



European Iournal of

ISSN: (Print) (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/tejp20

# Diversity of Kallymeniaceae (Gigartinales, Rhodophyta) associated with Hawaiian mesophotic reefs

# Feresa P. Cabrera, John M. Huisman, Heather L. Spalding, Randall K. Kosaki & Alison R. Sherwood

**To cite this article:** Feresa P. Cabrera, John M. Huisman, Heather L. Spalding, Randall K. Kosaki & Alison R. Sherwood (2022) Diversity of Kallymeniaceae (Gigartinales, Rhodophyta) associated with Hawaiian mesophotic reefs, European Journal of Phycology, 57:1, 68-78, DOI: 10.1080/09670262.2021.1891462

To link to this article: <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/09670262.2021.1891462</u>

9	© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.	+	View supplementary material 🖸
	Published online: 12 Apr 2021.		Submit your article to this journal $ arsigma^{\!$
111	Article views: 1245	۵	View related articles $ oldsymbol{C} $
CrossMark	View Crossmark data 🗹	ආ	Citing articles: 3 View citing articles 🗗



OPEN ACCESS Check for updates

# Diversity of Kallymeniaceae (Gigartinales, Rhodophyta) associated with Hawaiian mesophotic reefs

Feresa P. Cabrera<sup>a</sup>, John M. Huisman<sup>b</sup>, Heather L. Spalding<sup>c</sup>, Randall K. Kosaki<sup>d</sup> and Alison R. Sherwood D<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Life Sciences, 3190 Maile Way, University of Hawai`i, Honolulu, HI, 96822, USA; <sup>b</sup>Western Australian Herbarium, Biodiversity and Conservation Science, Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, Locked Bag 104, Bentley Delivery Centre, WA 6983, Australia; <sup>c</sup>Department of Biology, College of Charleston, 66 George St, Charleston, SC 29424, USA; <sup>d</sup>NOAA, Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument, 1845 Wasp Boulevard, Building 176, Honolulu, HI 96818, USA

#### ABSTRACT

Small red algal morphologically variable blades have been extensively collected from Hawaiian reefs, but for many specimens their taxonomy remains poorly understood. In surveys of the Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument (PMNM) and Main Hawaiian Islands (MHI), we discovered two taxa of undescribed small (< 5 cm) red blades that matched the genera *Psaromenia* and *Meredithia*, based on morphology and molecular analyses. Neither genus has been previously recorded in the Hawaiian Islands, and neither group of specimens matched currently described species in these two genera. Accordingly, these specimens are described here as new species within the family Kallymeniaceae. *Psaromenia laulamaula* sp. nov., exclusively found at mesophotic depths (83–94 m) in PMNM, is easily distinguished from other members of the genus by its comparatively large, procarpic carpogonial branch system and solitary obovate pink-to-magenta blades. Conversely, *Meredithia hawaiiensis* sp. nov., occurring in both shallow (0–17 m) and mesophotic depths (55 m), has high morphological plasticity, with characters that overlap with other *Meredithia* species, and can only be distinguished based on DNA sequences. This study provides additional evidence of the extent of diversity in the Kallymeniaceae that is poorly characterized from mesophotic depths and provides further evidence that members of the macroalgal flora contain overlooked biodiversity.

ARTICLE HISTORY Received 23 September 2020 ; Revised 17 January 2021 ; Accepted 13 February 2021

KEY WORDS biodiversity; biogeography; distribution; endemism; Hawai`i; mesophotic; overlooked diversity; red algae; red blade; subtidal

### Introduction

The Kallymeniaceae Kylin (Gigartinales, Rhodophyta) is a marine red algal family of ~46 genera united by a morphology of expanded red blades and unique reproductive traits (Saunders et al., 2017; Guiry & Guiry, 2020). Currently, there are two members of the family known in the Hawaiian flora: Kallymenia sessilis Okamura and K. thompsonii I.A.Abbott & McDermid. The extent to which morphological characters of these species overlap with each other and possibly with related genera has been considered in several publications (e.g. Abbott, 1999; Abbott & McDermid, 2002), highlighting the difficulty in assigning species to an appropriate genus based on morphology alone. With the reinforcement of molecular information, the status and phylogenetic relationships of many species in the family have been clarified (Saunders et al., 2017), and the genus Kallymenia J.Agardh was revealed to be nonmonophyletic (Huisman et al., 2016; Saunders et al., 2017). An emerging consensus has been to divide Kallymenia into several genera, as a necessary step to address problems associated with the uncertain taxonomy within the genus and family (Huisman et al., 2016; Saunders et al., 2017).

Floristic surveys conducted over the last two decades in the Hawaiian Islands have yielded over a hundred expanded red-bladed specimens, including many large (> 20 cm) macroalgal species that cannot be placed in currently recognized taxa, and highlighted a breadth of diversity overlooked in published accounts (Sherwood *et al.*, 2019). One group that thus far has received little taxonomic attention is the smaller blades. During targeted algal surveys of the Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument (PMNM) and Main Hawaiian Islands (MHI), smallsized ( $\leq$  15 cm) stipitate red blades were collected that matched the kallymeniacean genera *Psaromenia* and *Meredithia* based on molecular and morphological analyses.

*Psaromenia* D'Archino, W.A.Nelson & Zuccarello presently includes two species from New Zealand and Bermuda (Schneider *et al.*, 2019), while *Meredithia* J. Agardh includes 12 species that are mostly endemic to Australia and its offshore islands, with the exception of one species from the Caribbean (Puerto Rico) and one from the North Atlantic (British Isles, Norway) (Schneider *et al.*, 2014; Bringloe *et al.*, 2019). The *Psaromenia-Meredithia* clade has recently gained attention due to its broad geographic range

CONTACT Feresa P. Cabrera 🔯 feresa@hawaii.edu

<sup>© 2021</sup> The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, and is not altered, transformed, or built upon in any way.

and occurrence in Mesophotic Coral Ecosystems (MCEs) (Saunders et al., 2017; Schneider et al., 2019). MCEs are deep fore-reef communities comprised of light-dependent organisms including macroalgae, corals and sponges stretching from 30 m to over 150 m depths in the tropics and subtropics (Hinderstein et al., 2010). Some MCEs have a high abundance and diversity of macroalgae, which remains one of the most taxonomically understudied groups of organisms in these environments (Spalding et al., 2019). Atlantic Psaromenia and Meredithia species are the few thus far recorded from mesophotic depths, at least in the family Kallymeniaceae. P. septentrionalis C.W.Schneider, Popolizio & G.W. Saunders was discovered at 90 m in Bermuda (Schneider et al., 2019), and M. pulchella D.L. Ballantine, H.Ruíz & J.N.Norris was collected at depths to 70 m in Puerto Rico (Ballantine et al., 2015). In this study, we assessed morphological and molecular (COI-5P and rbcL) characters for species delimitation of two Hawaiian kallymeniacean species associated with the MCEs and described two new species belonging to the Psaromenia-Meredithia clade, which are also new genus records for the Hawaiian marine algal flora.

#### Materials and methods

Specimens were sampled during shallow water surveys on Maui in 2007, and from mesophotic depths from 2014–2019 in the PMNM by NOAA divers using mixed gas closed circuit rebreathers. The approximate locations of the sampling sites are shown in Supplementary figure S1 and the specimen collection details and GenBank accession numbers for newly determined sequences are presented in Supplementary table S1. Specimens were preserved as herbarium presses and as formalin vouchers for morphological characterization and in silica gel for DNA extraction.

