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#### Abstract

Let $L^{n}(3)$ be the $(2 n+1)$-dimensional standard lens space mod 3 and let $v$ denote the normal bundle associated to an immersion of $L^{n}(3)$ in the Euclidean $(4 n+3)$-space. In this paper we obtain a theorem on stable unextendibility of $R$-vector bundles over $L^{n}(3)$ improving some results in [5] and [6], and study relations between stable extendibility and span of vector bundles over $L^{n}(3)$. Furtheremore, we prove that $c v$ is extendible to $L^{m}(3)$ for every $m>n$ if and only if $0 \leq n \leq 5$, and prove that $c(v \otimes v)$ is extendible to $L^{m}(3)$ for every $m>n$ if and only if $0 \leq n \leq 13$ or $n=15$, where $c$ stands for the complexification and $\otimes$ denotes the tensor product.


## 1. Introduction

Let $(X, A)$ be a pair of spaces. A $t$-dimensional $F$-vector bundle $\zeta$ over $A$ is said to be extendible (respectively stably extendible) to $X$ if and only if there is a $t$-dimensional $F$-vector bundle over $X$ whose restriction to $A$ is equivalent (respectively stably equivalent) to $\zeta$, where $F$ is either the real number field $R$ or the complex number field $C$ (cf. [9] and [2]).

Let $\eta_{n}$ be the canonical $C$-line bundle over the $\bmod 3$ standard lens space $L^{n}(3)$ of dimension $2 n+1$, and $r \eta_{n}$ its real restriction.

For positive integers $\ell$ and $t$, define an integer $S(t, \ell)$ as follows.

$$
S(t, \ell)=\min \left\{j \mid\lfloor t / 2\rfloor<j \text { and }\lfloor t / 2\rfloor+\ell \mathrm{C}_{j} \not \equiv 0 \bmod 3\right\},
$$

where $\lfloor x\rfloor$ denotes the largest integer $s$ with $s \leq x$, and ${ }_{k} \mathrm{C}_{r}$ denotes the binomial coefficient $k!/(r!(k-r)!)$. Clearly, $\lfloor t / 2\rfloor<S(t, \ell) \leq\lfloor t / 2\rfloor+\ell$. We obtain

Theorem 1. Let $\ell$, $n$ and $t$ be positive integers with $\lfloor t / 2\rfloor+\ell<3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}$ and let $\zeta$ be a $t$-dimensional $R$-vector bundle over $L^{n}(3)$ which is stably equivalent to

[^0]$(\lfloor t / 2\rfloor+\ell) r \eta_{n}$. Then $n<2 S(t, \ell)$ and $\zeta$ is not stably extendible to $L^{m}(3)$ for any $m \geq 2 S(t, \ell)$.

For an $F$-vector bundle $\zeta, \operatorname{span}_{F} \zeta$ stands for the maximum number of linearly independent cross-sections of $\zeta$, where $F$ is $R$ or $C$. Let $n, h$ and $t$ be positive integers with $t<2 h$. Consider the condition

$$
C(m, a, c): \operatorname{span}_{R}\left\{\left(a 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}+h\right) r \eta_{m} \oplus c\right\} \geq 2\left(a 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}+h\right)-t+c
$$

for some integer $m$ with $m>n$ and some non-negative integers $a$ and $c$, where $\oplus$ denotes the Whitney sum.

For $R$-vector bundles over $L^{n}(3)$, we have
Theorem 2. Let $n, h$ and $t$ be positive integers, and let $\zeta$ be a $t$-dimensional $R$-vector bundle over $L^{n}(3)$ which is stably equivalent to $h r \eta_{n}$.
(i) Suppose that $t \geq 2 h$. Then $\zeta$ is stably extendible to $L^{m}(3)$ for every $m>n$.
(ii) Suppose that $t<2 h$. Then, for any $m>n, \zeta$ is stably extendible to $L^{m}(3)$ if and only if the condition $C(m, a, c)$ holds for some non-negative integers $a$ and $c$.

Let $n$ and $t$ be positive integers, let $h$ and $k$ be non-negative integers with $t<h+k$. Consider the condition

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C(m, a, b, c): \operatorname{span}_{C}\left\{\left(a 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}+h\right) \eta_{m} \oplus\left(b 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}+k\right) \eta_{m}^{2} \oplus c\right\} \\
& \quad \geq a 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}+h+b 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}+k-t+c
\end{aligned}
$$

for some integer $m$ with $m>n$ and some non-negative integers $a, b$ and $c$, where $\eta_{m}^{2}$ denotes the tensor product $\eta_{m} \otimes \eta_{m}$.

For $C$-vector bundles over $L^{n}(3)$, we have
Theorem 3. Let $n$ and $t$ be positive integers, let $h$ and $k$ be non-negative integers, and let $\zeta$ be a t-dimensional C-vector bundle over $L^{n}(3)$ which is stably equivalent to $h \eta_{n} \oplus k \eta_{n}^{2}$. Then
(i) Suppose $t \geq h+k$. Then $\zeta$ is stably extendible to $L^{m}(3)$ for every $m>n$.
(ii) Suppose $t<h+k$. Let $m$ be any integer with $m>n$. Then, if $\zeta$ is stably extendible to $L^{m}(3)$, the condition $C(m, a, b, c)$ holds for some non-negative integers $a, b$ and $c$.

Moreover, in the case (ii) we have the following necessary and sufficient condition:
(iia) Let $n$ be even. Then, for any $m>n, \zeta$ is stably extendible to $L^{m}(3)$ if and only if the condition $C(m, a, b, c)$ holds for some non-negative integers $a, b$ and $c$.
(iib) Let $n$ be odd. Then, for any $m>n$, $\zeta$ is stably extendible to $L^{m}(3)$ if and only if the condition $C(m, a, b, c)$ holds for some non-negative integers $a, b$ and $c$ such that $a \equiv b \equiv 0 \bmod 3$.

Next, we have
Theorem 4. Let cv be the complexification of the normal bundle $v$ associated to an immersion of $L^{n}(3)$ in the Euclidean $(4 n+3)$-space $R^{4 n+3}$. Then $c v$ is extendible to $L^{m}(3)$ for every $m>n$ if and only if $0 \leq n \leq 5$.

Finally, we have
Theorem 5. Let $c v^{2}$ be the complexification of the square $v^{2}(=v \otimes v)$ of the normal bundle $v$ associated to an immersion of $L^{n}(3)$ in $R^{4 n+3}$. Then $c v^{2}$ is extendible to $L^{m}(3)$ for every $m>n$ if and only if $0 \leq n \leq 13$ or $n=15$.

This paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2 we recall the known results that are used for our proofs. In Sections 3, 4 and 5 we prove Theorems 1,2 and 3 , respectively. By complexifying the results obtained in the previous papers [6] and [5], we prove Theorems 4 and 5 in Sections 6 and 7, respectively. Some examples are shown in corresponding sections. The authors wish to express sincere thanks to the referee for valuable suggestions.

## 2. Known results

Let $L_{0}^{n}(3)$ denote the $2 n$-skeleton of the CW-decomposition of $L^{n}(3)$. The ring structure of the reduced Grothendieck ring $\tilde{K}_{R}\left(L^{n}(3)\right)$ is determined in [3] as follows (cf. [4] and [7]).

