# On the status of *Pachycheles laevidactylus* Ortmann, 1892 (Crustacea: Decapoda: Porcellanidae)

Alan W. Harvey and Elizabeth M. De Santo

Department of Invertebrates, American Museum of Natural History, Central Park West at 79th Street, New York, New York 10024, U.S.A.

Abstract.—Pachycheles laevidactylus Ortmann, 1892, previously considered a synonym of the eastern Pacific P. grossimanus (Guérin-Méneville, 1835), has been found to be a distinct species. Examination of type and non-type specimens of P. laevidactylus, P. grossimanus, and the western Atlantic P. haigae Rodrigues da Costa, 1960 also demonstrated that P. haigae is a junior synonym of P. laevidactylus.

Guérin-Méneville (1835) briefly described the porcelain crab *Porcellana grossimana* from specimens collected in Chile; later he provided a more complete description and an illustration, and restricted the type locality to Valparaiso (Guérin-Méneville 1838). Stimpson (1858) designated *P. grossimana* as the type species for his new genus *Pachycheles*. Subsequent records indicate that *P. grossimanus* is broadly distributed along the temperate eastern Pacific coast of South America (Rathbun 1910, Haig 1955, 1960).

In his survey of the decapod crustacean collections of the Strasbourg Museum, Ortmann (1892) described P. laevidactylus from Brazil. Ortmann (1897) subsequently decided that this locality data was unreliable, and synonymized P. laevidactylus with the eastern Pacific P. grossimanus. He based this decision on his examination of specimens of P. grossimanus during a visit to the Academy of Natural Sciences in Philadelphia (ANSP). Ortmann (1897) did not provide catalog numbers for the three specimens of P. grossimanus he examined at the ANSP. However, during a recent visit to the ANSP collections, we could find only three specimens labelled as P. grossimanus. The low catalog numbers of these specimens indicate that they have been at the ANSP since at least the 1880's (G. Rosenberg, pers. comm.) and thus are probably the same specimens studied by Ortmann. Our examination of these specimens indicates that Ortmann was correct in his assessment that they are conspecific with *P. laevidac-tylus*.

Unfortunately, two of the ANSP specimens also lack locality data, and the third (ANSP 4168), from the U.S. Exploring Expedition, is only labelled "Pacific Ocean." This vague labelling may be considered suspect given the extent to which specimens from this expedition were separated from their labels (Dana 1852:2). Both the types of P. laevidactylus and the ANSP specimens differ in several respects from the type specimen of P grossimanus. Furthermore, they appear to be identical to P. haigae Rodrigues da Costa, 1960. We thus conclude that P. laevidactylus is not conspecific with P. grossimanus but is identical to P. haigae, and that therefore P. haigae is a junior subjective synonym of P. laevidactylus.

In this paper we provide diagnoses and illustrations for *P. grossimanus* and *P. laevidactylus*, and a full description of *P. laevidactylus* (see Haig 1960 for a full description of *P. grossimanus*). Material from this study came from the collections of the Academy of Natural Sciences at Philadelphia, American Museum of Natural History

(AMNH), Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle (MNHN), Musée Zoologique de l'Université Louis Pasteur et de la Ville de Strasbourg (MZS), Museu de Zoologia Universidade de São Paulo (MZUSP), Swedish Museum of Natural History (SMNH), and Natural Museum of Natural History (USNM). Carapace length (CL) is provided as an indicator of specimen size. Illustrations were created using an improved version of the approach used by Harvey (1992): specimen images were first captured on a Macintosh<sup>m</sup> computer with a digital camera connected to a Wild M8 dissecting microscope, then prepared for publication using the programs Adobe Photoshop<sup>TM</sup> and Adobe Illustrator<sup>TM</sup>.

## Pachycheles grossimanus (Guérin-Méneville, 1835) Fig. 1

Porcellana grossimana Guérin-Méneville, 1835:116; 1838:8; plate VII 26, fig. 3.

Pachycheles grossimanus: Stimpson, 1858: 228.—Haig, 1960:167–169, plate 35, fig. 1 (in part), and references therein.—Antezana et al., 1965:25–26.—Viviani, 1968:51; fig. 10, 14k. (See remarks.)

Holotype.—Chile: 1 specimen, presumably male (CL 7.8 mm), "Chili", MNHN-Ga 3954. (See remarks.)

