RHINOCHEILUS R. LECONTEI

Catalogue of American Amphibians and Reptiles.

MEDICA, PHILIP A. 1975. Rhinocheilus.

Rhinocheilus Baird and Girard Long-nosed snake

Rhinocheilus Baird and Girard, 1853:120. Type-species, Rhinocheilus lecontei Baird and Girard, 1853, by monotypy.

• CONTENT. A single monotypic species, *Rhinocheilus lecontei* is recognized.

• DEFINITION. Rhinocheilus is a colubrid snake genus (Underwood, 1967) with 23-23-19 dorsal scale rows, 8 supralabials, 9 infralabials, 2 + 3 temporals, 1 loreal, 190-218 caudals in males and 181-213 in females. Subcaudals are mostly entire and number 48-61 in males and 41-54 in females. The sexual dimorphism that exists, with males having more ventrals than females, is a condition opposite that exhibited in most colubrids. Anal plate is entire. Maxillary teeth number 13-19. The rostral is prominent and sharp and protrudes beyond the lower jaw. The hemipenis is single, rounded and only slightly forked. The proximal half of the shaft bears many tiny spines. Above the smooth shaft there is a spinous distal half with fairly large recurved spines. The largest spines are near the proximal edge of the spinous section; distally the spines gradually change into calyces are fringed with small spines. The calyces terminate abruptly, forming a border of an irregular smooth area at the end, which is wider on the secondary lobe than on the larger lobe on which the sulcus terminates.

Body blotches vary from 14 to 48, the black saddles extend laterally to the edge of the ventrals. The blotches vary from V-shaped to almost equidistant bands around the dorsal and lateral surfaces. Centers of the blotches contain lighter cream centered scales and sometimes contain red pigmentation. Size of the blotches range from one and one-half to four times the interspaces at midbody. Interspaces contain cream or white colored scales with red to pink centers and sometimes black; occasional specimens contain no red pigmentation. The venter ranges from immaculate cream or white scales to being heavily pigmented with black. See species account.

• DESCRIPTIONS, ILLUSTRATIONS, DISTRIBUTION, FOSSIL RECORD, PERTINENT LITERATURE. See species account.

• ETYMOLOGY. *Rhinocheilus* is derived from Greek (*thin* or *thino*, meaning nose, and *cheil* or *cheilo*, meaning lip). The gender is masculine.

Rhinocheilus lecontei Baird and Girard Long-nosed snake

- Rhinocheilus lecontei Baird and Girard, 1853:120. Type-locality, "San Diego," California. Holotype, Museum Comparative Zoology (Harvard University) 137, young adult male, collected by John L. LeConte, no date given (not examined by author).
- CONTENT. Three subspecies are recognized: antonii, lecontei, and tessellatus.
- DEFINITION. See generic definition.

• DESCRIPTIONS. The subspecies were described in Klauber's (1941) generic revision. Additional descriptions for *Rhinocheilus lecontei lecontei* are as follows: Baird and Girard (1853), Cope (1900), Van Denburgh (1922), Klauber (1932), Ditmars (1936), Tanner (1941b), Klauber (1941), Smith and Taylor (1945), Hill (1948), Woodin (1953), Stebbins (1954), Wright and Wright (1957), Shannon and Humphrey (1963), Miller and Stebbins (1964), Fowlie (1905), Stebbins (1966), and Dixon (1967). Descriptions of *Rhinocheilus lecontei tessellatus* appear in the following: Garman (1883), Cope (1900), Klauber (1941), Smith and Taylor (1945), Stebbins (1955), Smith (1956), Wright and Wright (1957), Conant (1975), Tanner and Robison (1960), Stebbins (1966), Cochran and Goin (1970), Collins (1974), and Hendricks (1974). Descriptions of *Rhinocheilus lecontei antonii* appear in the following: Dugès (1886), Rope (1900), Klauber (1941), Smith and Taylor (1945), Bogert and Oliver

(1945), Hardy and McDiarmid (1969), and Fulger and Dixon (1961).

