

AN ABSOLUTELY EXTREMAL FLOW WITH A NONABSOLUTELY EXTREMAL FACTOR

NAOMI SHAKED-MONDERER

(Communicated by Dennis K. Burke)

ABSTRACT. It is shown that a homomorphic image of an absolutely extremal flow is not necessarily absolutely extremal.

1. INTRODUCTION

The notions of affine embedding and absolute extremality were defined in [1]. It was shown there that the property of being absolutely extremal is not preserved under products. We show here that a homomorphic image of an absolutely extremal point is not necessarily absolutely extremal. (This answers a problem suggested in [1].) For our example of an absolutely extremal flow with a nonabsolutely extremal factor we will use a construction similar to that in [3], and results concerning absolute extremality proved in [1 and 2]. For the convenience of reading we state here the relevant results:

In all that follows (X, T) is a minimal metric flow. $\mathcal{P}(X)$ denotes the space of probability measures on X endowed with the weak* topology. Whenever $\psi: (X, T) \rightarrow (Q, T)$ is an affine embedding (that is, Q is a compact convex subset of a locally convex linear topological space, $T: Q \rightarrow Q$ is an affine homeomorphism, ψ is a continuous 1-1 equivariant map, and $Q = \overline{\text{co}} \psi X$) we will denote by β the barycenter map from $\mathcal{P}(X)$ onto Q (i.e., $\beta\lambda = \int_X \psi x d\lambda(x)$, $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(X)$).

A point $x_0 \in X$ is said to be absolutely extremal if for every affine embedding $\psi: X \rightarrow Q$, $\psi(x_0)$ is an extreme point of Q . (X, T) is an absolutely extremal flow if every point of X is absolutely extremal.

$L = L(X) = \{(x_1, x_2) \in X \times X \mid \Delta \text{ is the unique minimal set in the orbit closure of } (x_1, x_2)\}$, $P(X) = \{(x_1, x_2) \in X \times X \mid x_1 \text{ and } x_2 \text{ are proximal}\}$, and if $\varphi: (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, T)$ is a flow homomorphism then $X \times_{\varphi} X = \{(x_1, x_2) \in X \times X \mid \varphi x_1 = \varphi x_2\}$.

By Corollary 2.2 and the proof of Theorem 2.3 in [1],

Received by the editors September 25, 1987 and, in revised form, March 7, 1988.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 54H20.

This paper is part of the author's Ph.D. thesis done at Tel-Aviv University under the supervision of Professor S. Glasner.

(1.1) If for $x_0 \in X$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ $\beta\mu = \psi x_0$ in some affine embedding $\psi: X \rightarrow Q$, then there exists an F_σ subset A of X with $\mu(A) = 1$, $\bar{x} \in X$, and a sequence of integers $\{n_i\}$ s.t. $T^{n_i}x \rightarrow \bar{x}$ for every $x \in A \cup \{x_0\}$.

This implies Theorem 2.3 of [1].

(1.2) Every distal point in (X, T) is absolutely extremal.

We will need also Proposition 1.1 of [2].

(1.3) If $x_0 \in X$ is one of three doubly asymptotic points then x_0 is not absolutely extremal.

And finally, Lemma 3.1 in [1].

(1.4) If in some affine embedding $\psi: X \rightarrow Q$ $\beta\mu = \psi x$ ($\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X), x \in X$) and $y \in X$ is an atom of μ then $(x, y) \in L$.

Considering these last two results one might conjecture that a converse of (1.4) and a generalization of (1.3) holds, i.e. that whenever x_0, y_0, z_0 are three points in X each pair of which is in L , x_0 (and/or y_0, z_0) is not absolutely extremal. We conclude with an example showing this conjecture to be false.

I wish to thank Professor S. Glasner for his constant help and guidance.

2. AN ABSOLUTELY EXTREMAL FLOW WITH A NONABSOLUTELY EXTREMAL FACTOR

Throughout this discussion I will denote $[0, 1)$ with addition modulo 1, $\alpha \in I$ will be a fixed irrational number, and R_α the rotation by α on I .