Theorem 2.1 (cf. [3, Theorem 2] and [4, Proposition 2.11]).

$$
\tilde{K}_{R}\left(L^{n}(3)\right) \cong \begin{cases}\tilde{K}_{R}\left(L_{0}^{n}(3)\right)+Z / 2 & \text { for } n \equiv 0 \bmod 4, \\ \tilde{K}_{R}\left(L_{0}^{n}(3)\right) & \text { otherwise },\end{cases}
$$

where + denotes the direct sum. The group $\tilde{K}_{R}\left(L_{0}^{n}(3)\right)$ is isomorphic to the cyclic group $Z / 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}$ of order $3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}$ and is generated by $r \sigma_{n}\left(=r \eta_{n}-2\right)$. Moreover, the ring structure is given by

$$
\left(r \sigma_{n}\right)^{2}=-3 r \sigma_{n}, \quad \text { namely }\left(r \eta_{n}\right)^{2}=r \eta_{n}+2, \quad \text { and } \quad\left(r \sigma_{n}\right)^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor+1}=0
$$

The ring structure of the reduced Grothendieck ring $\tilde{K}_{C}\left(L^{n}(3)\right)$ is determined in [3] as follows (cf. [4] and [7]).

Theorem 2.2 (cf. [3, Theorem 1] and [4, Lemma 2.4]).

$$
\tilde{K}_{C}\left(L^{n}(3)\right) \cong \tilde{K}_{C}\left(L_{0}^{n}(3)\right) \cong Z / 3^{\lfloor(n+1) / 2\rfloor}+Z / 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}
$$

The first summand is generated by $\sigma_{n}=\eta_{n}-1$ and the second summand is generated by $\sigma_{n}^{2}$. Moreover, the ring structure is given by

$$
\sigma_{n}^{3}=-3 \sigma_{n}^{2}-3 \sigma_{n}, \quad \text { namely } \eta_{n}^{3}=1, \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma_{n}^{n+1}=0
$$

Let $d=1$ or 2 according as $F=R$ or $C$, and, for a real number $x$, let $\lceil x\rceil$ denote the smallest integer $s$ with $x \leq s$. The following two results are wellknown.

Theorem 2.3 (cf. [1, Theorem 1.2, p. 99]). Let $m=\lceil(n+1) / d-1\rceil$. Then each $t$-dimensional $F$-vector bundle over an $n$-dimensional $C W$-complex $X$ is equivalent to $\alpha \oplus(t-m)$ for some $m$ dimensional $F$-vector bundle $\alpha$ over $X$ if $m \leq t$.

Theorem 2.4 (cf. [1, Theorem 1.5, p. 100]). Let $m=\lceil(n+2) / d-1\rceil$. Then two $t$-dimensional $F$-vector bundles over an $n$-dimensional $C W$-complex which are stably equivalent are equivalent if $m \leq t$.

Corollary 2.5. Let $X$ be a finite $C W$-complex and $A$ its subcomplex and let $\zeta$ be an $F$-vector bundle over $A$ such that $\lceil(\operatorname{dim} A+2) / d-1\rceil \leq \operatorname{dim} \zeta$. Then $\zeta$ is extendible to $X$ if and only if $\zeta$ is stably extendible to $X$.

Proof. The "only if" part is clear. We prove the "if" part. Suppose that $\zeta$ is stably equivalent to $i^{*} \alpha$ for some $F$-vector bundle $\alpha$ over $X$, where $i: A \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion. If $\lceil(\operatorname{dim} A+2) / d-1\rceil \leq \operatorname{dim} \zeta$, then $\zeta$ is equivalent to $i^{*} \alpha$ by Theorem 2.4.

The following is due to [8].
Theorem 2.6 (cf. [8, Theorem 1.7]). Let $p$ be an odd prime and let $L^{m}(p)$ denote the $(2 m+1)$-dimensional standard lens space $\bmod p$. Assume that $\xi$ is any $(2 r+1)$-dimensional $R$-vector bundle over $L^{m}(p)$ satisfying

$$
p_{s}(\xi)=0, p_{s+1}(\xi)=0, \ldots, p_{r}(\xi)=0
$$

for an integer $s$ with $1 \leq s \leq r$, where $p_{j}(\xi) \in H^{4 j}\left(L^{m}(p), Z\right)$ is the $j$-th Pontrjagin class of $\xi$. Then

$$
\operatorname{span}_{R} \xi \geq 2 r-2 s+2
$$

if $p>m-2 s+2$, and if $\operatorname{span}_{R} \pi^{*} \xi \geq 2 r-2 s+2$, where $\pi: S^{2 m+1} \rightarrow L^{m}(p)$ is the projection.

On stable unextendibility of $C$-vector bundles over $L^{n}(p)$, we have
Theorem 2.7 (cf. [6, Theorem 4.5]). Let $p$ be a prime and let $\ell, n$ and $t$ be positive integers with

$$
t+\ell<p^{\lfloor n /(p-1)\rfloor}
$$

Assume that $\zeta$ is any $t$-dimensional $C$-vector bundle over $L^{n}(p)$ which is stably equivalent to a sum of $t+\ell$ non-trivial $C$-line bundles. Then $n<t+\ell$ and $\zeta$ is not stably extendible to $L^{m}(p)$ for every $m \geq t+\ell$.

Let $p$ be a prime. For calculations of the binomial coefficients $\bmod p$, the following is useful.

Lemma 2.8 (cf. [10, Lemma 2.6, p. 5]). Let $p$ be a prime and let $a=\sum_{0 \leq i \leq m} a(i) p^{a(i)}$ and $b=\sum_{0 \leq i \leq m} b(i) p^{b(i)}(0 \leq a(i), b(i)<p)$. Then

$$
{ }_{a} \mathrm{C}_{b} \equiv \prod_{0 \leq i \leq m}{ }_{a(i)} \mathrm{C}_{b(i)} \bmod p
$$

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

Proof of Theorem 1. Since $\zeta$ is stably equivalent to $(\lfloor t / 2\rfloor+\ell) r \eta_{n}$, the total Pontrjagin class $p(\zeta)$ of $\zeta$ is given by

$$
p(\zeta)=p\left((\lfloor t / 2\rfloor+\ell) r \eta_{n}\right)=p\left(r \eta_{n}\right)^{\lfloor t / 2\rfloor+\ell}=\left(1+z_{n}^{2}\right)^{\lfloor t / 2\rfloor+\ell},
$$

where $z_{n}$ is the generator of $H^{2}\left(L^{n}(3), Z\right)=Z / 3$. Suppose that $2 S(t, \ell) \leq n$. Then $p_{S(t, \ell)}(\zeta)={ }_{\lfloor t / 2\rfloor+\ell} \mathrm{C}_{S(t, \ell)} z_{n}^{2 S(t, \ell)} \neq 0$ by the definition of $S(t, \ell)$. On the other hand, $p_{S(t, \ell)}(\zeta)=0$, since $\zeta$ is $t$-dimensional and $\lfloor t / 2\rfloor<S(t, \ell)$. This is a contradiction. Hence we have $n<2 S(t, \ell)$.