#### Morphological characterization

Anatomical and reproductive features were observed in material that was hand-sectioned with a double-edged razor blade. Sections were rehydrated in modified Pohl's solution (Clark, unpubl.: https://www.eeob.ias tate.edu/research/bamboo/pdf/anatomy\_protocols.pdf) for ~5 min, stained with 0.5% aniline blue for ~5 min, and then mounted in 30% Karo<sup>™</sup> Syrup (ACH Foods, Memphis, Tennessee, USA). Sections of stipe and basal regions, which are generally thicker than apical cross sections, were rehydrated and stained for longer periods. Rehydration and staining longer than 20 min caused the blades to disintegrate into a viscous mass of cells. Photomicrographs were taken on a Zeiss AxioImager A1 compound light microscope (Pleasanton, California, USA) with an Infinity2-1RC digital camera (Lumenera Corporation, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada). To illustrate the full view of the sections, several successive images from individual sections were combined using Autostitch free software (Ma *et al.*, 2007). Images of herbarium sheets were taken in the Joseph F. Rock Herbarium (HAW) using a Canon EOS 5D Mark II Digital Camera and a MK Direct Photo-eBox PLUS 1419.

# DNA sequencing and phylogenetic reconstruction

Total genomic DNA was extracted from silica gelpreserved or herbarium specimens using the OMEGA E.Z.N.A Plant DNA Kit (OMEGA Biotek, Norcross, Georgia, USA) following the manufacturer's protocol. The mitochondrial COI-5P region was amplified using the primer pairs GazF1 and GazR1 and the recommended PCR amplification profile from Saunders (2005) while the plastid rbcL gene was amplified using the following primer pairs: F7 and R753, F577 and R1381, and F993 and RrbcS start (Freshwater & Rueness, 1994), and the PCR amplification profile of Gavio & Fredericq (2002). Bidirectional DNA sequencing was performed at the Advanced Studies in Genomics, Proteomics and Bioinformatics (ASGPB) sequencing facility of the University of Hawai'i at Mānoa. Sequence data generated for all available herbarium or silica vouchers were submitted to GenBank (Supplementary table S1) and were edited and aligned with additional sequences representative for all Psaromenia and Meredithia species available in GenBank (Supplementary table S2).

Sequence alignment was performed using the MUSCLE plug-in (Edgar, 2004) with default settings in Geneious Prime (http://www.geneious.com) to construct sequence alignments for each gene: COI-5P with 25 sequences of 664 base pairs (bp), and rbcL with 26 sequences of 1358 bp, which were subsequently checked by eye. This alignment included a representative of the Dumontiaceae (Dudresnaya hawaiiensis R.K.S.Lee) as the outgroup (Saunders et al., 2017). We analysed the rbcL and COI datasets both separately and concatenated, and used PartitionFinder v.1.1.1 (Lanfear et al., 2012) to determine the best partitioning strategy for the alignments. Analyses suggested the General Time Reversible model with a gamma distributed rate variation among sites and a proportion of invariant sites (GTR+I+G) involving four partitions for the concatenated data set: (1) COI-5P and (3) codon positions of rbcL. The concatenated dataset, partitioned by gene and codon position, was used in phylogenetic reconstruction performed with Maximum likelihood (ML) (GTR +I+G) using RAxML (https://www.geneious.com/plu gins/raxml-plugin/; Stamatakis, 2014) with 1000 bootstrap replicates, and Bayesian inference (BI) using MrBayes v. 3.2.6 (https://www.geneious.com/plugins/ mrbayes-plugin/; Ronquist et al., 2012) based on the

nucleotide substitution models as determined by the Akaike Information Criteria (AIC) in MrModeltest 2.3 (Nylander *et al.*, 2008) through tree builder plugins in Geneious Prime. The Bayesian analysis was run with 2000000 generations of Markov Chain Monte Carlo iterations until the standard deviation of split frequencies was below 0.01. The first 10% of trees of each run were discarded as burn-in. Visualization of the trees was performed via the interactive Tree of Life (https://itol. embl.de/) (Letunic & Bork, 2019).

#### Results

## Phylogenetic analysis

The COI+*rbcL* concatenated alignment was 2022 bp in length and included both newly determined sequences and reference sequences of *Meredithia* and *Psaromenia* from GenBank. The ML and BI analyses produced identical topologies; thus, only the ML tree, with support values from both analyses superimposed, is shown (Fig. 1). Phylogenetic analyses confirmed the placement of the Hawaiian specimens with full support; one distinct lineage belonging to the genus *Meredithia*, and another one belonging to the genus *Psaromenia*. The concatenated COI+*rbcL* analyses demonstrated the distinctiveness of Hawaiian *Psaromenia* from the other two recognized species in the genus: *P. berggrenii* (J. Agardh) D'Archino, W.A.Nelson & Zuccarello, the type species, and *P. septentrionalis* C.W.Schneider, Popolizio &

G.W.Saunders, which were 8.83% and 7.96% divergent from the Hawaiian lineage of Psaromenia, respectively. The closest relative of the Hawaiian Psaromenia was an undescribed species collected from Jeju Island, Korea, with 4.15% divergence. Hawaiian Meredithia was 9.73% divergent from M. microphylla (J.Agardh) J.Agardh, the generitype, and was resolved as a close ally to M. norfolkensis G.W. Saunders & C.W.Schneider from Australia with 1.88% sequence divergence. Of the described species of Meredithia, only Meredithia pulchella D.L.Ballantine, Ruíz & J.N.Norris, for which only LSU sequence data are available, was not included in our analyses; however, it has been previously determined to be a sister species to M. crenata C.W.Schneider, G.W.Saunders & C.E.Lane (Ballantine et al., 2015). Thus, the two Hawaiian species have been determined to be phylogenetically distinct taxonomic units within the Meredithia-Psaromenia lineage and are proposed below as new species.

*Psaromenia laulamaula* F.P.Cabrera, Huisman & A. R.Sherwood, *sp. nov.* (Figs 2–16)

#### Description

Thallus red, blade-like, solitary, stipitate, simple, lobed or rounded with smooth to undulate margins, becoming spatulate at maturity, from 1–11 cm in height, 0.3–8 cm in width. Blades rose-pink,



0.01

Figure 1. Combined COI and *rbcL* Maximum likelihood tree of *Meredithia* and *Psaromenia* specimens in the context of published GenBank sequences. Outgroup (*Dudresnaya hawaiiensis*) pruned to facilitate presentation. Scale bar = substitutions per site. Numbers at nodes greater than 70% (bootstrap, first value) and 0.9 (Bayesian posterior probabilities, second value) are shown. Full support is indicated by an asterisk (\*).



Figure 2-5. *Psaromenia laulamaula* sp. nov. *in situ* and habit images. Fig. 2. Holotype specimen (BISH 776061) *in situ*, collected at Lisianski at 84 m. Fig. 3. Live holotype specimen (BISH 776061) cleaned of epiphytes. Fig. 4. Herbarium voucher of the holotype specimen (BISH 776061), female blades. Fig. 5. Isotype (BISH 776062), male and female blades. Scale bars: Figs 2-5, 5 cm.



**Figs 6–9.** Vegetative anatomy of mature blades of *Psaromenia laulamaula* sp. nov (BISH 776061). **Fig. 6.** Cross section through thickened margin in the median part of the blade. **Fig. 7.** Cross section through basal part of stipe. Fig. 8. Fully developed stellate ganglionic cells. **Fig. 9.** Surface view of cortical cells. Scale bars: Fig. 6, 100 μm, Fig. 7, 300 μm, Figs 8–9, 50 μm.

progressing to a rich magenta at margins and basal regions of blade. Stipe 1–5 mm in length with a small discoidal holdfast. Blades multiaxial in structure, composed of a mostly filamentous medulla with abundant, lightly staining stellate ganglionic cells with a diameter of 450–880  $\mu$ m throughout the blade. Blades 250–300  $\mu$ m thick near the margins, 200–225  $\mu$ m thick in apical part of the blade, and 270–300  $\mu$ m thick in basal regions. Cortex composed of 1–3 cell layers decreasing in size towards the surface with the largest inner cortical cells



**Figs 10–13.** Female reproductive anatomy of *Psaromenia laulamaula* sp. nov (BISH 776062). **Fig. 10.** Cross section showing a developing carpogonial branch attached near inner cortical cells showing trichogynes (arrowheads). **Fig. 11.** Cross section showing close up of a mature carposporophyte showing carposporangia in compact clusters. **Fig. 12.** Cross section through an immature carposporophyte. **Fig. 13.** Cross section through a mature carposporophyte. Scale bars: Figs 10–11, 50 µm, Figs 12–13, 200 µm.