Given a decreasing sequence $(t_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ of numbers in $(0, 1]$ s.t. $t_0 = 1, t_k \downarrow 0$ and $t_k \neq \cos 2\pi n\alpha$ for every $k \geq 1$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $f: [-1, 1] \setminus (\{t_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \cup \{0\}) \rightarrow \{-1, 0, 1\}$ be defined as follows:

$$(2.1) \quad f(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } t = 1 \text{ or } t_k < t < t_{k-1} \text{ where } k \geq 1 \text{ is odd.} \\ 0, & \text{if } t_k < t < t_{k-1} \text{ where } k \geq 2 \text{ is even.} \\ -1, & \text{if } -1 \leq t < 0. \end{cases}$$

Denote

$$f_{\xi, n} = f(\cos 2\pi(\xi + n\alpha))$$

(whenever this is well defined), and define $\tilde{x} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ by

$$\tilde{x}(n) = f(\cos 2\pi n\alpha) = f_{0, n}.$$

Let T be the shift on $\Omega = \{-1, 0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $X = \overline{O(\tilde{x})}$ the orbit closure of \tilde{x} in Ω . If the t_k 's are chosen so that for each $\xi \in I$ $f(\cos 2\pi(\xi + n\alpha))$ is defined for "enough" n 's then for every $x \in X$ there exists a unique $\xi \in I$ s.t.,

$$(2.2) \quad x(n) = f(\cos 2\pi(\xi + n\alpha)) = f_{\xi, n}.$$

For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ for which $f_{\xi, n}$ is well defined. (Note that if $f_{\xi, n} = f_{\eta, n}$ then $\text{sgn} \cos 2\pi(\xi + n\alpha) = \text{sgn} \cos 2\pi(\eta + n\alpha)$, so if such equality holds for, say, all $n \leq n_0$ for some integer n_0 , then $\xi = \eta$.)

From (2.2) it easily follows that X is an almost 1-1 extension of (I, R_α) and thus a minimal flow. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow I$ denote the flow homomorphism assigning to each $x \in X$ the unique $\xi \in I$ satisfying (2.2).

Our first example is of a flow X constructed as above which is not absolutely extremal but has an extremal extension. For this example set $t_0 = 1$ and $\xi_0 = 0$. Then, when $t_j \in (0, 1]$ and $\xi_j \in I$ have already been chosen for $0 \leq j < k$, $t_j = \cos 2\pi\xi_j$, choose $0 < t_k < t_{k-1}/2$ s.t. $t_k \neq \cos 2\pi(\xi_j + n\alpha)$ for every $0 \leq j < k$ and $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ and let $\xi_k \in I$ satisfy $t_k = \cos 2\pi\xi_k$. (Then for every $\xi \in I$ $f_{\xi, n}$ is well defined for every $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ except for maybe one.)

It is easy to verify that in the above construction the set of ξ 's in I s.t. $\pi^{-1}(\xi)$ is a singleton is $I \setminus \{\xi_j - m\alpha, (1/4) - m\alpha, (3/4) - m\alpha | m \in \mathbf{Z}, j \geq 1\}$. If $\xi = \xi_j - m\alpha$ for some $j \geq 1$ and $m \in \mathbf{Z}$, then $\pi^{-1}(\xi)$ consists of exactly two points which differ only on the m th coordinate which is 0 in one of these points and 1 in the other. If $\xi = (1/4) - m\alpha$ or $\xi = (3/4) - m\alpha$ for some $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ then $\pi^{-1}(\xi)$ consists of exactly three points which differ only on the m th coordinate. Thus if $x \in X$ and $\pi x = (1/4) - m\alpha$ or $\pi x = (3/4) - m\alpha$ for some $m \in \mathbf{Z}$, then x is one of three doubly asymptotic points and therefore is not absolutely extremal by (1.3). That is, the flow X is not absolutely extremal.

We now define an almost periodic extension Y of X which is absolutely extremal.

