silt (5 -10%); depth ≈28 m;
Drilling activities:	5 wells drilled with diesel and low-tox OBM, Febr.1983 - Nov.1984; cuttings not washed before discharge
Emission:	tot. emission: 2278 tonnes
	OBM: 1082 tonnes
	oil: 393 tonnes
Platform:	present
Former effect stud	lies:
survey Sept. 1985 KUIPER & GROENEV	o (MULDER <i>et al.</i> , 1987; NOUD, 1986)

KUIPER & GROENEWOUD, 1986) survey Sept. 1986 (MULDER *et al.*, 1988; GROENEWOUD *et al.*, 1988) survey Sept. 1987 (DAAN *et al.*, 1990, GROENEWOUD, 1991) survey Sept. 1988 (DAAN *et al.*, 1990, GROENEWOUD, 1991) boxcosms 1987-1988 (DAAN *et al.*, 1990, GROENEWOUD, 1991) the effects observed initially were persistent, or to what extent a possible recovery could be observed by a change in macrofauna composition and distribution. The results of this survey are given in this report. Observed effects are qualified here as 'long-term' effects and will be compared to those observed in 1989, which are considered 'short-term` effects.

In September 1991, 3 years after drilling, location L5-5 was visited for the third time. This year an alternative field programme was carried out. In contrast to earlier surveys, where complete sample analyses were performed to get information on the distribution patterns of all macrofauna species, the attention now focussed on 2 OBM-sensitive species, *Montacuta ferruginosa* and *Echinocardium cordatum*. The choice for these species was based on the following considerations:

TABLE 2 Amounts and composition of mud and cuttings discharged during drilling of well L5-5. From a total of 6 drill section 3 were drilled with WBM and 3 with OBM. Data provided by the company concerned.

	m <sup>3</sup>	tonnes
dry rock	336	891
WBM	1241	308 (excl. water)
OBM	101	148
overboard chemicals:		
baryte		249.6
bentonite		39.4
gypsum		9.4
lime		1.7
CaCl <sub>2</sub>		5.7
KCI		76.8
KOH		2.4
NaOH		0.78
bicarbonate		0.05
base oil	43.8	
biopolymers		2.0
starch derivatives		18.6
foam inhibitor	0.1	
emulgator (10% low-tox oil10% methanol)	2.5	
emulgator (90% fatty acids10% methanol)	2.2	
viscosifier		0.8

In preceding studies *Montacuta* became manifest as a species extremely sensitive to OBM-contamination around platforms (DAAN *et al.*, 1991). At several OBM-locations, including L5-5, the species' abundance pattern showed reduced frequency of occurrence (or absence) within a radius of 1 to 2 km from the discharge site. A remarkable characteristic of *Montacuta* is that it lives as a commensal of *Echinocardium* (*e.g.* MORTON, 1962; GAGE, 1966). Hence, the question arose whether indeed *Montacuta* was as

sensitive as it seemed to be, or that, in fact, its host (Echinocardium) was the primarily sensitive species. Although, till now, results of field research suggested that Echinocardium was less sensitive to OBM-contamination than Montacuta, it should be noted that this idea was merely based on numerical abundance patterns of Echinocardium around platforms. However, the spatial size distribution of Echinocardium has never been taken into account. It is conceivable that a more detailed study of this spatial size distribution might reveal a higher sensitivity of Echinocardium, especially of larger specimens, than was observed till now. Furthermore, it was hypothesised earlier that the presence of Montacuta might depend mainly on the occurrence of larger specimens of Echinocardium (DAAN et al., 1991). The results of the 1990 study at L5-5 support this idea (see chapter 3.1.6).

Based on the above-mentioned considerations the following scenario is suggested. Pelagic larval stages (pluteus larvae) of *Echinocardium* may settle anywhere in the sediment around platforms. When the sediment is contaminated, the juvenile individuals may survive several months in a thin clean layer on top of the contaminated sediment. This could explain why the abundance pattern of the species does not always provide a clear indication of sensitivity. However, when growing up, the animals will burrow deeper, come in contact with contaminated sediment and die. As a result, the absence of animals that grow up to adulthood may explain the absence of *Montacuta*.

In view of this scenario, the spatial size distribution of *Echinocardium* was taken into account in the 1991 study. This approach might answer the question whether the absence of larger *Echinocardium*, which in laboratory experiments has shown to be very sensitive to OBM-contamination (ADEMA, 1991; DAAN *et al.*, 1990, 1991), could explain the absence of *Montacuta*.

# 1.3 LOCATION K12A

Location K12a, situated in the transition zone between the sandy southern area and the northern sedimentation area, has been monitored since 1985, one year after the last drilling was completed (Nov. 1984). At this location 5 wells were drilled with low-tox oil and diesel based OBM (see Table 1). The drill cuttings were discharged without previous washing. Between 1985 and 1988 combined biological and chemical surveys were carried out in September of each year. The biological features observed around K12a are shortly outlined by DAAN *et al.* (1990):

1985: Effects measured up to 750-1000 m off the platform

1986: Clear effects measured up to 250 m off the platform

1987: Clear effects measured at the platform station

1988: Marginal effects measured within 100 m of the platform

The tendency shown by this overall picture is that of gradually decreasing biological effects during the 4 years following the drilling activities at K12a. The effects observed became less severe and the affected area seemed to become smaller. This conclusion was guite surprising, since there was no consistent evidence for a substantial decrease in OBM contamination levels around the platform. Although, in the residual current direction, the oil concentration at 250 m from the discharge point was a factor 10 lower in 1988 than in the preceding years, such a tendency for decreasing contamination levels could not be observed within 100 m. It was suggested that a possible recovery of the benthic fauna could be explained by either a decrease in toxicity of the oil due to weathering or a decrease in availability of toxic compounds to the benthic fauna. The fact, however, was stressed that patchiness in the spatial distribution of contaminated cuttings is of major importance in the detection of biological effects and could mask the occurrence of such effects during field surveys. It was concluded that the supposed recovery should be verified in a follow-up survey. Another approach could be to test sediment from K12a in an experimental boxcosm setup and to study the response of a test species at different contamination levels.

In 1990 a laboratory experiment was carried out in 6 boxcosms, with sediment sections originating from 3 stations at the residual current transect of K12a. The heart urchin *Echinocardium cordatum*, used as test species in this experiment, was introduced in the boxcosms and its lethal and sublethal responses were recorded during  $3\frac{1}{2}$  months. The results of this experiment are reported here and will be compared to those of previous boxcosm experiments.

#### **1.4 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This study was performed under contract with the Ministry of Transport, Public works and Water Management (RWS, North Sea Directorate) and also financed by the Ministry of Economic Affairs and the Ministry of Housing, Physical Planning and the Environment (VROM). The project was coordinated by the working group 'Monitoring Offshore Installations', in which participated:

- Dr. W. Zevenboom (RWS, North Sea Directorate), chairwoman
- Drs. S.A. de Jong (RWS, North Sea Directorate), secretary
- Ing. M. de Krieger (RWS. North Sea Directorate) Drs. K. Meyer (VROM)
- Ir. L. Henriquez (EZ, State supervision of Mines)
- Ir. P.J.M. van der Ham (EZ)

Ing. P. Seeger (EZ) Drs. E. Stutterheim (RWS, Tidal Waters Division) Drs. W. Vonk (RWS, Tidal Waters Division) Drs. J.M. Marquenie (Oil companies, NOGEPA) H.J. van het Groenewoud (TNO) Drs. M.A. van Arkel (NIOZ) M. Mulder (NIOZ) Dr. R. Daan (NIOZ)

Thanks are due to captain, crew and the RWS employees on board of the R.V. 'Mitra' for their assistance in the fieldwork. J. van der Hoek assisted in sorting the samples and T. Kuip and R. Lakeman prepared the experimental boxcosm set-up. A. Bol, J. Mulder and N. Krijgsman took care of the lay-out and H. Hobbelink made the cover design.

#### 2 METHODS

#### 2.1 FIELD SURVEY AT L5-5, APRIL 1990

### 2.1.1 POSITIONING AND SAMPLING

In the third week of April 1990, the field survey at the abandoned location L5-5 was carried out on board of the R.V. 'Mitra'. The ship is provided with advanced apparatus like side scan, dynamic positioning and underwater video-cameras and therefore outstandingly equipped to accurately trace the discharge point.

Sampling stations were chosen along a crossshaped transect. One axis of the transect ran parallel with the residual current direction, the other axis perpendicular to the first one (Fig. 2). At each station two sites were sampled. The outermost stations, at 5 km from the discharge point, were assumed to represent a reference situation to which possible spatial effects could be related.

Bottom samples were collected with a 0.2 m<sup>2</sup> Van Veen grab, 2 x 5 samples per station. From each sample small duplicate cores (diameter 28 mm, depth 10 cm) were taken for chemical and grainsize analyses (N.B. grainsize analyses are performed to confirm that the natural sediment composition is more or less homogeneous in the investigated area). The pooled sediment samples of a station were thoroughly homogenised and immediately frozen at -20°C until later analysis in the laboratory (see GROENEWOUD & SCHOLTEN, in prep.-a). Then the contents of the grab were washed through a sieve (mesh size 1 mm) and the residual macrofauna was preserved in a 6% neutralized formaldehyde solution.

### 2.1.2 LABORATORY ANALYSIS

In the laboratory the samples were stained with Bengal rose and sorted under a stereomicroscope. Then, molluscs, crustaceans, polychaetes and echinoderms were identified and counted on species level. Remaining taxa were not further identified and only recorded at higher taxonomic levels. When specimens were broken by handling, only heads were counted.

Not all samples were analysed. In Fig. 2 those stations are indicated of which a number of samples was analysed. Oil analyses were performed using the GCMS technique (gas chromatograph mass spectrometer). Concentrations of the fractions of alkanes ( $C_9$ - $C_{32}$ ), unidentified complex matter (UCM) and 'other components' were quantified. Methods and results are presented in detail by GROENEWOUD &





SCHOLTEN (in prep.-a). Data on oil concentrations are available for the stations at the residual current transect only.

# 2.1.3 STATISTICAL PROCEDURES

In samples collected over a gradient in pollution there is usually a gradual change in the abundance of individual species over the sampled transect. Statistical analyses of the data are required to obtain objective criteria to decide whether such changes in faunal abundance are significant or not. Such analyses have been performed on both species level and community level. The methods applied have been described in detail in an earlier report (DAAN *et al.*, 1990) and are summarized below.

# 2.1.3.1 INDIVIDUAL SPECIES (LOGIT REGRESSION)

Logit regression (JONGMAN *et al.*, 1987) is based on a mathematical model for presence-absence data of individual species in samples collected over a transect with a gradient in pollution. The basic idea is that, if the contaminant involved affects the chance of survival of a certain species, the frequency of occur-

rence of this species will increase or decrease along the transect. Thus, the probability (p) of a species being present in a sample will change along the transect. Logit regression provides a test to decide either that p increases or decreases significantly with distance to the platform, or that p just fluctuates at random. Logit regression was applied to those species of which at least 20 specimens were found. The fitted term in the analysis was the log-transformed distance to the platform.

#### 2.1.3.2 MACROBENTHIC COMMUNITY (RELATIVE ABUNDANCE)

This method provides a measure of the mean relative abundance of all identified species at each station compared to the other stations around a location. If *e.g.* at a certain station most species occur in lower numbers than at other stations, the relative macrofauna abundance at this station will be attributed a low value. Computation of relative macrofauna abundance is based on a ranking procedure. For all of the individual species the mean density is considered at each of the (<u>n</u>) analysed stations. Per species a rank is attributed to each of the stations, *i.e.* the rank is 1



Fig. 3 Location L5-5. Positions of the sampling stations in 1991 (distance to platform in m). Solid circles: samples analysed in the laboratory for *Montacuta ferruginosa* and size distribution of *Echinocardium cordatum*. Open circles: Only field countings of *Echinocardium*.

for the station with the lowest density and  $\underline{n}$  for the station with the highest density. When this procedure is completed for all species a mean rank can be computed for each station. Differences in mean ranks between stations can be tested for significance by applying analysis of variance and a least significant difference (LSD-) test (see SOKAL & ROHLF, 1981).

# 2.2 FIELD SURVEY AT L5-5, SEPTEMBER 1991

During fieldwork, in September 1991, a dense grid of sampling stations was chosen along the residual current transect (Fig. 3). In perpendicular directions 3x2 stations were sampled. Per station 8 Van Veen grab samples were taken, washed through a 1 mm sieve and treated as described in chapter 2.1.1.

While sieving the sediment samples on board, numbers of *Echinocardium* were counted in all samples of all stations. Only specimens ≥1cm were included, since smaller animals may easily be overlooked and, hence, can not reliably be quantified.

Not all samples were analysed in the laboratory. In Fig. 3 those 10 stations are indicated of which 6 samples each were analysed for the presence of *Montacuta* and *Echinocardium*. In addition to these species numbers of *Mysella bidentata* were counted (N.B. *Montacuta* and *Mysella* are small bivalve species, which look very similar and, therefore, can not be distinguished from each other during sorting; identification is only possible under the stereo-microscope). Specimens of *Echinocardium* were measured to the nearest mm.

#### 2.3 BOXCOSM EXPERIMENTS K12A

The boxcosms employed were sediment cores collected in the second week of September 1990 on the residual current transect of location K12a. Three stations were chosen, at distances of 100 m, 250 m and 5000 m from the discharge point. All stations were first sampled with a Reineck boxcorer (round cores, diameter 30 cm, depth ≈40 cm), to determine the benthic fauna composition at the start of the experiment. Per station 10 cores were taken and sieved immediately on deck on a 1 mm mesh screen. The samples were preserved in 6% formalin for later analysis in the laboratory. Then the sediment sections to be used in the boxcosms were collected: 2 at each station. These intact boxcores were taken with a (modified) Scripps corer. The stainless steel boxes (50x50x60 cm) were furnished with a mica-teflon coating. The Scripps corer tended to dig about 40 cm deep, thus collecting the major sediment layers inhabited by the benthic infauna. On board the cores were placed in waterproof plywood cases with cooling water and fixed in sand. The water on top of the cores was regularly changed during the transport to the laboratory.

After transport the complete cases were placed in an indoor basin and incubated at  $\approx 16^{\circ}$ C. During the period of incubation (4 months), the temperature was gradually lowered to  $\approx 10^{\circ}$ C, according to *in situ* temperatures. The water on the cores was continuously replaced with filtered and O<sub>2</sub>-saturated water from the Wadden Sea, with salinity varying between 29 and 31‰. Apart from inspections, incubation always took place continuously in the dark. Some small crabs were removed to minimize mortality by predation.