Next, suppose that $\zeta$ is stably extendible to $L^{m}(3)$ for $m>n$. Then $\zeta$ is stably extendible to the $2 m$-skeleton $L_{0}^{m}(3)$ of $L^{m}(3)$ and there is a $t$-dimensional $R$-vector bundle $\alpha$ over $L_{0}^{m}(3)$ such that $\zeta$ is stably equivalent to $i^{*} \alpha$, where $i: L^{n}(3) \rightarrow L_{0}^{m}(3)$ is the standard inclusion. By Theorem 2.1, there is an integer $q$ such that $\alpha-t=q r \sigma_{m}$. Hence

$$
\zeta-t=i^{*}(\alpha-t)=i^{*}\left(q r \sigma_{m}\right)=q r \sigma_{n} .
$$

On the other hand, by the assumption,

$$
\zeta-t=(\lfloor t / 2\rfloor+\ell) r \eta_{n}-2(\lfloor t / 2\rfloor+\ell)=(\lfloor t / 2\rfloor+\ell) r \sigma_{n} .
$$

Thus, in $\tilde{K}_{R}\left(L^{n}(3)\right), \quad\{q-(\lfloor t / 2\rfloor+\ell)\} r \sigma_{n}=0$. So, by Theorem 2.1, $q-(\lfloor t / 2\rfloor+\ell) \equiv 0 \bmod 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}$. Hence there is an integer $a$ such that $q=a 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}+\lfloor t / 2\rfloor+\ell$. Here, taking $q$ sufficiently large, we may assume that $a$ is non-negative. Since $\lfloor t / 2\rfloor+\ell<3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}$ by the assumption, ${ }_{q} \mathrm{C}_{j} \equiv$ $\lfloor t / 2\rfloor+\ell \mathrm{C}_{j} \bmod 3$ for $\lfloor t / 2\rfloor<j \leq\lfloor t / 2\rfloor+\ell$. Therefore

$$
p(\alpha)=\left(1+z_{m}^{2}\right)^{q}, \text { and }
$$

$$
p_{S(t, \ell)}(\alpha)={ }_{q} \mathrm{C}_{S(t, \ell)} z_{m}^{2 S(t, \ell)}={ }_{\lfloor t / 2\rfloor+\ell} \mathrm{C}_{S(t, \ell)} z_{m}^{2 S(t, t)} \neq 0
$$

for $2 S(t, \ell) \leq m$. On the other hand, $p_{S(t, \ell)}(\alpha)=0$, since $\alpha$ is $t$-dimensional and $\lfloor t / 2\rfloor<S(t, \ell)$. This is a contradiction.

In [6, Theorem B], we have proved that the normal bundle $v\left(f_{n}\right)$ associated to an immersion $f_{n}: L^{n}(3) \rightarrow R^{4 n+3}$ is extendible to $L^{m}(3)$ for every $m \geq n$ if and only if $0 \leq n \leq 5$. For $n=6$, we have

Example 3.1. $v\left(f_{6}\right)$ is not stably extendible to $L^{18}(3)$.
Proof. By (3.2) of [6], $v\left(f_{6}\right)=20 r \eta_{6}-26$. Putting $n=6$ and $t=14$, we have $\lfloor t / 2\rfloor=7=2 \cdot 3+1,\lfloor t / 2\rfloor+\ell=20=2 \cdot 3^{2}+2$ and $\ell=13$. Hence $S(t, \ell)=3^{2}=9$ by Lemma 2.8. So it follows from Theorem 1 that $v\left(f_{6}\right)$ is not stably extendible to $L^{18}(3)$.

This example is an improvement of Example 3.4 of [6] which states that $v\left(f_{6}\right)$ is not stably extendible to $L^{40}(3)$.

In [5, Theorem 6], we have proved that the square $v\left(f_{n}\right)^{2}$ of the normal bundle $v\left(f_{n}\right)$ associated to an immersion $f_{n}: L^{n}(3) \rightarrow R^{4 n+3}$ is extendible to $L^{m}(3)$ for every $m \geq n$ if and only if $0 \leq n \leq 13$ or $n=15$. For $n=14$, we have

Example 3.2. $v\left(f_{14}\right)^{2}$ is not stably extendible to $L^{972}(3)$.
Proof. By Theorem 5.2 of [5], $v\left(f_{14}\right)^{2}=612 r \eta_{14}-324$. Putting $n=14$ and $t=30^{2}=900$, we have $\lfloor t / 2\rfloor=450=3^{5}+2 \cdot 3^{4}+3^{3}+2 \cdot 3^{2},\lfloor t / 2\rfloor+\ell=$ $612=2 \cdot 3^{5}+3^{4}+3^{3}+2 \cdot 3^{2}$ and $\ell=162$. Hence $S(t, \ell)=2 \cdot 3^{5}=486$ by Lemma 2.8. So it follows from Theorem 1 that $v\left(f_{14}\right)^{2}$ is not stably extendible to $L^{972}(3)$.

This example is an improvement of the former part of Corollary 5.4 of [5] which states that $v\left(f_{14}\right)^{2}$ is not stably extendible to $L^{1224}(3)$. For $n=16$, we have

Example 3.3. $v\left(f_{16}\right)^{2}$ is not stably extendible to $L^{4374}(3)$.
Proof. By Theorem 5.2 of $[5], v\left(f_{16}\right)^{2}=4358 r \eta_{16}-7920$. Putting $n=16$ and $t=34^{2}=1156$, we have $\lfloor t / 2\rfloor=578=2 \cdot 3^{5}+3^{4}+3^{2}+2,\lfloor t / 2\rfloor+\ell=$ $4538=2 \cdot 3^{7}+2 \cdot 3^{4}+2$ and $\ell=3960$. Hence $S(t, \ell)=3^{7}=2187$ by Lemma 2.8. So it follows from Theorem 1 that $v\left(f_{16}\right)^{2}$ is not stably extendible to $L^{4374}(3)$.

This example is an improvement of the latter part of Corollary 5.4 of [5] which states that $v\left(f_{16}\right)^{2}$ is not stably extendible to $L^{9076}(3)$.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 2

Proof of Theorem 2. (i) By the assumption $\zeta-t=h r \eta_{n}-2 h$ in $\tilde{K}_{R}\left(L^{n}(3)\right)$. If $t \geq 2 h, \zeta=h r \eta_{n} \oplus(t-2 h)=i^{*}\left(h r \eta_{m} \oplus(t-2 h)\right)$ in $K_{R}\left(L^{n}(3)\right)$
for every $m>n$, where $i: L^{n}(3) \rightarrow L^{m}(3)$ is the standard inclusion. So $\zeta$ is stably equivalent to $i^{*}\left(h r \eta_{m} \oplus(t-2 h)\right)$, and hence $\zeta$ is stably extendible to $L^{m}(3)$.
(ii) Suppose that $t<2 h$ and that $m$ is any integer with $m>n$. If $\zeta$ is stably extendible to $L^{m}(3)$, then $\zeta$ is stably extendible to the $2 m$-skeleton $L_{0}^{m}(3)$ of $L^{m}(3)$ and there is a $t$-dimensional $R$-vector bundle $\alpha$ over $L_{0}^{m}(3)$ such that $\zeta$ is stably equivalent to $i^{*} \alpha$, where $i: L^{n}(3) \rightarrow L_{0}^{m}(3)$ is the standard inclusion. By Theorem 2.1, there is an integer $p$ such that $\alpha-t=p r \sigma_{m}$. Hence

$$
\zeta-t=i^{*}(\alpha-t)=i^{*}\left(p r \sigma_{m}\right)=p r \sigma_{n}
$$

On the other hand, by the assumption,

$$
\zeta-t=h r \eta_{n}-2 h=h r \sigma_{n} .
$$

Therefore $(p-h) r \sigma_{n}=0$ in $\tilde{K}_{R}\left(L^{n}(3)\right)$. So, by Theorem 2.1, we have $p-h \equiv 0 \bmod 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}$. Hence there is an integer $a$ such that $p-h=a 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}$. Here, taking $p$ sufficiently large, we may assume that $a$ is non-negative. Therefore, in $\tilde{K}_{R}\left(L_{0}^{m}(3)\right)$,

$$
\alpha-t=\left(a 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}+h\right) r \sigma_{m}=\left(a 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}+h\right)\left(r \eta_{m}-2\right),
$$