• ILLUSTRATIONS. Colored photographs and/or drawings of R. l. lecontei are figured in Schmidt and Inger (1957), Stebbins (1966, 1971) and a close up of the skin appears in Vogel (1953). Black and white photographs and/or drawings of R. l. lecontei are figured in Baird (1859a,b), Cope (1900), Van Denburgh (1922), Ditmars (1936), Schmidt and Davis (1941), Klauber (1941), Pickwell (1947), Johnson, Bryant and Miller (1948), Stebbins (1954), Wright and Wright (1957), Shannon and Humphrey (1963), Miller and Stebbins (1964), Fowlie (1965), and Dixon (1967). Colored plates of R. l. tessellatus appear in Conant (1975), and Cochran and Goin (1970). Black and white photographs and/or drawings of R. l. tessellatus are figured in Branson (1904), Smith (1956), Wright and Wright (1957), McCoy (1962), Liner (1964), McCoy and Gehlbach (1967), and Collins (1974). Black and white photographs and/or drawings of R. l. antonii appear in Dugès (1886), Cope (1900), Smith and Necker (1943), and Bogert and Oliver (1945).

• DISTRIBUTION. Rhinocheilus lecontei occurs in habitats ranging from the hot and dry Chihuahuan, Sonoran and Mohave desserts and the colder Great Basin desert to the tropical habitats in México as far south as Jalisco, Tamaulipas, and San Luis Potosí. The range is comprised of three major sections. One section encompasses much of Texas (west of the 97th meridian), the western half of Oklahoma, the southwestern portion of Kansas, and New México, except, for the northwestern third of the state. This section of the range also extends southward into Mexico along the eastern side of the Sierra Madre Occidental from Chihuahua and Durango through Coahuila, Nuevo León, Tamaulipas, and San Luis Potosí. A section joins the first around the border between Arizona and New Mexico and then extends northwestward through Arizona (excepting the area north of the Mogollon Rim), the western half of Utah, most of Nevada, and the southern two-thirds of California. The range extends southward into most of Baja California del Norte. The third section extends southward along the western side of the Sierra Madre Occidental from Sonora through Sinaloa and Nayarit to Jalisco (exact locality unknown). Several disjunct populations exist, one in Elmore County, Idaho, another in Carbon County, Utah, and a third in Baja California del sur (based on a single specimen from Cerralvo Island near the tip of the peninsula). Most likely this species will be found to inhabit all of Baja California, but presently the southernmost peninsular locality is Mission San Borja.



MAP. Solid symbols mark type localities, hollow symbols mark other known localities, and stars indicate fossil localities. Areas of intergradation are shown by the overlap of stippling.

• FOSSIL RECORD. All known fossils are from Pleistocene and Recent deposits in Texas. Two vertebrae from a cave in Lubbock County (Holman, 1969), eight middle precaudal vertebrae from Kendall County (Hill, 1971), and three precaudal vertebrae from Culberson County (Gehlbach and Holman, 1974) constitute the known fossil records.

• PERTINENT LITERATURE. The most recent taxonomic work is that of Klauber (1941). The literature on various aspects of the biology of this snake is widely scattered. Habitat descrip-tions are given in Strecker (1902), Brown (1903), Bailey (1905), Strecker (1909), Bailey (1913), Strecker (1922, 1929a,b, 1930), Klauber (1931), Burt (1935), Klauber (1939, 1944), Strecker (1964), Strecker (1939, Strecker), Strecker (1964), Strecker (1939, Strecker), Strecker, Strecke 1927a,0, 19507, Klauber (19517, Buit (1950), Klauber (1957, 1941), Tanner (1941a), Fautin (1946), Blair (1950), Lewis (1950), Milstead, Mecham and McClintock (1950), Stebbins (1954), Smith (1956), Wright and Wright (1957), McCou (1962), Tanner and Weap (1962), Tanner and Jorgensen (1963), Turner and Wauer (1963), Tanner and Robison (1960), Miller and Stebbins Turner and Wauer (1964), Banta (1965), Fowlie (1965), Soule and Sloan (1966), Stebbins (1966), Brown and Brown (1967), Hardy and McDiarmid (1969), Brown and Brown (1967), Hardy and McDiarmid (1969), Webb (1970), Lowe (1972), Collins (1974), and Grogan and Tanner (1974). Elevational records range from sea level to 5,600 ft., as given in Bailey (1913), Atsatt (1913), Van Denburgh (1922), Klauber (1931), Wood-bury and Smart (1950), Taylor (1953), Wright and Wright (1957) Wobb and Horaley (1950). Lowing and Starkburgh (1957), Webb and Hensley (1959), Lomis and Stephens (1962), Turner and Wauer (1963), Stebbins (1966), Gehlbach (1966), Hardy and McDiarmid (1969), and Nickerson and Mays (1970).