Figs 14–16. Male reproductive structures of *Psaromenia laulamaula* sp. nov (BISH 776063). Fig. 14. Cross section through a spermatangial sorus, with spermatia (arrowhead) produced on outer cortical cells. Fig. 15. Detail of a cross section of a spermatangial sorus showing the formation of spermatangia. Fig. 16. Surface view of spermatangial sorus. Scale bars: Fig 14, 100  $\mu$ m, Figs 15–16, 50  $\mu$ m.

measuring to  $3-7 \times 8-14$  µm. Polycarpogonial branch systems borne on supporting cells produce mature cystocarps (up to 2 mm in diameter). Cystocarps scattered singly throughout the blades, extending at least 500 µm above and below the thallus surface. Spermatangial mother cells (3-7 µm length × 5-10 µm breadth) present in sori on one side of the blade. Tetrasporangia not observed. HOLOTYPE: BISH 776061 (ARS 09483; 84 m, 14. IX.2014, collected by R. Kosaki & B. Hauk).

HOLOTYPE DNA ACCESSION NUMBERS: MW250212 (COI) and MW250215 (*rbc*L).

ISOTYPE: BISH 776062 (ARS 09485;84 m, 14. IX.2014, collected by R. Kosaki & B. Hauk).

ISOTYPE DNA ACCESSION NUMBERS: MW250211 (COI).

TYPE LOCALITY: Lisianski Island (Papa'āpoho), Hawai'i (25.92698, -173.05490).

ETYMOLOGY: The epithet '*laulamaula*' is derived from the Hawaiian language and was developed by Kalani Quiocho of the PMNM Native Hawaiian Cultural Working Group (refer to Appendix in the Supplementary Information).

DISTRIBUTION: Throughout the Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument from Kure Atoll (Hōlanikū), Midway Atoll (Kuaihelani), Pearl and Hermes Atoll (Manawai), Lisianski (Papaʿāpoho) and French Frigate Shoals (Lalo), and exclusively collected from a mesophotic depth range of 83–94 m.

SPECIMENS EXAMINED: Supplementary table S1. DNA SEQUENCE DATA: Supplementary table S1.

*Habit and vegetative structure*: Thalli are simple, almost leaf-like blades that are rounded to spatulate in shape

with smooth margins that are ~ undulate. Rather than upright, blades are curled, almost sprawling or lying prostrate on the substrate in situ (Figs 2-3, Supplementary fig. S1). The rose pink to red magenta blade colour is retained even when dried. The solitary blades are 4-23 cm in height and 8-29 cm in width, attached by a 5-8 mm long stipe (Figs 4-5). Blades are  $250-300 \ \mu\text{m}$  thick along the margins (Fig. 6), 200-225µm thick at the apex of the blade and increase in the basal region to 270–300 µm thick. The stipe is densely packed with medullary filaments (Fig. 7). The medulla is composed of densely aggregated stellate ganglionic cells that are 450-880 µm in diameter (Fig. 8). Surface cortical cells are polygonal to subspherical in shape, 14-25 µm in diameter, loosely packed so that subcortical cells are visible in surface view (Fig. 9).

Reproductive morphology: Cystocarps are up to 2 mm in diameter, and are scattered over the entire blade, protruding on both sides. Carpogonial branches are initiated laterally from subcortical cells with multiple trichogynes (Fig. 10). Mature carposporangia are  $12-20 \ \mu\text{m}$  wide by 25–40  $\ \mu\text{m}$  long, and obovoid in shape (Fig. 11). Carposporophytes are  $320-450 \ \mu\text{m}$  in height and  $600-800 \ \mu\text{m}$  in diameter when developing (Fig. 12), and  $500-550 \ \mu\text{m}$  in height and  $850-1000 \ \mu\text{m}$  in diameter when mature (Fig. 13). Spermatangial sori (Figs 14–16) are scattered over one surface of blades. Tetrasporophytes were not observed.

## Meredithia hawaiiensis F.P.Cabrera, Huisman & A.R. Sherwood, sp. nov. (Figs 17-22)

DESCRIPTION: Reniform to semi-peltate red blades associated in small clumps, 0.5-1.5 cm in diameter, typically wider than tall. Thalli stipitate or nonstipitate. Stipes 415-440 µm in diameter, 1-2 mm long, densely packed with medullary filaments, bearing a single blade with smooth margins. Non-stipitate blades foliose with loosely undulate margins. Blades multiaxial in structure, composed of a filamentous medulla with occasional lightly staining stellate medullary cells throughout the blade, with 1-2 layers of subcortical cells, 8-17 µm in diameter. Blades 55-108 μm thick in apical margins, 230-275 μm thick in medial region, and 340-370 µm thick in basal portion. Cystocarps 100-200 µm diameter, fully embedded when developing and protuberant when mature, scattered throughout the blades. Male gametophytes and tetrasporophytes not observed.

HOLOTYPE: BISH 776207 (ARS 09947; 17 m, 31. VII.2019, collected by B. Hauk).

HOLOTYPE DNA ACCESSION NUMBERS: MW250209 (COI) and MW250214 (*rbc*L).

TYPE LOCALITY: Pearl and Hermes Atoll (Manawai), Hawai`i (27.91062, -175.90483).

ETYMOLOGY: The specific epithet refers to its occurrence in the Hawaiian Islands.



Figs 17–22. Meredithia hawaiiensis sp. nov. Fig. 17. Live holotype specimen (BISH 776207), collected at Lisianski at 55 m. Scale bar = 2.5 cm. Fig. 18. Herbarium voucher of the holotype specimen (BISH 776061). Fig. 19. Crosssection through basal part of the blade showing a carpogonial branch (arrow), and inner cortical cells (ic). Fig. 20. Cross section through stipe. Fig. 21. Cross section through margins of the blade. Fig. 22. Cross section through the apical part of the blade. Scale bars: Figs 17–18, 2.5 cm, Figs 19–22, 100 μm.

DISTRIBUTION: Geographic range extends from shallow and mesophotic depths in the Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument at Lisianski (Papa'āpoho) (at 55 m) to the MHI (Maui) in the shallow intertidal (less than 1 m).

SPECIMENS EXAMINED: Supplementary table S1.

DNA SEQUENCE DATA: Supplementary table S1. *Habit and vegetative structure*: Thalli consist of simple, semi-peltate to lobed blades, 0.5–1.5 cm in diameter, typically wider than tall. Blades are erect and solitary. *In situ*, blades are rose pink to red magenta in colour, turning to dark fuchsia when dried (Figs 17–18). Blades have smoother margins and are single-lobed when a stipe is present, undulate margins and irregularly lobed when stipes are absent. Inner cortical cells are polygonal to subrounded in surface view and are 5–15  $\mu$ m in diameter (Fig. 19). Stipes are 415–440  $\mu$ m in diameter and 1–2 mm long (Fig. 20). Blades are 230–275  $\mu$ m thick at the apical portion of the blade, progressively thickening at the basal part of the blade (340–370  $\mu$ m) and becoming thinner at the margins (55–108  $\mu$ m) (Figs 21–22).

*Reproductive morphology*: Specimens included occasional blades with protruding cystocarps (0.2–0.4 mm in diameter), such as those observed in the holotype (BISH 776207). However, observations of reproductive

development were limited by a paucity of material. Carpogonial branches are initiated laterally from subcortical cells (Fig. 19), but further development was not observed. Male gametophytes and tetrasporophytes were not observed.