Additional material examined.—Chile: 1 ovigerous female (CL 17.00 mm), Montemar, near Valparaiso, 32°57'24"S, 71° 33'25"W, littoral, 16 Oct 1948, Lund University Chile Expedition sta. no. M123, SMNH 15319; 1 male (CL 4.23 mm), 1 female (CL 2.38 mm), 1 juvenile (CL 1.87 mm), Tocopilla, 22°05'S, 70°13'W, littoral, 5 Jan 1949, Lund University Chile Expedition sta. no. M158, SMNH 15315; 1 female (CL 6.67 mm), 4 juveniles (CL 2.16-2.88 mm), Cavancha, S of Iquique, 20°14'07"S, 70°10'05"W, littoral, 5 Jul 1949, Lund University Chile Expedition sta. no. M135, SMNH 15316; 5 males (CL 5.60-14.58 mm), 3 females (CL 5.65-6.61 mm), 8 juveniles (CL 2.68-5.36 mm), Bahía San Vicente, 36°43'36"S, 73°08'10"W, littoral, 9 Jun 1949, Lund University Chile Expedition sta. no. M121, SMNH 15317; 1 male (CL 21.00 mm), 1 female (CL 12.89 mm), Canal Chacao, 41°46'30"S, 73°45' 45"W, 40 m, 4 May 1949, Lund University Chile Expedition sta. no. M94, SMNH 15318; 3 males (CL 5.78-7.71 mm), 6 females (CL 6.63-9.28 mm), 40 juveniles (CL 1.96-3.93 mm), Peninsula Coquimbo 29°55'56"S, 71°21'08"W, littoral, 24 Jun 1949, Lund University Chile Expedition sta. no. M127, SMNH 15320; 1 male (CL 17.00 mm), numerous juveniles (CL 1.96-4.17 mm), Puerto Mejillones del Sur, 23°06'30"S, 70°28'00"W, 0-0.5 m, 30 Jun 1949, Lund University Chile Expedition sta. no. M129, SMNH 15321.

Peru: 1 female (CL 5.83 mm), Chincha, N. island, from seaweed, 18 Jun 1907, coll. R. E. Cocker, USNM 40477; 1 male (CL 18.41 mm), Callao, MNHN-Pg 5321.

Diagnosis.-Carapace with lateral margins parallel. Front rounded in dorsal view; dorsal surface with tuft of setae. Lateral walls of carapace consisting of 1 large anterior piece, 1 large posterior piece, and several small posterior fragments. Basal segment of antennule armed with up to 3 tubercles on medial anterior margin, and few flattened granules on anterolateral dorsal surface. Carpus and manus of chelipeds with rounded, indistinct posterior margins and large, densely packed granules forming irregular rows near posterior margin. Carpus with anterior crest granular to serrate, divided by notch into broad proximal tooth and acute subdistal tooth. Manus with large granular tubercle near base of pollex. Walking legs densely setose. Telson 7-plated, sometimes incompletely so. Second pleopods present in males.

*Distribution.*—Callao, Peru to Canal Chacao, Chile; primarily intertidal; exceptionally to .40 m (Haig 1960).

*Remarks.*—Guérin-Méneville (1835, 1838) did not specify where his specimens were deposited, but Prof. Jacques Forest



Fig. 1. Pachycheles grossimanus (Guérin-Méneville, 1835); male, USNM 98288. A, carapace; B, basal segment of right antennule, ventral view; C, merus of right outer maxilliped, ventral view; D, ischium of right outer maxilliped, ventral view; E, major cheliped.

and Dr. Nguyen Ngoc-Ho (in litt.) of the MNHN concur that the single dry specimen was part of Guérin-Méneville's collection and is most likely the holotype. We could not determine the sex of the holotype with certainty because the specimen is glued to a wooden base. Pachycheles grossimanus co-occurs with P. crinimanus Haig, 1960 in Peru (see Haig 1960 for distinguishing characteristics of these species), but otherwise appears to be the only Pachycheles in its range. Haig (1960) recorded ANSP 4168 as P. grossimanus, as did Ortmann (1897), but this specimen is in fact referable to *P. laevidac-tylus* (see below).

