ON McCONNELL'S INEQUALITY FOR FUNCTIONALS OF SUBHARMONIC FUNCTIONS

Акініто Иснічама

Recently, McConnell obtained an L^p inequality relating the non-tangential maximal function of a nonnegative subharmonic function u and an integral expression involving the Laplacian of u. His result is imposing a restriction on the range of p. In this paper, we show that his inequality holds for all $p \in (0, +\infty)$.

1. Introduction. Let u(x,t) be a nonnegative subharmonic function defined on $R_+^{n+1} = \{(x,t): x \in R^n, t > 0\}$. (For the definition of subharmonic functions, see Hayman and Kennedy [5] p. 40.) Let Δu be the Laplacian of u in the sense of distributions. Then, this is a positive measure on R_+^{n+1} . Let

$$N(x) = \sup\{u(y,t): (y,t) \in \Gamma_1(x)\},\$$

$$S(x) = \iint_{(y,t)\in\Gamma_1(x)} t^{1-n} \Delta u(y,t),$$

where

$$\Gamma_{\alpha}(x) = \{(y,t) \in R_{+}^{n+1} : |x-y| < \alpha t \},\$$

$$|x| = |(x_{1},...,x_{n})| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}\right)^{1/2}.$$

If v(x, t) is a real harmonic function defined on R_{+}^{n+1} and if

$$(1) u(x,t) = v(x,t)^2,$$

then u is nonnegative and subharmonic. In this case, $N^{1/2}$ and $S^{1/2}$ turn out to be the usual nontangential maximal function and the usual area integral of v, respectively. So, the results of Burkholder and Gundy [1] and C. Fefferman and Stein [3] imply that in case of (1) we have

(2)
$$||S||_{L^p} \le c(p,n)||N||_{L^p}$$

for all $p \in (0, +\infty)$. (Under the additional assumption $\lim_{t \to +\infty} v(x, t) = 0$, they showed also the converse inequality of (2) with other constants c(p, n).)

Recently, McConnell [7] extended the inequality (2) to general non-negative subharmonic functions.

THEOREM A. Let u be a nonnegative subharmonic function defined on R_+^{n+1} . There are constants $c(p,n) < +\infty$, depending only on p and n, and a positive constant $p_0(n)$, depending only on n, such that the inequalities

(3)
$$||S||_{L^p} \le c(p,n)||N||_{L^p}$$

hold for all p satisfying

(4)
$$0 or $1 \le p < +\infty$; moreover $p_0(1) = 1$.$$

This theorem in the case $n \ge 2$ is imposing an unnatural restriction (4) on the range of p. In this paper, we remove (4).

THEOREM 1. Let u be as in Theorem A. Let $0 . Then, there exist constants <math>c(p, n) < +\infty$, depending only on p and n, such that (3) holds.

The argument in this paper is an extension of that in our paper [8].

2. Preliminaries. First we prepare notation. The Laplacian Δ and the gradient ∇ in this paper are taken in the sense of distributions. For a measurable subset E of the Euclidean space, let χ_E and |E| be the characteristic function of E and the Lebesgue measure of E, respectively. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, let $\delta(x, E)$ be the distance of the point x from E. Let $\delta(x, \emptyset) = +\infty$.

For
$$x \in R^n$$
, $R > 1$, $\alpha > 0$, and for $u(x, t)$ in Theorem A let
$$\varphi(x) = \max(0, 1 - |x|),$$

$$T_R = \left\{ (x, t) \in R_+^{n+1} : |x| < R, 1/R < t < R \right\},$$

$$N(x; \alpha) = \sup \left\{ u(y, t) : (y, t) \in \Gamma_{\alpha}(x) \right\},$$

$$S(x; \alpha) = \iint_{(y, t) \in \Gamma_{\alpha}(x)} t^{1-n} \Delta u(y, t),$$

$$s(x; \alpha, R) = \iint_{(y, t) \in R_+^{n+1}} \varphi\left(\frac{x - y}{\alpha t}\right) t^{1-n} \Delta u(y, t) \chi_{T_R}(y, t).$$