Let $Y = X \times I$ and let $T: Y \rightarrow Y$ be a homeomorphism defined by

$$T(x, a) = (Tx, a + g(x))$$

for every $x \in X$, $a \in I$, where $g: X \rightarrow I$ is a continuous function. (Y, T) is an almost periodic extension of (X, T) . By defining g properly we get that Y has the property

(2.3) if x_0, x_1 and x_{-1} are three different points in X s.t. $\pi x_0 = \pi x_1 = \pi x_{-1}$ and for some $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ $x_0(k) = 0, x_1(k) = 1$ and $x_{-1}(k) = -1$, then for every $a \in I$ (x_0, a) and (x_1, a) are doubly asymptotic and proximal to (x_{-1}, b) for every $b \in I$ (and to no other point).

Denote by $\varphi: Y \rightarrow X$ the projection on the first coordinate. Y is a distal extension of the minimal flow X and hence is a disjoint union of minimal sets. Each of these minimal sets is projected by φ onto X and hence must include at least one point of each fiber over X . By (2.3) (and using the same notations as in (2.3)), all the points in the fiber over x_{-1} are proximal to the same point (x_0, a) and thus all lie in the same minimal set as (x_0, a) . Therefore there is a unique minimal set in Y , i.e. (Y, T) is minimal. Now, if in some affine embedding of Y $\psi: Y \rightarrow Q$, ψy_0 is not an extreme point, then there exists $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(Y)$, $\mu \neq \delta_{y_0}$ s.t. $\beta\mu = \psi y_0$. Without loss of generality we may assume that y_0 is an atom of μ . By (1.1) there exists an F_σ subset A of Y s.t. $\mu(A) = 1$ and all the points in A are simultaneously proximal. Since any two points in Y which lie in the same fiber over X are distal, such A includes at most one point of each fiber. Since the proximality of (x, a) and (x', a') in Y implies that x and x' are proximal in X , and in X each point has only finite number of points proximal to it, such A is finite (and in particular,

$A = \text{supp } \mu$). Therefore, if $\pi\psi y_0 \notin \{(1/4) - m\alpha, (3/4) - m\alpha | m \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ then there are at most two points in $\text{supp } \mu$. But then if $\mu \neq \delta_{y_0}, \beta\mu \neq \psi y_0$.

If $\pi\psi y_0 = (1/4) - m\alpha$ or $\pi\psi y_0 = (3/4) - m\alpha$ for some $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ then (using again the same notations as in (2.3)) $y_0 = (x, a)$ for some $a \in I$, where $x = x_0$ or x_1 or x_{-1} .

Consider for example the case when $y_0 = (x_0, a)$. By (2.3) for every $b \in I$ there exist $b' \neq b$ and a sequence (n_i) of integers s.t. $T^{n_i}((x_0, a), (x_{-1}, b')) \rightarrow (y', y')$ for some $y' \in Y$. Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that $T^{n_i}((x_{-1}, b), (x_{-1}, b')) \rightarrow (y'', y')$. Since Y is an almost periodic extension of X and y' and y'' are two different points lying in the same fiber over X , y' and y'' are distal. Since $T^{n_i}((x_0, a), (x_{-1}, b)) \rightarrow (y', y'')$, the pair $((x_0, a), (x_{-1}, b))$ is not in $L(Y)$. Thus by (1.4) (and since $\text{supp } \mu$ is finite), for every $b \in I$ $(x_{-1}, b) \notin \text{supp } \mu$. Hence in this case also $\text{supp } \mu$ consists of at most two points $((x_0, a)$ and $(x_1, a))$ and thus if $\mu \neq \delta_{y_0} = \delta_{(x_0, a)}$ then $\beta\mu \neq \psi y_0$. Similarly it can be shown that if $y_0 = (x, a)$ where $x = x_1$ or x_{-1} then y_0 is absolutely extremal.

To complete the example we need only construct a continuous function $g: X \rightarrow I$ so that (2.3) is satisfied. Let then $<$ be the order on $I \setminus \{0\}$ induced by the usual order on $(0, 1)$. For every $n \geq 0$ choose $s_n \in I$ s.t. $0 < s_n \leq (1/4), s_n \downarrow 0$, and $s_n = (1/4) + m_n\alpha$ where $m_0 = 0$ and for every $n \geq 0$ $m_n < m_{n+1}$. Choose for every $n \geq 0$ $r_n \in I$ s.t. $(3/4) \leq r_n < 1, r_n \uparrow 1$, and $r_n = (3/4) + l_n\alpha$ where $l_0 = 0$ and for every $n \geq 0, l_n < l_{n+1}$. Let

$$C = \overline{\{\pi^{-1}(t) | (1/4) < t < (3/4)\}}$$

and for every $n \geq 0$ let

$$A_n = \overline{\{\pi^{-1}(t) | s_{n+1} < t < s_n\}},$$

$$B_n = \overline{\{\pi^{-1}(t) | r_n < t < r_{n+1}\}}.$$

Each of these sets is a clopen set in X , they are pairwise disjoint and the union of all of them is $X \setminus \{\pi^{-1}(0)\} = X \setminus \{\tilde{x}\}$.