## Pachycheles laevidactylus Ortmann, 1892 Fig. 2

- Pachycheles laevidactylus Ortmann, 1892: 266. plate 12, fig. 1.
- Pachycheles grossimanus: Ortmann, 1897: 292.—Haig, 1955:43–44 (in part); 1960: 167, plate 35, fig. 1 (in part). (See remarks.) [Not P. grossimanus (Guérin-Méneville).]
- Pachycheles haigae Rodrigues da Costa, 1960:21, figs. 1–4.—Boschi, 1963:31, figs. 1, 3; 1979:137; 1981: 735.—Boschi et al., 1967:6; 1992:56.—Bremec & Cazzaniga, 1984, fig. 2.—da Silva et al., 1989, figs. 2, 11.

Syntypes.—1 male (CL 9.04 mm), 1 female (CL 8.43 mm), no collection data, MZS 380.

Additional material examined.—Brazil: 2 males (CL 4.85-7.93 mm), 1 female (damaged), Gragoatá, Rio de Janeiro, coll. H. R. Costa, Aug 1959, MZUSP 10593; 3 males (CL 5.40-6.25 mm), 1 ovigerous female (CL 5.67 mm), Abrolhos, Bahia, coll. H. R. Costa, 3 Feb 1957, MZUSP 10594; 3 males (3.96-8.26 mm), 2 ovigerous females (6.04-10.40 mm), Isla de São Francisco, Santa Catarina, coll. F. H. A. Costa, 31 Jul 1989, MZUSP 9984; 6 males (CL 2.74-10.96 mm), 6 females (CL 2.50-8.67 mm), 3 juveniles (CL 1.67-1.73 mm), Paronopria Beach, São Vicente, shore, coll. C. V. Micheletti, 4 Oct 1994, AMNH 17451; 2 males (CL 9.40-10.72 mm), Rio De Janeiro, MCZ 11848; 1 female (CL 5.12 mm), Vitória, coll. Hartt and Copeland, Thayer Expedition, MCZ 11849; 10 males (CL 4.23-9.52 mm), 8 ovigerous females (CL 4.29-7.35 mm), Ilhas De Sante Anna, coll. Hartt, MCZ 11850; 1 male (CL 8.67 mm), received in 1865 from Smithsonian Institution, U.S. Exploring Expedition, MCZ 1395.

Uruguay: 3 males (CL 9.40–11.33 mm), 3 females (CL 9.88–13.01 mm), Isla De Lobos, 8 Jul 1953, on the coast after storm, coll. Leoncio Sanabria, USNM 99851.

"Pacific Ocean" (but see remarks): 1 male (CL 10.46 mm), U.S. Exploring Expedition, ANSP 4168.

No locality data: 2 females (CL 8.81–10.67 mm), ANSP 740.

Diagnosis.—Front triangular in dorsal view; dorsal surface with tuft of setae. Lateral margins of carapace consisting of 1 large anterior piece, 1 large posterior piece, and usually several small posterior fragments. Basal segment of antennule armed with 3 to 5 strong spines on medial anterior margin, and 2 to 4 spines on the anterolateral dorsal surface. Carpus and manus of chelipeds with posterior submarginal furrow, scattered long setae, and small, evenly spaced granules. Carpus with anterior crest divided by notch into broad proximal tooth and acute subdistal tooth; dorsal surface with 3 lateral longitudinal ridges, each topped with row of enlarged granules. Manus with large, elongate, granular tubercle near base of pollex. Walking legs with setose margins. Telson 5-plated. Second pleopods present in males.

Description.—Carapace (Fig. 2A) about as broad as long in males, slightly broader than long in females; posterolateral margins convex; dorsolateral ridges pronounced; dorsal surface with posterolateral regions plicate; posterior margin curving inward medially; dorsal surface nearly naked except for tuft of short plumose setae on frontal region. Front triangular in dorsal view, trilobate in frontal view; median lobe projecting farther than lateral lobes; with median groove dorsally. Outer orbital angle produced into an acute tooth, inner orbital angle slightly pronounced. Orbits deep, and broad; eyes large. Lateral margins of carapace (Fig. 2B) consisting of 1 large anterior piece, 1 large posterior piece, and usually several small posterior fragments.