The boxcosms were stocked with the test species *Echinocardium cordatum* on 24 September 1990. Per boxcosm 20 adult specimens (35 - 45 mm) were introduced and their burrowing times were measured from the moment the animals were placed on the sediment surface until only the apical tufts were visible. During the period of incubation the boxcosms were inspected daily for mortality and activity of test animals and natural infauna. At termination of the experiment (at 10 January 1991) from all boxcosms 10 small sediment samples were collected for oil analyses (see GROENEWOUD & SCHOLTEN, in prep.-a). Then the sediment of the boxcosms was washed through a 1 mm sieve to collect the macrofauna, including the introduced test animals.

#### **3 RESULTS**

#### 3.1 FIELD SURVEY L5-5, APRIL 1990

#### 3.1.1 GENERAL DESCRIPTION

The analysis of altogether 52 samples yielded 96 identified species. In Table 3 their frequency of occurrence in the samples is summarized. The abundance data, presented in Table 10 (Appendix), show that the fauna in the area was numerically dominated by the Echinoderm Amphiura filiformis, which accounted for 41% of the total macrofauna, the polychaete Lumbrineris latreilli (22%) and the bivalve Mysella bidentata (12%). Amphiura numbers fluctuated between 220 and 1540 ind m<sup>-2</sup> at stations ≥250 m from the platform, but were considerably lower at 25 m and 100 m (15 - 21 ind m<sup>-2</sup>). Here Amphiura covered only 6% of the fauna. A similar pattern was observed in Mysella, which showed a decrease from 80 - 480 ind m<sup>-2</sup> at stations ≥250 m to 1 - 8 ind m<sup>-2</sup> within 100 m, where it represented only 1% of the macrofauna. A tendency for decreasing numerical abundance within 100 m was also found in Lumbrineris, but less pronounced (from 160 - 700 ind m<sup>-2</sup> at ≥250 m to 80 - 270 ind m<sup>-2</sup> within 100 m). Since the total fauna abundance was considerably reduced within 100 m (see below), the percentual contribution of Lumbrineris to the total fauna abundance was relatively high (43%) here compared to stations at  $\geq$ 250 m (on average 21%).

#### TABLE 3

The benthic fauna at L5-5, April 1990. Percentage of occurrence of each species in the total number of analysed samples (52).

		· /			
POLYCHAETA		Diplocirrus glaucus	30.8	Upogebia deltaura	15.4
Aphrodita aculeata	17.3	Ophelina acuminata	17.3	Callianassa subterranea	80.8
Harmothoe lunulata	7.7	Capitella capitata	3.9	Nebalia bipes	5.8
Harmothoe longisetis	17.3	Notomastus latericeus	21.2	Eudorella truncatula	15.4
Gattyana cirrosa	51.9	Heteromastus filiformis	21.2	Diastylis bradyi	34.6
Polynoe kinbergi	3.9	Owenia fusiformis	40.4	Cirolana borealis	3.9
Pholoe minuta	80.8	Lagis koreni	7.7	lone thoracica	, 3.9
Sthenelais limicola	40.4	Pectinaria auricoma	34.6	Hippomedon denticulatus	1.9
Eteone longa	3.9	Amphicteis sundevalli	1.9	Orchomenella nana	9.6
Eteone lactea	3.9	Sosane gracilis	3.9	Leucothoe incisa	1.9
Eumida sanguinea	3.9	Terebellides stroemi	1.9	Ampelisca brevicornis	34.6
Ophiodromus flexuosus	38.5	Lysilla loveni	3.9	Ampelisca tenuicornis	63.5
Gyptis capensis	46.2	MOLLUSCA		Ampelisca spec. juv.	25.0
Synelmis klatti	25.0	Nucula turgida	26.9	Cheirocratus sundevalli	3.9
Exogone hebes	17.3	Thyasira flexuosa	21.2	Bathyporeia elegans	5.8
Nereis longissima	5.8	Lepton squamosum	1.9	Bathyporeia tenuipes	5.8
Nereis spec. juv.	3.9	Montacuta ferruginosa	21.2	Harpinia antennaria	7.7
Nephtys hombergii	46.2	Mysella bidentata	86.5	Perioculodes longimanus	26.9
Nephtys incisa	19.2	Arctica islandica	5.8	Aora typica	5.8
Nephtys cirrosa	7.7	Acanthocardia echinata	1.9	Caprella spec.	5.8
Nephtys caeca	3.9	Dosinia lupinus	25.0	ECHINODERMATA	
Nephtys spec. juv.	75.0	Venus striatula	59.6	Amphiura filiformis	94.2
Glycera rouxii	53.9	Mysia undata	11.5	Amphiura chiajei	25.0
Glycera alba	5.8	Spisula elliptica	7.7	Ophiura texturata	1.9
Glycera spec. juv.	59.6	Abra tenuis	1.9	Ophiura albida	7.7
Glycinde nordmanni	59.6	Abra alba	11.5	Ophiura spec. juv.	44.2
Goniada maculata	69.2	Cultellus pellucidus	17.3	Echinocardium cordatum	32.7
Lumbrineris latreilli	100.0	Mya spec. juv.	1.9	OTHER TAXA	
Lumbrineris fragilis	53.9	Corbula gibba	86.5	Nemertinea	88.5
Driloneris filum	1.9	Thracia convexa	32.7	Nematoda	1.9
Orbinia sertulata	3.9	Cingula nitida	23.1	Turbellaria	55.8
Paraonis spec.	3.9	Turritella communis	53.9	Phoroniden	19.2
Poecilochaetus serpens	9.6	Natica alderi	67.3	Harp. copepoda	
Spio filicornis	38.5	Buccinum undatum	5.8	Parasitaire copepoda	17.3
Polydora guillei	3.9	Cylichna cilindracea	53.9	Holothuroidea	26.9
Spiophanes bombyx	7.7	Philine scabra	9.6	Sagitta spec.	1.9
Scolelepis foliosa	3.9	CRUSTACEA		Echiurida	26.9
Magelona alleni	61.5	Processa parva	9.6	Sipunculida	88.5
Chaetopterus variopedatus	73.1	Ebalia cranchii	23.1	Anthozoa	7.7
Tharyx marioni	21.2	Corystes cassivelaunus	3.9	Ascidiacea	1.9
Chaetozone setosa	15.4	Upogebia stellata	9.6	Foraminiferida	3.9

The other relatively abundant species (those, which occurred in natural densities of  $\geq$ 10 ind·m<sup>-2</sup>) showed a trend similar to that of the dominant species: *Pholoe minuta, Chaetopterus variopedatus, Corbula gibba* and *Callianassa subterranea* all occurred in reduced abundance within 100 m from the discharge point.

The spatial pattern of the total macrofauna abundance was the same as described above for the abundant species. The total numbers of individuals were low within 100 m (280 - 420 ind  $\cdot$ m<sup>-2</sup>) compared to the area at ≥250 m (750 - 3100 ind  $\cdot$ m<sup>-2</sup>). Remarka-

bly, the 2 sites sampled at 250 m represented the stations with the lowest and highest fauna abundance respectively. At the first station the fauna densities were intermediate between those within 100 m and those found at  $\geq$ 250 m.

The last row of Table 10 suggests that the species richness was also relatively low in the vicinity of the platform. However, the number of species found at a station depends on the number of samples taken. Since the number of samples was not the same at all stations, the data cannot be straight off compared. It is possible, however, to compare species richness at different stations by considering the mean number of (identified) species per Van Veen grab sample at each station. The mean number of species per sample was consistently lower at the stations within 100 m (14 - 19 species per sample) than at the stations at  $\geq$ 250 m (22 -36 species per sample).

#### TABLE 4

L5-5, April 1990: List of species for which density gradients were tested by logit regression. Sign of the gradient (+/-) and significance level are indicated: += increasing densities off the location; -= decreasing densities off the location.

		sign	signif. level
			(%)
Gattyana cirrosa	+		n.s.
Pholoe minuta	+		0.1
Sthenelais limicola	+		n.s.
Ophiodromus flexuosus	-		n.s.
Gyptis capensis	+		n.s.
Nephtys hombergii	+		n.s.
Nephtys incisa	-		n.s.
Glycera rouxii	+		0.01
Glycinde nordmanni	+		0.05
Goniada maculata	-		n.s.
Lumbrineris latreilli	+		n.s.
Lumbrineris fragilis	+		n.s.
Spio filicornis	+		n.s.
Magelona alleni	-		n.s.
Chaetopterus variopedatus	+		n.s.
Diplocirrus glaucus	+		n.s.
Owenia fusiformis	+		0.5
Pectinaria auricoma	+		0.01
Nucula turgida	+		n.s.
Montacuta ferruginosa	+		0.05
Mysella bidentata	+		0.5
Venus striatula	-		n.s.
Corbula gibba	+		0.1
Thracia convexa	+		0.5
Turritella communis	+		n.s.
Natica alderi	+		n.s.
Cylichna cilindracea	+		0.1
Callianassa subterranea	+		0.01
Diastylis bradyi	-		0.05
Ampelisca brevicornis	+		0.01
Ampelisca tenuicornis	+		n.s.
Amphiura filiformis	+		n.s.
Amphiura chiajei	+		n.s.
Echinocardium cordatum	+		0.01

#### 3.1.2 PRESENCE-ABSENCE DATA: LOGIT REGRESSION

Logit regression was applied to those species of which at least 20 specimens were found. The results are listed in Table 4. The hypothesis  $H_0$ , that the frequency of occurrence in the samples was not dependent on the distance of the sampling station to

the discharge site, was rejected at the 5% level in 14 species. In other words, these species showed significant density gradients. There was only one species (the crustacean *Diastylis bradyi*) showing a negative gradient, *i.e.* decreasing abundance at increasing distance to the platform. The species was frequently found especially in the 25-m and 100-m samples. The other species all occurred frequently at the stations ≥500 m, whereas their numbers were low within 250 m. Table 4 shows that the regression coefficients, significant or not, were generally positive, indicating that most species occurred in reduced numbers in the vicinity of the platform.



Fig. 4 Relative macrofauna abundance at L5-5, April 1990 (mean ranks and 95% confidence limits).

# 3.1.3 RELATIVE MACROFAUNA ABUNDANCE

The relative macrofauna abundance was low at both 25-m stations and gradually increased with distance to the platform (Fig. 4). Analysis of variance revealed highly significant (0.1% level) differences in mean ranks of the different stations. An LSD-test, additionally applied to test the significance of differences between individual stations (Table 5), revealed that the lower relative abundance at 25 m and 100 m was highly significant. The small differences within these stations were not significant. Beyond 250 m the relative abundance became rather variable, even within 2 stations at the same distance. E.g., the difference between mean ranks of the two 750-m stations was significant at the 0.5% level. This may illustrate how variable the natural fauna composition of the sediment may be, even at a very small spatial scale. On the other hand, since the performance of the LSDtest involved a high number of comparisons (78), only individual differences significant at the 0.1% level are in fact decisive, when an overall significance level of ≈5% is considered acceptable. When this more stringent level of significance is employed, the conclusion that most species occur in reduced numbers at the

L5-5, April 1990: Statistical significance (LSD-test) of differences in relative abundance between sampled stations at the residual current transect.

	25 A	25 B	100 A	100 B	250 A	250 B	500 A	500 B	750 A	750 B	1000 A	1000 B	5000
25 A													
25 B	n.s.		7										
100 A	n.s.	n.s.											
100 B	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.										
250 A	5	0.5	n.s.	n.s.									
250 B	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.5	n.s.								
500 A	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.5							
500 B	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	1	n.s.	n.s.						
750 A	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.5	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.					
750 B	n.s.	0.5	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	0.1	0.5	0.5				
1000 A	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	1	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	0.5			_
1000 B	0.5	0.1	5	1	n.s.	n.s.	0.1	n.s.	5	n.s.	n.s.		
5000	1	0.1	5	5	n.s.	n.s.	0.1	5	5	n.s.	5	n.s.	

stations up to 100 m from the discharge point still remains in force.

#### 3.1.4 ABUNDANCE PATTERNS OF SENSITIVE AND OPPORTUNISTIC SPECIES

In Table 6 a number of sensitive and opportunistic species (see DAAN *et al.*, 1990) is listed and the response shown by their spatial abundance pattern at location L5-5 in 1990. This table shows to what extent the listed species revealed a gradient in their distribution pattern indicative of OBM contamination of the sediment at L5-5. Seventeen species occurred in too low densities (< 20 specimens found) to identify such a gradient. Seven species did not display any gradient and 16 sensitive species showed reduced densities generally up to 100 m from the discharge



Fig. 5 L5-5, April 1990: abundance patterns of 3 OBM-sensitive species.

site, but some species (*Montacuta ferruginosa, Echinocardium cordatum* and *Owenia fusiformis*, see Fig. 5) occurred in reduced densities up to 250 m. Some species occurred in reduced densities only at the first of two sites sampled at 250 m, *e.g. Callianassa subterranea*, an abundant species at larger distance (Fig. 6). Increased abundance of opportunistic species in the vicinity of the discharge site was only observed in *Capitella capitata*. Nine specimens of this species were found at one of the 25-m sites.

## 3.1.5 DOSE-EFFECT RELATIONSHIPS

Fig. 7 illustrates to what extent an accumulation of biological effects was observed at a number of sta-



Fig. 6 L5-5, April 1990: abundance pattern of *Callianassa subterranea.* 

tions along the residual current transect of L5-5. Here only those stations are included, where oil concentra-

### TABLE 6

L5-5, April 1990: Evaluation of the abundance patterns of 41 species, which earlier have been described as either "sensitive" or "opportunistic".

+: abundance pattern is indicative of a sensitive species -: abundance pattern is indicative of an opportunist

=: abundance pattern does not indicate a response

?: numbers found too low to be indicative.