# Discussion

The discovery of the two novel kallymeniacean species in Hawai'i, Psaromenia laulamaula and Meredithia hawaiiensis, demonstrates that the archipelago, despite a long history of phycological studies, still harbours an undescribed marine flora. These species have possibly been overlooked due to their relatively small size and unique habitats. Psaromenia laulamaula represents the third species formally described in the genus, and the first to be described from the North Pacific, extending the known distribution of Psaromenia beyond Bermuda, New Zealand, Korea and Australia. Its closest relative in our analyses, 'Psaromenia sp.1\_Jeju', is an undescribed Korean species (Schneider et al., 2014). Biogeographic links between Hawaiian and Korean material have been observed recently for other mesophotic red algal species in Hawai'i (i.e. Martensia albida Y.Lee, Herposiphonia spp. Nägeli, Gracilaria parvispora I.A.Abbott; Kim et al., 2008; Koh et al., 2018; Sherwood et al., 2019), and this biogeographic pattern for Psaromenia represents an additional potential link between the two floras.

In terms of gross morphology, P. laulamaula is easily distinguished by its simple blades that usually lie prostrate on the substratum, which contrasts with the foliose to much divided and erect blades of berggrenii (D'Archino et al., 2010) and Р. P. septentrionalis (Schneider et al., 2019). In contrast to P. berggrenii and P. septentrionalis which both have divided to branched blades, blades of P. laulamaula are solitary and undivided. Moreover, the cystocarps of *P. laulamaula* are comparatively larger (Table 1) and possess more densely packed carposporangia than all other currently described species. As with P. berggrenii the generitype, P. laulamaula is a variable species, especially with respect to its blade morphology. The holotype material has spatulate blades, whereas other collections include blades that are only slightly broadened at the apex. It has been noted that the morphology of *P. berggrenii* is variable in relation to age and depth (D'Archino et al., 2010). Like all other members of Psaromenia, no tetrasporangial plants of P. laulamaula were observed (D'Archino et al., 2010; Schneider et al., 2019). Given the isolation of the PMNM and the uniqueness of its macrofloral community, we suspect that the new species is endemic to the reefs of Hawai'i.

Meredithia hawaiiensis represents the twelfth species formally described in the genus, with other

	P. laulamaula F.P.Cabrera,	P. berggrenii D'Archino, W.A.Nelson &	P. septentrionalis C.W.Schneider,
	Huisman & A.R.Sherwood	Zuccarello – generitype	Popolizio & G.W.Saunders
Gross morphology			
Blade shape	narrowly to broadly spatulate	lobed, laciniate to foliose	ligulate
Branching	non-branching	non-branching	subdichotomously branched
Margins	smooth to undulate	smooth to eroded margins	marginal proliferations
Blade dimensions	$0.3-8 \times 1-13$ cm	up to $38 \times 26$ cm	up to 13 cm tall
Blade colour	rose pink to magenta red	dark red to dark brown	rosy red
Blade thickness	220-300 µm	220–650 μm	300-500 µm thick
Stipe	always present, $0.2-1 \times 1-5$ mm	if present, 0.5 cm	absent
Vegetative structures			
Outer cortical cells	narrowly to broadly spatulate	polyhedral; 5–9 μm	polyhedral; 3.5–7.5 μm
Inner cortical cell	1–3 cell layers	2-4 cell layers	1–2 cell layers
layers			
Inner cortical cells	smooth to undulate	isodiametric; 28–32 μm	subglobose; 33.5–67.5 μm
Medulla	filamentous	filamentous	filamentous
Stellate cells	150-300 μm	200–300 μm	-o.n.d
Reproductive			
structures			
Carpogonial branch	polycarpogonial	variable, mono-polycarpogonial branches	monocarpogonial
system			
Cystocarp	~2.0 mm	1–1.5 mm	~1.3 mm
Carpospores	$12-20 \times 25-40 \ \mu m$	18–21.5 μm	obpyriform to spherical; 9.5–17.0 μm
Spermatangia	$3-7 \times 5-10 \ \mu m$	ovoid spermatangia; $2.6-4.3 \times 4.4-7 \ \mu m$	-o.n.d
Tetrasporangia	not observed	not observed	not observed
occurrence			
Geographic	NWHI	New Zealand	Bermuda
distribution			
Depth distribution	84-94 m	3–25 m	90 m

T 11		0	•	c		1 1	• 1	1		· · ·	· 1	c	.1		D	
Lable		Com	narison	OT.	mori	nnoi	ooical	char	acters	0Ť	members	OT.	the	oeniis.	Psarn	menia
I GUIG	· • •	COM	pulloon	O1	morp	JIIOI	Ogicui	ciiui	acters	O1	memoero	O1	unc	Scinuo	1 50010	menner.

-o.n.d, observed but not described.

species reported from the Atlantic, Indian and Pacific Oceans. The available material for M. hawaiiensis consists of young blades, which are difficult to distinguish from other members of the genus. For this reason, recognition of M. hawaiiensis is based primarily on the molecular analysis. Gross and vegetative morphological observations demonstrated high polymorphism with substantial overlap among Meredithia species, and unfortunately reproductive morphology also provides little power in delineating species, as reproductive structures in more than half of the species were either not observed or observed but not described in sufficient detail (Tables 2 and 3). Diagnostic morphological features of Meredithia species are often difficult to establish because morphological differences between the species are small and subtle, and thus weakly differentiated. Considerable morphological variability in Meredithia remains poorly reflected in dichotomous identification keys and is not easily related to the phylogenetic patterns, which is why species within the genus are still largely distinguished from each other on a molecular basis (Schneider et al., 2014; Saunders et al., 2017). All members of Meredithia except M. nana have average blade lengths of 3-5 cm. This underlines an important level of morphological constraint related to size and illustrates how molecular tools will continue to be paramount in distinguishing species. Nevertheless, we found a small set of vegetative characters (blade shape, blade thickness and presence of stipe; Tables 2 and 3) that can be useful in distinguishing Meredithia species. Given its limited recorded distribution in

Hawai'i, we also suspect that *M. hawaiiensis* is endemic to Hawaiian reefs.

Our work on Hawaiian stipitate red blades is inconclusive with respect to the Deep Reef Refuge Hypothesis, which postulates that mesophotic reefs may function as refugia when there is considerable extent of species overlap with shallow-water counterparts (Bongaerts *et al.*, 2017).

Psaromenia laulamaula is documented exclusively at deeper mesophotic depths (with water temperatures as low as 16°C at > 80 m), similar to its Atlantic congener, P. septentrionalis (with slightly warmer water temperatures at ~19-20°C year-round at 100 m). A number of other members of the endemic Hawaiian flora, including Codium campanulatum P.C. Silva & M.E.Chacana (95 m), Martensia abbottiae A.R. Sherwood & S.-M.Lin (65-93 m) and M. lauhiekoeloa A.R.Sherwood & S.-M.Lin (61-67 m), have only been found at lower mesophotic depths, while C. hawaiiense P.C.Silva & M.E.Chacana (35 m) and C. intermedium P.C.Silva & M.E.Chacana (45-55 m) have only been found at upper mesophotic depths. In contrast, Meredithia hawaiiensis was first collected in 2007 in the intertidal on Maui, MHI and at mesophotic depths at Lisianski (55 m) in PMNM, exhibiting distributional overlap between shallow and mesophotic communities. Some members of the endemic Hawaiian flora such as C. desultorium P.C.Silva & M.E.Chacana (27-37 m) exhibit a narrow range of distributional overlap, while Martensia hawaiiensis A.R.Sherwood & S.-M.Lin (1-65 m), M. tsudae A.R.Sherwood & S.-M. Lin (~126 m) and Halimeda kanaloana Vroom