and so, in $K_{R}\left(L_{0}^{m}(3)\right)$,

$$
\left(a 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}+h\right) r \eta_{m}=\left\{2\left(a 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}+h\right)-t\right\} \oplus \alpha
$$

where $2\left(a 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}+h\right)-t>0$ since $2 h>t$. If we take $p$ so large that $\operatorname{dim}\left\{\left(a 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}+h\right) r \eta_{m}\right\}=2\left(a 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}+h\right) \geq\lceil(2 m+1+2)-1\rceil=2 m+2$, we have the equality above of $R$-vector bundles by Theorem 2.4. This implies

$$
\operatorname{span}_{R}\left\{\left(a 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}+h\right) r \eta_{m} \oplus c\right\} \geq 2\left(a 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}+h\right)-t+c
$$

for any non-negative integer $c$. So the condition $C(m, a, c)$ holds.
Conversely, suppose that the condition $C(m, a, c)$ holds for some nonnegative integers $a$ and $c$. Then there is a $t$-dimensional $R$-vector bundle $\beta$ over $L^{m}(3)$ such that

$$
\left(a 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}+h\right) r \eta_{m} \oplus c=\left\{2\left(a 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}+h\right)-t+c\right\} \oplus \beta
$$

Let $i: L^{n}(3) \rightarrow L^{m}(3)$ be the standard inclusion. Then, applying $i^{*}$ to the both sides of the equality above, we have, in $K_{R}\left(L^{n}(3)\right)$,

$$
h r \eta_{n}=(2 h-t) \oplus i^{*} \beta,
$$

since $3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}\left(r \eta_{n}-2\right)=0$ in $\tilde{K}_{R}\left(L^{n}(3)\right)$ by Theorem 2.1. Thus $\zeta-t=$ $h r \eta_{n}-2 h=i^{*} \beta-t$ in $\tilde{K}_{R}\left(L^{n}(3)\right)$. So $\zeta$ is stably equivalent to $i^{*} \beta$, and hence $\zeta$ is stably extendible to $L^{m}(3)$.

Let $v\left(f_{n}\right)$ be the normal bundle associated to an immersion $f_{n}$ of $L^{n}(3)$ in $R^{4 n+3}$ and $v\left(f_{n}\right)^{2}$ its square. The following are applications of Theorem 2(ii).

Example 4.1. $v\left(f_{6}\right)$ is extendible to $L^{14}(3)$.
Proof. By (3.2) of [6], $v\left(f_{6}\right)=20 r \eta_{6}-26$. Put $n=6, t=14, h=20$, $m=14, a=0$ and $c=1$ in Theorem 2(ii). Then $t<2 h$ and it suffices to prove

$$
\operatorname{span}_{R}\left(20 r \eta_{14} \oplus 1\right) \geq 27(=2 h-t+c) .
$$

The total Pontrjagin class and the 7-th Pontrjagin class of $20 r \eta_{14} \oplus 1$ are as follows: $p\left(20 r \eta_{14} \oplus 1\right)=p\left(20 r \eta_{14}\right)=p\left(r \eta_{14}\right)^{20}=\left(1+z_{14}^{2}\right)^{20}$, and $p_{7}\left(20 r \eta_{14} \oplus 1\right)={ }_{20} \mathrm{C}_{7} z_{14}^{14}=0$, since ${ }_{20} \mathrm{C}_{7} \equiv 0 \bmod 3$ by Lemma 2.8, where $z_{m}$ is the generator of $H^{2}\left(L^{m}(3), Z\right)=Z / 3$. Putting $p=3, m=14, r=20, \xi=$ $20 r \eta_{14} \oplus 1$ and $s=7$ in Theorem 2.6, we have $p_{s}(\xi)=0, p_{s+1}(\xi)=0, \ldots, p_{r}(\xi)$ $=0$ and $p>m-2 s+2$. Hence

$$
\operatorname{span}_{R}\left(20 r \eta_{14} \oplus 1\right) \geq 28(=2 r-2 s+2)
$$

We therefore obtain the result by Theorem 2(ii) and Corollary 2.5.
Example 4.2. $v\left(f_{14}\right)^{2}$ is extendible to $L^{900}(3)$.
Proof. By Theorem 5.2 of [6], $v\left(f_{14}\right)^{2}=612 r \eta_{14}-324$. Put $n=14$, $t=30^{2}=900, h=612, m=900, a=0$ and $c=1$ in Theorem 2(ii). Then $t<2 h$ and it suffices to prove

$$
\operatorname{span}_{R}\left(612 r \eta_{900} \oplus 1\right) \geq 325(=2 h-t+c)
$$

The total Pontrjagin class and the 450 -th Pontrjagin class of $612 r \eta_{900} \oplus 1$ are as follows: $\quad p\left(612 r \eta_{900} \oplus 1\right)=p\left(612 r \eta_{900}\right)=\left(1+z_{900}^{2}\right)^{612}$, and $p_{450}\left(612 r \eta_{900} \oplus 1\right)$ $={ }_{612} \mathrm{C}_{450} z_{900}^{900}=0$, since ${ }_{612} \mathrm{C}_{450} \equiv 0 \bmod 3$ by Lemma 2.8. (Note $612=$ $2 \cdot 3^{5}+3^{4}+3^{3}+2 \cdot 3^{2}, \quad 450=3^{5}+2 \cdot 3^{4}+3^{3}+2 \cdot 3^{2}$.) Putting $p=3, \quad m=$ $900, r=612, \xi=612 r \eta_{900} \oplus 1$ and $s=450$ in Theorem 2.6, we have $p_{s}(\xi)=0$, $p_{s+1}(\xi)=0, \ldots, p_{r}(\xi)=0$ and $p>m-2 s+2$. Hence

$$
\operatorname{span}_{R}\left(612 r \eta_{900} \oplus 1\right) \geq 326(=2 r-2 s+2)
$$

We therefore obtain the result by Theorem 2(ii) and Corollary 2.5.
Example 4.3. $v\left(f_{16}\right)^{2}$ is extendible to $L^{1156}(3)$.
Proof. By Theorem 5.2 of [6], $v\left(f_{16}\right)^{2}=4538 r \eta_{16}-7920$. Put $n=16$, $t=34^{2}=1156, h=4538, m=1156, a=0$ and $c=1$ in Theorem 2(ii). Then $t<2 h$ and it suffices to prove

$$
\operatorname{span}_{R}\left(4538 r \eta_{1156} \oplus 1\right) \geq 7921(=2 h-t+c)
$$

The total Pontrjagin class and the 578-th Pontrjagin class of $4538 r \eta_{1156} \oplus 1$ are as follows: $p\left(4538 r \eta_{1156} \oplus 1\right)=p\left(4538 r \eta_{1156}\right)=\left(1+z_{1156}^{2}\right)^{4538}$, and $p_{578}\left(4538 r \eta_{1156} \oplus 1\right)={ }_{4538} \mathrm{C}_{578} z_{1156}^{1156}=0$, since ${ }_{4538} \mathrm{C}_{578} \equiv 0 \bmod 3$ by Lemma 2.8. (Note $\quad 4538=2 \cdot 3^{7}+2 \cdot 3^{4}+2, \quad 578=2 \cdot 3^{5}+3^{4}+3^{2}+2$.) Putting $p=3, m=1156, r=4538, \xi=4538 r \eta_{1156} \oplus 1$ and $s=578$ in Theorem 2.6, we have $p_{s}(\xi)=0, p_{s+1}(\xi)=0, \ldots, p_{r}(\xi)=0$ and $p>m-2 s+2$. Hence

$$
\operatorname{span}_{R}\left(4538 r \eta_{1156} \oplus 1\right) \geq 7922(=2 r-2 s+2)
$$