(Note that the qualifications are based on the abundance patterns of the individual species and not on presence-absence data as used in logit regression).

the stand we have

A. Sensitive speciesMontacuta ferruginosa+250 mScalibregma inflatum?Pholoe minuta+100 mAmphiura filiformis+100 mEchinocardium cordatum+250 mMysella bidentata+100 mNephtys hombergii+100 mLumbrineris latreilli+100 mChaetozone setosa?-Owenia fusiformis+250 mMucula turgida+250 mGattyana cirrosa+100 mHarpinia antennaria?Lagis koreni?Glycinde nordmanni+100 mCylichna cilindracea+250 mHarmothoe longisetis?Callianassa subterranea+250 mMagelona papillicornis?-Pholodromus flexuosus=Notomastus latericeus?Lumbrineris fragilis=Amphiura chiajei+100 mLeucothoe incisa?Chaetopterus variopedatus+100 mLeucothoe incisa?Glyptis capensis=Lanice conchilega?Perioculodes longimanus?Diplocirrus glaucus+250 mAbra alba?Turtiella communis=Sthenelais limicola=B. Opportunistic species-Nereis longissima?Ciapitella capitata-Spio filicornis=Anaitides groenlandica?<			anected up to
Montacuta ferruginosa+250 mScalibregma inflatum?Pholoe minuta+100 mAmphiura filiformis+100 mEchinocardium cordatum+250 mMysella bidentata+100 mNephtys hombergii+100 mLumbrineris latreilli+100 mChaetozone setosa?Owenia fusiformis+250 mNucula turgida+250 mGattyana cirrosa+100 mHarpinia antennaria?Lagis koreni?Glycinde nordmanni+100 mCylichna cilindracea+250 mHarmothoe longisetis?Callianassa subterranea+250 mMagelona papillicornis?Tellina fabula?Natica alderi=Spiophanes bombyx?Ophiodromus flexuosus=Natomastus latericeus?Lumbrineris fragilis=Amphiura chiajei+100 mLeucothoe incisa?Qiptis capensis=Lanice conchilega?Perioculodes longimanus?Diplocirrus glaucus+250 mAbra alba?Turritella communis=Sthenelais limicola=B. Opportunistic species*Nareis longissima?Cipilicornis=B. Opportunistic species*Nareis longissima?Cipilicornis=	A. Sensitive species		
Scalibregma inflatum?Pholoe minuta+100 mAmphiura filiformis+100 mEchinocardium cordatum+250 mMysella bidentata+100 mNephtys hombergii+100 mLumbrineris latreilli+100 mChaetozone setosa?Owenia fusiformis+250 mNucula turgida+250 mGattyana cirrosa+100 mHarpinia antennaria?Iagis koreni?Glycinde nordmanni+100 mCylichna cilindracea+250 mHarmothoe longisetis?Callianassa subterranea+250 mMagelona papillicornis?Tellina fabula?Notomastus latericeus?Lumbrineris fragilis=Amphiura chiajei+100 mLeucothoe incisa?Chaetopterus variopedatus+100 mTharyx marioni??Ophiura albida??Qiptis capensis=Lanice conchilega??Perioculodes longimanus??Piplocirrus glaucus+250 mAbra alba?*Popportunistic species*250 mMagelona papilicorla=*Perioculodes longimanus?*Perioculodes longimanus?*Popinocirrus glaucus+250 mAbra alba?* <td< td=""><td>Montacuta ferruginosa</td><td>+</td><td>250 m</td></td<>	Montacuta ferruginosa	+	250 m
Pholoe minuta+100 mAmphiura filiformis+100 mEchinocardium cordatum+250 mMysella bidentata+100 mNephtys hombergii+100 mLumbrineris latreilli+100 mChaetozone setosa?Owenia fusiformis+250 mNucula turgida+250 mGattyana cirrosa+100 mHarpinia antennaria?Lagis koreni?Glycinde nordmanni+100 mCylichna cilindracea+250 mHarmothoe longisetis?Callianassa subterranea+250 mMagelona papillicornis?-Tellina fabula?-Notomastus latericeus?-Notomastus latericeus?-Chaetopterus variopedatus+100 mLeucothoe incisa?-Gyptis capensis=-Lanice conchilega?-Perioculodes longimanus?Diplocirrus glaucus+250 mAbra alba?-Turritella communis=ESthenelais limicola=B. Opportunistic speciesNereis longissina?-Cipitella capitata-25 mSpio filicornis=-Anaitides groenlandica?	Scalibregma inflatum	?	
Amphiura filiformis+100 mEchinocardium cordatum+250 mMysella bidentata+100 mNephtys hombergii+100 mLumbrineris latreilli+100 mChaetozone setosa?Owenia fusiformis+250 mNucula turgida+250 mGattyana cirrosa+100 mHarpinia antennaria?Lagis koreni?Glycinde nordmanni+100 mCylichna cilindracea+250 mHarmothoe longisetis?Callianassa subterranea+250 mMagelona papillicornis??Tellina fabula??Natica alderi=.Spiophanes bombyx??Ophiodromus flexuosus=.Notomastus latericeus?.Lumbrineris fragilis=.Amphiura chiajei+100 mLeucothoe incisa?.Qiytis capensis=Lanice conchilega?Perioculodes longimanus?Diplocirrus glaucus+250 mAbra alba?Turritella communis=Sthenelais limicola=B. Opportunistic species.Nereis longissima?Ciapitella capitata-Spio filicornis=Anaitides groenlandica?	Pholoe minuta	+	100 m
Echinocardium cordatum+250 mMysella bidentata+100 mNephtys hombergii+100 mLumbrineris latreilli+100 mChaetozone setosa?Owenia fusiformis+250 mNucula turgida+250 mGattyana cirrosa+100 mHarpinia antennaria?Lagis koreni?Glycinde nordmanni+100 mCylichna cilindracea+250 mHarmothoe longisetis?Callianassa subterranea+250 mMagelona papillicornis??Tellina fabula??Notomastus latericeus??Lumbrineris fragilis=?Amphiura chiajei+100 mLeucothoe incisa??Chaetopterus variopedatus+100 mTharyx marioni??Ophiura albida??Gyptis capensis=Lanice conchilega?Perioculodes longimanus?Diplocirrus glaucus+250 mAbra alba?Sthenelais limicola=B. Opportunistic species*Nereis longissima?Ciapitella capitata-Spio filicornis=Anaitides groenlandica?	Amphiura filiformis	+	100 m
Mysella bidentata+100 mNephtys hombergii+100 mLumbrineris latreilli+100 mChaetozone setosa?Owenia fusiformis+250 mNucula turgida+250 mGattyana cirrosa+100 mHarpinia antennaria?Lagis koreni?Glycinde nordmanni+100 mCylichna cilindracea+250 mHarmothoe longisetis?Callianassa subterranea+250 mMagelona papillicornis?Tellina fabula?Natica alderi=Spiophanes bombyx?Ophiodromus flexuosus=Notomastus latericeus?Lumbrineris fragilis=Amphiura chiajei+100 mLeucothoe incisa?Chaetopterus variopedatus+100 mTharyx marioni?Ophiura albida?Gyptis capensis=Lanice conchilega?Perioculodes longimanus?Diplocirrus glaucus+250 mAbra alba?Turritella communis=Sthenelais limicola=B. Opportunistic species?Nereis longissima?Čapitella capitata-Spio filicornis=Anaitides groenlandica?	Echinocardium cordatum	+	250 m
Nephtys hombergii+100 mLumbrineris latreilli+100 mChaetozone setosa?Owenia fusiformis+250 mNucula turgida+250 mGattyana cirrosa+100 mHarpinia antennaria?Lagis koreni?Glycinde nordmanni+100 mCylichna cilindracea+250 mHarmothoe longisetis?Callianassa subterranea+250 mMagelona papillicornis?*Tellina fabula?*Natica alderi=*Spiophanes bombyx?*Ophiodromus flexuosus=Notomastus latericeus?Lumbrineris fragilis=Amphiura chiajei+100 mLeucothoe incisa?Chaetopterus variopedatus+100 mTharyx marioni?*Ophiura albida?*Qiptis capensis=Lanice conchilega?Perioculodes longimanus?Diplocirrus glaucus+250 mAbra alba?Turritella communis=Sthenelais limicola=B. Opportunistic species*Nereis longissima?Čapitella capitata-25 mSpio filicornis=Anaitides groenlandica?	Mysella bidentata	+	100 m
Lumbrineris latreilli+100 mChaetozone setosa?Owenia fusiformis+250 mNucula turgida+250 mGattyana cirrosa+100 mHarpinia antennaria?Lagis koreni?Glycinde nordmanni+100 mCylichna cilindracea+250 mHarmothoe longisetis?Callianassa subterranea+250 mMagelona papillicornis?.Tellina fabula?.Natica alderi=.Spiophanes bombyx?.Ophiodromus flexuosus?.Notomastus latericeus?.Lumbrineris fragilis=.Amphiura chiajei+100 mLeucothoe incisa?.Ophiura albida?.Gyptis capensis=.Lanice conchilega?.Perioculodes longimanus?Diplocirrus glaucus+250 mAbra alba?.Turritella communis=Sthenelais limicola=B. Opportunistic species.Nereis longissima?Ciapitella capitata-25 mSpio filicornis=Anaitides groenlandica?	Nephtys hombergii	+	100 m
Chaetozone setosa?Owenia fusiformis+250 mNucula turgida+250 mGattyana cirrosa+100 mHarpinia antennaria?Lagis koreni?Glycinde nordmanni+100 mCylichna cilindracea+250 mHarmothoe longisetis?Callianassa subterranea+250 mMagelona papillicornis??Tellina fabula??Natica alderi=.Spiophanes bombyx??Ophiodromus flexuosus?.Pumbrineris fragilis=.Amphiura chiajei+100 mLeucothoe incisa?.Chaetopterus variopedatus+100 mTharyx marioni?.Ophiocirrus glaucus+100 mTharyx marioni?.Ophiura albida?.Sypts capensis=Lanice conchilega?Perioculodes longimanus?Diplocirrus glaucus+250 mAbra alba?Turritella communis=Sthenelais limicola=B. Opportunistic species.Nereis longissima?Čapitella capitata-Spio filicornis=Anaitides groenlandica?	Lumbrineris latreilli	+	100 m
Owenia fusiformis+250 mNucula turgida+250 mGattyana cirrosa+100 mHarpinia antennaria?Lagis koreni?Glycinde nordmanni+100 mCylichna cilindracea+250 mHarmothoe longisetis?Callianassa subterranea+250 mMagelona papillicornis?Tellina fabula?Natica alderi=Spiophanes bombyx?Ophiodromus flexuosus?Notomastus latericeus?Lumbrineris fragilis=Amphiura chiajei+100 mLeucothoe incisa?Chaetopterus variopedatus+100 mTharyx marioni??Ophiocirrus glaucus+250 mAbra alba??Turritella communis=Sthenelais limicola=B. Opportunistic species?Nereis longissima?Ziatiella capitata-Spio filicornis=Anaitides groenlandica?	Chaetozone setosa	?	
Nucula turgida+250 mGattyana cirrosa+100 mHarpinia antennaria?Lagis koreni?Glycinde nordmanni+100 mCylichna cilindracea+250 mHarmothoe longisetis?Callianassa subterranea+250 mMagelona papillicornis?Tellina fabula?Natica alderi=Spiophanes bombyx?Ophiodromus flexuosus=Notomastus latericeus?Lumbrineris fragilis=Amphiura chiajei+100 mLeucothoe incisa?Chaetopterus variopedatus+100 mTharyx marioni?Ophiocirmus glaucus+250 mAbra alba?Turritella communis=Sthenelais limicola=B. Opportunistic species?Nereis longissima?Čapitella capitata-Spio filicornis=Anaitides groenlandica?	Owenia fusiformis	+	250 m
Gattyana cirrosa+100 mHarpinia antennaria?Lagis koreni?Glycinde nordmanni+100 mCylichna cilindracea+250 mHarmothoe longisetis?Callianassa subterranea+250 mMagelona papillicornis?Tellina fabula?Natica alderi=Spiophanes bombyx?Ophiodromus flexuosus=Notomastus latericeus?Lumbrineris fragilis=Amphiura chiajei+100 mLeucothoe incisa?Chaetopterus variopedatus+100 mTharyx marioni??Ophiocirmus glaucus+250 mAbra alba?.Turritella communis=Sthenelais limicola=B. Opportunistic species?Nereis longissima?Čapitella capitata-Spio filicornis=Anaitides groenlandica?	Nucula turgida	+	250 m
Harpinia antennaria?Lagis koreni?Glycinde nordmanni+100 mCylichna cilindracea+250 mHarmothoe longisetis?Callianassa subterranea+250 mMagelona papillicornis?Tellina fabula?Natica alderi=Spiophanes bombyx?Ophiodromus flexuosus=Notomastus latericeus?Lumbrineris fragilis=Amphiura chiajei+100 mLeucothoe incisa?Chaetopterus variopedatus+100 mTharyx marioni??Ophiocirmus glaucus=Lanice conchilega?Perioculodes longimanus?Diplocirrus glaucus+250 mAbra alba?Turritella communis=Sthenelais limicola=B. Opportunistic species?Nereis longissima?Śpio filicornis=Anaitides groenlandica?	Gattyana cirrosa	+	100 m
Lagis koreni?Glycinde nordmanni+100 mCylichna cilindracea+250 mHarmothoe longisetis?Callianassa subterranea+250 mMagelona papillicornis?Tellina fabula?Natica alderi=Spiophanes bombyx?Ophiodromus flexuosus?Lumbrineris fragilis=Amphiura chiajei+100 mLeucothoe incisa?Chaetopterus variopedatus+100 mTharyx marioni??Ophiocirrus glaucus+250 mAbra alba?.Turritella communis=Sthenelais limicola=B. Opportunistic species.Nereis longissima?Ciapitella capitata-Spio filicornis=Anaitides groenlandica?	Harpinia antennaria	?	
Glycinde nordmanni+100 mCylichna cilindracea+250 mHarmothoe longisetis?Callianassa subterranea+250 mMagelona papillicornis?Tellina fabula?Natica alderi=Spiophanes bombyx?Ophiodromus flexuosus=Notomastus latericeus?Lumbrineris fragilis=Amphiura chiajei+100 mLeucothoe incisa?Chaetopterus variopedatus+100 mTharyx marioni??Ophiocirrus glaucus+250 mAbra alba?.Turritella communis=Sthenelais limicola=B. Opportunistic species?Nereis longissima?Ciapitella capitata-Spio filicornis=Anaitides groenlandica?	Lagis koreni	?	
Cylichna cilindracea+250 mHarmothoe longisetis?Callianassa subterranea+250 mMagelona papillicornis?Tellina fabula?Natica alderi=Spiophanes bombyx?Ophiodromus flexuosus=Notomastus latericeus?Lumbrineris fragilis=Amphiura chiajei+100 mLeucothoe incisa?Chaetopterus variopedatus+100 mTharyx marioni??Ophiocirrus glaucus+250 mAbra alba??Turritella communis=Sthenelais limicola=B. Opportunistic species?Nereis longissima?Ciapitella capitata-Spio filicornis=Anaitides groenlandica?	Glycinde nordmanni	+	100 m
Harmothoe longisetis?Callianassa subterranea+250 mMagelona papillicornis?Tellina fabula?Natica alderi=Spiophanes bombyx?Ophiodromus flexuosus=Notomastus latericeus?Lumbrineris fragilis=Amphiura chiajei+100 mLeucothoe incisa?Chaetopterus variopedatus+100 mTharyx marioni??Ophiocirrus glaucus=Lanice conchilega?Perioculodes longimanus?Diplocirrus glaucus+250 mAbra alba?Turritella communis=Sthenelais limicola=B. Opportunistic species?Nereis longissima?Ciapitella capitata-Spio filicornis=Anaitides groenlandica?	Cylichna cilindracea	+	250 m
Callianassa subterranea+250 mMagelona papillicornis?Tellina fabula?Natica alderi=Spiophanes bombyx?Ophiodromus flexuosus=Notomastus latericeus?Lumbrineris fragilis=Amphiura chiajei+100 mLeucothoe incisa?Chaetopterus variopedatus+100 mTharyx marioni??Ophiocirrus glaucus+100 mLanice conchilega??Perioculodes longimanus?Diplocirrus glaucus+250 mAbra alba??Sthenelais limicola=B. Opportunistic species?Nereis longissima?Ciapitella capitata-Spio filicornis=Anaitides groenlandica?	Harmothoe longisetis	?	
Magelona papillicornis?Tellina fabula?Natica alderi=Spiophanes bombyx?Ophiodromus flexuosus=Notomastus latericeus?Lumbrineris fragilis=Amphiura chiajei+100 mLeucothoe incisa?Chaetopterus variopedatus+100 mTharyx marioni??Ophiura albida??Gyptis capensis=.Lanice conchilega??Perioculodes longimanus?.Diplocirrus glaucus+250 mAbra alba?.Sthenelais limicola=B. Opportunistic species.Nereis longissima?Ciapitella capitata-Spio filicornis=Anaitides groenlandica?	Callianassa subterranea	+	250 m
Tellina fabula?Natica alderi=Spiophanes bombyx?Ophiodromus flexuosus=Notomastus latericeus?Lumbrineris fragilis=Amphiura chiajei+100 mLeucothoe incisa?Chaetopterus variopedatus+100 mTharyx marioni?Ophiura albida?Gyptis capensis=Lanice conchilega?Perioculodes longimanus?Diplocirrus glaucus+250 mAbra alba?Turritella communis=Sthenelais limicola=B. Opportunistic species?Nereis longissima?Ciapitella capitata-Spio filicornis=Anaitides groenlandica?	Magelona papillicornis	?	
Natica alderi=Spiophanes bombyx?Ophiodromus flexuosus=Notomastus latericeus?Lumbrineris fragilis=Amphiura chiajei+Leucothoe incisa?Chaetopterus variopedatus+Tharyx marioni?Ophiura albida?Gyptis capensis=Lanice conchilega?Perioculodes longimanus?Diplocirrus glaucus+250 mAbra alba?Sthenelais limicola=B. Opportunistic species?Nereis longissima?Ciapitella capitata-Spio filicornis=Anaitides groenlandica?	Tellina fabula	?	
Spiophanes bombyx?Ophiodromus flexuosus=Notomastus latericeus?Lumbrineris fragilis=Amphiura chiajei+Leucothoe incisa?Chaetopterus variopedatus+Tharyx marioni?Ophiura albida?Gyptis capensis=Lanice conchilega?Perioculodes longimanus?Diplocirrus glaucus+250 mAbra alba?Sthenelais limicola=B. Opportunistic species?Nereis longissima?Ciapitella capitata-Spio filicornis=Anaitides groenlandica?	Natica alderi	=	
Ophiodromus flexuosus=Notomastus latericeus?Lumbrineris fragilis=Amphiura chiajei+Laucothoe incisa?Chaetopterus variopedatus+Tharyx marioni?Ophiura albida?Gyptis capensis=Lanice conchilega?Perioculodes longimanus?Diplocirrus glaucus+250 mAbra alba?Turritella communis=Sthenelais limicola=B. Opportunistic species?Nereis longissima?Ciapitella capitata-Spio filicornis=Anaitides groenlandica?	Spiophanes bombyx	?	
Notomastus latericeus?Lumbrineris fragilis=Amphiura chiajei+100 mLeucothoe incisa?Chaetopterus variopedatus+100 mTharyx marioni?Ophiura albida?Gyptis capensis=Lanice conchilega?Perioculodes longimanus?Diplocirrus glaucus+250 mAbra alba?Turritella communis=Sthenelais limicola=B. Opportunistic species?Nereis longissima?Ciapitella capitata-Spio filicornis=Anaitides groenlandica?	Ophiodromus flexuosus	=	
Lumbrineris fragilis=Amphiura chiajei+100 mLeucothoe incisa?Chaetopterus variopedatus+100 mTharyx marioni?Ophiura albida?Gyptis capensis=Lanice conchilega?Perioculodes longimanus?Diplocirrus glaucus+250 mAbra alba?Turritella communis=Sthenelais limicola=B. Opportunistic species?Nereis longissima?Capitella capitata-Spio filicornis=Anaitides groenlandica?	Notomastus latericeus	?	
Amphiura chiajei+100 mLeucothoe incisa?Chaetopterus variopedatus+100 mTharyx marioni?Ophiura albida?Gyptis capensis=Lanice conchilega?Perioculodes longimanus?Diplocirrus glaucus+250 mAbra alba?Turritella communis=Sthenelais limicola=B. Opportunistic species?Nereis longissima?Capitella capitata-Spio filicornis=Anaitides groenlandica?	Lumbrineris fragilis	=	
Leucothoe incisa?Chaetopterus variopedatus+100 mTharyx marioni?Ophiura albida?Gyptis capensis=Lanice conchilega?Perioculodes longimanus?Diplocirrus glaucus+250 mAbra alba?Turritella communis=Sthenelais limicola=B. Opportunistic species?Nereis longissima?Capitella capitata-Spio filicornis=Anaitides groenlandica?	Amphiura chiajei	+	100 m
Chaetopterus variopedatus+100 mTharyx marioni?Ophiura albida?Gyptis capensis=Lanice conchilega?Perioculodes longimanus?Diplocirrus glaucus+250 mAbra alba?Turritella communis=Sthenelais limicola=B. Opportunistic species?Nereis longissima?Capitella capitata-Spio filicornis=Anaitides groenlandica?	Leucothoe incisa	?	
Tharyx marioni?Ophiura albida?Ophiura albida?Gyptis capensis=Lanice conchilega?Perioculodes longimanus?Diplocirrus glaucus+250 mAbra alba?Turritella communis=Sthenelais limicola=B. Opportunistic species?Nereis longissima?Capitella capitata-25 mSpio filicornis=Anaitides groenlandica?	Chaetopterus variopedatus	+	100 m
Ophiura albida?Gyptis capensis=Lanice conchilega?Perioculodes longimanus?Diplocirrus glaucus+250 mAbra alba?Turritella communis=Sthenelais limicola=B. Opportunistic species?Nereis longissima?Capitella capitata-Spio filicornis=Anaitides groenlandica?	Tharyx marioni	?	
Gyptis capensis=Lanice conchilega?Perioculodes longimanus?Diplocirrus glaucus+250 mAbra alba?Turritella communis=Sthenelais limicola=B. Opportunistic species?Nereis longissima?Capitella capitata-25 mSpio filicornis=Anaitides groenlandica?	Ophiura albida	?	
Lanice conchilega?Perioculodes longimanus?Diplocirrus glaucus+250 mAbra alba?Turritella communis=Sthenelais limicola=B. Opportunistic species.Nereis longissima?Ćapitella capitata-25 mSpio filicornis=Anaitides groenlandica?	Gyptis capensis	=	
Perioculodes longimanus?Diplocirrus glaucus+250 mAbra alba?Turritella communis=Sthenelais limicola=B. Opportunistic species?Nereis longissima?Capitella capitata-25 mSpio filicornis=Anaitides groenlandica?	Lanice conchilega	?	
Diplocirrus glaucus+250 mAbra alba?Abra alba?Turritella communis=Sthenelais limicola=B. Opportunistic speciesNereis longissima?Capitella capitata-Spio filicornis=Anaitides groenlandica?	Perioculodes longimanus	?	
Abra alba?Turritella communis=Sthenelais limicola=B. Opportunistic species.Nereis longissima?Capitella capitata-Spio filicornis=Anaitides groenlandica?	Diplocirrus glaucus	+	250 m
Turritella communis=Sthenelais limicola=B. Opportunistic speciesNereis longissima?Čapitella capitata-Spio filicornis=Anaitides groenlandica?	Abra alba	?	
Sthenelais limicola=B. Opportunistic speciesNereis longissima?Ćapitella capitata-Spio filicornis=Anaitides groenlandica?	Turritella communis	=	
B. Opportunistic species         Nereis longissima       ?         Ćapitella capitata       -       25 m         Spio filicornis       =         Anaitides groenlandica       ?	Sthenelais limicola	=	
Nereis longissima?Čapitella capitata-25 mSpio filicornis=Anaitides groenlandica?	B. Opportunistic species		
Čapitella capitata-25 mSpio filicornis=Anaitides groenlandica?	Nereis longissima	?	
Spio filicornis = Anaitides groenlandica ?	Čapitella capitata	-	25 m
Anaitides groenlandica ?	Spio filicornis	=	
	Anaitides groenlandica	?	