Gross morphology Blade shape       reniform, semi- peltate to foliose       semi-peltate, auriculate       prostrate       opuntioid       spiralling oval to elongate       peltate, irregularly branching         Branching pattern       non branching       alternate to marginal branching       anastomosing       marginal branching with secondary stipes         Blade dimensions       0.5–1.5 cm       -o.n.d       1-3 cm       2.0–3.5 cm       2.5–4.0 cm ×       - 2.5 cm.         Blade colour       red magenta to dark fuchsian       rose-red, brick red to purplish       -o.n.d       <	<u> </u>	Meredithia hawaiiensis F.P. Cabrera, Huisman & A.R.Sherwood	Meredithia microphylla (J. Agardh) J.Agardh – generitype	<i>Meredithia</i> <i>kraftii</i> G.W. Saunders & C. W.Schneider	<i>Meredithia</i> <i>opuntioides</i> G.W. Saunders & C.W. Schneider	<i>Meredithia</i> <i>pseudopeltata</i> G. W.Saunders & C. W.Schneider	<i>Meredithia pulchella</i> D.L. Ballantine, H. Ruíz & J.N. Norris
Branching patternnon branchingalternate to marginal branchingmarginal branching with secondary stipemarginal branching with secondary stipemarginal branching with secondary stipeMarginssmoothsmooth to crenulatedundulate to crispatesmooth to crenulatedundulate to crispatebranching with secondary stipebranching with secondary stipeBlade dimensions $0.5-1.5 \text{ cm}$ $-o.n.d$ $1-3 \text{ cm}$ $2.0-3.5 \text{ cm}$ $2.5-4.0 \text{ cm} \times$ $2.5 \text{ cm}.Blade colourred magenta todark fuchsia1-2 \text{ mm}-o.n.d200-275 \ \mum1-2 \ mm200-350 \ \mum1.5-2.0 \ mm \times1.5-2.0 \ mm \times250-400 \ \mum110 \ \mum-o.n.dStipe0.02-0.4 \ mm \times1-2 \ mm10-30 \ mm1.5-2.0 \ mm \times1.5-2.0 \ mm \times200-350 \ \mum-0.n.d250-400 \ \mum110 \ \mum-o.n.dVegetative structuresOuter cortical celllayers2.5-5.0 \ \mum \times5.0-7.5 \ \mum3.5-6 \ \mum\mum \times-o.n.d-o.n.do-n.dInner cortical celllayers1-2 \ cell layers2-4 \ cell layers2-3 \ cell layers2-3 \ cell layers2-3 \ cell layersInner cortical cellslayerspolygonal to sub-rounded; 5-153.5-6 \ \mumisodiametricisodiametricdimorphic; 3-5 \ -n.d-n.dMedullafilamentous; 3-6 \ \mum3.5-6 \ \mumisodiametric\mum \times5-3 \ cell layers2-3 \ cell layersInner cortical cellslayersfilamentous; 3-6 \ \mum3.5-6 \ \mum-o.n.d \ -o.n.d$	Gross morphology Blade shape	nology reniform, semi- peltate to foliose	semi-peltate, auriculate	prostrate	opuntioid	spiralling oval to elongate	peltate, irregularly circular
Marginssmoothsmooth to crenulatedundulate to crispatesmooth to irregularbroadly undulateirregular to crenulatedBlade dimensions0.5–1.5 cm-o.n.d1-3 cm2.0–3.5 cm2.5–4.0 cm × 2.5 cm.2.5 cm.Blade colourred magenta to dark fuchsiarose-red, brick red to purplish-o.n.d-o.n.d-o.n.d-o.n.d-o.n.d3 cm tallBlade thickness55–370 µm-o.n.d200–275 µm200–350 µm250–400 µm110 µmStipe0.02–0.4 mm ×10–30 mm1.5–2.0 mm × 1.5–2.0 mm~1 mm × 1–2 mm1.5 mm wide-o.n.dVegetative structures02.5–5.0 µm × 5.0–7.5 µm3.5–6 µmdimorphic; 3–6 µm × 5–8-o.n.dobclavate; 2.5–3.5 µm × 5.0–10 µm-o.n.dInner cortical cell layers1–2 cell layers2–4 cell layers2–3 cell layers2–3 cell layers2–3 cell layersInner cortical cells polygonal to sub- rounded; 5–15 µmfilamentousfilamentousfilamentous; 8 µm × 5–9 µm-n.d-n.dMedullafilamentous; 3–6 µmfilamentousfilamentousmoderately µm × 5–9 µmdensely µm widefilamentous; 2 µmStellate cells structures150–200 µm200–300 µm-o.n.d-o.n.d-o.n.d-o.n.dCarpogonial branch systemnot observed ?monocarpogonial ?not observed ?not observed ?not observed ?	Branching pattern	attern non branching	alternate to marginal branching	anastomosing	marginal branching with secondary stipes	marginal branching	marginal branching with secondary stipes
Blade dimensions $0.5-1.5 \text{ cm}$ $-o.n.d$ $1.3 \text{ cm}$ $2.0-3.5 \text{ cm}$ $2.5-4.0 \text{ cm} \times 2.5 \text{ cm}$ Blade colourred magenta to dark fuchsiarose-red, brick red to purplish $-o.n.d$ $-o.n.d$ $-o.n.d$ $3 \text{ cm}$ tallBlade thickness $55-370 \ \mu\text{m}$ $-o.n.d$ $200-275 \ \mu\text{m}$ $200-350 \ \mu\text{m}$ $250-400 \ \mu\text{m}$ $110 \ \mu\text{m}$ Stipe $0.02-0.4 \ \text{mm} \times 10-30 \ \text{mm}$ $1.5-2.0 \ \text{mm} \times -1 \ \text{mm} \times 1-2 \ \text{mm}$ $250-400 \ \mu\text{m}$ $110 \ \mu\text{m}$ Vegetative structures $0.2-7.5 \ \mu\text{m}$ $200-370 \ \mu\text{m} \times 1-2 \ \text{mm}$ $250-400 \ \mu\text{m}$ $110 \ \mu\text{m}$ Outer cortical cells $2.5-5.0 \ \mu\text{m} \times 3.5-6 \ \mu\text{m}$ dimorphic; $3-6 \ -o.n.d$ $-o.n.d$ $obclavate; 2.5-3.5 \ -o.n.d$ $-o.n.d$ Inner cortical cell $1-2 \ \text{cell}$ layers $2-4 \ \text{cell}$ layers $2-3 \ \text{cell}$ layers $-n.d \ -n.d \ -0.n.d $	Margins	smooth	smooth to crenulated	undulate to crispate	smooth to irregular	broadly undulate	irregular to crenulated
Blade colourred magenta to dark fuchsiarose-red, brick red to purplish-o.n.d-o.n.d-o.n.d-o.n.d3 cm tallBlade thickness $55-370 \ \mu\text{m}$ $-o.n.d$ $200-275 \ \mu\text{m}$ $200-350 \ \mu\text{m}$ $250-400 \ \mu\text{m}$ $110 \ \mu\text{m}$ Stipe $0.02-0.4 \ mm \times$ $10-30 \ mm$ $1.5-2.0 \ mm \times$ $-1 \ mm \times 1-2 \ mm$ $1.5 \ mm \times 1-2 \ mm$ $1.5 \ mm \times 1-2 \ mm$ $-o.n.d$ $-o.n.d$ $-o.n.d$ $-o.n.d$ $-o.n.d$ $-o.n.d$ $-o.n.d$ $-o.n.d$ $110 \ \mu\text{m}$ Vegetative structures $1-2 \ mm$ $10-30 \ mm$ $1.5-2.0 \ mm \times$ $-1 \ mm \times 1-2 \ mm$ $1.5 \ mm \times 1-2 \ mm$ $1.5 \ mm \times 1-2 \ mm$ $-o.n.d$ $-n.d$ $-o.n.d$ $-n.d$ $-o.n.d$ $-n.d$ $-o.n.d$ $-o$	Blade dimensions	usions 0.5–1.5 cm	–o.n.d	1-3 cm	2.0-3.5 cm	2.5–4.0 cm × 2.5 cm.	
Blade thickness $55-370 \ \mu\text{m}$ $-\text{o.n.d}$ $200-275 \ \mu\text{m}$ $200-350 \ \mu\text{m}$ $250-400 \ \mu\text{m}$ $110 \ \mu\text{m}$ Stipe $0.02-0.4 \ \text{mm} \times 10-30 \ \text{mm}$ $1.5-2.0 \ \text{mm} \times 1-2 \ \text{mm}$ $1.5 \ \text{mm} \times 5-3 \ \text{mm}$ $1.5 \ \text{mm} \times 5-9 \ \text{mm}$ $1.5 \ \text{mm} \times 10^{-0.5 \ \text{mm}}$ $1.5 \ \text{mm} \times 10^{-0.5 \ \text{mm}}$ $1.5 \ \text{mm} \times$	Blade colour	red magenta to dark fuchsia	rose-red, brick red to purplish	-o.n.d	–o.n.d	–o.n.d	3 cm tall
Vegetative structures Outer cortical cells 2.5–5.0 $\mu$ m × 3.5–6 $\mu$ m dimorphic; 3–6 –o.n.d obclavate; 2.5–3.5 –o.n.d $\mu$ m × 5–8 $\mu$ m × 5.0–10 $\mu$ m m Inner cortical cell 1–2 cell layers 2–4 cell layers 2–3 cell layer 2–3 mm poi conserved point observed point observed point observed point observed point observed point described point conserved point cobserved poi	Blade thickness Stipe	.ess 55–370 μm 0.02–0.4 mm × 1–2 mm	-o.n.d 10-30 mm	200–275 μm 1.5–2.0 mm × 1.5–2.0 mm	200–350 μm ~1 mm × 1–2 mm	250– 400 μm 1.5 mm wide	110 μm –o.n.d
Inner cortical cell 1–2 cell layers 2–4 cell layers 2–3 cell	Vegetative structures Outer cortical cells	ructures al cells 2.5–5.0 μm × 5.0–7.5 μm	3.5–6 µm	dimorphic; 3–6 $\mu m \times 5-8$	-o.n.d	obclavate; 2.5–3.5 μm × 5.0–10	-o.n.d
Inner cortical cells polygonal to sub- rounded; 5–15 $\mu$ m isodiametric dimorphic; 3–5 – n.d – n.d – n.d m Medulla filamentous; 3–6 filamentous filamentous moderately densely filamentous; 2 $\mu$ m filamentous; 8 filamentous; 8 filamentous $\mu$ m wide Stellate cells 150–200 $\mu$ m 200–300 $\mu$ m – o.n.d – o.n.d – o.n.d – o.n.d – o.n.d – o.n.d – o.n.d Reproductive structures Carpogonial branch not observed monocarpogonial not observed monocarpogonial not observed n	Inner cortical cell	al cell 1–2 cell layers	2-4 cell layers	2–3 cell layers	2-3 cell layers	2–3 cell layers	2-3 cell layers
Medulla       filamentous; 3-6 μm       filamentous       filamentous       moderately filamentous; 8 μm wide       densely filamentous; 2 μm       filamentous; 2 μm         Stellate cells       150-200 μm       200-300 μm       -o.n.d       -o.n.d       -o.n.d       -o.n.d       -o.n.d         Reproductive structures       150-200 μm       200-300 μm       -o.n.d       -o.n.d       -o.n.d       -o.n.d       -o.n.d         Carpogonial branch system       not observed       monocarpogonial       not observed       not observed       not observed       not observed       not observed	Inner cortical cells	al cells polygonal to sub- rounded; 5–15 um	3.5-6 µm	isodiametric	dimorphic; 3–5 μm × 5–9 μm	-n.d	-n.d
Stellate cells       150–200 μm       200–300 μm       -o.n.d       -o.n.d       -o.n.d       -o.n.d         Reproductive structures       structures       reprogenial branch system       not observed       monocarpogonial       not observed       not observed       not observed       not observed         Cvstoccarp       1 mm       2–3 mm       not observed       not observed       not observed       not observed       not observed	Medulla	filamentous; 3–6 μm	filamentous	filamentous	moderately filamentous; 8 µm wide	densely filamentous	filamentous; 2 μm
Carpogonial branch not observed monocarpogonial not observed monocarpogonial not observed not observed ? Cystocarp l mm 2-3 mm pot observed not obs	Stellate cells Reproductive structures	150–200 μm	200–300 μm	–o.n.d	–o.n.d	–o.n.d	-o.n.d
Cystocarp 1 mm 2-3 mm not observed not observed not observed not described	Carpogonial branch system	branch not observed	monocarpogonial	not observed	monocarpogonial ?	not observed	not observed
	Cystocarp	1 mm	2-3 mm	not observed	not observed	not observed	not described
Carpospores not observed 7.5–15 µm not observed not observed not observed not observed	Carpospores	not observed	7.5–15 μm	not observed	not observed	not observed	not observed
Spermatangia not observed oval to spherical; not observed not observed not observed not observed not observed 1.5-3.5 μm	Spermatangia	a not observed	oval to spherical; 1.5-3.5 μm	not observed	not observed	not observed	not observed
Tetrasporangia         not observed         -n.d.         not observed         not observed         not observed           occurrence         Bergen, Norway	Tetrasporangia occurrence	gia not observed e	–n.d. Bergen, Norway	not observed	not observed	not observed	not observed
Geographic NWHI* and MHI British Isles*, Canary Lord Howe South-eastern Rottnest Island*, Bermuda,	Geographic	NWHI* and MHI	British Isles*, Canary	Lord Howe	South-eastern	Rottnest Island*,	Bermuda,
distribution Isles, Bardsley Island*, Tasmania*, Pt. Peron in Florida,	distribution	)n	Isles, Bardsley	Island*,	Tasmania*,	Pt. Peron in	Florida,
(*Type locality) Island and Western Australia Australia Western Caribbean, Mediterranean Australia Puerto Rico*	(*Type locality)	cality)	Island and Western Mediterranean	Australia	Australia	Western Australia	Caribbean, Puerto Rico*
Depth distribution         0-17 m, 55 m         1.5-30 m         15 m         6 m         2.5 m         17-70 m	Depth distribution	bution 0–17 m, 55 m	1.5–30 m	15 m	6 m	2.5 m	17–70 m