We therefore obtain the result by Theorem 2(ii) and Corollary 2.5.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 3

Proof of Theorem 3. (i) By the assumption $\zeta-t=h \eta_{n} \oplus k \eta_{n}^{2}-(h+k)$ in $\quad \tilde{K}_{C}\left(L^{n}(3)\right)$. If $\quad t \geq h+k, \quad \zeta=h \eta_{n} \oplus k \eta_{n}^{2}+(t-h-k)=i^{*}\left(h \eta_{m} \oplus k \eta_{m}^{2}+\right.$ $(t-h-k))$ in $K_{C}\left(L^{n}(3)\right)$ for every $m>n$, where $i: L^{n}(3) \rightarrow L^{m}(3)$ is the standard inclusion. So $\zeta$ is stably equivalent to $i^{*}\left(h \eta_{m} \oplus k \eta_{m}^{2}+(t-h-k)\right)$, and hence $\zeta$ is stably extendible to $L^{m}(3)$.
(ii) Suppose that $t<h+k$ and that $m$ is any integer with $m>n$. If $\zeta$ is stably extendible to $L^{m}(3)$, then there is a $t$-dimensional $C$-vector bundle $\alpha$ over $L^{m}(3)$ such that $\zeta$ is stably equivalent to $i^{*} \alpha$, where $i: L^{n}(3) \rightarrow L^{m}(3)$ is the standard inclusion. By Theorem 2.2, there are integers $p$ and $q$ such that $\alpha-t=p\left(\eta_{m}-1\right)+q\left(\eta_{m}^{2}-1\right)$ in $\tilde{K}_{C}\left(L^{m}(3)\right)$. Hence

$$
\zeta-t=i^{*}(\alpha-t)=p\left(\eta_{n}-1\right)+q\left(\eta_{n}^{2}-1\right) .
$$

On the other hand, by the assumption,

$$
\zeta-t=h \eta_{n} \oplus k \eta_{n}^{2}-(h+k)=h\left(\eta_{n}-1\right)+k\left(\eta_{n}^{2}-1\right) .
$$

Therefore, in $\tilde{K}_{C}\left(L^{n}(3)\right),(p-h)\left(\eta_{n}-1\right)+(q-k)\left(\eta_{n}^{2}-1\right)=0$, namely

$$
\{(p-h)+2(q-k)\} \sigma_{n}+(q-k) \sigma_{n}^{2}=0 .
$$

So, by Theorem 2.2, we have $q-k \equiv 0 \bmod 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}$ and $p-h \equiv 0 \bmod 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}$. Hence there are integers $a$ and $b$ such that $p=a 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}+h$ and $q=b 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}+k$. Here, taking $p$ and $q$ sufficiently large, we may assume that $a$ and $b$ are nonnegative. Therefore, in $\tilde{K}_{C}\left(L^{m}(3)\right)$,

$$
\alpha-t=\left(a 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}+h\right)\left(\eta_{m}-1\right)+\left(b 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}+k\right)\left(\eta_{m}^{2}-1\right),
$$

and so, in $K_{C}\left(L^{m}(3)\right)$,

$$
\left(a 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}+h\right) \eta_{m} \oplus\left(b 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}+k\right) \eta_{m}^{2}=\left(a 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}+h+b 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}+k-t\right) \oplus \alpha,
$$

where $a 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}+h+b 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}+k-t>0$ since $h+k>t$. If we take $p$ and $q$ so large that $\operatorname{dim}\left\{\left(a 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}+h\right) \eta_{m} \oplus\left(b 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}+k\right) \eta_{m}^{2}\right\}=a 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}+h+b 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}+k \geq$
$\lceil(2 m+1+2) / 2-1\rceil=m+1$, we have the equality above of $C$-vector bundles by Theorem 2.4. This implies

$$
\operatorname{span}_{C}\left\{\left(a 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}+h\right) \eta_{m} \oplus\left(b 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}+k\right) \eta_{m}^{2} \oplus c\right\} \geq a 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}+h+b 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}+k-t+c
$$

for any non-negative integer $c$. So the condition $C(m, a, b, c)$ holds.
(iia) Let $n$ be even and let $m$ be any integer with $m>n$. To prove the "if" part, suppose that the condition $C(m, a, b, c)$ holds for some non-negative integers $a, b$ and $c$. Then there is a $t$-dimensional $C$-vector bundle $\beta$ over $L^{m}(3)$ such that

$$
\left(a 3^{n / 2}+h\right) \eta_{m} \oplus\left(b 3^{n / 2}+k\right) \eta_{m}^{2} \oplus c=\left(a 3^{n / 2}+h+b 3^{n / 2}+k-t+c\right) \oplus \beta
$$

Let $i: L^{n}(3) \rightarrow L^{m}(3)$ be the standard inclusion. Then, applying $i^{*}$ to the both sides of the equality above, we have, in $K_{C}\left(L^{n}(3)\right)$,

$$
\left(a 3^{n / 2}+h\right) \eta_{n} \oplus\left(b 3^{n / 2}+k\right) \eta_{n}^{2}=\left(a 3^{n / 2}+h+b 3^{n / 2}+k-t\right) \oplus i^{*} \beta
$$

In case $n$ is even, the equalities $3^{n / 2} \eta_{n}=3^{n / 2}$ and $3^{n / 2} \eta_{n}^{2}=3^{n / 2}$ hold in $K_{C}\left(L^{n}(3)\right)$ by Theorem 2.2. Hence we have, in $K_{C}\left(L^{n}(3)\right)$,

$$
h \eta_{n} \oplus k \eta_{n}^{2}=(h+k-t) \oplus i^{*} \beta .
$$

Thus $\zeta-t=h \eta_{n} \oplus k \eta_{n}^{2}-(h+k)=i^{*} \beta-t$ in $\tilde{K}_{C}\left(L^{n}(3)\right)$. So $\zeta$ is stably equivalent to $i^{*} \beta$, and hence $\zeta$ is stably extendible to $L^{m}(3)$.
(iib) Let $n$ be odd and let $m$ be any integer with $m>n$. To prove the "if" part, suppose that the condition $C(m, a, b, c)$ holds for some non-negative integers $a, b$ and $c$ such that $a \equiv b \equiv 0 \bmod 3$. Then $a 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} \eta_{n}=a 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}$ and $b 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} \eta_{n}^{2}=b 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}$ by Theorem 2.2. Hence we have the result in the way similar to the proof of (iia).

Let $c v\left(f_{n}\right)$ be the complexfication of the normal bundle $v\left(f_{n}\right)$ associated to an immersion $f_{n}$ of $L^{n}(3)$ in $R^{4 n+3}$ and $c v\left(f_{n}\right)^{2}$ its square. The following are applications of Theorem 3(iia).

Example 5.1. $c v\left(f_{6}\right)$ is extendible to $L^{14}(3)$.
Proof. Complexifying the both sides of the equality in the proof of Example 3.1 and using the equality $c r \eta_{6}=\eta_{6}+\eta_{6}^{2}$, we have $c v\left(f_{6}\right)=20 \eta_{6}+$ $20 \eta_{6}^{2}$-26. Put $n=6, \zeta=c v\left(f_{6}\right), t=14, h=k=20, m=14, a=b=c=0$ in Theorem 3(iia). Then $t<h+k$ and it suffices to prove

$$
\operatorname{span}_{C}\left(20 \eta_{14} \oplus 20 \eta_{14}^{2}\right) \geq 26(=h+k-t)
$$

In fact, $\operatorname{dim}\left(20 \eta_{14} \oplus 20 \eta_{14}^{2}\right)=40$ and $\left\lceil\left(\operatorname{dim} L^{14}(3)+1\right) / 2-1\right\rceil=14$. Hence, by Theorem 2.3, the inequality above holds. Thus we have the result.