tions in the sediment were assessed (see GROENEWOUD & SCHOLTEN, in prep.-a). The figure demonstrates that the number of effects observed consistently decreased with increasing distance to the discharge site. On the other hand, a relationship between actual contamination levels and accumulation of effects is not clear. At station 100-m (a), where the oil concentration in the sediment did not exceed background level (and where the for base oil characteristic UCM fraction was relatively low, see GROENEWOUD & SCHOLTEN in prep.-a), nearly all biological effects were observed, except for the presence of opportunistic species. In contrast, the 250-m (b) station, where the highest oil concentration (64.5 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> dry sediment) was found, seemed to be hardly affected in its fauna composition. Only a few very sensitive species were absent here.

#### 3.1.6 LONG-TERM VS SHORT-TERM EFFECTS

The biological effects observed at the residual current transect of L5-5 in 1990 can be compared with short-



Fig. 7 L5-5, April 1990: effects observed at the residual current transect at varying levels of sediment contamination.

term effects observed in 1989, half a year after termination of the discharges of washed cuttings (see DAAN *et al.*, 1991). The comparison is based on stations that were sampled during both surveys (25 m, 250 m, 500 m, 750 m, 1000 m and 5000 m).

In 1989 a total number of 36 samples yielded 86 identified species at these stations whereas the 1990 survey included 44 samples, resulting in 96 species. Since the higher number of species in 1990 may easily be explained by the higher number of samples, there seems to be no difference in overall species richness of the area between both years. An inspection of the listed species shows that the fauna composition was also more or less the same. Moreover, the following data show that the total fauna abundance does not seem to have changed between 1989 and 1990:

Total fauna abundance (ind·m<sup>-2</sup>)

	1989	1990
25 m	470	354
250 m	1381	1925
500 m	1585	2665
750 m	1893	1400
1000 m	844	1251
5000 m	1121	811

In 1989 an accumulation of all defined effects was only observed at 25 m. In 1990 this situation was still met, but also the 100-m station (not sampled in 1989) showed nearly all effects, except for the presence of opportunistic species. Also at 250 m the situation was quite similar both years. The absence (*Montacuta ferruginosa* and *Echinocardium cordatum*) or reduced



Fig. 8 L5-5, April 1990: frequency distribution of different test- length classes of *Echinocardium cordatum* at 4 stations on the residual current transect.



Fig. 9 L5-5, April 1990: frequency distribution of the maximum test- length class (L-max) of *Echinocardium cordatum* in individual samples at stations between 500 m and 5000 m from the discharge site. L-max = "0 mm" means that *Echinocardium* was not present. The figures in the boxes represent the numbers of *Montacuta ferruginosa* in the corresponding sample.

abundance (*Callianassa subterranea*) of some sensitive species was still indicative of sediment contamination. A few specimens of the opportunist *Capitella capitata* were only found in 1989, whereas in 1990 the total fauna abundance seemed to be slightly reduced at one of the 250-m sites. Apparently the lower oil concentrations in the sediment within 250 m in 1990 ( $\geq$ 65 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> dry sediment) compared to 1989 (190 - 237 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> dry sediment) were not reflected by a reduction of biological effects.

In the area between 500 - 1000 m the comparison between short-term and long-term effects is complicated. In 1989 this area showed elevated oil concentrations (±30 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> dry sediment), which could explain the absence of Montacuta and Echinocardium. In 1990 the concentrations were at background level (2 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> dry sediment) and both species were present in several samples, albeit in low numbers. At first sight, this might indicate a recovery of this area to conditions in which Montacuta and Echinocardium may survive. However, the size class distribution of Echinocardium at the different stations (Fig. 8) shows that larger (>20 mm) specimens were almost exclusively found at 1000 m and 5000 m. At 500 m and 750 m only small specimens were present. The size class 11-15 mm, which presumably settled in the past year (see DUINEVELD & JENNESS, 1984), was most frequently present. It is conceivable that these animals may thrive in the clean top layer of the sediment. It is however not clear whether they will be able to grow



Fig. 10 L5-5, Sept. 1991: Abundance pattern of *Echinocardium cordatum* (specimens > 1 cm). Distance to discharge site in m.

up to larger, deeper burrowing animals. This may, in turn, determine the survival rate of Montacuta, which lives as a commensal of Echinocardium (e.g. GAGE, 1966). As Fig. 9 shows the occurrence of Montacuta seems to be associated in particular to the occurrence of larger Echinocardium. The exact nature of this relationship is unknown. It is possible that larvae of Montacuta selectively settle on larger specimens of Echinocardium, but another explanation could be that older Echinocardium are more frequently accompanied by Montacuta merely because they have been exposed to settling of the latter species for a longer time. Summarizing it is concluded that species that are very sensitive to oil contamination of the sediment, could resettle in the area between 500 and 1000 m. However, it is still questionable to what extent these new populations are viable.

#### 3.2 FIELD SURVEY AT L5-5, SEPTEMBER 1991

#### **3.2.1 SPATIAL ABUNDANCE PATTERNS**

The results of the on board countings of *Echinocardium* (Table 11 and Fig. 10) revealed a clear gradient in its density pattern in all directions. As mentioned in chapter 2.2 only larger specimens ( $\geq$ 1 cm) were counted, but small specimens were hardly observed. Logit regression confirmed that there was a significant (P<0.1%) relation between frequency of occurrence and distance to the discharge site. At stations  $\geq$ 3000 m from the discharge site the densities generally fluctuated between 15 and 30 ind·m<sup>-2</sup>. Only the 10,000-m station was somewhat lower (8 ind·m<sup>-2</sup>). At stations  $\leq$ 2000 m the numbers were consistently below 4 ind·m<sup>-2</sup>. The data suggest that *Echinocar*- dium is affected in its distribution up to 2000 - 3000 m.