Table 2. Comparison of morphological characters of members of the genus Meredithia.

-o.n.d, observed but not described, -n.d, not described.

(1–85 m) have wider ranges of distributional overlap between shallow and mesophotic depths. The variability observed in terms of distributional overlap within shallow and mesophotic communities in this study corroborates trends from other Hawaiian mesophotic studies (Sherwood *et al.* 2019; Spalding, 2012; Silva & Chacana, 2014), in that the evidence for connectivity among MCE and shallow macroalgal populations can differ by species.

Currently observed patterns of geographic distribution of the *Psaromenia-Meredithia* clade show different aspects of the natural history of both genera (D'Archino *et al.*, 2010; Schneider *et al.*, 2014, 2019). Patterns are complex as species are not clustered by biogeographic region, suggesting that alternative biogeographic processes or dispersal routes are playing a role in the observed patterns (McDermid & Abbott, 2006). Hawaiian *Psaromenia* and *Meredithia* species display *rbcL* divergence typically in the 7–9% range from their Atlantic congeners, which denotes separation ~11.66-15 Ma based on the strict (median) molecular clocks of Bringloe (2018) where the rbcL clock normal priors 0.30%/Ma. This timeframe of separation between Atlantic and Pacific species pre-dates the gradational closure of the Panama seaway (~4 Ma), when gene flow between marine organisms on either Pacific and Atlantic ocean basins was likely to have been achieved (Jacobs et al. 2004). Detailed molecular clock analyses including increased taxonomic sampling and additional molecular markers are needed before stronger conclusions can be drawn about the biogeographic and diversification patterns of the Hawaiian marine flora.