Note that if $\alpha' > \alpha > 0$, then

(5)
$$S(x; \alpha) \le c(\alpha, \alpha', n) \lim_{R \to +\infty} s(x; \alpha', R).$$

Cubes considered in this paper have sides parallel to the coordinate axes. For a cube I, let l(I) and αI be the side length of I and a cube concentric with I satisfying $l(\alpha I) = \alpha l(I)$, respectively. For a cube I in \mathbb{R}^n , let

$$Q(I) = \{(x,t) \in R_+^{n+1} : x \in I, t \in (0,l(I))\}.$$

For a nonnegative measure μ on R_{+}^{n+1} let

$$\|\mu\|_c = \sup_I \mu(Q(I))/|I|,$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes I in \mathbb{R}^n . If $\|\mu\|_c < +\infty$, then μ is called a Carleson measure.

For the proof of Theorem 1 we need the following.

LEMMA 1. Let u be as in Theorem A. Let $\lambda > 0$, $\alpha > \beta > 0$,

(6)
$$\Omega = \{ x \in R^n : N(x; \alpha) \le \lambda \},$$

(7)
$$W = \{(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ : \delta(x,\Omega) < \beta t\}.$$

Then

(8)
$$||t\Delta u\chi_W||_c \leq C\lambda,$$

where C is a constant depending only on α , β and n.

LEMMA 2. Let u be as in Theorem A. Let $\lambda > 0$, R > 1, $\gamma > 1$ and $\alpha > \beta > 0$. Then

(9)
$$|\{x \in R^n : s(x; \beta, R) > \gamma \lambda, N(x; \alpha) \le \lambda\}|$$

$$< Ce^{-c\gamma}|\{x \in R^n : s(x; \beta, R) > \lambda\}|$$

where C and c are positive constants depending only on α, β and n.

3. Proof of Lemma 1.

LEMMA 3. Let $m \ge 2$ be an integer. Let r > 0,

$$B = \{ X \in R^m : |X| < r \},$$

$$0.5B = \{ X \in R^m : |X| < 0.5r \}.$$

Let U(X) be a subharmonic function defined on B such that

$$0 \le U(X) \le 1$$
 for all $X \in B$.

Then

(i) ΔU in the sense of distributions satisfies

$$\int_{X\in\{0.5R\}} \Delta U(X) \le Cr^{m-2},$$

(ii) ∇U in the sense of distributions is locally integrable on B and satisfies

$$\int_{X\in 0.5B} |\nabla U(X)| \le Cr^{m-1},$$

where C is a constant depending only on m.

Proof. We may assume r = 1. Let G(X, Y) be the Green function of $B = \{ X \in \mathbb{R}^m : |X| < 1 \}$. Namely, for $(X, Y) \in (B \times B) \setminus \{(X, X) : X \in B\}$, let

$$G(X,Y) = \begin{cases} |X - Y|^{2-m} - ||Y|X - Y/|Y||^{2-m}, & Y \neq 0, \\ |X|^{2-m} - 1, & Y = 0, \end{cases}$$

if $m \ge 3$ and let

$$G(X,Y) = \begin{cases} \log \frac{||Y|X - Y/|Y||}{|X - Y|}, & Y \neq 0, \\ \log \frac{1}{|X|}, & Y = 0, \end{cases}$$

if m = 2. For $Y \in B$ let

$$V(Y) = \frac{1}{\sigma_m} \int_{X \in 0.6B} G(X, Y) \Delta U(X),$$

where

$$\sigma_m = \frac{2\pi^{m/2} \max(1, m-2)}{\Gamma(m/2)}.$$

Since U + V is nonnegative on B, harmonic on 0.6B, subharmonic on B and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to +0} \sup \{ V(Y) \colon Y \in \mathbb{R}^m, \, |Y| = 1 - \varepsilon \} = 0,$$

we have

(10)
$$0 \le U(Y) + V(Y) \le 1$$
 on B ,

Therefore,

(12)
$$c \int_{X \in 0.6B} \Delta U(X) \le \int_{X \in 0.6B} G(X,0) \Delta U(X)$$
$$= \sigma_m V(0) \le \sigma_m (U(0) + V(0)) \le \sigma_m$$