Reproductive information is found in Conant and Downs (1940), Klauber (1941, 1943), Johnson, Bryant and Miller (1948), Woodin (1953), Stebbins (1954), Smith (1956), Wright and Wright (1957), Shannon and Humphrey (1963), Lardie (1965), Dixon (1967), and Collins (1974). Data pertain-ing to activity are cited in Van Denburgh (1912), Van Dening to activity are cited in Van Denburgh (1912), Van Den-burgh and Slevin (1913, 1921), Klauber (1939), Tanner (1940), Klauber (1941), Woodbury and Smart (1950), Brattstrom (1952), Stebbins (1954), Wright and Wright (1957), Conant (1975), Stebbins (1966), and Dixon (1967). Food habits are discussed in Ditmars (1936), Ruthling (1915), Grinnell and Camp (1917), Klauber (1934, 1941), Fitch (1949), Stebbins (1955), Conant (1955), Conant (1955), Tipkla (1954), Fouquette and Lindsay (1955), Conant (1975), Tinkle, McGregor and Dana (1963), Lardie (1965), McKinney and Ballinger (1966), Stebbins (1966), and Collins (1974). Aspects of behavior have been described by Klauber (1941), McCoy and Branculli (1966), McCoy and Gehlbach (1967), Gehlbach (1972), and Lowe (1972). Body temepratures are discussed in Brattstrom (1965), and Cunningham (1966). Shaw (1969) recorded longevity of 18 years, 3 months. Vision is discussed by Walls (1934). Maxillary teeth are described by Boulenger (1894), and Bogert and Oliver (1945). Hemipenes have been described by Cope (1900), and Klauber (1941). Various aspects of sexual dimorphism, scutellation and poly-morphism are documented in Tanner (1941b), Klauber (1941), Smith (1942). Smith (1942), Klauber (1943), Fox (1948), Shannon and Humphrey (1963), Clark (1966), and Nickerson (1970). Information pertaining to electrophoresis has been discussed by Pearson (1966) and Dessauer (1967), phylogeny by Cope (1895), Bailey (1967), and Underwood (1967), and chromosomes and karyotypes by Bury, Gress and Gorman (1970), and Trinco and Smith (1971).

• ETYMOLOGY. The names lecontei and antonii are patronyms honoring, respectively, John L. LeConte and Antonine L. Dels Dugès. The name *tessellatus* is derived from the Latin word *tessela*, meaning "a small cube of stone," in reference to the mosaic or checkered appearance of the dorsal pattern.

1. Rhinocheilus lecontei lecontei Baird and Girard. Western long-nosed snake

Rhinocheilus lecontei Baird and Girard, 1853:120. See species account.

Rhinocheilus lecontei lecontei: Klauber, 1941:296

Rhinocheilus lecontei clarus Klauber, 1941:308. Type-locality, "Borego Valley, 2 miles north of The Narrows, San Diego County, California." Holotype, San Diego Society Natural History (L. M. Klauber) 31440, adult male, collected by Richard Neil, 7 May 1939 (not examined by author). Rhinocheilus antonii clarus: Smith, 1942:203.