The laboratory analyses confirmed that small *Echinocardium* were scarce in the area (Table 12). A few specimens of 2 - 4 mm, which have to be considered as offspring from the current years spatfall (see DUIN-EVELD & JENNESS, 1984) were only found at two 250-m stations. At the other stations all animals were larger than 15 mm.

Table 12 shows that there is a clear relationship between the occurrence of *Echinocardium* and *Montacuta ferruginosa*. The latter species was only found in samples where older *Echinocardium* ( $\geq$ 25 mm or  $\geq$ 2 years old) were present. *Montacuta* was less abundant than *Echinocardium*, but its distribution pattern was quite similar with absence or reduced abundance up to 2000 m in the residual current direction. Along the perpendicular transects the species was almost absent at the 250-m stations.

Much more abundant than *Montacuta* was the allied species *Mysella bidentata* (Table 12). It was present in all samples, generally in high numbers. However, *Mysella* was extremely heterogeneously distributed. Mean densities (Table 13) were highest at the 250-m station at the 167, perpendicular transect and lowest at the 100-m station in the residual current direction. Statistical analysis (KRUSKAL-WALLIS test) showed highly significant (P<0.1%) differences in densities between stations, but there was no trend related to distance to the discharge site (Table 13).

# 3.2.2 EFFECTS IN RELATION TO OIL CONCENTRATIONS

The spatial distribution pattern of *Echinocardium* and *Montacuta*, which suggested that their abundances

were affected up to 2000 m from the discharge site, is remarkable in view of the actual contamination level of the sediment at the sampled stations (Fig. 11). Elevated oil concentrations were only found at 25 m, 100 m and 250 m in the residual current direction. At the other stations where oil concentrations were measured (500 m and 5000 m in residual current direction and 3 x 250 m on perpendicular transects), the concentrations were about 3 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> dry sediment, i.e. not exceeding background level. This implies that the absence of Echinocardium at 500 m (r.c. direction) and at the 250-m stations (perp. directions) can not be explained by actual contamination. In 1990 a similar situation was met, in the sense that larger Echinocardium were absent at 500 m though the oil concentration was at background level (see chapter 3.1.6). Only in April 1989, half a year after the drilling activities were terminated, the absence of Echinocardium at this station could be related to a significantly elevated concentration of 30 mg oil kg<sup>-1</sup> dry sediment. This indicates that a recovery from the 1989 situation at 500 m did not occur in the two following years. Although, during the fieldwork, cuttings were found in the sediment at this station, it seems questionable that such a recovery was prevented as a long term effect of cutting discharges, since oil concentrations were at background level these years. A more realistic explanation seems to be that settlement of new generations in the area was accidentally low these years and, since natural mortality among newly settled generations may be high, it is likely that a comeback of natural population densities of adults was not possible. Moreover, the period elapsed since the initial effects occurred is probably too short for new spatfall to develop into individuals larger than 2 cm. It is concluded therefore that the absence, c.q. reduced abundance of Echinocardium in the area 500 - 2000



Fig. 11 L5-5, Sept. 1991: Oil concentrations in the sediment. Distance to discharge site in m. (Data from GROENEWOUD & SCHOLTEN, in prep.-b).

m (r.c. direction) and at the 250-m stations (perp. transects) should be considered a long-term consequence of an initial effect rather than a long-term effect of actual disturbance.

The strongly related effect observed in the commensal *Montacuta* should be interpreted in the same way. However, the elevated concentrations of oil in the sediment within 250 m at the r.c. transect may still be a barrier, preventing resettlement of new populations. Experimental work has shown that these concentrations are high enough to produce sublethal or even lethal effects in *Echinocardium* (ADEMA, 1991; DAAN *et al.*, 1990,1991).

# 3.3 BOXCOSM EXPERIMENT WITH SEDIMENT FROM K12A

# 3.3.1 GENERAL REMARKS

Differences between the sediment sections of the 3 stations could be visually observed. At the sediment surface of the 100-m and 250-m boxcosms contamination was manifest by the presence of black oil patches. These patches were largest in the 100-m boxcosms. Moreover, the sediment of 100 m showed less relief (indicative of sub-surface biological activity) compared to the sediment of the other stations. During the experimental period large colonies of an orange-yellow sulphur bacterium (*Beggiatoa* spec.) developed in the 100-m and 250-m boxes. A few small colonies also appeared on the 5000-m sediment.

Oil analyses performed after termination of the experiment (GROENEWOUD & SCHOLTEN, in prep.-a) revealed that the boxcosms represented a clear gradient in sediment contamination levels.

Boxcosm	(mg·kg <sup>1</sup> dry sediment)
5000 m (A)	180
5000 m (B)	54
250 m (A)	1044
250 m (B)	1715
100 m (A)	29859
100 m (B)	16946

The data tabulated above show extremely high oil concentrations in the sediment of 100 m. Even more remarkable is the fact that the sediment of the 5000-m station showed substantially elevated concentrations. These concentrations were much higher than found during field surveys in preceding years. The highest value found ever before at the 5000-m station of K12a was 15 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> dry sediment in September 1987 (GROENEWOUD, 1991). The concentrations found at 100 m and 250 m were also considerably higher than in preceding years.

# TABLE 7

Boxcosm experiment K12a. Initial fauna abundance of the sediment used in the boxcosms. Numbers of identified species and individuals in 10 Reineck samples per station.

number of species	total number of individuals		
46	2143		
35	705		
34	524		
	46 35 34		

### 3.3.2 SURVIVAL OF THE NATURAL INFAUNA

An estimate of the survival rate of the natural infauna in the boxcosms can be obtained by comparing the abundance of living fauna present at the end of the experiment with estimates of the initial macrofauna abundance. Estimates of the initial abundance are based on the Reineck samples taken simultaneously, when the sediment sections for the boxcosms were collected. As Table 7 shows, the number of identified species and the total fauna abundance were by far the highest at the 5000-m station and the lowest at the 100-m station. The 250-m and 100-m station did not differ too much. The high fauna density at 5000 m was mainly due to large numbers of juvenile Echinocardium cordatum, which comprised ≈60% of the fauna by number (see Table 14). This species was absent at the other stations. Other species that were particularly abundant at 5000 m (compared to the stations closer to the platform) were Lanice conchilega, Montacuta ferruginosa, Callianassa subterranea and Amphiura filiformis. The bivalve Nucula turgida was especially abundant at the 250-m station.

A comparative estimate of mortality in the different boxcosms is hampered by the fact that the overall mortality was unexpectedly high in all boxcosms. As Table 8 shows, the mortality among the total infauna varied between 75% (250-m boxcosms) and 97% (5000-m boxcosms). The high percentual mortality in the 5000-m sediment was mainly due to the complete mortality among the juvenile Echinocardium. When this species, initially not present in the other boxcosms, is excluded, the overall mortality in the 5000m sediment was similar to that in the 100-m sediment (83%). The high overall mortality implies that the duration of the experiment probably has been too long to reliably assess substantial differences in mortality rates of the natural infauna in the different sediment sections. Therefore, differences in mortality rates of individual species (Table 8) should be interpreted very cautiously. Moreover, the number of experiments (6) was too low to make the application of a statistical test worthwhile. The values for estimated percentages mortality listed in Table 8 show that mortality was high (90-99%) in all boxcosms for Spiophanes bombyx and "other polychaetes". Similar high mortalities were observed in Poecilochaetus serpens. Magelona papillicornis. Abra alba, "other crustaceans", Amphiura filiformis and Echinocardium cordatum, but only in sediment of those stations where these species were initially abundant enough to detect any mortality. Only two species that were initially abundant (≥2 specimens per Reineck box) seemed to display different mortality rates in the boxcosms of the 3 stations: In Lumbrineris latreilli the estimated mortality was highest in the 5000-m sedi-

TABLE 8

Boxcosm experiment K12a. Densities (n·m<sup>2</sup>) of 15 abundant species or taxonomic groups before and after incubation. Estimated percentual mortalities are indicated. Oil concentrations in parentheses (mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> dry sediment).

		5000 m			250 m			100 m	
		(54-180)			(1044-1715)		(1	6946-29859	9)
	start	end	% mort	start	end	% mort	start	end	% mort
Nephtys hombergi	56	12	89	51	22	57	<27		
Lumbrineris latreilli	158	2	99	168	50	70	168	74	56
Poecilochaetus serpens	38	0	100	<27			<27		
Spiophanes bombyx	147	2	99	170	2	99	152	0	100
Magelona papillicornis	30	2	93	<27			38	0	100
Lanice conchilega	79	20	75	<27			<27		
other polychaetes	118	10	92	129	14	89	125	12	90
Nucula turgida	59	52	12	263	128	51	79	24	30
Montacuta ferruginosa	45	14	69	<27			<27		
Abra alba	63	0	100	<27			<27		
Natica alderi	30	26	13	32	14	56	<27		
Callianassa subterranea	203	38	81	70	18	74	<27		
other crustaceans	39	2	95	<27			34	2	94
Amphiura filiformis	37	2	95	<27			<27		
Echinocardium cordatum	1869	4	99	<27			<27		
total infauna	3032	200	97	997	254	75	742	124	83

ment and in *Nucula turgida* the opposite was true. In none of both species, however, a consistent relationship (positive or negative) with oil contamination levels could be observed.

# 3.3.3 RESPONSES BY TEST ANIMALS

After Echinocardium was introduced to the sediment in the boxcosms all animals immediately started burrowing. There were no differences in activity between higher and lower contaminated boxes. Most of the animals (>50%) burrowed under the sediment within 10 minutes and after 15 minutes all had disappeared. However, daily inspections in the course of the incubation period revealed differences in burrowing behaviour (Table 9). In the 5000-m boxes and in one of the 250-m boxes the animals remained under the sediment for almost the whole period. In the other 250-m box and in the 100-m boxes a significant fraction (on average 10-20%) of the animals could always be observed at the sediment surface. An increasing number reappeared completely on top of the sediment and finally did not burrow anymore. Most frequently this was observed in the 100-m box with the highest oil concentration. The frequent reappearance of Echinocardium at the sediment surface may unmistakably be considered deviant behaviour due to sediment contamination. In the natural situation animals on top of the sediment will be an easy prey for epifaunal predators.

Loss of spines at the ventral side was observed in most animals that died during the experimental period, but it also frequently occurred in surviving specimens in the sediment section with the highest oil concentration.

In the 5000-m sediment only 1 animal died. Slightly elevated mortality occurred in the 250-m sediment and mortality was high (45%) in one of the 100-m boxes.

#### 4 DISCUSSION

# 4.1 FIELDSURVEY AT L5-5, APRIL 1990

A remarkable outcome of the field study on long-term effects at L5-5 was the poor relationship between the

appearance of effects and actual oil concentrations in the sediment. Although oil concentrations were implicitly considered a measure for the contamination level, which could primarily explain a deviant fauna composition, and distance to platform is in the sense of an explanatory variable in fact a derivative of oil concentration, the observed effects relate better to distance than to oil concentrations. E.g., at 100 m, where 2 stations were sampled of which only one showed sediment contamination, both sites were equally affected. On the other hand, the station with the highest contamination level (one of the 250-m sites) seemed to be hardly affected in its fauna composition. Redistribution of discharged material, resulting in the disappearance of contaminants at sites where the macrofauna was affected by pollution in an earlier phase, might partly explain why at one of the 100-m stations clear effects were observed in the absence of oil in the sediment. However, the question remains why at 250 m at an oil concentration of 60 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> dry sediment the biological effects were so weak. This paradox suggests that oil is not the only factor responsible for environmental stress. It is conceivable that other substances in the discharged material may have considerable impact. It is not clear which of the substances listed in Table 2 might be expected to occur at 100 m in concentrations high enough to cause significant adverse effects on the benthic community. A possible suggestion may be the occurrence of a salinity shock during discharge of

mud and cuttings. During the WBM phase of drilling 76 tonnes KCI were discharged and during the OBM phase deep salt layers may have been drilled. Hence, the discharges could possibly have resulted in temporary elevated salinities in the water close to the sea floor. Particularly in the vicinity of the discharge point such a salinity shock could have exterminated a substantial part of the macrofauna. If this is a realistic explanation, the effects observed up to 100 m in 1990 should be regarded as "a long term consequence of initial effects" (or "Type-A effect"), since the enhanced salinity is not to be expected to persist for long time. This implies that the low fauna abundance within 100 m should not necessarily be considered as "an actual effect of contamination at long term" (or "Type-B effect").

TABLE 9	
---------	--

Boxcosm experiment K12a. Burrowing behaviour, loss of spines and mortality in *Echinocardium cordatum* (all values in %). \*: the fraction of living animals that were, averaged over the whole incubation period visible (a) or on top of the sediment (b).

	5000 m		250	250 m		100 m	
box	A	В	A	В	A	B	
mg oil·kg¹dry sedim.	180	54	1044	1715	29859	16946	
animals visible at the sediment surface (*a)	0.2	0.2	0.1	11.7	22.7	9.3	
animals on top of the sediment (*b)	0	0	0	3.1	12.1	1.7	
loss of spines in dead animals	0	-	80	0	70	-	
loss of spines in surviving animals	0	0	5	2	25	2	
mortality	5	0	10	15	45	0	

In fact, we have to consider long-term effects always in the sense of the 2 categories described above. The distribution pattern shown by Echinocardium cordatum seems to display both a Type-A and a Type-B effect. During the first survey in 1989 the species appeared to be almost completely exterminated in the area within 1000 m and maybe in an even larger area, but between 1000 m and 5000 m no stations were sampled. The period of 1 year expired since the former survey is too short for newly settled individuals to grow up to animals larger than 20 mm (see DUINEVELD & JENNESS, 1984). Therefore the absence (or reduced abundance) of larger specimens of Echinocardium at 500 m and 750 m should be definitely explained as a Type-A effect. The recolonisation of the sediment at these stations by young specimens may indicate a possible recovery of environmental conditions. This is supported by the low oil concentrations at these stations (not exceeding background level) and the reappearance of Montacuta ferruginosa at 500 m and 750 m, but it remains questionable to what extent the newly settled populations will be viable. On the other hand, the absence of small specimens of *Echinocardium* at stations at ≤250 m from the discharge site suggests a Type-B effect, indicating unfavourable conditions for settlement of new generations.