by (10) and

(13)
$$\int_{Y \in B} |\nabla V(Y)| \le \frac{1}{\sigma_m} \int_{X \in [0.6B]} \Delta U(X) \int_{Y \in B} |\nabla_Y G(X, Y)|$$
$$\le C \int_{X \in [0.6B]} \Delta U(X) \le C$$

by (12), where c > 0 and $C < +\infty$ depend only on m. So, (i) follows from (12) and (ii) follows from (11) and (13).

Now, we begin the proof of Lemma 1. We may assume

$$\lambda = 1$$

and $\Omega \neq \emptyset$. Let a cube I be given. It is enough to show

(15)
$$\iint_{(x,t)\in Q(I)\cap W} t\Delta u(x,t) \leq C|I|.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be a constant such that

(16)
$$\varepsilon < \min\left(\frac{\beta}{n^{1/2}}, \frac{1}{n^{1/2}}\right),$$

(17)
$$\frac{(1+\varepsilon)(\beta+\varepsilon)+2n\varepsilon}{1-n^{1/2}\varepsilon}<\alpha.$$

For $\eta > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and t > 0, let

(18)
$$\psi_{\eta}(x) = \max(\delta(x,\Omega), \delta(x,I), \eta),$$

$$(19) \ \varphi_{\eta}(x,t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \frac{1}{\beta}\psi_{\eta}(x) < t, \\ \frac{\beta((\beta+\varepsilon)t - \psi_{\eta}(x))}{\varepsilon\psi_{\eta}(x)} & \text{if } \frac{1}{\beta+\varepsilon}\psi_{\eta}(x) < t \le \frac{1}{\beta}\psi_{\eta}(x), \\ 0 & \text{if } t \le \frac{1}{\beta+\varepsilon}\psi_{\eta}(x), \end{cases}$$

(20)
$$h(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (1+\varepsilon)l(I) < t, \\ \frac{(1+\varepsilon)l(I) - t}{\varepsilon l(I)} & \text{if } l(I) < t \le (1+\varepsilon)l(I), \\ 1 & \text{if } t \le l(I), \end{cases}$$

(21)
$$V_n(x,t) = \varphi_n(x,t)h(t).$$

Let $R_+^{n+1} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} Q_k$ be the Whitney decomposition of R_+^{n+1} such that

(22)
$$\{Q_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$$
 are dyadic cubes in R_+^{n+1} with disjoint interiors,

(23)
$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon}l(Q_k) \le (\text{distance between } Q_k \text{ and } \partial R_+^{n+1}) \le \frac{4}{\varepsilon}l(Q_k),$$

(This collection $\{Q_k\}$ can be obtained by taking all the maximal cubes among the closed dyadic cubes in R_+^{n+1} that satisfy (23).) Let $\{Q_{k(j)}\}_{j=1}^N$ be the subcollection of $\{Q_k\}$ such that

$$(24) Q_{k(j)} \cap \operatorname{supp} \nabla V_{\eta} \neq \varnothing.$$

In the following part of this section, the letter C denotes various positive constants depending only on α , β , ε and n.

First we accept the following (25)–(30) temporarily;

$$|\nabla_{\eta}(x,t)| \leq \frac{C}{t},$$

(26)
$$\operatorname{supp} \nabla V_{\eta} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} Q_{k(i)},$$

(27)
$$\iint_{\text{SUDD}\nabla V_{\epsilon}} \frac{1}{t} \, dx \, dt \le C|I|,$$

(28)
$$\bigcup_{j=1}^{N} 2n^{1/2}Q_{k(j)} \subset \{(x,t) \in R_{+}^{n+1} : u(x,t) \le 1\},$$

(29)
$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} \left(l(Q_{k(j)}) \right)^n \le C|I|,$$

the left-hand side of (15)

(30)
$$\leq \lim_{\eta \to +0} \iint_{(x,t) \in R^{n+1}_+} t V_{\eta}(x,t) \Delta u(x,t).$$