Basal segment of antennule (Fig. 2C) armed with 3 to 5 spines (the type male has 2 spines on the right basal antennular segment, 3 on the left) on medial anterior mar-



Fig. 2. *Pachycheles laevidactylus* Ortmann, 1892; male, USNM 99851. A, carapace; B, left side wall of carapace; C, basal segment of right antennule, ventral view; D, merus of right outer maxilliped, ventral view; E, ischium of right outer maxilliped, ventral view; F, major cheliped.

gin (visible from dorsal view); with 2 to 4 spines on anterolateral dorsal surface; dorsal surface with 2 transverse granular lines. Second segment of antenna with distal tubercule on anterior margin; third segment granular, sometimes more pronounced near distal and proximal margins. Flagella with minute setae. trilobate sternite; median lobe of sternite equalling or slightly exceeding lateral lobes; ischium (Fig. 2E) with medioproximal angle only slightly obtuse, almost rightangled; merus (Fig. 2D) with pronounced medial lobe, subquadrate in shape, and usually dentate anteriorly.

Third maxillipeds with moderately deep,

Chelipeds unequal in size (major: Fig. 2F). Merus with granular tooth on anterior

margin, projecting about as far as carpus teeth; ventral margin of merus distinct, ventrodistal angle usually with 2 small granules. Carpus and manus with weak submarginal furrow on posterior margins, and scattered long plumose setae towards posterior margins; with very short, often vestigial, plumose setae arising in groups from distal side of larger granules. Carpus with crest on anterior margin, divided by notch into broad proximal tooth and somewhat acute subdistal tooth; dorsal surface covered with small granules, more pronounced near posterior margin; surface with 3 longitudinal ridges, each topped with row of enlarged granules; 1 ridge is medial, 2 close together between medial ridge and lateral margin; dorsal surface with few long plumose setae. Manus covered with small granules; large, elongate, granular tubercle near base of pollex; fingers with smaller, flattened granules on minor chela, nearly smooth on major chela. Major manus nearly lacking pubescence dorsally; ventral surface of manus with tuft of setae at base of fingers; pollex pubescent, with single medial tubercule on cutting edge; dactyl pubescent on distal half, cutting edge with basal tubercule; fingers gaping, crossing at tips. Minor cheliped with at most trace of setation on dorsal surface, outer margin with long bristles; fingers meeting entire length of cutting edge, crossing at tips.

Walking legs with scattered setae on anterior margins of merus, carpus, and propodus. Anterior margin of carpus with 1 small tubercle and 2 granules distally. Propodus with 4 moveable spines ventrally: 2 distal, 1 subdistal, and 1 medial; dactyl with 3 corneous spines along ventral margin.

Abdomen smooth; telson with five plates in males and females. Second pleopods present in males.

*Distribution.*—Pernambuco, Brazil to Monte Hermoso, Argentina (39°00'S, 61°16"W); intertidal to 12 m.

*Remarks.*—Although Ortmann (1982) cited Brazil as the type locality in his description of *P. laevidactylus*, he later (Ort-

mann 1897) noted that the specimens lacked acquisition data, and thus could not safely conclude where they came from. Unfortunately, locality data is also lacking for two of the three ANSP specimens that led Ortmann to synonomize *P. laevidactylus* with *P. grossimanus*, and the locality of the third specimen (i.e., "Pacific Ocean") is vague and open to question.

The syntype specimens of P. laevidactylus differ from P. grossimanus in numerous respects (because the holotype of P. grossimanus is dry, fragile, and glued to a frame, most of the following comparisons are based on non-type specimens of P. grossimanus that we established to be conspecific with the holotype). In P. grossimanus, the front of the carapace has a rounded anterior margin (Fig. 1A); the lateral margins of the carapace are parallel (Fig. 1A); the basal segment of the antennule has three or fewer tubercles on the distal margin and at most a few flattened granules on the anterolateral surface (Fig. 1B); in the outer maxillipeds, the medial lobe of the merus is obliquely subtriangular (Fig. 1C), and the medioproximal angle of the ischium is broadly obtuse (Fig. 1D); the chelipeds (Fig. 1E) lack submarginal furrows, and are more densely covered with larger granules; the margin of the anterior crest of the carpus of the chelae is granular to serrate; the walking legs are densely setose; and the telson is seven-plated, although the anterior plates are sometimes partially fused.

We could not examine type specimens of the western Atlantic *P. haigae* for this study. The holotype was deposited at the Instituto Oceanográfico, which apparently no longer exists (G. de Melo, pers. comm.), and the location of the holotype is unknown. Several paratypes are deposited at the Museu Nacional, which was unfortunately closed due to a strike during this study. However, we were able to examine several specimens of *P. haigae* from the type locality and two other localities (Abrolhos and Isla de São Francisco), where paratypes were collected, including part of Rodrigues da Costa's collections, which were donated to the MZUSP after his death.