### 4.2 FIELD SURVEY AT L5-5, SEPTEMBER 1991

The presence-absence data for Montacuta ferruginosa in the samples of September 1991 support the idea that its occurrence depends in particular on the presence of larger specimens of Echinocardium cordatum. In view of this close relationship, that has been found also in the distribution patterns of both species at drilling site K12a (unpubl. data), and the fact that Montacuta is considered a sensitive indicator for the environmental impact of OBM-cutting discharges, this implies that the distribution pattern of large Echinocardium may provide an indication of similar sensitivity. Moreover, it seems likely that, in fact, Echinocardium is the primarily sensitive species. A main reason to suppose this is that the species has shown its susceptibility to sediment contamination with OBM in bioassays (ADEMA, 1991) and boxcosm experiments (DAAN et al., 1990, 1991).

In principle, the availability of a large indicator species like *Echinocardium* enables a simple method to detect the spatial extent of the environmental impact of discharges of OBM cuttings. Time-consuming laboratory analyses seem to be no longer necessary and a spatial abundance pattern can be quickly assessed by on board countings of *Echinocardium* in sediment samples collected over a dense sampling grid, provided that the species is more or less abundant by nature in the area to be investigated. The survey of 1991, when large Echinocardium were sampled over a much more detailed sampling grid than in 1990, clearly showed that a biological effect could be detected in this way up to ≈2000 m from the discharge site of L5-5. However, when the aim is to detect to what extent conditions recover in process of time, the method is not a priori valid. In the first place there should be spatfall, in order to be able to verify whether recolonisation of new generations leads to viable populations of adults. Moreover this spatfall should be extensive, since natural mortality among juvenile generations is probably high and only a few animals will grow up to adulthood. The fact that there was apparently no substantial spatfall around L5-5 in the period after the discharges were terminated must have prevented, at least partly, a comeback of Echinocardium in the initially affected area within ≈2000 m from the discharge site. This may illustrate that a complete biological recovery of an initially affected area may take several years, even when the abiotic environmental conditions recover within short time.

### 4.3 BOXCOSM EXPERIMENT K12A

The overall mortality among the natural infauna in the boxcosms of K12a (~80%) was high compared to the average mortality in sediment of L5-5 (65%), that was tested in another boxcosm experiment simultaneously with the K12a series (see DAAN et al., 1992). This may indicate that the high contamination levels found in all boxcosms decreased the chance of survival for most of the individual species. E.g. the complete mortality among the juvenile Echinocardium in the 5000-m sediment might be possibly explained by oil contamination. This is supported by earlier boxcosm observations, which showed that 23% of juvenile Echinocardium could survive in unpolluted sediment from the K12a area, even over a longer incubation period of 5 months (see DAAN et al., 1990). The boxcosm experiment referred to was a pilot study carried out in 1987 with 2 "unpolluted" and 2 "heavily polluted" (data on oil concentrations are lacking) sediment sections collected at 5000 m and 100 m from the platform. The survival rates then observed among other species than Echinocardium in the 5000-m boxes were much higher (70% after 5 months) than in the present experiment (20% after 4 months). It should be noted, however, that the initial fauna abundance (juvenile Echinocardium excluded) was much lower in the 1987 experiment (684 ind m<sup>-2</sup>) and that the fauna was dominated by Nucula turgida, which showed 100% survival in both the polluted and unpolluted sediment. The present experiment affirmed the relatively high survival of Nucula even in severely contaminated sediment. A second explanation for the high mortality among the infauna is that the experimental conditions may have been suboptimal, particularly in the first two weeks of the experiment, due to problems with replacement of the overlaying water. These problems were solved before the test animals were introduced to the boxcosms.

The complicated interpretation of boxcosm data on survival of natural infauna should be regarded as largely due to the variability in initial densities. In individual boxcosms with different oil concentrations the initial fauna abundance may largely depend on the level of contamination. Not only the quantitative variability can be a source of mis-interpretation of comparative mortality estimates, but also the variation in species composition. The low number of species that were initially abundant at all of the three stations hampers a thorough statistically based comparison. Moreover, sediment colonised by large numbers of newly settled individuals of some species may reveal much higher overall mortality rates than sediment mainly inhabited by older individuals. It is concluded therefore that estimation of survival of natural infauna in boxcosms does not provide a reliable tool to study effects of sediment pollution.

In accordance with earlier boxcosm experiments (DAAN et al., 1990, 1991) the test species Echinocardium cordatum displayed a consistent response to contamination of the sediment. Increased frequency in the occurrence of sublethal features (burrowing behaviour and loss of spines) as well as mortality were related to a gradient in oil concentrations in the sediment, However, compared to earlier experimental results the response was weak in view of the extremely high concentrations found in the sediment sections of K12a. Clearly elevated concentrations of oil in the sediment of the 5000-m station (54 - 180 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> dry sediment) did not generate any response. Similar concentrations (29 - 215 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>) in sediment originating from location L5-5 generated in 1989 (1 year after drilling) a significant response in Echinocardium, which showed extensive loss of spines, whereas mortality ranged from 15 - 100% within 3 months. Also in the 250-m sediment of K12a, though severely contaminated, lethal effects occurred only at a moderate scale and high mortality (45%) was observed in only one 100-m sediment core at the extremely high concentration of ≈30 000 mg oil·kg<sup>-1</sup> dry sediment. This high dose - low response ratio indicates that at the long term the biological availability of toxic components decreases. However, it should be stressed that, 6 years after drilling, the environmental conditions are still strongly affected up to at least 250 m from the discharge site.

# **5 REFERENCES**

ADEMA, D.M.M., 1991. Development of an ecotoxicological test with the sediment reworking species *Echinocardium cordatum*. TNO-report R 90/473.

- DAAN, R., W.E. LEWIS & M. MULDER, 1990. Biological effects of discharged oil-contaminated drill cuttings in the North Sea. Boorspoeling III-IV, NIOZ-rapport 1990-5. NIOZ, Texel, The Netherlands: 1-77.
- —, 1991. Biological effects of washed OBM drill cuttings discharged on the Dutch Continental Shelf. Boorspoeling V, NIOZ-rapport 1991-8. NIOZ, Texel, The Netherlands: 1- 33
- DAAN, R., W.E. LEWIS, M. MULDER & S.A. DE JONG, 1992. The assessment of a no-effect concentration of oil in thermally treated OBM drill cuttings for marine benthos: Ecotoxicity. NIOZ-rapport 1992-7. NIOZ, Texel, The Netherlands.
- DUINEVELD, G.C.A. & M.I. JENNESS, 1984. Differences in growth rates of the sea urchin *Echinocardium cordatum* as estimated by the parameter w of the von Bertalanffy equation applied to skeletal rings. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. **19**: 65-72.
- GAGE, J., 1966. The life histories of the bivalves *Montacuta* substriata and *Montacuta ferruginosa*, 'commensals' with spatangoids. J. Mar. Biol. Ass. U.K. 46: 499-511.
- GROENEWOUD, H. VAN HET, L. VAN DER VLIES, G. HOORNSMAN & T. BOWMER, 1988. A comparative study of monitoring techniques to establish distribution and biological effects of drilling muds around off-shore installations on the Dutch Continental Shelf (1986). TNO-rep. nr. R 88/ 405: 1-53
- GROENEWOUD, H. VAN HET, 1991. Monitoring offshore installations on the Dutch continental shelf; a study of monitoring techniques for the assessment of chemical and biological effects of the discharges of drilling muds (1987-1988). TNO-rep. nr. R 90/380: 1-96.
- GROENEWOUD, H. VAN HET & M. SCHOLTEN, 1992. Monitoring environmental impacts of washed OBM drill cuttings discharged on the Dutch Continental Shelf, 1989: Sediment analysis and bioaccumulation. TNO-rep. nr. R92/ 056.
- —, in prep.-a. Monitoring the recovery from environmental impacts of washed and unwashed OBM cuttings discharges on the Dutch Continental Shelf, 1990: Sediment analysis and Bioaccumulation. TNO-rep.
- —, in prep.-b. Monitoring the recovery from environmental impacts of washed OBM cuttings discharges on the Dutch Continental Shelf, 1991: Sediment analysis. TNO-rep.
- JONGMAN, R.H.G., C.J.F. TER BRAAK & O.F.R. VAN TONGEREN, 1987. Data analysis in community and landscape ecology. Pudoc, Wageningen, The Netherlands: 1-299.
- KUIPER, J. & H. VAN HET GROENEWOUD, 1986. Monitoren van de verspreiding en de biologische effecten van olie rond platforms op de Noordzee. TNO-rep. nr. R85/350a: 1-51.
- MORTON, J.E., 1962. Habit and orientation in the small commensal bivalve mollusc, *Montacuta ferruginosa*. Anim. Behav. **10**: 126-133.
- MULDER, M., W.E. LEWIS & M.A. VAN ARKEL, 1987. Effecten van oliehoudend boorgruis op de benthische fauna rond mijnbouwinstallaties op het Nederlands Continentaal Plat. Boorspoeling I, NIOZ-rapport 1987-3. NIOZ, Texel, The Netherlands: 1-60.
- —, 1988. Biological effects of the discharges of contaminated drill-cuttings and water-based drilling fluids in the North Sea. Boorspoeling II, NIOZ-rapport 1988-3: 1-126. NIOZ, Texel, The Netherlands: 1-126.
- SOKAL, R.R. & F.J. ROHLF, 1981. Biometry. W.H. Freeman & Co, San Francisco: 1-859.

# APPENDIX

Table 10. Data location L5–5 (abandoned), survey April 1990, residual current transect (77°). Number of identified species. Mean densities (n.m <sup>-2</sup> ). Tot. number of ind. per m <sup>2</sup> per station. Number of samples ( ) in which species are present.											
Distance to platform (m) Number of analysed samples	25 4	25 4	100 4	100 4	250 4	250 4	500 4				
POLYCHAETA											
Aphrodita aculeata Harmothoe lunulata Harmothoe longisetis Gattyana cirrosa Polynoe kinbergi Pholoe minuta Sthenelais limicola Eteone longa Eteone lactea Eumida sanguinea	1.2 (1) 3.8 (2) 2.5 (2) 1.2 (1) 1.2 (1) 	2.5 (2)   3.8 (3) 2.5 (2)  	1.2 (1) 1.2 (1) 1.2 (1) 1.2 (1) 1.2 (1) 	1.2 (1)  2.5 (2) 3.8 (2) 	$\begin{array}{c} 1.2 (1) \\ \\ 1.2 (1) \\ 11.2 (3) \\ 21.2 (3) \\ 2.5 (1) \\ \\ 1.2 (1) \end{array}$	2.5 (2) 5.0 (3) 15.0 (4) 68.8 (4) 1.2 (1) 	1.2 (1)  20.0 (4) 1.2 (1) 12.5 (4) 2.5 (2) 				
Ophiodromus flexuosus Gyptis capensis Synelmis klatti Exogone hebes Nereis longissima Nereis spec. juv. Nephtys hombergii Nephtys incisa Nephtys cirrosa	3.8 (1) 2.5 (2) 3.8 (3) 1.2 (1)  2.5 (2) 	1.2 (1) 1.2 (1)  5.0 (2)	5.0 (2) 1.2 (1) 2.5 (1)  1.2 (1)	3.8 (3) 8.8 (3) 6.3 (3)  1.2 (1)  1.2 (1)	$\begin{array}{c}     6.3 (3) \\     3.8 (1) \\     \\     1.2 (1) \\     \\     2.5 (1) \\    $	2.5 (2) 2.5 (2)  6.3 (3) 6.3 (2) 6.3 (2)	6.3 (3) 6.3 (3) 2.5 (1)  3.8 (2) 2.5 (1) 6.3 (3) 8.8 (3) 2.5 (1)				
Nephtys spec. juv. Glycera rouxii Glycera alba Glycera spec. juv. Glycinde nordmanni Goniada maculata Lumbrineris latreilli Lumbrineris fragilis Driloneris filum Orbinia cortulata	8.8 (3)  22.5 (4) 5.0 (2) 111.2 (4) 5.0 (3)	2.5 (2) 21.2 (3)  11.2 (4) 1.2 (1) 6.3 (4) 268.7 (4) 1.2 (1) 	7.5 (4) 2.5 (2)  7.5 (3) 1.2 (1) 3.8 (2) 97.5 (4) 1.2 (1) 	11.2 (4) 1.2 (1)  10.0 (3) 3.8 (3) 10.0 (4) 85.0 (4) 2.5 (2) 	12.5 (3) 1.2 (1) 2.5 (2) 5.0 (2) 2.5 (2) 3.8 (2) 162.5 (4) 2.5 (2) 	3.8 (2) 3.8 (2) 1.2 (1) 8.8 (3) 3.8 (3) 3.8 (2) 698.8 (4) 3.8 (1)	8.8 (4) 7.5 (3)  5.0 (3) 7.5 (4) 5.0 (3) 628.7 (4) 2.5 (2)				
Paraonis spec. Poecilochaetus serpens Spio filicornis Polydora guillei Spiophanes kroyeri Spiophanes bombyx Scolelepis foliosa		5.0 (2)   	 1.2 (1)   		1.2 (1) 1.2 (1) 1.2 (1) 1.2 (1) 	1.2 (1) 5.0 (1)  	3.8 (3)				
Magelona alleni Chaetopterus variopedatus Tharyx marioni Chaetozone setosa Diplocirrus glaucus Scalibregma inflatum Ophelina acuminata	3.8 (2) 5.0 (3)   1.2 (1)	2.5 (2)	13.7 (3) 2.5 (2)  1.2 (1) 	1.2 (1) 6.3 (4)   	$\begin{array}{c} 7.5 & (3) \\ 20.0 & (4) \\ 1.2 & (1) \\ 1.2 & (1) \\ \\ \\ 1.2 & (1) \end{array}$	13.7 (4) 30.0 (4)  3.8 (3) 	11.2 (4) 40.0 (4) 3.8 (2) 6.3 (3) 7.5 (3)  1.2 (1)				
Capitella capitata Notomastus latericeus Heteromastus filiformis Owenia fusiformis Lanice conchilega Lagis koreni Pectinaria auricoma	11.2 (2) 1.2 (1) 1.2 (1)   		 1.2 (1) 1.2 (1) 1.2 (1)  	2.5 (2) 1.2 (1) 1.2 (1) 	1.2 (1)  	2.5 (1) 3.8 (3) 1.2 (1)  	$\begin{array}{c} \\ 6.3 & (3) \\ 1.2 & (1) \\ 5.0 & (3) \\ \\ 3.8 & (2) \\ 38.7 & (4) \end{array}$				
Amphicteis sundevalli Sosane gracilis Lysilla loveni Terebellides stroemi							2.5 (1) 2.5 (1)				

Table 10. Data location L5-5 (abandoned), survey April 1990, residual current transect (77°). Number of identified species. Mean densities (n.m-2). Tot. number of ind. per m<sup>2</sup> per station. Number of samples ( ) in which species are present.