Then, (28) and Lemma 3 (ii) imply

(31)
$$\iint_{(x,t)\in Q_{k(j)}} |\nabla u(x,t)| \le C \left(l(Q_{k(j)})\right)^n.$$

Thus,

$$\left| \iint_{(x,t)\in R_{+}^{n+1}} tV_{\eta}(x,t) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{i}^{2}}(x,t) \right| = \left| -\iint_{0}^{\infty} t \frac{\partial V_{\eta}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \right|$$

$$\leq C \iint_{\text{supp}\nabla V_{\eta}} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \right| \quad \text{by (25)}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{N} \iint_{Q_{k(j)}} |\nabla u| \quad \text{by (26)}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left(l(Q_{k(j)}) \right)^{n} \quad \text{by (31)}$$

$$\leq C|I| \quad \text{by (29)}$$

and

$$\left| \iint_{(x,t)\in R_{+}^{n+1}} tV_{\eta} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}} \right| = \left| \iint_{t} \left(-V_{\eta} - t \frac{\partial V_{\eta}}{\partial t} \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right|$$

$$= \left| \iint_{t} \frac{\partial V_{\eta}}{\partial t} u - \iint_{t} t \frac{\partial V_{\eta}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right|$$

$$= \left| (33) - (34) \right|,$$

where

$$|(33)| \le \iint_{\sup \nabla V_{\eta}} \frac{C}{t} u(x, t) dx dt \quad \text{by (25)}$$

$$\le \iint_{\sup \nabla V_{\eta}} \frac{C}{t} dx dt \quad \text{by (28) and (26)}$$

$$\le C|I| \quad \text{by (27)}$$

and where

$$|(34)| \le C|I|$$

follows from the same argument as (32). Thus we get

$$\iint tV_{\eta}(x,t)\Delta u(x,t) \leq C|I|,$$

which combined with (30) implies (15).

Next, we prove (25)-(30). (25)-(26) are clear. (30) follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ (x,t) \in R_+^{n+1} \colon V_\eta(x,t) &= 1 \right\} \\ \supset \left\{ (x,t) \in R_+^{n+1} \colon \frac{1}{\beta} \psi_\eta(x) \leq t \leq l(I) \right\} \\ \supset Q(I) \cap W \cap \left\{ (x,t) \in R_+^{n+1} \colon t > \eta \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of (28). Since

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{supp} \nabla V_{\eta} &\subset \operatorname{supp} V_{\eta} \\ &\subset \left\{ (x,t) \in R^{n+1}_{+} \colon \frac{1}{\beta + \varepsilon} \psi_{\eta}(x) < t \right\} \\ &\subset \left\{ (x,t) \in R^{n+1}_{+} \colon \frac{1}{\beta + \varepsilon} \delta(x,\Omega) < t \right\} \\ &= \bigcup_{x \in \Omega} \Gamma_{\beta + \varepsilon}(x), \end{split}$$

for each $Q_{k(j)}$ there exists an $x \in \Omega$ such that

$$Q_{k(j)} \cap \Gamma_{\beta+\varepsilon}(x) \neq \emptyset,$$

which combined with (17) and (23) implies

$$2n^{1/2}Q_{k(j)}\subset\Gamma_{\alpha}(x).$$

Therefore,

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^{N} 2n^{1/2}Q_{k(j)} \subset \bigcup_{x \in \Omega} \Gamma_{\alpha}(x)$$

$$\subset \left\{ (x,t) \in R_{+}^{n+1} : u(x,t) \le 1 \right\} \quad \text{by (14)}. \quad \Box$$