• DEFINITION. There are two color morphs in this subspecies. In one there are black body bands (16-27), with white scales and with or without red centers, on the lateral surfaces that are separated by narrow white interspaces, which may or may not

REMARKS. The suppression of the nominal subspecies *clarus* is based upon Shannon and Humphrey (1963) who obtained both lecontei and clarus morphs from a single clutch of eggs. Klauber (1941) had originally described the clarus morph from a series of 78 specimens from California, Nevada and Arizona. Klauber reported that in eastern San Diego County and central Riverside County, California, the population is pure clarus, whereas in other areas the lecontei morph is exclusive or at least predominates. In a series of 50 Rhinocheilus from southern Nye County, Nevada I have found the ratio of clarus to lecontei morphs to be 1:1, and among 31 specimens collected by L. J. Vitt, A. Hulse, J. Platz and J. Congdon from Painted Rock Dam in western Maricopa County, Arizona the ratio was 1.6:1. Smith (1942) rejected Klauber's (1941) treatment of clarus and antonii as subspecies of lecontei, regarding antonii (with clarus as a subspecies) as a species that occasionally hybridizes with lecontei.

2. Rhinocheilus lecontei antonii Dugès. Mexican long-nosed snake

Rhinocheilus antonii Dugès, 1886:290. Type-locality, San Blas near Mazatlan, Sinaloa, México (probably San Blas, Nayarit, Mexico). Holotype in the Museo Alfredo Dugès, Guanajuato, México, juvenile female, collector unknown

(not examined by author). Rhinocheilus lecontei antonii: Klauber, 1941:314.

Rhinocheilus antonii antonii: Smith, 1942:203.

 DEFINITION. A subspecies with usually 20 or fewer large black body blotches, with white centered scales on the lateral surface. The bands are separated by narrow red and white interspaces, which generally have more red dorsally and more white laterally. The venter is white mottled with black, with the edges of the primary blotches extending laterally to the edges of the caudals. At midbody the interspaces are normally onethird the length of the body blotches.

• REMARKS. This subspecies may intergrade with R. l. tessellatus in Northeastern Sonora, although few specimens exist from this area.

3. Rhinocheilus lecontei tessellatus Garman. Eastern long-nosed snake

Rhinocheilus lecontei: Baird, 1859:21.

Rhinocheilus lecontei var. tessellatus Garman, 1883:74. Typelocality, Monclova, Coahuila, Mexico. Holotype, Museum Comparative Zoology (Harvard University) 4577, young female, collected by Edward Palmer, 1880 (not examined by author).

Rhinocheilus tessellatus: Garman, 1887:10. Rhinocheilus lecontei tessellatus: Klauber, 1941:302-308.

• DEFINITION. A subspecies with 18-35 black body blotches on a cream or yellowish background. The saddles taper to a V-shape laterally and contain cream-centered scales. Laterally the interspaces contain numerous black centered scales which also give the appearance of secondary blotches. At midbody the dark saddles are two-thirds the length of the interspaces. The dorsal interspaces are red-colored as are, to a lesser degree, the lateral surfaces. The venter is cream or yellowish and generally immaculate save for the extension of the edges of the saddles and secondary blotches on to the ventrals.

• REMARKS. No known areas of intergration with R. l. antonii exist across the southern end of its distribution through the Sierra Madre Occidental in Durango (Webb, pers. comm.).