Define $g: X \rightarrow I$ as follows:

On $C \cup \{\tilde{x}\}$ $g = 0$. On each A_n $g = \rho_n \circ \pi$ where ρ_n is an increasing map taking the interval $\pi A_n = [s_{n+1}, s_n]$ onto an interval of the form $[0, 1/2^j]$. On B_n $g = \delta_n \circ \pi$ where δ_n is an increasing map from $\pi B_n = [r_n, r_{n+1}]$ onto $[0, 1/2^j]$. The j 's are chosen so that for $n = 0, 1$ $gA_n = gB_n = [0, 1/2]$, then for the next 4 n 's $gA_n = gB_n = [0, 1/4]$, etc.. Obviously, g is continuous on

$$X \setminus \{\tilde{x}\} = \left[\bigcup_{n \geq 0} (A_n \cup B_n) \right] \cup C,$$

and it is easily verified that g is also continuous at \tilde{x} .

Now, for every $n \in \mathbf{Z}$,

$$T^n(x, a) = (T^n x, a + g_n(x))$$

where

$$g_0(x) = 0, \quad g_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g(T^j x) \quad \text{for } n \geq 1,$$

and

$$g_n(x) = \sum_{j=-n}^{-1} -g(T^j x) \quad \text{for } n \leq -1.$$

Two points (x, a) and (x', a') in Y are proximal iff

- (i) x and x' are proximal (which in our case means $\pi x = \pi x'$), and
- (ii) $a - a'$ is a limit point of $(g_n(x) - g_n(x'))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$.

There can be an n for which $g_n(x) - g_n(x') \neq 0$ only if $\pi x = \pi x'$ is in the orbit closure of $1/4$ or $3/4$ in I , and then only if on the m th coordinate where x and x' differ, $x(m) = -1$ and $x'(m) = 0$ or 1 . For example, suppose $\pi x = \pi x' = 1/4$, $x(o) = -1$ and $x'(o) = 0$ or 1 . Then $g(T^j x) \neq g(T^j x')$ only for j 's in the sequence $(m_n)_{n=0}^\infty$, and $(g(T^{m_n} x) - g(T^{m_n} x'))_{n=0}^\infty$ is the sequence $1/2, 1/2, 1/4, 1/4, 1/4, 1/4, \dots$. Thus $(g_n(x) - g_n(x'))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ has all the dyadic numbers in I , and hence the whole of I , as limit points. All the other cases are similar.

3. AN ABSOLUTELY EXTREMAL FLOW WITH THREE POINTS PAIRWISE IN L

Let α, I and f be defined as in §2, only this time choose the t_k 's differently: Set $t_0 = 1$. Now let $n_1 > 0$ be an integer s.t. $n_1\alpha + (1/4) \in (0, 1/4)$, and set $t_1 = \cos 2\pi(n_1\alpha + 1/4)$. Let $n_2 > n_1$ be an integer s.t. $\cos 2\pi(n_2\alpha + 3/4) < (1/2)t_1$, and set $t_2 = \cos 2\pi(n_2\alpha + 3/4)$. Assuming n_j and t_j has been chosen for $1 \leq j < k$ let $n_k > n_{k-1}$ be an integer s.t. $\cos 2\pi(n_k\alpha + 1/4) < (1/2)t_{k-1}$, if k is odd, and set $t_k = \cos 2\pi(n_k\alpha + 1/4)$. If k is even choose $n_k > n_{k-1}$ s.t. $\cos 2\pi(n_k\alpha + 3/4) < (1/2)t_{k-1}$ and set $t_k = \cos 2\pi(n_k\alpha + 3/4)$.