Proof of (27). Let

$$\tilde{I} = (1 + 2(\beta + \varepsilon)(1 + \varepsilon))I.$$

Then

(35)
$$\operatorname{supp} \nabla V_{\eta} \subset \operatorname{supp} V_{\eta}$$

$$\subset \left\{ (x,t) \in R^{n+1}_{+} \colon \frac{1}{\beta + \varepsilon} \delta(x,I) \le t \le (1+\varepsilon)l(I) \right\}$$

$$\subset O(\tilde{I}).$$

Let

$$S_1 = \left\{ (x, t) \in R_+^{n+1} : \frac{1}{\beta + \varepsilon} \psi_\eta(x) \le t \le \frac{1}{\beta} \psi_\eta(x) \right\},$$

$$S_2 = \left\{ (x, t) \in R_+^{n+1} : l(I) \le t \le (1 + \varepsilon) l(I) \right\}.$$

Then, by (19)–(21) and (35) we have

$$\operatorname{supp} \nabla V_n \subset (S_1 \cup S_2) \cap Q(\tilde{I}),$$

which combined with (25) implies (27).

Proof of (29). Let

$$\begin{split} \tilde{S}_1 &= \left\{ (x,t) \in R_+^{n+1} \colon \\ &\frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon)(\beta+\varepsilon) + n^{1/2}\varepsilon} \psi_\eta(x) \le t \le \frac{1+\varepsilon}{\beta - n^{1/2}\varepsilon} \psi_\eta(x) \right\}, \\ \tilde{S}_2 &= \left\{ (x,t) \in R_+^{n+1} \colon \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} l(I) \le t \le (1+\varepsilon)^2 l(I) \right\}. \end{split}$$

It follows from (23) that

(36) if
$$Q_k \cap S_i \neq \emptyset$$
, then $Q_k \subset \tilde{S}_i$,

for i = 1, 2, respectively. (The case i = 2 is clear. The proof for the case i = 1 needs the Lipschitz continuity of ψ_n .) Thus, (36) and (24) imply

(37)
$$\bigcup_{j=1}^{N} Q_{k(j)} \subset \tilde{S}_{1} \cup \tilde{S}_{2}.$$

On the other hand, (23)–(24) and (35) imply

(38)
$$\bigcup_{j=1}^{N} Q_{k(j)} \subset Q((1+2\varepsilon)\tilde{I}).$$

For $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ let P(x, t) = x. Then, by (22)–(23), (37) and by the geometrical properties of \tilde{S}_1 and \tilde{S}_2 , we have

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{N} \chi_{P(Q_{k(j)})}(x) \right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C,$$

which combined with (38) implies

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} \left(l(Q_{k(j)}) \right)^n = \sum_{j} |P(Q_{k(j)})|$$

$$\leq C \left| \bigcup_{j} P(Q_{k(j)}) \right| \leq C|I|.$$

4. Proof of Lemma 2. In the rest of this paper, the letter C denotes various positive constants depending only on α , β and n.

We continue to assume (14).

Let

$$\mathscr{S}(x) = \iint_{(y,t)\in\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \varphi\left(\frac{x-y}{\beta t}\right) t^{1-n} \Delta u(y,t) \chi_{W\cap T_R}(y,t).$$

Note that

(39)
$$\mathscr{S}(x) = s(x; \beta, R) \quad \text{on } \Omega,$$

(40)
$$\mathscr{S}(x) \le s(x; \beta, R)$$
 on R^n .

Since $\mathcal{S}(x) < +\infty$ and since $\mathcal{S}(x)$ is the balayage of the Carleson measure $t\Delta u\chi_{W\cap T_R}$ with respect to the kernel $\varphi(x)$, which has a compact support and which belongs to the Lipschitz class, a well-known estimate of the BMO-norm in terms of the norm of Carleson measure gives us

$$\|\mathcal{S}\|_{\text{BMO}} \leq C \|t\Delta u\chi_{W\cap T_p}\|_c$$

which combined with Lemma 1 and (14) implies

Thus, the left-hand side of (9) with (14)

$$= |\{ \mathcal{S}(x) > \gamma, N(x; \alpha) \le 1 \}|$$
by (39)

$$\le |\{ \mathcal{S}(x) > \gamma \}| \le {}^{(*)}Ce^{-c\gamma}|\{ \mathcal{S}(x) > 1 \}|$$
by (40)

$$\le Ce^{-c\gamma}|\{ s(x; \beta, R) > 1 \}|$$
by (40)

$$= \text{the right-hand side of (9) with (14),}$$

where the inequality (*) follows from (41) and from an easy modification of the result of John-Nirenberg [6]. (See Lemma 2.1 of [8] for details.)

5. Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\beta' = (\alpha + \beta)/2$. Applying Lemma 2 with β replaced by β' gives us

$$\begin{aligned} |\{s(x;\beta',R) > \gamma\lambda\}| \\ &\leq |\{s(x;\beta',R) > \gamma\lambda, N(x;\alpha) \leq \lambda\}| + |\{N(x;\alpha) > \lambda\}| \\ &\leq Ce^{-c\gamma}|\{s(x;\beta',R) > \lambda\}| + |\{N(x;\alpha) > \lambda\}|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\gamma^{-p} \| s(\cdot; \beta', R) \|_{L^{p}}^{p} = p \int_{0}^{+\infty} \lambda^{p-1} | \{ s(x; \beta', R) > \gamma \lambda \} | d\lambda
\leq p \int_{0}^{+\infty} \lambda^{p-1} (Ce^{-c\gamma} | \{ s(x; \beta', R) > \lambda \} | + | \{ N(x; \alpha) > \lambda \} |) d\lambda
= Ce^{-c\gamma} \| s(\cdot; \beta', R) \|_{L^{p}}^{p} + \| N(\cdot; \alpha) \|_{L^{p}}^{p}.$$

Since $||s(\cdot; \beta', R)||_{L^p} < +\infty$, the above inequality with sufficiently large γ implies

$$2^{-1}\gamma^{-p}||s(\cdot;\beta',R)||_{L^p}^p \leq ||N(\cdot;\alpha)||_{L^p}^p.$$

Letting $R \to +\infty$ and recalling (5), we get

$$(42) ||S(\cdot;\beta)||_{L^p} \leq C(\alpha,\beta,p,n)||N(\cdot;\alpha)||_{L^p}.$$

On the other hand, the argument of [3], p. 166, Lemma 1 shows

(43)
$$c(\alpha, p, n) ||N||_{L^p} \le ||N(\cdot; \alpha)||_{L^p} \le C(\alpha, p, n) ||N||_{L^p}.$$

The argument of [2], p. 19, Theorem 3.4 and that of [7], p. 296, Lemma 3.3 show

(44)
$$c(\beta, p, n) ||S||_{L^p} \le ||S(\cdot; \beta)||_{L^p} \le C(\beta, p, n) ||S||_{L^p},$$

where

$$0 < c(\alpha, p, n), c(\beta, p, n)$$
 and $C(\alpha, p, n), C(\beta, p, n) < +\infty.$

Therefore, Theorem 1 follows from (42)-(44).

REFERENCES

- [1] D. L. Burkholder and R. F. Gundy, Distribution function inequalities for the area integral, Studia Math., 44 (1972), 527-544.
- [2] A. Calderon and A. Torchinsky, Parabolic maximal functions associated with a distribution, Advances in Math., 16 (1975), 1-63.
- [3] C. Fefferman and E. M. Stein, H^p spaces of several variables, Acta Math., 129 (1972), 137-193.
- [4] R. Fefferman, R. F. Gundy, M. Silverstein and E. M. Stein, *Inequalities for ratios of functionals of harmonic functions*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, **79** (1982), 7958–7960.
- [5] W. K. Hayman and P. B. Kennedy, Subharmonic Functions, Academic Press 1976.
- [6] F. John and L. Nirenberg, On functions of bounded mean oscillation, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 14 (1961), 415-426.
- [7] T. R. McConnell, Area integrals and subharmonic functions, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 33 (1984), 289-303.
- [8] T. Murai and A. Uchiyama, Good λ inequalities for the area integral and the nontangential maximal function, to appear in Studia Math., 83 (1986), 47-58.
- [9] E. M. Stein, Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions, Princeton, 1970.

Received March 14, 1986. Supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. 60740064, Japan.

TOHOKU UNIVERSITY SENDAI, JAPAN