Distance to platform (m) Number of analysed samples	500 3	750 4	750 2	1000 4	1000	5000 7
POLYCHAETA						
Aphrodita aculeata	1.7(1)					
Harmothoe longicatic	1.7(1)	1.2 (1)	2 5 (1)		1.2 (1)	
Gattyana cirrosa	5.0 (2)	2 5 (2)	2.3 (1)	2 9 / 2 )	 5 0 (2)	1.4 (2)
Polynoe kinhergi	5.0 (2)	1 2 (1)	5.0 (1)	3.8 (2)	5.0 (2)	4.3 (4)
Pholoe minuta	41 7 (3)	21 2 (4)	$15 \cap (2)$	25 0 (4)	15 0 (4)	22 9 (6)
Sthenelais limicola	1.7 (1)	7.5 (2)	5.0 (2)	2.5 (2)	2 5 (2)	21(3)
Eteone longa	'			(2)	(2)	
Eteone lactea		1.2 (1)		1.2 (1)		
Eumida sanguinea			2.5 (1)			
Ophiodromus flexuosus	1.7 (1)	2.5 (1)	2.5 (1)		2.5 (2)	1.4 (2)
Gyptis capensis	1.7 (1)	1.2 (1)	2.5 (1)	3.8 (2)	3.8 (2)	4.3 (4)
Synelmis klatti	1.7 (1)	1.2 (1)		1.2 (1)		
Exogone nedes		1.2 (1)		5.0 (4)	1.2 (1)	.7 (1)
Nerels spec inv					1 2 (1)	
Nenhtys hombergij	20 0 (3)	6 3 (3)	2 5 (1)	6 3 (2)	1.2(1)	
Nephtys incisa	8.3 (3)		2.5 (1)	0.5 (2)	7.5 (4)	
Nephtys cirrosa				1.2(1)		
Nephtys caeca						
Nephtys spec. juv.	5.0 (1)	12.5 (4)	2.5 (1)	11.2 (3)	8.8 (4)	5.0 (3)
Glycera rouxii	5.0 (2)	5.0 (3)	5.0 (2)	11.2 (3)	6.3 (3)	7.9 (6)
Glycera alba						
Glycera spec. juv.	10.0 (2)	5.0 (2)	2.5(1)	6.3 (2)		3.6 (2)
Gopiada maculata	1.7(1)	6.3(3)	2.5(1)	6.3(3)	3.8 (3)	5.7 (6)
lumbrineric latreilli	5.0(2)	2475(3)	2325(2)	10.0(4)	5.0(2)	4.3 (4)
lumbrineris fragilis	10 0 (3)	8 8 (3)	252.5(2)	6 3 (3)	200.7 (4)	100.4 (/)
Driloneris filum	(5)				1 2 (1)	5.0 (4)
Orbinia sertulata					(1)	7 (1)
Paraonis spec.						2.1(2)
Poecilochaetus serpens		1.2 (1)		1.2 (1)		2.1(2)
Spio filicornis	10.0 (3)	3.8 (1)	2.5 (1)	2.5 (2)	1.2 (1)	3.6 (4)
Polydora guillei						
Spiophanes kroyeri						
Spiophanes bombyx		1.2(1)	2.5 (1)		1.2(1)	. / (1)
Magelona alleni	3 3 (1)	38(2)		11 2 (A)	1.2(1) 7 5 (3)	2 1 (2)
Chaetonterus varionedatus	16.7(2)	11.2(3)	7.5 (2)	8.8 (3)	3 8 (2)	64(5)
Tharyx marioni	6.7 (3)	2.5 (2)		2.5 (2)		.7(1)
Chaetozone setosa	3.3 (1)				1.2(1)	.7 (1)
Diplocirrus glaucus	8.3 (2)	2.5 (2)	10.0 (2)	7.5 (3)	2.5 (1)	
Scalibregma inflatum						
Ophelina acuminata	1.7 (1)		10.0 (2)		1.2 (1)	1.4 (2)
Capitella capitata				1 2 (1)		
Notomastus latericeus	3.3 (1)	2.5(1) 1 2 (1)		1.2(1)	1 2 (1)	
Owenia fusiformis	3 3 (2)	112(1)	10, 0 (2)	50(3)	38(2)	21(2)
Lanice conchilega		( . /	(-/	(0)	(2)	(2)
Lagis koreni	1.7 (1)			1.2 (1)		
Pectinaria auricoma	21.7 (3)	5.0 (2)		5.0 (3)	5.0 (3)	3.6 (3)
Amphicteis sundevalli						
Sosane gracilis	1.7 (1)			1.2 (1)		
Lysílla lovení						.7 (1)
lerebellides stroemi		1.2 (1)				

Distance to platform (m) Number of analysed samples	25 4	25 4	100 4	100 4	250 4	250 4	500 4
MOLLUSCA							
Nucula turgida Thyasira flexuosa Lepton squamosum Montacuta ferruginosa Mysella bidentata Arctica islandica	2.5 (1)  7.5 (4)	  1.2 (1)	1.2 (1)  3.8 (3)	 5.0 (2)	  120.0 (4)	7.5 (3) 2.5 (2)  456.2 (4) 1.2 (1)	1.2 (1) 5.0 (3) 1.2 (1) 6.3 (3) 293.7 (4)
Acanthocardia echinata Dosinia lupinus Venus striatula Mysia undata Spisula elliptica Abra tenuis	1.2 (1)	13.7 (4) 1.2 (1)	2.5 (2) 3.8 (2)  	5.0 (4)  	3.8(3) 5.0(3)  2.5(1)	3.8 (2) 5.0 (2) 1.2 (1)	1.2 (1) 1.2 (1) 3.8 (2)
Abra alba Gari fervensis Cultellus pellucidus Mya spec. juv. Corbula gibba	  5.0 (1)	   8.8 (3)	1.2 (1)  1.2 (1) 1.2 (1) 5.0 (3)	 1.2 (1)  7.5 (3)	  16.2 (4)	1.2 (1) 13.7 (4)	2.5 (2)  3.8 (3)  17.5 (4)
Thracia convexa Cingula nitida Turritella communis Natica alderi Retusa truncatula	3.8 (1) 2.5 (1)	7.5 (3) 3.8 (2)	1.2 (1) 10.0 (2) 5.0 (2) 1.2 (1)	1.2 (1) 17.5 (2) 2.5 (2) 5.0 (2)	2.5 (2) 1.2 (1) 23.7 (4) 13.7 (4)	5.0 (3) 11.2 (3)	3.8 (2) 1.2 (1) 5.0 (2) 15.0 (3)
Retusa umbilicata Buccinum undatum Cylichna cilindracea Philine scabra	5.0 (3) 	2.5 (2) 2.5 (2)	 1.2 (1) 1.2 (1)	1.2 (1)	3.8 (1)	6.3 (3)	 10.0 (3) 1.2 (1)
CRUSTACEA							
Processa parva Pagurus bernhardus Porcellana longicornis Porcellana spec. juv. Macropipus spec. juv. Pinnotheres pisum Ebalia cranchii	   2.5 (2)		2.5 (2)      	2.5 (2)      			   2.5 (2)
Cancer pagurus Corystes cassivelaunus Upogebia stellata Upogebia deltaura Callianassa subterranea Nebalia bipes	1.2 (1) 2.5 (2)		  3.8 (2) 1.2 (1)	  5.0 (3) 1.2 (1)	 1.2 (1)  5.0 (3)	2.5 (2) 2.5 (2) 17.5 (4)	1.2 (1) 70.0 (4)
Eudorella truncatula Iphinoe trispinosa Diastylis bradyi Cirolana borealis Ione thoracica	2.5 (2)  5.0 (3)  	 5.0 (4)  	1.2 (1)  1.2 (1)  	 3.8 (2)  	5.0 (2)  3.8 (2)  	 1.2 (1)	3.8 (2) 3.8 (1)
Hippomedon denticulatus Orchomenella nana Leucothoe incisa Ampelisca brevicornis Ampelisca tenuicornis	1.2 (1)  15.0 (3)		  1.2 (1) 11.2 (4)	   6.3 (2)	  1.2 (1) 3.8 (2)	 1.2 (1) 8.8 (2)	 1.2 (1) 21.2 (4)
Ampelisca spec. juv. Amphilochus spec. Cheirocratus sundevalli Bathyporeia guilliamsoniana	2.5 (2)	3.8 (2)  	1.2 (1)	3.8 (2)	3.8 (2)		

Distance to platform (m) Number of analysed samples	500 3	750 4	750 2	1000 4	1000 4	5000 7
MOLLUSCA						
Nucula turgida Thyasira flexuosa Lepton squamosum Montacuta ferruginosa Mysella bidentata Arctica islandica Acanthocardia echinata Dosinia lupinus Venus striatula Mysia undata Spisula elliptica Abra tenuis Abra alba Gari fervensis Cultellus pellucidus Mya spec. juv. Corbula gibba	6.7 (2) 1.7 (1)  1.7 (1) 480.0 (3)   3.3 (1)     8.3 (3)	3.8 (2) 1.2 (1)  160.0 (4)  2.5 (2) 5.0 (2) 1.2 (1) 1.2 (1)     20.0 (4)	5.0 (1)  122.5 (2) 2.5 (1)  5.0 (1)  2.5 (1)  15.0 (2)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.2 (1)  78.8 (4)  2.5 (1) 7.5 (3)  1.2 (1) 1.2 (1) 11.2 (4)	1.4 (2) .7 (1)  7.9 (4) 80.0 (6)  .7 (1) .7 (1) .7 (1) .7 (1) .7 (1)  .7 (1)  .7 (1)  .7 (1)  .7 (1)  .7 (1) .7 (1
Cingula nitida Turritella communis Natica alderi Retusa truncatula Retusa umbilicata Buccinum undatum Cylichna cilindracea Philine scabra	3.3 (2) 1.7 (1) 3.3 (2) 5.0 (3)  11.7 (2) 3.3 (1)	20.5 (2) 1.2 (1) 1.2 (1) 15.0 (4)  8.8 (3) 	$\begin{array}{c} 13.0 & (2) \\ 5.0 & (2) \\ \\ 7.5 & (1) \\ 2.5 & (1) \\ \\ 15.0 & (2) \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ $	5.0 (2) 12.5 (4) 	$\begin{array}{c} 6.3 & (3) \\ 1.2 & (1) \\ 100.0 & (3) \\ 6.3 & (4) \\ \\ 8.8 & (2) \\ \\ \\ 8.8 & (2) \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ -$	1.4 (2) 1.4 (2) 4.3 (3) 5.7 (3)  5.7 (5) 
CRUSTACEA						
Processa parva Pagurus bernhardus Porcellana longicornis Porcellana spec. juv. Macropipus spec. juv. Pinnotheres pisum Ebalia cranchii Cancer pagurus		  2.5 (1)	  10.0 (2)	  1.2 (1)	  1.2 (1)	.7 (1)   2.9 (3)
Corystes cassivelaunus Upogebia stellata Upogebia deltaura Callianassa subterranea Nebalia bipes Eudorella truncatula Iphinoe trispinosa Diastylis bradyi Cirolana borealis	1.7 (1) 1.7 (1) 58.3 (3) 5.0 (1)  	1.2 (1) 2.5 (2) 25.0 (4)  1.2 (1)  	2.5 (1) 20.0 (2)   	1.2 (1) 32.5 (4)  1.2 (1)	17.5 (4) 1.2 (1) 1.2 (1) 1.2 (1)	1.4 (1) 15.7 (7)  .7 (1)  1.4 (2)
Ione thoracica Melita obtusata Hippomedon denticulatus Orchomenella nana Leucothoe incisa Ampelisca brevicornis Ampelisca tenuicornis Ampelisca spec. juv. Amphilochus spec. Cheirocratus sundevalli Bathyporeia guilliamsoniana	1.7 (1) 5.0 (3) 18.3 (3)	  1.2 (1) 8.8 (3) 1.2 (1) 	  5.0 (2)  2.5 (1)	1.2 (1) 	 1.2 (1) 1.2 (1)  5.0 (3) 5.0 (2) 1.2 (1)  	5.7 (4) 6.4 (4) 3.6 (2)

Distance to platform (m)	25	25	100	100	250	250	500
Number of analysed samples	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Bathyporeia elegans		2.5(2)	1.2(1)				
Bathyporeia tenuipes			1.2(1)	1.2(1)			
Harpinia antennaria							
Apherusa spec.							
Perioculodes longimanus	3.8 (3	)	3.8(3)	3.8(3)			
Aora typica	(-				1.2(1)		1.2 (1)
Caprella spec.	1.2 (1	) ,	1.2 (1)		'		
ECHINODERMATA							
Amphiuma filiformia	15 0 / 2	> 20.0 (4)	20 7 (1)	21 2 (2)	221 3 (1)	1541 2 (4)	1253 7 (4)
Amphiura illiioniis	15.0 (5	) 20.0 (4)	20.7 (4)	21.2 (3)	221.5 (4)	6 3 (2)	1 2 (1)
Ampritura critajet							(1)
Ophiura albida					38(1)		
Ophiura spec juv	25 (2	6 3 (3)	25(1)	1 2 (1)	5.0 (1)	1 2 (1)	7.5 (3)
Echinocardium cordatum	2.5 (2						16.2 (4)
OTHER TAXA							
Nemertinea		P (4)	P (4)	P (2)	P (4)	P (4)	P (4)
Nematoda	1.2 (1	)					
Turbellaria	3.8 (3	) 2.5 (2)		3.8 (2)	6.3 (4)	3.8 (3)	3.8 (2)
Phoroniden			P (1)		P (1)		
Harp. copepoda						1.2 (1)	1.2 (1)
Parasitaire copepoda					1.2 (1)	3.8 (2)	3.8 (2)
Holothuroidea		1.2 (1)	2.5 (1)	2.5 (2)	1.2 (1)	3.8 (2)	3.8 (1)
Sagitta spec.		1.2 (1)					
Echiurida			2.5 (1)	2.5 (1)		7.5 (2)	8.8 (3)
Sipunculida	5.0 (2	)	38.7 (4)	33.7 (4)	23.7 (4)	72.5 (4)	97.5 (4)
Anthozoa			1.2 (1)	1.2 (1)			
Ascidiacea							
Foraminiferida		1.2 (1)					
Tot. nr. of individuals Nr. of identified species	287.2 34	420.9 24	304.8 45	304.7 35	755.6 44	3095.2 44	2752.4 60
P= present, not counted							

