1   **Biology and rearing of the cogongrass gall midge, *Orseolia javanica* Kieffer & Docters**

2   **van Leeuwen-Reijnvaan (Diptera: Cecidomyiidae)**

3

4   **Purnama Hidayat[1], Arini[2], Dwi Guntoro[3], Keiji Takasu[4], and William A. Overholt[5]**

5

6   *[1]Department of Plant Protection, IPB University, Bogor, 16680, Indonesia*

7   *[2]Department of Biology, University of Riau, Riau, 28293, Indonesia*

8   *[3]Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, IPB University, Bogor, 16680, Indonesia*

9   *[4]Faculty of Agriculture, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 812–0395, Japan*

10   *[5]University of Florida, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, Fort Pierce, USA*

11

12   *Corresponding author email: billover@ufl.edu

13

14   **ABSTRACT**

15

16   *Imperata cylindrica* (L.) Beauv. (Poaceae) is one of the most harmful weeds in the world

17   because of its ability to spread and form high density, monospecific stands that exclude other

18   vegetation. The cogongrass gall midge, *Orseolia javanica* Kieffer & Docters van Leeuwen-

19   Reijnvaan (Diptera: Cecidomyiidae), is a stem galling insect that is only known to develop in

20   cogongrass and has only been found on the island of Java in Indonesia. The midge attacks very

21   young shoots, which stimulates abnormal growth, resulting in the formation of a purplish,

22   elongate stem gall tappered to a point at the apical end. The aim of the current research was to

23   describe the biology of the midge and develop a rearing method. *Orseolia javanica* completed

24   its life cycle in 12-38 days with average egg, larval, and pupal periods of 4.0 ± 0.0, 13.5 ± 3.8,

25   and 8.6 ± 6.6 days (mean $\pm$ SD), respectively. Mated female, unmated female, and male

26   longevities were 1.7 ± 0.47, 1.2 ± 0.41, and 1.0 ± 0.00 days (mean $\pm$ SD). Galls began to appear

27   29 days after larval infestation, and stem death coincided with emergence of the adult midge.

28   The midge may have potential for biological control of cogongrass if future studies confirm a

29   restricted host range.

30

31   *Additional index words*: Biological control, Indonesia, insect rearing, weed

32

33   Cogongrass, *Imperata cylindrica* (L.) Beauv. (Poaceae), is a serious weed in many areas

34   of the world including Asia (Brook 1989; Garrity *et al.* 1997), West Africa (Chikoye &

35   Ekeleme 2001) and the southeastern United States (McDonald 1996). In Asia, the largest

36   infested area occurs in Indonesia (Garrity *et al.* 1997). The native range of cogongrass is vast

37   and thought to include Africa, southern Europe, Asia and northern Australia (Hubbard *et al.*

38   1944), while populations in the southeastern USA are exotic and highly invasive (Burrell *et al.*

39   2015). There has been some speculation that the center of origin of cogongrass is East Africa

40   because of the high diversity of plant pathogens (Ivens 1983), lack of weediness and high

41   genetic diversity (Overholt *et al.* 2016). Cogongrass spreads rapidly by seeds and rhizomes,

42   often forming monospecific stands that exclude other vegetation, resulting in both ecological

43   and economic damage (Brook 1989; McDonald 1996). Moreover, cogongrass increases the

44   frequency and intensity of wildfires (Jose *et al.* 2002).

45   In 2013, the University of Florida initiated exploration for natural enemies of cogongrass

46   in several countries in Africa and Asia with the objective of identifying insects that may have

47   value for introduction into the USA as biological control agents (Overholt *et al.* 2016). One of

48   the insects encountered during surveys in Indonesia was *Orseolia javanica* Kieffer & Docters

49   van Leeuwen-Reijnvaan (Diptera: Cecidomyiidae). The midge is a gall-forming insect that is

50   only known to develop in cogongrass (Mangoendihardjo 1980; Soenarjo 1986). Early instar

51 larvae of *O. javanica* colonize young cogongrass shoots which stimulates the formation of

52 elongate, purplish stem galls, tapered to a point at the apical end (Mangoendihardjo 1980).

53 Based on field observations, the gall midge can be highly damaging and may have potential for

54 biological control for cogongrass in the southeastern USA and elsewhere (Overholt *et al.* 2016).