Example 5.2. $c v\left(f_{14}\right)^{2}$ is extendible to $L^{900}(3)$.
Proof. Complexifying the both sides of the equality in the proof of Example 3.2 and using the equality $c r \eta_{14}=\eta_{14}+\eta_{14}^{2}$, we have $c v\left(f_{14}\right)^{2}=$ $612 \eta_{14}+612 \eta_{14}^{2}-324$. Put $n=14, \zeta=c v\left(f_{14}\right)^{2}, t=900, h=k=612, m=$ 900, $a=b=c=0$ in Theorem 3(iia). Then $t<h+k$ and it suffices to prove

$$
\operatorname{span}_{C}\left(612 \eta_{900} \oplus 612 \eta_{900}^{2}\right) \geq 324(=h+k-t)
$$

In fact, $\operatorname{dim}\left(612 \eta_{900} \oplus 612 \eta_{900}^{2}\right)=1224$ and $\left\lceil\left(\operatorname{dim} L^{900}(3)+1\right) / 2-1\right\rceil=900$. Hence, by Theorem 2.3, the inequality above holds. Thus we have the result.

Example 5.3. $c v\left(f_{16}\right)^{2}$ is extendible to $L^{1156}(3)$.
Proof. Complexifying the both sides of the equality in the proof of Example 3.3 and using the equality $c r \eta_{16}=\eta_{16}+\eta_{16}^{2}$, we have $c v\left(f_{16}\right)^{2}=$ $4538 \eta_{16}+4538 \eta_{16}^{2}-7920$. Put $n=16, \zeta=c v\left(f_{16}\right)^{2}, t=1156, h=k=4538$, $m=1156, a=b=c=0$ in Theorem 3(iia). Then $t<h+k$ and it suffices to prove

$$
\operatorname{span}_{C}\left(4538 \eta_{1156} \oplus 4538 \eta_{1156}^{2}\right) \geq 7920(=h+k-t) .
$$

In fact, $\operatorname{dim}\left(4538 \eta_{1156} \oplus 4538 \eta_{1156}^{2}\right)=9076$ and $\left\lceil\left(\operatorname{dim} L^{1156}(3)+1\right) / 2-1\right\rceil=$ 1156. Hence, by Theorem 2.3, the inequality above holds. Thus we have the result.

## 6. Proof of Theorem 4

On the complexification of the normal bundle associated to an immersion of $L^{n}(3)$ in $R^{4 n+3}$ for $0 \leq n \leq 5$, we have

Theorem 6.1. Let $\operatorname{cv}\left(f_{n}\right)$ be the complexification of the normal bundle $v\left(f_{n}\right)$ associated to an immersion $f_{n}: L^{n}(3) \rightarrow R^{4 n+3}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c v\left(f_{0}\right)=2, \quad c v\left(f_{1}\right)=4, \quad c v\left(f_{2}\right)=6, \\
& c v\left(f_{3}\right)=2 \eta_{3} \oplus 2 \eta_{3}^{2} \oplus 4, \quad c v\left(f_{4}\right)=4 \eta_{4} \oplus 4 \eta_{4}^{2} \oplus 2, \\
& c v\left(f_{5}\right)=3 \eta_{5} \oplus 3 \eta_{5}^{2} \oplus 6,
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Complexifying the both sides of the equalities in Theorem 3.1 of [6] and using the equality $c r \eta_{n}=\eta_{n}+\eta_{n}^{2}$, we obtain the equalities above in $K_{C}\left(L^{n}(3)\right)$. Since $\left\lceil\left(\operatorname{dim} L^{n}(3)+2\right) / 2-1\right\rceil=n+1 \leq 2 n+2=\operatorname{dim} c v\left(f_{n}\right)$, these equalities hold as $C$-vector bundles by Theorem 2.4.

Lemma 6.2. Let $\zeta$ be a C-vector bundle over $L^{n}(q)$, where $q$ is an integer $>1$, and let $m \geq n$. Then $\zeta$ is extendible (respectively stably extendible)
to $L^{m}(q)$ if and only if $\zeta \otimes \eta_{n}$ is extendible (respectively stably extendible) to $L^{m}(q)$.

Proof. If $\zeta$ is extendible (respectively stably extendible) to $L^{m}(q)$, there is a $C$-vector bundle $\xi$ over $L^{m}(q)$ such that the equality $i^{*} \xi=\zeta$ holds as $C$-vector bundles over $L^{n}(q)$ (respectively in $K_{C}\left(L^{n}(q)\right)$ ), where $i: L^{n}(q) \rightarrow$ $L^{m}(q)$ is the standard inclusion. Hence $i^{*}\left(\xi \otimes \eta_{m}\right)=\zeta \otimes \eta_{n}$ holds as $C$-vector bundles over $L^{n}(q)$ (respectively in $K_{C}\left(L^{n}(q)\right)$ ). Therefore $\zeta \otimes \eta_{n}$ is extendible (respectively stably extendible) to $L^{m}(q)$.

Conversely, if $\zeta \otimes \eta_{n}$ is extendible (respectively stably extendible) to $L^{m}(q)$ for $m \geq n$, so is $\zeta \otimes\left(\eta_{n}^{r}\right)$ for any $r \geq 1$ by the argument above inductively. Since $\eta_{n}^{q}=1$ (cf. [7, Proposition 4.1, p. 198]), $\zeta\left(=\zeta \otimes\left(\eta_{n}^{q}\right)\right)$ is extendible (respectively stably extendible) to $L^{m}(q)$.

Theorem 6.3. If $n \geq 6, c v\left(f_{n}\right)$ is not stably extendible to $L^{m}(3)$ for some $m>n$. More precisely, the following hold.
(i) $c v\left(f_{6}\right)$ is not stably extendible to $L^{21}(3)$.
(ii) $c v\left(f_{7}\right)$ is not stably extendible to $L^{24}(3)$.
(iii) If $n$ is even and $n \geq 8, c v\left(f_{n}\right)$ is not stably extendible to $L^{m}(3)$ for any $m \geq 3^{n / 2}-q(n+1)$, where $q$ is an integer satisfying $1 \leq q<3^{n / 2} /\{4(n+1)\}$.
(iv) If $n$ is odd and $n \geq 9, c v\left(f_{n}\right)$ is not stably extendible to $L^{m}(3)$ for any $m \geq 3^{(n-1) / 2}-q(n+1)$, where $q$ is an integer satisfying $1 \leq q<3^{(n-1) / 2}$ / $\{4(n+1)\}$.