In summary, this study represents a step towards increasing our understanding of mesophotic diversity and taxonomy, tripling the number of known genera in the family Kallymeniaceae in Hawai'i (Abbott, 1999), and joining a growing body of work characterizing the algal diversity of Hawaiian MCEs Spalding, 2012; Silva

	-	Meredithia	Meredithia		•	Maradithia
		norfolkensis G.W.	nutleorum G.W.	Meredithia	Meredithia crenata C.	mereannia animorum C W
	Meredithia nana L	Saunders & C.W.	Saunders & C.W.	compaginata G	W.Schneider, G.W.	guiryorum G.W.
	Agardh	Schneider	Schneider	W Saunders	Saunders & C F Lane	Saunders & C.W.
	ngaran	Semicidei	Semicidei	W.Saunders	baunders & O.E.Eane	Schneider
Gross						
morphology						
Blade shape	flattened	opuntioid	foliose	peltate	reniform to flattened	non-peltate
Branching	regularly	•			subdichotomously	marginal branching
0	alternately to				branched	0 0
rarely, marginal	marginal	marginal branching	non-branching			
branching	branching	with secondary				
		stipes				
Margins	smooth to slightly	-0.n.d	loosely undulate to	smooth to	crenulated with	irregular
C	irregular		prostrate	irregularly	finger-like	e
	0		I	crenulate	projections	
Blade length	5–15 cm	1– 2 cm	1.0-2.5 cm	0.25–1.20 cm	1.5–6 cm	1.0-2.5 cm
Blade colour	dark red	-ond	-ond	-0 n d	-ond	-ond
Blade	200_450 um	200_300 um	200_300 um	140 210 um	300 um	200_270 lm
thicknoor	200–450 µm	200–300 µm	200–300 µm	140-210 µm	500 µm	200-270 III
Chima	a n d	<1 mm × 2 4 mm	1	0 5 0 8 mm v	d	0.5.1.0
Supe	-0.11.u	<1 mm × 2-4 mm	~1 11111 × 1-2 11111	0.5-0.8 mm x	-0.11.d	~0.5=1.0 IIIII X
37				0.5–0.8 mm		1–2 mm
vegetative						
structures						
Outer cortical	ovoid, 1.5–2 μm	2.5–5.0 μm ×	3–6 μm × 3–8 μm	4–8 μm	-n.d.	2.5–5.0 μm ×
cells		5.0–7.5 μm				5.0–7.5 μm
Inner cortical	1–2 cell layers	2–3 cell layers	1–2 cell layers	2–3 cell layers	4–5 cell layers	2–3 cell layers
cell layers						
Inner cortical	-0.n.d	-0.n.d	-o.n.d	7-10 μm × 5–7	-o.n.d	-0.n.d
cells				μm		
Medulla	moderately dense	moderately	moderately	rectilinear	finely filamentous; 1.5	-0.n.d
	filamentous; 2-6	filamentous	filamentous	filaments;	μm	
	um			3–6 um	,	
Stellate cells	150–200 um	-0.n.d	-0.n.d	-0.n.d	-o.n.d	-0.n.d
Reproductive						
structures						
Carpogonial	not identified	not observed	not observed	polycarpogonial	not observed	not observed
branch	not identified	not observed	not observed	polycarpogolilai	not observed .	not observed
ovotom						
Custo com	1.2	not obcomrod	mot cheemred	mot obcomrod	100	not obcomrod
Cystocarp	1-2 11111	not observed	not observed	not observed	400 µm	not observed
Carpospores	10–15 µm	not observed	not observed	not observed	3 μm	not observed
Spermatangia	-0.n.d	not observed	not observed	2.5 µm	2 μm	not observed
Tetrasporangia	25–38 µm	not observed	not observed	not observed	not observed	not observed
occurrence						
(*Type	Port Phillips	Norfolk Island*,	Fish Bowl, Nepean	Cocos (Keeling)	Bermuda*, Western	Lord Howe Island,
locality)	Head*, Australia	Australia	Island, Norfolk*	Islands*,	Atlantic	Australia
			Island, Australia	Australia		
Depth		12 m	10 m	5 m	2-6 m	
distribution						

able 5. Continuation of comparison of morphological characters of members of the genus mercuur	Гab	a	a	b	l	e	3	3.		C	c	)r	۱t	iı	11	16	at	ia	or	l	С	of	¢	20	)r	n	p	a	r	is	6	)r	l	С	f	1	n	n	0	r	p.	h	0	bl	0	g	ŗi	c	al	l	с	h	a	ra	a	ct	e	er	s	(	of		m	ıe	n	ıl	)(	er	s	С	of	t	h	е	g	eı	n	u	s	1	И	e	re	d	it	h	ic	ı.
--	-----	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	--	---	---	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	---	---	----	---	----	----	---	---	---	---	----	---	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	---	---	----	---	---	----	---	----	---	---	---	---	----	---	----	---	----	---	---	----	--	---	----	---	----	----	----	---	---	----	---	---	---	---	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	---	----	---	----	----

-o.n.d, observed but not described, -n.d, not described.

& Chacana, 2014; Spalding *et al.*, 2016; Wade *et al.*, 2018; Sauvage *et al.*, 2019; Sherwood *et al.*, 2019). Additionally, the present study underscores how much undescribed biodiversity remains in the archipelago, and that even dwarf stipitate red blades deserve systematic attention for detection of biodiversity. Further phycological studies, particularly of specimens that remain unidentified and material associated with mesophotic environments, is likely to further increase known algal biodiversity in the Hawaiian Archipelago.

#### Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge K. Quiocho for his invaluable contribution in developing the species epithet of *P. laulamaula* through the NOAA-PMNM Cultural Working Group. We are also grateful to M. Guiry and M. Wynne for their nomenclatural advice as we worked in collaboration with K. Quiocho in naming *P. laulamaula* in a cultural context. We are most indebted to B. Hauk, D. Wagner and T. Williams for significantly contributing

to the collections analysed in the study. L. Robbins and C. Cyr kindly assisted with pressing specimens. We thank J. Douglas and P. Sendao for helping with herbarium and database work associated with the study.

#### **Disclosure statement**

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

# Funding

This work was supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation (DEB-1754117), the U.S. National Fish & Wildlife Foundation (NFWF 0810.18.059023), the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument, NOAA Coastal Ocean Program (NA07NOS4780187 and NA07NO S478190 to the University of Hawai'i), NOAA's Undersea Research Program and Coral Reef Conservation Program through the Hawai'i Undersea Research Laboratory (NA09OAR4300219 and NA05OAR4301108), and NOAA's Office of Ocean Exploration. J. Leonard, K. Lopes, S. Matadobra, T. Efird and H. Owen assisted with diving and small boat operations. The scientific views and conclusions, as well as any views or opinions expressed herein, are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the above organizations.

#### Supplementary information:

The following supplementary material is accessible via the Supplementary Content tab on the article's online page at https://doi.org/10.1080/09670262.2021.1891462.

**Supplementary table S1**. List of *Meredithia hawaiiensis* and *Psaromenia laulamaula* samples used in morphological characterization, combined COI and *rbcL* phylogenetic analysis and accession numbers in GenBank.

**Supplementary table S2.** List of additional *Meredithia* and *Psaromenia* species used in combined COI and *rbcL* phylogenetic analysis and accession numbers in GenBank. **Supplementary figure S1.** Map of collection sites around the Main Hawaiian Islands (MHI) and North-western Hawaiian Islands (NWHI).

**Appendix**. Memorandum on developing the specific nomenclature of *Psaromenia laulamaula*.

#### Author contributions

F. Cabrera: original concept, drafting and editing manuscript; J. Huisman: editing manuscript and morphological work; H. Spalding: collection of samples and sample processing; R. Kosaki: collection of samples; A. Sherwood: original concept, editing manuscript.