Whereas *P. laevidactylus* is easily distinguished from *P. grossimanus*, we can find no differences between *P. laevidactylus* and *P. haigae*, and must conclude that *P. haigae* is a junior subjective synonym of *P. laevidactylus*. Thus, whether or not Ortmann's type material came from Brazil, *P. laevidactylus* is currently known only from the western Atlantic.

The tuft of setae on the front of the carapace distinguishes *P. laevidactylus* from all other species in the western Atlantic except *P. chubutensis* Boschi, 1963 and *P. monilifer* Dana, 1852. In *P. chubutensis* the front is rounded, and the lateral walls of the carapace consist of a very large anterior piece and only a single small posterior fragment. In *P. monilifer*, the basal segment of the antennule lacks obvious spination, and males lack pleopods.

Cheliped morphology can also easily distinguish P. laevidactylus from other western Atlantic species of Pachycheles. Unlike P. laevidactylus, several species (P. ackleianus A. Milne-Edwards, 1880; P. monilifer; P. rugimanus A. Milne-Edwards, 1880; and P. susanae Gore & Abele, 1973) have heavily sculptured chelipeds; P. pilosus (H. Milne-Edwards, 1837) has spiny, setose chelae, whereas the chelae of P. riisei (Stimpson, 1858) are smooth and shiny. In P. greeleyi (Rathbun, 1900), P. serratus (Benedict, 1901), P. chacei Haig, 1955, and P. cristobalensis Gore, 1970, the chelae lack submarginal furrows, the enlarged tubercle at the base of the pollex, and the tuft of setae between the fingers; they also are more evenly covered with granules than those of P. laevidactylus, and the anterior crest of the chelae carpus consists of small granular teeth in these species. The chelae of P. chubutensis are similar to those of P. laevidactylus, but the granules are smaller and more evenly spaced, the tubercle at the base of the pollex is absent, and the teeth

of the anterior carpal crest are irregular and serrate. Furthermore, in *P. chubutensis* the dorsal surface of the carpus and manus is usually covered with short dense setae, but this varies considerably and we have seen virtually naked individuals.

### Acknowledgments

For access to the types of P. laevidactylus, we thank Elisabeth Lang of the MSZ. We also thank Raymond B. Manning and Rafael Lemaitre (USNM), Lennart Sandberg (SMNH), Gustavo A. S. de Melo (MZUSP), Gary Rosenberg (ANSP), and Nguyen Ngoc-Ho and Jacques Forest (MNHN), for providing specimens and suggestions, and Carla Valéria Micheletti, at the Universidade Estadual Paulista, for providing freshly collected specimens of P. laevidactylus. Thanks also to Lara Tolchin, who assisted with the illustrations. This work was supported by a NSF Research Experience for Undergraduates fellowship (E. M. De Santo), which was extended by the AMNH.

#### Literature Cited

- Antezana, T., E. Fagetti, & M. T. López. 1965. Observacciones bioecológicas en decápodos comunes de Valparaiso.—Revista de Biología Marina 12:1-60.
- Benedict, J. E. 1901. The anomuran collections made by the Fish Hawk Expedition to Porto Rico.— Bulletin of the U.S. Fish Commission 20 (part 2):131–148.
- Boschi, E. E. 1963. Sobre dos especies de Pachycheles de la Argentina (Crustacea, Anomura).— Neotrópica 9:31–37.
  - ——. 1979. Geographic distribution of Argentinian marine decapod crustaceans.—Bulletin Biological Society of Washington 3:134–143.
  - —. 1981. Larvas de Crustacea Decapoda. Pp. 699–758 in D. Boltovskoy, ed., Atlas del zooplancton del Atlántico sudoccidental y métodos de trabajo con zooplancton marino. Publicación del Instituto Nacional de Investigacion y Desarrollo Pesquero (INIDEP), Ministerio de Comercio e Intereses Marítimos, República Argentina, i–xxx + 936 pp.
  - —, C. E. Fischbach, & M. I. Iorio. 1992. Catálogo ilustrado de los crustáceos estomatópodos

y decápodos marinos de Argentina.—Frente Marítimo 10, Sec. A:7–94.