Proof. (i) We have the equality $v\left(f_{6}\right)=28-7 r \eta_{6}$ by the equality in (3.2) for $n=6$ of [6]. Complexifying the both sides and using the equality $\mathrm{cr}_{6}=$ $\eta_{6}+\eta_{6}^{2}$, we have $c v\left(f_{6}\right)=28-7 \eta_{6}-7 \eta_{6}^{2}$. Then, multiplying them by $\eta_{6}$, we obtain

$$
c v\left(f_{6}\right) \otimes \eta_{6}=28 \eta_{6}-7 \eta_{6}^{2}-7=\eta_{6} \oplus 20 \eta_{6}^{2}-7,
$$

since $\eta_{6}^{3}=1,27\left(\eta_{6}-1\right)=0$ and $27\left(\eta_{6}^{2}-1\right)=0$ in $K_{C}\left(L^{6}(3)\right)$ by Theorem 2.2. Put $p=3, n=6, \zeta=c v\left(f_{6}\right) \otimes \eta_{6}$ and $t=14$ in Theorem 2.7. Then $\ell=7$ and $t+\ell=21<27=3^{3}$. Hence $c v\left(f_{6}\right) \otimes \eta_{6}$ is not stably extendible to $L^{21}(3)$, and so is $c v\left(f_{6}\right)$ by Lemma 6.2.
(ii) We have the equality $v\left(f_{7}\right)=32-8 r \eta_{7}$ by the equality in (3.2) for $n=7$ of [6]. Complexifying the both sides and using the equality $\mathrm{cr} \eta_{7}=$ $\eta_{7}+\eta_{7}^{2}$, we have $c v\left(f_{7}\right)=32-8 \eta_{7}-8 \eta_{7}^{2}$. Then $i^{*}\left(c v\left(f_{7}\right)\right)=32-8 \eta_{6}-8 \eta_{6}^{2}$, where $i: L^{6}(3) \rightarrow L^{7}(3)$ is the standard inclusion. Multiplying the both sides of the equality above $\eta_{6}$, we obtain

$$
i^{*}\left(c v\left(f_{7}\right)\right) \otimes \eta_{6}=32 \eta_{6}-8 \eta_{6}^{2}-8=5 \eta_{6} \oplus 19 \eta_{6}^{2}-8
$$

since $\eta_{6}^{3}=1,27\left(\eta_{6}-1\right)=0$ and $27\left(\eta_{6}^{2}-1\right)=0$ in $K_{C}\left(L^{6}(3)\right)$ by Theorem 2.2. Put $p=3, n=6, \zeta=i^{*}\left(c v\left(f_{7}\right)\right) \otimes \eta_{6}$ and $t=16$ in Theorem 2.7. Then $\ell=8$
and $t+\ell=24<27=3^{3}$. Hence $i^{*}\left(c v\left(f_{7}\right)\right) \otimes \eta_{6}$ is not stably extendible to $L^{24}(3)$, and so is $c v\left(f_{7}\right)$ by Lemma 6.2.
(iii) Clearly there is an integer $q$ satisfying the inequalities $1 \leq q<$ $3^{n / 2} /\{4(n+1)\}$ if $n \geq 8$.

We have the equality $v\left(f_{n}\right)=4(n+1)-(n+1) r \eta_{n}$ in (3.2) of [6]. Complexifying the both sides, using the equality $\mathrm{cr} \eta_{n}=\eta_{n}+\eta_{n}^{2}$ and multiplying them by any integer $q$, we obtain the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
q c v\left(f_{n}\right)=-q(n+1) \eta_{n}-q(n+1) \eta_{n}^{2}+4 q(n+1) . \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, adding the both sides of the equality $(*)$ by $q(n+1) \eta_{n}^{2}$ and using the equality $3^{n / 2}\left(\eta_{n}-1\right)=0$ in $K_{C}\left(L^{n}(3)\right)$ obtained from Theorem 2.2, we have

$$
q c v\left(f_{n}\right) \oplus q(n+1) \eta_{n}^{2}=\left\{3^{n / 2}-q(n+1)\right\} \eta_{n}+4 q(n+1)-3^{n / 2}
$$

Suppose that $q$ satisfies the inequalities $1 \leq q<3^{n / 2} /\{4(n+1)\}$ and put $p=3$, $\zeta=q c v\left(f_{n}\right) \oplus q(n+1) \eta_{n}^{2}$ and $t=3 q(n+1)$ in Theorem 2.7. Then $\ell=3^{n / 2}-$ $4 q(n+1)>0$ and $t+\ell=3^{n / 2}-q(n+1)<3^{n / 2}$. Hence $q c v\left(f_{n}\right) \oplus q(n+1) \eta_{n}^{2}$ is not stably extendible to $L^{m}(3)$ for any $m \geq 3^{n / 2}-q(n+1)$, and so is $c v\left(f_{n}\right)$.
(iv) Clearly there is an integer $q$ satisfying the inequalities $1 \leq q<$ $3^{(n-1) / 2} /\{4(n+1)\}$ if $n \geq 9$.

Applying $i^{*}$ to the equality $(*)$, where $i: L^{n-1}(3) \rightarrow L^{n}(3)$ is the standard inclusion, adding the resulting equality by $q(n+1) \eta_{n-1}^{2}$ and using the equality $3^{(n-1) / 2}\left(\eta_{n-1}-1\right)=0$ in $K_{C}\left(L^{n-1}(3)\right)$ obtained from Theorem 2.2, we have

$$
i^{*} q c v\left(f_{n}\right) \oplus q(n+1) \eta_{n-1}^{2}=\left\{3^{(n-1) / 2}-q(n+1)\right\} \eta_{n-1}+4 q(n+1)-3^{(n-1) / 2} .
$$

Suppose that $q$ satisfies the inequalities $1 \leq q<3^{(n-1) / 2} /\{4(n+1)\}$ and put $p=3, \zeta=i^{*} q c v\left(f_{n}\right) \oplus q(n+1) \eta_{n-1}^{2}$ and $t=3 q(n+1)$ in Theorem 2.7. Then $\ell=3^{(n-1) / 2}-4 q(n+1)>0$ and $t+\ell=3^{(n-1) / 2}-q(n+1)<3^{(n-1) / 2}$. Hence $i^{*} q c v\left(f_{n}\right) \oplus q(n+1) \eta_{n-1}^{2}$ is not stably extendible to $L^{m}(3)$ for any $m \geq 3^{(n-1) / 2}-$ $q(n+1)$, and so is $c v\left(f_{n}\right)$.

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 4.
Proof of Theorem 4. Since $\eta_{n}, \eta_{n}^{2}$ and trivial $C$-vector bundles over $L^{n}(3)$ are extendible to $L^{m}(3)$ for every $m \geq n$, the "if" part follows from Theorem 6.1.

The "only if" part follows from Theorem 6.3.

## 7. Proof of Theorem $\mathbf{5}$

On the complexification of the square of the normal bundle associated to an immersion of $L^{n}(3)$ in $R^{4 n+3}$ for $0 \leq n \leq 13$ and $n=15$, we have

Theorem 7.1. Let $c v\left(f_{n}\right)^{2}$ be the complexification of the square of the normal bundle $v\left(f_{n}\right)$ associated to an immersion $f_{n}: L^{n}(3) \rightarrow R^{4 n+3}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c v\left(f_{0}\right)^{2}=4, \quad c v\left(f_{1}\right)^{2}=16, \quad c v\left(f_{2}\right)^{2}=36, \\
& c v\left(f_{3}\right)^{2}=2 \eta_{3} \oplus 2 \eta_{3}^{2} \oplus 60, \quad c v\left(f_{4}\right)^{2}=5 \eta_{4} \oplus 5 \eta_{4}^{2} \oplus 90, \\
& c v\left(f_{5}\right)^{2}=144, \quad c v\left(f_{6}\right)^{2}=8 \eta_{6} \oplus 8 \eta_{6}^{2} \oplus 180, \\
& c v\left(f_{7}\right)^{2}=11 \eta_{7} \oplus 11 \eta_{7}^{2} \oplus 234, \quad c v\left(f_{8}\right)^{2}=324, \\
& c v\left(f_{9}\right)^{2}=29 \eta_{9} \oplus 29 \eta_{9}^{2} \oplus 342, \quad c v\left(f_{10}\right)^{2}=125 \eta_{10} \oplus 125 \eta_{10}^{2} \oplus 234, \\
& c v\left(f_{11}\right)^{2}=207 \eta_{11} \oplus 207 \eta_{11}^{2} \oplus 162, \quad c v\left(f_{12}\right)^{2}=275 \eta_{12} \oplus 275 \eta_{12}^{2} \oplus 126, \\
& c v\left(f_{13}\right)^{2}=86 \eta_{13} \oplus 86 \eta_{13}^{2} \oplus 612, \quad c v\left(f_{15}\right)^{2}=395 \eta_{15} \oplus 395 \eta_{15}^{2} \oplus 234 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Complexifying the both sides of the equalities in Theorem 5.1 of [5], we obtain the equalities above in the way similar to the proof of Theorem 6.1.