As in the construction of X in §2, let $\tilde{z} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be defined by

$$\tilde{z}(n) = f_{0,n} = f(\cos 2\pi n\alpha).$$

Let Z be the orbit closure of \tilde{z} in $\Omega = \{-1, 0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ under the shift T . Then for every $z \in Z$ there exists a unique $\xi \in I$ s.t.,

$$(3.1) \quad z(n) = f_{\xi,n}.$$

For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, or for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ except for a sequence of the form $(m + n_{2j-1})_{j=1}^\infty$, or for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ except for a sequence of the form $(m + n_{2j})_{j=1}^\infty$.

Let $\pi: Z \rightarrow I$ be the flow homomorphism assigning to each $z \in Z$ the unique $\xi \in I$ satisfying (3.1). (Z, T) is thus a minimal, almost 1-1 extension of (I, R_α) and therefore $L(Z) = P(Z) = Z \times_{\pi} Z$.

If $\xi \notin \{(1/4) - m\alpha, (3/4) - m\alpha | m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ then $\pi^{-1}(\xi)$ is a singleton. If $\xi = (1/4) - m\alpha$ then $\pi^{-1}(\xi)$ consists of exactly three points x_0, x_1, x_{-1}

which differ on the coordinates $(m + n_{2j-1})_{j=1}^\infty$, where

$$x_0(m) = 0, \quad x_1(m) = 1, \quad x_{-1}(m) = -1 \quad \text{and for every } j \geq 1, \\ x_0(m + n_{2j-1}) = x_1(m + n_{2j-1}) = 1, \quad x_{-1}(m + n_{2j-1}) = 0.$$

Similarly, if $\xi = 3/4 - m\alpha$ then $\pi^{-1}(\xi)$ consists of exactly three points x_0, x_1, x_{-1} which differ only on the coordinates $(m + n_{2j})_{j \geq 1}$, where

$$x_0(m) = 0, \quad x_1(m) = 1, \quad x_{-1}(m) = 1 \quad \text{and for every } j \geq 1, \\ x_0(m + n_{2j}) = x_1(m + n_{2j}) = 0, \quad x_{-1}(m + n_{2j}) = 1.$$

In both cases, x_0 and x_1 are doubly asymptotic while x_0 and x_{-1} are not doubly asymptotic (though the pair (x_0, x_{-1}) is in L). In such case x_0 cannot be an absolutely extremal point, as the following argument shows:

Claim. If X is a minimal metric flow and $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in X$ are three different points s.t. $(x, x_0) \in P$ iff $x = x_0, y_0$ or z_0, x_0 and y_0 are doubly asymptotic and x_0 and z_0 are not doubly asymptotic, then x_0 is absolutely extremal.

Proof. Assume $T^{n_i} x_0 \rightarrow x$ and $T^{n_i} z_0 \rightarrow z, x \neq z$. If there exists an affine embedding of X $\psi: X \rightarrow Q$ s.t. for some measure $\delta_{x_0} \neq \mu \in \mathcal{P}(X), \beta\mu = \psi x_0$, then without loss of generality we may assume that $\text{supp } \mu \subseteq \{y_0, z_0\}$, i.e. $\mu = \alpha\delta_{y_0} + (1 - \alpha)\delta_{z_0}, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \psi x_0 &= \alpha\psi y_0 + (1 - \alpha)\psi z_0 \\ T^{n_i} \psi x_0 &= \alpha T^{n_i} \psi y_0 + (1 - \alpha) T^{n_i} \psi z_0 \\ \downarrow & \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \\ \psi x &= \alpha\psi x + (1 - \alpha)\psi z \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts $x \neq z$.

Note that in our specific example by a similar argument x_1 and x_{-1} are also absolutely extremal and thus (Z, T) is an absolutely extremal flow.

REFERENCES

1. S. Glasner, *Absolutely extremal points in minimal flows*, *Compositio Math.* **55** (1985), 263–268.
2. S. Glasner and D. Maon, *On absolutely extremal points*, *Compositio Math.* **59** (1986), 51–56.
3. L. Shapiro, *Proximity in minimal transformation groups*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **26** (1970), 521–525.