#### ORCID

Alison R. Sherwood () http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5079-9621

#### References

- Abbott, I.A. (1999). Marine Red Algae of the Hawaiian Islands. Bishop Museum Press, Honolulu.
- Abbott, I.A. & McDermid, K.J. (2002). On two species of *Kallymenia* (Rhodophyta: Gigartinales: Kallymeniaceae) from the Hawaiian Islands, Central Pacific. *Pacific Science*, **56**: 149–162.
- Ballantine, D.L., Ruíz, H. & Norris, J.N. (2015). Notes on the benthic marine algae of Puerto Rico, XI: new records including new *Meredithia* (Kallymeniaceae, Rhodophyta) species. *Botanica Marina*, 58: 355–365.
- Bongaerts, P., Riginos, C., Brunner, R., Englebert, N., Smith, S.R. & Hoegh-Guldberg, O. (2017). Deep reefs are not universal refuges: reseeding potential varies among coral species. *Science Advances*, 3: e1602373.
- Bringloe, T.T. (2018). The biogeographic history and contemporary origins of North American Arctic marine macroalgae. PhD Dissertation, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton.
- Bringloe, T.T., Sjøtun, K. & Saunders, G.W. (2019). A DNA barcode survey of marine macroalgae from Bergen (Norway). *Marine Biology Research*, 15: 580–589.
- D'Archino, R., Nelson, W.A. & Zuccarello, G.C. (2010). *Psaromenia* (Kallymeniaceae, Rhodophyta): a new genus for Kallymenia berggrenii. Phycologia, 49: 73-85.

- Edgar, R.C. (2004). MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **32**: 1792–1797.
- Freshwater, D.W. & Rueness, J. (1994). Phylogenetic relationships of some European *Gelidium* (Gelidiales, Rhodophyta) species, based on *rbcL* nucleotide sequence analysis. *Phycologia*, 33: 187–194.
- Gavio, B. & Fredericq, S. (2002). Grateloupia turuturu (Halymeniaceae, Rhodophyta) is the correct name of the non-native species in the Atlantic known as Grateloupia doryphora. European Journal of Phycology, 37: 349–359.
- Guiry, M.D. & Guiry, G.M. (2020). AlgaeBase. World-wide electronic publication, National University of Ireland, Galway. http://www.algaebase.org.
- Hinderstein, L.M., Marr, J.C.A., Martinez, F.A., Dowgiallo, M.J., Puglise, K.A., Pyle, R.L., Zawada, D.G. & Appeldoorn, R. (2010). Theme section on 'Mesophotic Coral Ecosystems: Characterization, Ecology, and Management'. Coral Reefs, 29: 247–251.
- Huisman, J.M., Saunders, G.W., Le Gall, L. & Vergés, A. (2016). *Rhytimenia*, a new genus of red algae based on the rare *Kallymenia maculata* (Kallymeniaceae, Rhodophyta). *Phycologia*, 55: 299–307.
- Jacobs, D.K., Haney, T.A. & Louie, K.D. (2004). Genes, diversity, and geologic process on the Pacific coast. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, **32**: 601–652.
- Kim, M.S., Kim, M., Terada, R., Yang, E.C. & Boo, S.M. (2008). Gracilaria parvispora is the correct name of the species known as G. bursa-pastoris in Korea and Japan. Taxon, 57: 231–237.
- Koh, Y.H., Kim, M.S., Koh, Y.H. & Kim, M.S. (2018). Taxonomic revision of the genus *Herposiphonia* (Rhodomelaceae, Rhodophyta) from Korea, with the description of three new species. *Algae*, 33: 69–84.
- Lanfear, R., Calcott, B., Ho, S.Y. & Guindon, S. (2012). PartitionFinder: combined selection of partitioning schemes and substitution models for phylogenetic analyses. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **29**: 1695–1701.
- Letunic, I. & Bork, P. (2019). Interactive Tree of Life (iTOL) v4: recent updates and new developments. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **47**: W256–W259.
- Ma, B., Zimmermann, T., Rohde, M., Winkelbach, S., He, F., Lindenmaier, W. & Dittmar, K.E. (2007). Use of autostitch for automatic stitching of microscope images. *Micron*, 38: 492–499.
- McDermid, K.J. & Abbott, I.A. (2006). Deep subtidal marine plants from the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands: new perspectives on biogeography. *Atoll Research Bulletin*, **543**: 525–532.
- Nylander, J.A., Wilgenbusch, J.C., Warren, D.L. & Swofford, D. L. (2008). AWTY (are we there yet?): a system for graphical exploration of MCMC convergence in Bayesian phylogenetics. *Bioinformatics*, 24: 581–583.
- Ronquist, F., Teslenko, M., Van Der Mark, P., Ayres, D.L., Darling, A., Höhna, S., Larget, B., Liu, L., Suchard, M. A. & Huelsenbeck, J.P. (2012). MrBayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. *Systematic Biology*, **61**: 539–542.
- Saunders, G.W. (2005). Applying DNA barcoding to red macroalgae: a preliminary appraisal holds promise for future applications. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **360**: 1879–1888.
- Saunders, G.W., Huisman, J.M., Vergés, A., Kraft, G.T. & Le Gall, L. (2017). Phylogenetic analyses support recognition of ten new genera, ten new species and 16 new

combinations in the family Kallymeniaceae (Gigartinales, Rhodophyta). *Cryptogamie, Algologie*, **38**: 79–132.

- Sauvage, T., Ballantine, D.L., Peyton, K.A., Wade, R.M., Sherwood, A.R., Keeley, S. & Smith, C. (2019). Molecular confirmation and morphological reassessment of *Udotea* geppiorum (Bryopsidales, Chlorophyta) with ecological observations of mesophotic meadows in the Main Hawaiian Islands. European Journal of Phycology, 55: 186–196.
- Schneider, C.W., Popolizio, T.R. & Saunders, G.W. (2019). Collections from the mesophotic zone off Bermuda reveal three species of Kallymeniaceae (Gigartinales, Rhodophyta) in genera with transoceanic distributions. *Journal of Phycology*, **55**: 415–424.
- Schneider, C.W., Saunders, G.W. & Lane, C.E. (2014). The monospecific genus *Meredithia* (Kallymeniaceae, Gigartinales) is species rich and geographically widespread with species from temperate Atlantic, Pacific, and Indian Oceans. *Journal of Phycology*, **50**: 167–186.
- Sherwood, A.R., Kurihara, A., Conklin, K.Y., Sauvage, T. & Presting, G.G. (2019). The Hawaiian Rhodophyta Biodiversity Survey (2006–2010): a summary of principal findings. *BioMed Central Plant Biology*, **10**: 258.
- Sherwood, A.R., Lin, S.M., Wade, R.M., Spalding, H.L., Smith, C.M. & Kosaki, R.K. (2019). Characterization of *Martensia* (Delesseriaceae; Rhodophyta) from shallow and mesophotic habitats in the Hawaiian Islands:

description of four new species. European Journal of Phycology, 55: 172-185.

- Silva, P.C. & Chacana, M.E. (2014). Validation of names of four Hawaiian species of *Codium* (Chlorophyta). *Nova Hedwigia*, **98**: 253–256.
- Spalding, H.L. (2012). Ecology of mesophotic macroalgae and *Halimeda kanaloana* meadows in the main Hawaiian Islands. PhD Dissertation, University of Hawai'i, Honolulu.
- Spalding, H.L., Amado-Filho, G.M., Bahia, R.G., Ballantine, D.L., Fredericq, S., Leichter, J.J., Nelson, W. A., Slattery, M. & Tsuda, R.T. (2019). Macroalgae. In *Mesophotic Coral Ecosystems*. Springer, Cham.
- Spalding, H.L., Conklin, K.Y., Smith, C.M., O'Kelly, C.J. & Sherwood, A.R. (2016). New Ulvaceae (Ulvophyceae, Chlorophyta) from mesophotic ecosystems across the Hawaiian Archipelago. *Journal of Phycology*, **52**: 40–53.
- Stamatakis, A. (2014). RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics*, **30**: 1312–1313.
- Wade, R.M., Spalding, H., Peyton, K., Foster, K., Sauvage, T., Ross, M. & Sherwood, A.R. (2018). A new record of Avrainvillea cf. erecta (Berkeley) A. Gepp & E. S. Gepp (Bryopsidales, Chlorophyta) from urbanized estuaries in the Hawaiian Islands. Biodiversity Data Journal, 6: e21617.