Theorem 7.2. If $n=14$ or $n \geq 16, c v\left(f_{n}\right)^{2}$ is not stably extendible to $L^{m}(3)$ for some $m>n$. More precisely, the following hold.
(i) $c v\left(f_{14}\right)^{2}$ is not stably extendible to $L^{1224}(3)$.
(ii) If $n$ is even and $n \geq 16, c v\left(f_{n}\right)^{2}$ is not stably extendible to $L^{m}(3)$ for any $m \geq 3^{n / 2}-7 s(n+1)^{2}$, where $s$ is an integer satisfying $1 \leq s<3^{n / 2}$ / $\left\{18(n+1)^{2}\right\}$.
(iii) If $n$ is odd and $n \geq 17, c v\left(f_{n}\right)^{2}$ is not stably extendible to $L^{m}(3)$ for any $m \geq 3^{(n-1) / 2}-7 s(n+1)^{2}$, where $s$ is an integer satisfying $1 \leq s<3^{(n-1) / 2} /$ $\left\{18(n+1)^{2}\right\}$.

Proof. We have the equality $v\left(f_{n}\right)^{2}=-7(n+1)^{2} r \eta_{n}+18(n+1)^{2}$ in the proof of Theorem 5.1 of [5]. Complexifying the both sides and using the equality $c r \eta_{n}=\eta_{n}+\eta_{n}^{2}$, we obtain the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
c v\left(f_{n}\right)^{2}=-7(n+1)^{2} \eta_{n}-7(n+1)^{2} \eta_{n}^{2}+18(n+1)^{2} . \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, complexifying the equality $3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}\left(r \eta_{n}-2\right)=0$ in $K_{R}\left(L^{n}(3)\right)$ obtained from Theorem 2.1, we have the equality $3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}\left(\eta_{n}+\eta_{n}^{2}-2\right)$ $=0$ in $K_{C}\left(L^{n}(3)\right)$. Adding the right-hand side of the equality ( $* *$ ) by this equality, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
c v\left(f_{n}\right)^{2}= & \left\{3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}-7(n+1)^{2}\right\} \eta_{n}+\left\{3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}-7(n+1)^{2}\right\} \eta_{n}^{2} \\
& +18(n+1)^{2}-2 \cdot 3^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(i) Consider the equality above for $n=14$

$$
\begin{aligned}
c v\left(f_{14}\right)^{2} & =\left(3^{7}-7 \cdot 15^{2}\right) \eta_{14}+\left(3^{7}-7 \cdot 15^{2}\right) \eta_{14}^{2}+18 \cdot 15^{2}-2 \cdot 3^{7} \\
& =612 \eta_{14}+612 \eta_{14}^{2}-324 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Put $p=3, n=14, \zeta=c v\left(f_{14}\right)^{2}$ and $t=30^{2}=900$ in Theorem 2.7. Then $\ell=324$ and $t+\ell=1224<2187=3^{7}$. Hence $c v\left(f_{14}\right)^{2}$ is not stably extendible to $L^{1224}(3)$.
(ii) Clearly there is an integer $s$ satisfying the inequalities $1 \leq s<3^{n / 2} /$ $\left\{18(n+1)^{2}\right\}$ if $n \geq 16$.

Multiplying the equality ( $* *$ ) by any integer $s$, we obtain the equality
$(* * *) \quad \operatorname{scv}\left(f_{n}\right)^{2}=-7 s(n+1)^{2} \eta_{n}^{2}-7 s(n+1)^{2} \eta_{n}+18 s(n+1)^{2}$.
Then, adding the equality $(* * *)$ by $7 s(n+1)^{2} \eta_{n}^{2}$ and using the equality $3^{n / 2}\left(\eta_{n}-1\right)=0$ in $K_{C}\left(L^{n}(3)\right)$ obtained from Theorem 2.2, we have

$$
s c v\left(f_{n}\right)^{2} \oplus 7 s(n+1)^{2} \eta_{n}^{2}=\left\{3^{n / 2}-7 s(n+1)^{2}\right\} \eta_{n}+18 s(n+1)^{2}-3^{n / 2}
$$

Suppose that $s$ satisfies the inequalities $1 \leq s<3^{n / 2} /\left\{18(n+1)^{2}\right\}$ and put $p=3$, $\zeta=\operatorname{scv}\left(f_{n}\right)^{2} \oplus 7 s(n+1)^{2} \eta_{n}^{2}$ and $t=11 s(n+1)^{2}$ in Theorem 2.7. Then $\ell=$ $3^{n / 2}-18 s(n+1)^{2}>0$ and $t+\ell=3^{n / 2}-7 s(n+1)^{2}<3^{n / 2}$. Hence $s c v\left(f_{n}\right)^{2} \oplus$ $7 s(n+1)^{2} \eta_{n}^{2}$ is not stably extendible to $L^{m}(3)$ for any $m \geq 3^{n / 2}-7 s(n+1)^{2}$, and so is $c v\left(f_{n}\right)^{2}$.
(iii) Clearly there is an integer $s$ satisfying the inequalities $1 \leq s<$ $3^{(n-1) / 2} /\left\{18(n+1)^{2}\right\}$ if $n \geq 17$.

Applying $i^{*}$ to the equality $(* * *)$, where $i: L^{n-1}(3) \rightarrow L^{n}(3)$ is the standard inclusion, adding the resulting equality by $7 s(n+1)^{2} \eta_{n-1}^{2}$ and using the equality $3^{(n-1) / 2}\left(\eta_{n-1}-1\right)=0$ in $K_{C}\left(L^{n-1}(3)\right)$ obtained from Theorem 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
i^{*} \operatorname{scv}\left(f_{n}\right)^{2} \oplus 7 s(n+1)^{2} \eta_{n-1}^{2}= & \left\{3^{(n-1) / 2}-7 s(n+1)^{2}\right\} \eta_{n-1} \\
& +18 s(n+1)^{2}-3^{(n-1) / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose that $s$ satisfies the inequalities $1 \leq s<3^{(n-1) / 2} /\left\{18(n+1)^{2}\right\}$ and put $p=3, \quad \zeta=i^{*} \operatorname{scv}\left(f_{n}\right)^{2} \oplus 7 s(n+1)^{2} \eta_{n-1}^{2}$ and $t=11 s(n+1)^{2}$ in Theorem 2.7. Then $\ell=3^{(n-1) / 2}-18 s(n+1)^{2}>0$ and $t+\ell=3^{(n-1) / 2}-7 s(n+1)^{2}<3^{(n-1) / 2}$. Hence $i^{*} \operatorname{scv}\left(f_{n}\right)^{2} \oplus 7 s(n+1)^{2} \eta_{n-1}^{2}$ is not stably extendible to $L^{m}(3)$ for every $m \geq 3^{(n-1) / 2}-7 s(n+1)^{2}$, and so is $c v\left(f_{n}\right)^{2}$.

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 5.
Proof of Theorem 5. The "if" part follows from Theorem 7.1 in the way similar to that of Theorem 4. The "only if" part follows from Theorem 7.2.
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