- Atsatt, S. R. 1913. The reptiles of the San Jacinto area of southern California. Univ. California Publ. Zool. 12(3): 31-50.
- Bailey, J. R. 1967. The synthetic approach to colubrid classification. Herpetologica 23(2):155-161.
- Bailey, V. 1905. Biological survey of Texas: Life zones, with characteristic species of mammals, birds, reptiles and plants. North American Fauna (25):1-222
- 1913. Life zones and crop zones of New Mexico. North American Fauna (35) :1-100.
- Baird, S. F. 1859a. Reptiles. In Reports of explorations and surveys . . . route for a railroad . . . Mississippi River to the Pacific Ocean. Vol. 10:9-16. 1859b. Reptiles of the boundary. In United States and
- Mexican Boundary Survey, under the order of Lieut. Col. W. H. Emory. Washington, Dept. Interior 3(2):1-35.
- and C. Girard. 1853. Catalogue of North American reptiles in the museum of the Smithsonian Institution. Part 1-
- Serpents. 1-172 p. Banta, B. H. 1965. A distributional check list of the recent reptiles inhabiting the state of Nevada. Wasman J. Biol. 23(1-2):1-224.
- Blair. W. F. 1950. The biotic provinces of Texas. Texas J. Sci. 2(1):93-117.
- Bogert, C. M., and J. A. Oliver. 1945. A preliminary analysis of the herpetology of Sonora. Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist. 83(6):297-426.
- Boulenger, G. A. 1894. Catalogue of the snakes in the British Museum. Vol. II. London xi + 382 p.
- Branson, E. B. 1904. Snakes of Kansas. Univ. Kansas Sci. Bull. 2(13):353-430.
- Brattstrom, B. H. 1952. Diurnal activities of a nocturnal animal. Herpetologica 8(3):61-63.
- 1965. Body temperatures of reptiles. Amer. Midland Nat. 73(2):376-422.
- Brown, A. E. 1903. Texas reptiles and their faunal relations. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Philadelphia 55:543-558.
- Brown, B. C. and L. M. Brown. 1967. Notable records of Tamaulipan snakes. Texas J. Sci. 19(3):323-326.
- Burt, C. E. 1935. Further records of the ecology and distribution of amphibians and reptiles in the middle west. Amer. Midland Nat. 16(3):311-336.
- Bury, R. B., F. Gress, and G. C. Gorman. 1970. Karyotypic survey of some colubrid snakes from western North America. Herpetologica 26(4):461-466.
- Clark, D. R. Jr. 1966. Notes on sexual dimorphism in taillength in American snakes. Trans. Kansas Acad. Sci. 69 (3-4):226-232.
- Cochran, D. M., and C. J. Goin. 1970. The new field book of reptiles and amphibians. G. P. Putnams' Sons, N. Y.
- vi-xxii + 359 p. Collins, J. T. 1974. Amphibians and reptiles in Kansas. Univ. Kansas Mus. Nat. Hist. Public Education Ser. No. 1.
- Univ. Kansas Mus. Nat. Hist. Public Education Ser. No. 1. v-ix + 283 p.
 Conant, R. 1975. A field guide to reptiles and amphibians of eastern and central North America. Second edition. Houghton Mifflin Co. Boston. xviii + 429 p.
 -, and A. Downs. 1940. Miscellaneous notes on the eggs and young of reptiles. Zoologica 25:33-48.
 Cone E. D. 1895. Chessification of the Orbidia. Trans. Amor.
- Cope, E. D. 1895. Classification of the Ophidia. Trans. Amer. Philos. Soc. 17, m.s., Pt. 2, Art. 3:186-216. 1900. The crocodilians, lizards, and snakes of North
- America. Ann. Report Smithsonian Inst. 1898:153-1244.
- Cunningham, J. D. 1966. Additional observations on the body temperatures of reptiles. Herpetologica 22(3):184-189.
- Dessauer, H. C. 1967. Molecular approach to the taxonomy of Colubrid snakes. Herpetologica 23(2):148-155.
- Ditmars, R. L. 1936. Reptiles of North America. Doubleday & Co., N. Y. ix-xvi + 476 p.
- Dixon, J. R. 1967. Amphibians and reptiles of Los Angeles County, California. Los Angeles County Museum Sci. Ser. 23(10):1-64.
- Dugès, A. 1886. Sur le Rhinocheilus Antonii. Proc. Amer. Philos. Soc. 23:290-291.
- 1888. Rhinocheilus antonii, Nobis. La Naturaleza, Ser. 2, 1:13-14.
- Fautin, R. W. 1946. Biotic communities of the northern desert shrub biome in western Utah. Ecol. Monogr. 16(4): 252-310.
- Fitch, H. S. 1949. Study of snake populations in central California. Amer. Midland Nat. 41(3):513-579.
- Fouquette, M. J., and H. L. Lindsay Jr. 1955. An ecological