- —, M. A. Scelzo, & B. Goldstein. 1967. Desarollo larval de dos especies de Crustáceos Decápodos en el laboratorio, *Pachycheles haigae* Rodrigues Da Costa (Porcellanidae) y *Chasmagnathus granulata* Dana (Grapsidae).—Boletín Del Instituto De Biología Marina 12:1–46.
- Bremec, C. S., & N. J. Cazzaniga. 1984. Consideraciones sobre Pachycheles haigae Rodrigues da Costa, 1960 y P. chubutensis Boschi 1963 en Monte Hermoso (República Argentina) (Crustacea, Anomura, Porcellanidae).—Iheringia Série Zoología 64:149–162.
- Dana, J. D. 1852. United States Exploring Expedition during the years 1838, 1839, 1840, 1841, 1842, under the command of Charles Wilkes. U.S.N. Vol. 13, Part 1, Crustacea (viii), Philadelphia, 685 pp.
- da Silva, B., A. da Costa Braga, & F. d'Incao. 1989. Porcellanidae (Decapoda Anomura) de Santa Catarina e Rio Grande do Sul, Brasil.—Iheringia Série Zoología 69:131–146.
- Gore, R. H. 1970. Pachycheles cristobalensis, sp nov., with notes on the porcellanid crabs of the southwestern Carribbean.—Bulletin of Marine Science 20(4):957–970.
  - , & L. G. Abele. 1973. Three new species of porcellanid crabs.—Bulletin of Marine Science 23(3):559–573.
- Guérin-Méneville, F. E. 1835. Observations sur les Porcellanes.—Bulletin de la Societé Naturelle de France:115–116.
  - —. 1838. Crustacés du voyage de la Favorite.
     —
     Magasin de Zoologie 8:1–8.
- Haig, J. 1955. The Crustacea Anomura of Chile.— Reports of the Lund University Chile Expedition 1948–49. 20:1–68.
  - ——. 1960. The Porcellanidae (Crustacea Anomura) of the eastern Pacific.—Allan Hancock Pacific Expedition 24:1–440.

- Harvey, A. W. 1992. Abbreviated larval development in the Australian terrestrial hermit crab *Coenobita variabilis* McCulloch (Anomura: Coenobitidae).—Journal of Crustacean Biology 12: 196–209.
- Milne-Edwards, A. 1880. Reports on the results of dredging in the Gulf of Mexico and in the Carrbbean.—Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University 8(1):1– 68.
- Milne-Edwards, H. 1837. Histoire Naturelle des crustacés, comprenant l'anatomie, la physiologie et la classification de ces animaux. Paris, Vol. 2: 531 pp.
- Ortmann, A. E. 1892. Die Decapoden-Krebse des Strassburger Museums IV. Thiel. Die Abtheilungen Galatheidea und Paguridea.—Zoologische Jahrbücher, Abtheilung für Systematik, Geographie und Biologie der Thiere 6:241–326.
- . 1897. Carcinologische Studien.—Zoologische Jahrbücher, Abtheilung für Systematik, Geographie und Biologie der Thiere 10:258– 372.
- Rathbun, M. J. 1900. Results of the Branner-Agassiz expedition to Brazil I: the decapod and stomatopod Crustacea.—Proceedings of the Washington Academy of Sciences 2:133–156.
- Rodrigues da Costa, E. 1960. *Pachycheles haigae*, nueva especie de la familia Porcellanidae (Crustacea Anomura).—Neotrópica 6:21–24.
- Stimpson, W. 1858. Prodromus descriptionis animalium evertebratorum, quae in Expeditione ad Oceanum Pacificum Septentrionalem, a Republica Federata missa, Cadwaladaro Ringgold et Johanne Rodgers Ducibus, observavit et descripsit. Pars VII. Crustacea Anomura. I. Teleosomi.—Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia 10:225–252.
- Viviani, C. A. 1968. Los Porcellanidae (Crustacea Anomura) chilenos.—Beiträge zur Neotropischen Fauna 6:40–56.



Harvey, A W and Desanto, E M. 1996. "On The Status Of Pachycheles Laevidactylus Ortmann, 1892 (Crustacea: Decapoda: Porcellanidae)." *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington* 109, 707–714.

View This Item Online: <u>https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/107744</u> Permalink: <u>https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/46313</u>

**Holding Institution** Smithsonian Libraries and Archives

**Sponsored by** Biodiversity Heritage Library

**Copyright & Reuse** Copyright Status: In copyright. Digitized with the permission of the rights holder. Rights Holder: Biological Society of Washington License: <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/</u> Rights: <u>https://biodiversitylibrary.org/permissions</u>

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.