Distance to platform (m) Number of analysed samples	500 3	750	750 2	1000	1000 4	5000 7
Bathyporeia elegans						
Bathyporeia tenuipes		1.2 (1)		(0)		
Harpinia antennaria		1.2 (1)	5.0 (1)	2.5 (2)		
Apherusa spec.		2 5 (2)		1 2 (1)		7 (1)
Perioculodes longimanus	3.3 (1)	2.5(2)		1.2 (1)		.7 (1)
Aora typica	1 7 (1)	2.5 (1)				
caprella spec.	1.7 (1)					
ECHINODERMATA						
Amphiura filiformis	1148.3 (3)	763.8 (4)	655.0 (2)	566.2 (4)	326.2 (3)	277.9 (7)
Amphiura chiajei	13.3 (3)	2.5 (2)		6.3 (3)	6.3 (2)	
Ophiura texturata	1.7 (1)			(1)		7 (1)
Ophiura albida	·	2.5(1)	(2)	2.5(1)	(2)	. / (1)
Ophiura spec. juv.	6.7(2)	8.8 (4)	5.0 (2)	2.5(1)	0.0 (3)	10 7 (5)
Echinocardium cordatum	8.3 (3)	5.0 (2)		0.0 (3)		10.7 (5)
OTHER TAXA						
Nemertinea	P (3)	P (4)	P (2)	P (4)	P (4)	P (7)
Nematoda						
Turbellaria	6.7 (2)	6.3 (2)	5.0 (2)	7.5 (3)	5.0 (2)	1.4(2)
Phoroniden	P (2)	P (1)	Ρ (1)	F 0 (2)	P (2)	P(2)
Harp, copepoda		2.5(2)	2 5 (1)	5.0(3)	5.0 (2)	3.0 (3)
Valathunaidaa		1.2(1) 2.5(2)	2.5(1)	1 2 (1)	12(1)	7 (1)
Sagitta spec		2.5 (2)	2.5 (1)			
Echiurida	5.0(2)	2.5(2)				3.6 (3)
Sipunculida	135.0(3)	72.5 (4)	37.5 (2)	93.8 (4)	63.7 (4)	62.1 (7)
Anthozoa	1.7 (1)	``				.7 (1)
Ascidiacea	1.7 (1)					
Foraminiferida	1.7 (1)					
Tot. nr. of individuals	2577.0	1533.0	1267.5	1455.8	1045.6	811.0
Nr. of identified species	52	58	36	53	47	52
P= present, not counted						

Table 11.	Data L	5-5, sur	vey Septe	ember 19	91.		,			1 (5)		
	r c =	residua	1 current	t transe	c + (770)	viduais (s	specimens	> 1cm) 11	n each sar	mple (fie	la counts	)
	n.t. =	nernend	icular to	ransect								
	p	perpend	rearar er	unscot								
r.c.	25 m	100 m	250 m	500 m	750 m	1000 m	1500 m	2000 m	3000 m	5000 m	7500 m	10000 m
sample 1					1	1	2		5	3	2	
2									1	5	4	3
3									5	5	3	3
4					1	1			2	5	8	3
5					2	2	2	1	5	3	7	2
6						1		1	4	6	7	
7					1			4		2	8	
8				1			1		4	4	4	2
p.t. 167*			1							11		
2			2							5		
3										5		
4			1							3		
5										7		
6										11		
7										1		
8			2							5		
										-		
p.t. 257*												
sample 1										3		
2										6		
3										4		
4	1.0									3		
5										2		
6										4		
7										1		
8										3		
p + 347.												
cample 1										F		
2										1		
3										1		
4			1							3		
5										1		
5										8		
7			1							3		
8										6		

	Mysella bidentata	Montacuta ferruginosa	Echinocardium cordatum	(size in mm)
25 m sample 1 2 3 4 5 6,	116 22 23 33 13 285			
100 m sample 1 2 3 4 5 6	20 20 3 31 11 18			
250 m sample 1 2 3 4 5 6	148 48 51 60 94 52			(4,2,3)
500 m sample 1 2 3 4 5 6	61 59 54 213 350 257			
1000 m sample 1 2 3 4 5 6	140 150 73 70 199 85	   2 1	(+ fragments)  1 2 1	(38) (33,35) (34)
2000 m sample 1 2 3 4 5 6	23 18 45 26 73 31	   1	  (+ fragments) 1 (+ fragm.)	(34)
5000 m sample 1 2 3 4 5 6	66 59 43 42 104 79	1  1  3	3 5 5 3 3 4	(38,34,27) (18,30,28,25,30) (35,29,40,20,18) (25,25,16) (34,18,17) (35,26,25,24)

# Tabel 12. Data L5–5, survey September 1991 Numbers of individuals per sample (laboratory analyses) residual current transect

pe	rpendicular transect	s	analyses	
	Mysella bidentata	Montacuta ferruginosa	Echinocardium corda	atum (size in mm)
250 m 167°				
sample 1	302		1	(30)
2	272		2	(30,28)
3	136			
4	138			
5	116			
.6	212			
250 m 257°				
sample 1	42			
2	23			
3	30			
4	28			
5	34			
6	10			
250 m 347°				
sample 1	68			
2	78			
3	87			
4	134	1	2	(4, 34)
5	133			
6	107			

Table 12 (continued) Data L5–5,survey September 1991 Numbers of individuals per sample (laboratory analyses) perpendicular transects

# Table 13. Data L5–5, survey September 1991 Numbers per m² at each station (laboratory analyses)

			residua	l current	transect			perper	nd. trans	sects 347
station:	25m	100m	250m	500m	1000m	2000m	5000m	250m	250m	250m
Montacuta ferruginosa Mysella bidentata Echinocardium cordatum	410.0	 85.5 	377.5 2.5	828.3 0.6	2.5 597.5 3.1	.8 180.0 3.8	5.0 327.5 20.6	980.0 3.1	139.2	.8 505.8 1.3

Table 14. Boxcosms K12a , natural infauna. Mean densities (n.m-²) at the start of the incubation period (11.9.1990) and at the end (10.1.1991).

		5000 m	250 m		100 m	
	start	end	start	end	start	end
POLYCHAETA						
	10 0	0.0	1 4			
Harmothoe lunulata	18.3	8.0	1.4			
Harmothoe longisetis	1.4					
Harmothoe spec. juv.	2.8		2.8			
Sigalion mathildae	4.2					
Pholoe minuta	4.2					
Sthenelais limicola	12.7		5.6	4.0	1.4	
Eteone longa	1.4		2.8			
Anaitides groenlandica	5.6				2.8	
Anaitides mucosa	1.4		1.4			
Anaitides maculata			1.4		1.4	
Anaitides spec. juv.					1.4	
Eumida sanguinea	9.9					
Ophiodromus flexuosus	8.5		9.9	4.0	1.4	
Gyptis capensis	4.2	2.0	4.2			
Nereis longissima			5.6	2.0	1.4	2.0
Nereis spec. juv.	1.4		1.4		7.0	
Nephtys hombergii	56.3	12.0	50.7	22.0	25.4	8.0
Nephtys cirrosa	15.5		2.8	2.0	12.7	
Nephtys spec. juv.	1.4				7.0	
Glycera alba					1.4	
Glycera spec. juv.			1.4		2.8	
Glycinde nordmanni			1.4		8.5	
Goniada maculata	7.0		16.9		11.3	2.0
Lumbrineris latreilli	157.7	2.0	167.6	50.0	167.6	74.0
Poecilochaetus serpens	38.0		15.5		22.5	
Spio filicornis	4.2		4.2		21.1	
Spiophanes bombyx	146.5	2.0	170.4	2.0	152.1	
Scolelepis bonnieri			1.4	2.0	4.2	
Magelona papillicornis	29.6	2.0	22.5		38.0	
Magelona alleni	1.4					
Chaetozone setosa	14.1		22.5		5.6	
Diplocirrus glaucus	1 4		1 4		1.4	
Notomastus latericeus	1 4				1 4	
lanice conchilega	78 9	20 0	5 6		1 4	
Lagis koreni	1 4	20.0	5.0			
Lagis Koreni	1.4					
MOLLUSCA						
Nucula turgida	50 2	52 0	263 1	128 0	78 0	24 0
Montacuta forruginosa	15 1	14 0	205.4	2 0	70.5	24.0
Posipia lupipus	43.1	14.0	7 0	2.0		2 0
Venue et mistula	2.0	4.0	11 2	4.0	1 4	2.0
Mentus scridiuid	2.0	2 0	11.5	2.0	2.9	2.0
Mactra corallina	4.2	2.0			2.0	
Spisula spec. juv.	4.2					
leilina fabula	1.4		5.6			
Abra alba	63.4		21.1		4.2	
Cultellus pellucidus	2.8		4.2		2.8	
Natica alderi	29.6	26.0	32.4	14.0	1.4	8.0
CRUSTACEA						
Convetor, escatual surve		2 0	7 0	4 0	1 2	2 0
Unogobia chos duy	1 4	2.0	1.0	4.0	4.2	2.0
opogebia spec. juv.	1.4	20.0	1.4	10 0	2E 4	
Califanassa subterranea	202.8	38.0	/0.4	18.0	23.4	
Decapoda Tarven			7 0		1.4	
Diastylis bradyi	2.8		1.0			
Melita obtusata					21.1	
Urchomenella nana	1.4				1.4	

Table 14 continued.		_				
		5000 m		250 m		100 m
	start	end	start	end	start	end
Leucothoe incisa	21.1		4.2	2.0		
Ampelisca brevicornis	5.6					
Ampelisca tenuicornis	2.8					
Ampelisca spec. juv.	4.2					
Bathyporeia tenuipes	1.4		2.8		4.2	
Aora typica	4.2				2.8	
ECHINODERMATA						
Amphiura filiformis	36.6	2.0	8.5			
Ophiura texturata	2.8					
Ophiura albida	2.8		2.8		2.8	
Ophiura spec. juv.	8.5		14.1		4.2	4.0
Echinocardium cordatum	1869.0	4.0				
Psammechinus miliaris					1.4	
OTHER TAXA						
Nemertinea	Ρ	P	Ρ	Р	Р	P
Nematoda	1.4					
Turbellaria	8.5				2.8	
Phoroniden	Р	Р		P		
Harp. copepoda	2.8		8.5		71.8	
Parasitaire copepoda	2.8					
Oligochaeta			Р			
Holothuroidea	2.8					
Sagitta spec.			4.2		8.5	
Anthozoa		8.0				
Tot. number of ind.	3032.0	200.0	996.7	254.0	741.9	124.0
Nr. of identified specie	es 46	23	35	21	34	10
P= present, not counted						

Location	Position	Drilling activities	Area	Survey	Remarks	Report
P6b	52*42´?´´N 03*50´?´´E	1 well, drilled with low-tox OBM with high aromatic content; drilled in April-June´85	Erosion zone, sand silt fraction (<63 µm) < 1%	Sept.´85		Mulder et al., 1987
F18/8	54°05′30′′N 04°59′15′′E	1 Well drilled with WBM in April 1986	Sedimentation area, silt fr. 10 - 20% depth 40 m. Deserted location	May/June ´86		Mulder et al., 1987
L4a 53°43′40′′N	Previous drilling with	Transition zone,	May `86	'Baseline' (only	Mulder et al., 1988	
	04 08 15 E	WBM in Jan. 85; drilling of 2 wells in AugOct. 1986 with low-aromatic OBM; tot. emission: 912	fine sand with silt (≈ 15%) depth 35 m. Platform present	Sept.´86	Drilling not yet finished	Mulder et al., 1988
				Febr. <sup>°</sup> 87		Daan et al., 1990
	oil:178 tonnes		June´87	Additional photo- survey (Sept.´87)	Daan et al., 1990	
K12a 53°28′36.2′´N 03°47′19.4′´E	5 Wells drilled diesel and low-tox OBM, between Febr.'83 and Nov.'84: tot. emission: 2278 tonnes. OBM: 1082 tonnes; oil: 393 tonnes	Transition zone,	Sept. '85		Mulder et al., 1987	
		silt (5 - 10%) depth 28 m. Platform present	Sept. 86 Sept. 87	Additional photo- survey 4 boxcosms 6 boxcosms	Mulder et al., 1988 Daan et al., 1990	
			Sept.´88 Sept.´90		Daan et al., 1990 This report	
F18/9	54°06′09.8′′N 04°45′25.1′′E	1 Well drilled with OBM in May´87; tot. emission: 1912 tonnes; OBM: 819 tonnes; oil: 283 tonnes	Sedimentation area, silt (10 – 20%); depth 42 m. Deserted location	June´88	17 boxcosms (col- lected Sept.´88)	Daan et al., 1990
L5-5	53*48′33.1′´N 04*20′54.4´´E	1 Well drilled with low- aromatic OBM, July-Nov. 1988; tot. emission: 1347 tonnes. WBM: 308 tonnes; OBM: 148 tonnes; oil: 44 tonnes	Transition zone, fine sand and silt (≈15 %), depth ≈41 m), Deserted location	May ´89 April ´90 Sept. ´91	14 boxcosms (col- lected Sept.´89)	Daan et al., 1991 This report This report

Table 15.	Locations	investigated	between	1985	and	1991.		

.

т.



# NIOZ-RAPPORT 1992 - 9

# CONTENTS

Summary and conclusions	1
Samenvatting en conclusies	3
1 Introduction	7
1.1 General part	7
1.2 Location L5-5	7
1.3 Location K12A	Э
1.4 Acknowledgements	9
2 Methods	2
2 1 Field survey at 1 5-5 April 1000	
2.1.1 Positioning and sampling	
2 1 2 Laboratory analysis	ר ר
2 1 3 Statistical procedures	1
2 1 3 1 Individual species (logit regression)	1
2 1 3 2 Macrobenthic community (relative abundance)	1
2 2 Field survey at 1 5-5. Sentember 1991	2
2.3 Boxcosm experiments K12A	2
	-
3 Results	>
3.1 Field survey L5-5. April 1990	5
3.1.1 General description.	2
3.1.2 Presence-absence data: logit regression	1
3.1.3 Relative macrofauna abundance	1
3.1.4 Abundance patterns of sensitive and opportunistic species.	5
3.1.5 Dose-effect relationships	5
3.1.6 Long-term vs short-term effects	5
3.2 Field survey at L5-5. September 1991	3
3.2.1 Spatial abundance patterns	3
3.2.2 Effects in relation to oil concentrations	3
3.3 Boxcosm experiment with sediment from K12A	)
3.3.1 General remarks	)
3.3.2 Survival of the natural infauna	)
3.3.3 Responses by test animals	1
4 Discussion	1
4.1 Fieldsurvey at L5-5, April 1990	1
4.2 Field survey at L5-5, September 1991	2
4.3 Boxcosm experiment K12A	2
5 References	3
Appendix	5