- Sci. 7(4):402-421.
 Fowlie, J. A. 1965. The snakes of Arizona. Azul Quinta Press, Fallbrook, Calif. i-lv + 164 p.
 Fox, W. 1948. Effects of temperature on development of scutellation in the garter snake, *Thamnophis elegans atratus*. Copeia 1948(4):252-262.
 Fulger, C. M., and J. R. Dixon. 1961. Notes on the herpeto-form of the F1 Development of Sinchen Maximum et al. 61 Development of Sinchen Maximum et al. 62 Development of Sinchen Maximum et al. 61 Development of Sinchen Maximum et al. 61 Development of Sinchen Maximum et al. 62 Development of Sinchen Maximum et al. 62 Development of Sinchen Maximum et al. 61 Development et al. 62 Development et al. 63 Development et al. 64 Development et al. 65 Develop
- fauna of the El Dorado area of Sinaloa, Mexico. Publ. Mus. Michigan State Univ. Biol. Ser. 2(1):1-23.
- Garman, S. 1883. North American reptilia, Part I, Ophidia. Mem. Mus. Comp. Zool. 8(3):1-185.
- 1887. Reptiles and batrachians from Texas and Mexico. Bull. Essex Inst. 19:1-20.
- Gehlbach, F. R. 1966. Grand Canyon amphibians and rep-tiles field check list. Northland Press. 1-4 p.
 1972. Coral snake mimicry reconsidered: The strategy of
- self-mimicry. Forma et Functio 5:311-320. and J. A. Holman. 1974. Paleoecology and amphibians and reptiles from Pratt Cave, Guadalupe Mountains National Park, Texas. Southwestern Nat. 19(2):191-198
- Grinnell, J., and C. L. Camp. 1917. A distributional list of the amphibians and reptiles of California. Univ. California Publ. Zool. 17(10):188.
- Grogan, W. L. Jr., and W. W. Tanner. 1974. Range extension of the long-nosed snake *Rhinocheilus 1. lecontei*, into eastcentral Utah. Great Basin Nat. 34(3):238-240. Hardy, L. M., and R. W. McDiarmid. 1969. The amphibians
- and reptiles of Sinaloa, Mexico. Univ. Kansas Publ. Mus. Nat. Hist. 18(3):39-252
- Hendricks, F. S. 1974. Color anomaly of a Rhinocheilus lecontei tessellatus Garman from northeastern Durango, Mexico. J. Herp. 8(2):185. , H. R. 1948. Amphibians and reptiles of Los Angeles
- Hill, H. R. County. Los Angeles County Mus. Sci. Ser. No. 12, Zoology No. 5.
- Hill, W. H. 1971. Pleistocene snakes from a cave in Kendall County, Texas. Texas J. Sci. 22(2):209-216. Holman, A. J. 1969. Herpetofauna of the Pleistocene Slaton
- local fauna of Texas. Southwestern Nat. 14(2):203-212.
- Johnson, D. H., M. D. Bryant, and A. H. Miller. 1948. Vertebrate animals of the Providence Mountains area of California. Univ. California Publ. Zool. 48:221-376.
- Klauber, L. M. 1931. A statistical survey of the snakes of the southern border of California. Bull. Zool. Soc. San Diego (8):1-93.
- 1932. Amphibians and reptiles observed enroute to Hoover Dam. Copeia 1932(3):118-128.
- 1934. Annotated list of the amphibians and reptiles of the southern border of California. Bull. Zool. Soc. San Diego (11):1-28.
- 1939. Studies of reptile life in the arid southwest. Ibid. (14):1-100.
- 1941. The long-nosed snakes of the genus Rhinocheilus. Trans. San Diego Soc. Nat. Hist. 9(29) :289-332.
- Tail-length differences in snakes, with notes on 1943. sexual dimorphism and coefficient of divergence. Bull. Zool. Soc. San Diego. (18):3-60. Lardie, R. L. 1965. Eggs and young of *Rhinocheilus lecontei*
- tessellatus. Copeia 1965(3):366. Lewis, T. H. 1950. The herpetofauna of the Tularosa Basin and Organ Mountains of New Mexico with notes on some ecological features of the Chihuahuan Desert. Herpetologica 6(1):1-10.
- Liner, E. A. 1964. Notes on four small herpetological collections from Mexico. Southwestern Nat. 8(4):221-227.
- Loomis, R. B., and R. C. Stephens. 1962. Records of snakes from Joshua Tree National Monument, California. Bull. So. California Acad. Sci. 61(1):29-36.
- Lowe, Charles H. 1972. The amphibians and reptiles of Arizona. P. 153-174. In Lowe, C. H. (ed.) The vertebrates of Arizona. Univ. Arizona Press, Tucson, 4th printing. 270 p.
- McCoy, C. J. 1962. Noteworthy amphibians and reptiles from Colorado. Herpetologica 18(1):60-62.
- -, and A. V. Branculli. 1966. Defensive behavior of Rhinocheilus lecontei. J. Ohio Herp. Soc. 5(4):166.
- and F. R. Gehlbach. 1967. Cloacal hemorrhage and defense display of the colubrid snake Rhinocheilus lecontei. Texas J. Sci. 19(4):349-352.
- McKinney, C. O., and R. E. Ballinger. 1966. Snake predators of lizards in western Texas. Southwestern Nat. 11(3): 410 - 412
- Miller, A. H., and R. C. Stebbins. 1964. The lives of desert

- fornia Press, Berkeley. v-vi + 452 p.
 Milstead, W. W., J. S. Mecham, and H. McClintock. 1950. The amphibians and reptiles of the Stockton Plateau in northern Terrell County, Texas. Texas J. Sci. 2(4):543-562.
- Nickerson, M. A. 1970. New uses for an old method used in ophidian sex determination. British J. Herp. 4(6):138-139.
- -, and C. E. Mays. 1970. A preliminary herpetofaunal analysis of the Graham (Pinaleno) Mountain Region, Graham Co., Arizona with ecological comments. Trans. Kansas Acad. Sci. 72(4):492-505.
- Pearson, D. D. 1966. Serological and immunoelectrophoretic comparisons among species of snakes. Univ. Kansas, Lawrence. Dissertation. Abstracted in: Bul. Serol. Mus., New Brunsw. 36:8. Pickwell, G. 1947. Amphibians and reptiles of the Pacific

- Pickwell, G. 1947. Amphibians and reputes of the racine States. Stanford Univ. Press v-xiv + 236 p.
 Ruthling, P. D. R. 1915. *Rhinocheilus lecontei* in Los Angeles. Copeia. (15):32.
 Schmidt, K. P., and D. D. Davis. 1941. Field book of snakes of the United States and Canada. G. P. Putnams' Sons, New York of States and Canada. G. P. Putnams' Sons, New York.
- New York. v-xiii + 365 p.
 , and R. F. Inger. 1957. Living reptiles of the world. Doubleday & Co., Inc., New York. 287 p.
 Shannon, F. A., and F. L. Humphrey. 1963. Analysis of color
- pattern polymorphism in the snake Rhinocheilus lecontei.
- Herpetologica 19(3):153-160. Shaw, C. E. 1969. Longevity of snakes in North American collections as of 1 January, 1968. Zool. Garten 37(4-5): 193-196.
- Smith, H. M. 1942. Another case of species versus subspecies. Amer. Midland Nat. 28(1):201-203.
- 1956. Handbook of amphibians and reptiles of Kansas. 2nd Ed. Misc. Publ. Mus. Nat. Hist. Univ. Kansas No. 9:356 p.
- -, and W. L. Necker. 1943. Alfredo Dugès types of Mexican reptiles and amphibians. An Escuela Nac. Cienc. Biol. Mexico. 3(1-2):179-189.
- -, and E. H. Taylor. 1945. An annotated checklist and key to the snakes of Mexico. U. S. Nat. Mus. Bull. (187) :1-239. Soule, M., and A. J. Sloan. 1966. Biogeography and distribu-
- tion of the reptiles and amphibians on islands in the Gulf of California, Mexico. Trans. San Diego Soc. Nat. Hist. 14(11):137-156.
- Stebbins, R. C. 1954. Amphibians and reptiles of western North America. McGraw-Hill, New York. vii-xxii + 528 p.
- 1966. A field guide to western reptiles and amphibians. Houghton Mifflin Co., Boston. vii-xiv + 279 p.
- 1971. Reptiles and amphibians of the San Francisco Bay
- Region. Univ. California Press, Berkeley 72 p.
 Strecker, J. K. Jr. 1902. Reptiles and batrachians of Mc-Lennan County, Texas, Trans. Texas Acad. Sci. 4, Pt. 2(5): 95-101.
- 1909. Notes on the herpetology of Burnet County, Texas. Baylor Univ. Bull. 12(1):1-9.
- 1922. An annotated catalogue of the amphibians and reptiles of Bexar County, Texas. Bull. Sci. Soc. San Antonio. (4): 1-31.
- 1929a. Field notes on the herpetology of Wilbager County, Texas. Contrib. Baylor Univ. Mus. (19) :1-9.
- 1929b. A preliminary list of the amphibians and reptiles of Tarrant County, Texas. Ibid. (19):10-15.

- 1930. A catalogue of the amphibians and reptiles of Travis County, Texas. *Ibid.* (23):1-16. Tanner, W. W. 1940. Notes on the herpetological specimens
- added to the Brigham Young University Vertebrate Collec-tion during 1939. Great Basin Nat. 1(3-4):138-146.
- 1941a. The reptiles and amphibians of Idaho. No. I. Ibid. 2(2):87-98.
- 1941b. A study of the variation in the less common snakes of Utah. Ibid. 2(1):16-28.
- and C. D. Jorgensen. 1963. Reptiles of the Nevada Test Site. Brigham Young Univ. Sci. Bull. Sci. Ser. 3(3):1-31. and W. G. Robison Jr. 1960. New and unusual serpents
- from Chihuahua, Mexico. Herpetologica 16(1):67-70.
- Taylor, E. H. 1953. Fourth contribution to the herpetology of San Luis Potosi. Univ. Kansas Sci. Bull. 35(13):1587-1614.
- Tinkle, D. W., D. McGregor, and S. Dana. 1963. Home range ecology of *Uta stansburiana stejnegeri*. Ecology 43 (2):223-239.
- Trinco, L. A., and H. M. Smith. 1971. The karyology of ophidians: A review. Trans. Kansas Acad. Sci. 74(2): 138 - 146.
- Turner, F. B., and R. H. Wauer. 1963. A survey of the herpetofauna of the Death Valley Area. Great Basin Nat. 23 (3-4) :119-128.
- Underwood, G. 1967. A contribution to the classification of snakes. Publ. British Mus. Nat. Hist. (653). x + 179 p.
- Van Denburgh, J. 1912. Notes on a Collection of reptiles from southern California and Arizona. Proc. California Acad. Sci. 4th Ser. 3:147-154.
 1922. Reptiles of western North America. Occ. Papers
- California Acad. Sci. 10:1-1028.
- and J. R. Slevin. 1913. A list of the amphibians and reptiles of Arizona. Proc. California Acad. Sci. 4th Ser. 3:391-454.
- -, and J. R. Slevin. 1921. A list of the amphibians and reptiles of the peninsula of Lower California with notes on the species in the collection of the academy. *Ibid.* 11: 27-72.
- Vogel, Z. 1953. Ze zevota plazu praz ske zoologicke zahrady.
- Vogel, Z. 1953. Le zevota plazu praz ske zoologicke zanrady. Praha 1953. 1-73 p.
 Walls, G. L. 1934. The reptilian retina. A new concept of visual cell evolution. Amer. J. Opht. Ser. 3 17:892-915.
 Webb, R. G. 1970. Reptiles of Oklahoma. Stovall Mus., Univ.
- Oklahoma. ix-xi + 370 p.
- and M. M. Hensley. 1959. Notes on reptiles from the Mexican State of Durango. Publ. Mus. Michigan State. Univ. Biol. Ser. 1(6):251-258.
- Woodbury, A. M., and E. W. Smart. 1950. Unusual snake records from Utah and Nevada. Herpetologica 6(1):45-47.
- Woodin, W. H. 1953. Notes on some reptiles from the Hua-chuca area of southeastern Arizona. Bull. Chicago Acad. Sci. 9(15):285-296.
- Wright, A. H., and A. A. Wright. 1957. Handbook of snakes of the United States and Canada. Comstock Publ. Asso., Ithaca, New York. vii-xviii + 1105 p.
- PHILIP A. MEDICA, U.C.L.A., P. O. Box 495, MERCURY, NEVADA 89023.

Primary editor for this account, Larry David Wilson.

Published October 22, 1975 by the SOCIETY FOR THE STUDY OF AMPHIBIANS AND REPTILES.