55   The cogongrass gall midge has only been found in West and Central Java in Indonesia

56 (Mangoendihardjo 1980). The population dynamics of the gall midge and its parasitoids in

57 Cianjur, West Java were recently reported by Aviansyah (2016), who found that parasitoids

58 emerged from 40-60% of galled stems. Similarly, Buhl *et al.* (2016) reported that three species

59 of parasitoids emerged from 64% of galled stems collected in June and July 2015. In addition,

60 Gumilang (2016) provided information about the distribution of the gall midge in Bogor and

61 Cianjur Districts in West Java and Magelang and Salatiga Districts in Central Java.

62   The objective of the current study was to provide basic biological information about the

63 midge, which is essential for developing a laboratory rearing method. The ability to rear the

64 midge is a critical first step towards the initiation of host ranges studies required to evaluate

65 the potential safety of the midge as a classical biological control agent.

66

67   **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

68

69 **Plants.** Cogongrass used in the laboratory studies was collected at Leuwikopo, Bogor

70 Agricultural University. Plants were excised from the soil and planted in 25 plastic trays (40

71 cm x 27 cm x 15 cm, L, W, H) with each pot receiving 20 stems. Trays were held outdoors

72 inside screen cages (240 cm x 120 cm x 120 cm, L, W, H) for one month prior to initiation of

73 studies. The one month period insured that stems were not naturally infested with gall midges.

74 Studies were conducted at the Laboratory of Insect and Biosystematics, Department of Plant

75 Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Bogor Agricultural University.

76 **Insects.** Galled cogongrass stems (45-130) were collected on eight sampling occasions

77 between August and November 2016 from bunds bordering rice fields near the village of Desa

78 Cibereum in Cugenang District, Cianjur Regency, West Java. Desa Cibereum is located at -

79 6.7914 latitude, 107.0687 longitude, at 852 m above sea level. In total, 832 galled stems were

80 collected on the eight sampling occasions. Galled stems, along with attached rhizome, were

81 removed from the soil and transported to the laboratory in coolers. Galls were then placed

82 individually in plastic tubes and held at ambient laboratory conditions (27.3 ± 0.21 ^{o}C, 68 ±

83 0.81 RH) for emergence of adult midges. The size of the tubes varied from 5 cm x 8 cm to 5.5

84 cm x 30 cm (D, H) depending on the length of the gall. Images of the midge's life stages and

85 measurements were made using a Leica M205C stereo microscope attached to a Leica DFC

86 450 camera and processed using Leica Application Suite Version 4.4.0 software. All estimates

87 of central tendencies are presented as means ± standard deviation. Voucher specimens of adults

88 and immature stages are maintained in the insect collection at the Laboratory of Insect

89 Biosystematics, Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Bogor Agricultural

90 University.

91 **Oviposition, Egg Morphology and Development.** Adult males and females which emerged

92 in tubes were placed together in the laboratory at ambient conditions in varying numbers in a

93 plastic box (17 cm x 12 cm x 12 cm, L, W, H) that had a moist tissue paper on the bottom. A

94 window (13 cm x 7 cm, L, W) was cut in the top of the box and covered with fine mesh cloth

95 to allow ventilation. Eggs were laid by females on the bottom and side of the plastic box and

96 collected after adults died. Eggs (20 to 50) were transferred to moist tissue paper in petri dishes

97 (2 cm x 9 cm, D, H) using a fine brush. The length and width of eggs were measured and then

98 observed daily until eclosion. Developmental time and the percentage of eggs that hatched were

99 determined from a sample of 150 eggs.

Larval and Pupal Morphology and Development. Newly hatched larvae were transferred using a fine brush to the cogongrass stems which had been planted in trays, with each stem receiving one larva. Stems were trimmed to 2 cm above the soil surface prior to infestation. A total of 400 larvae were placed on cogongrass stems. Stems were held outdoors in large screen cages. Starting five days after infestation, 5-10 cogongrass stems were randomly selected and dissected every day to access larval colonization and growth of the larvae. In total, 350 stems were observed over a period of 57 days. Dissections were performed under a stereo microscope using a scalpel and a micro needle. Larvae and pupae were preserved in 70% ethanol in Eppendorf tubes (1.5 ml). A subset of 20 larvae was slide mounted to measure their length as the distance from the sternal spatula to the front of the head, and width at the widest point. Slides were prepared using a method described in Watson (2007), modified as follows: the posterior part of the larvae was pierced with a micro needle and then boiled in 95% ethanol for 3 minutes. After 3 minutes, 10% KOH was added until the larvae appeared clear. The body contents were then removed under a stereo microscope using a micro needle. The larval skins were washed twice in distilled water and then dehydrated for five minutes each in a series of ethanol concentrations along with 1-2 drops of acid fuchsin, starting with 50% and proceeding to 80%, 95%, and finally to 100%. After that, the larvae were immersed in clove oil and slide mounted in Canada Balsam.

Adult Behavior, Morphology, Fecundity and Longevity. The behavior of adults was observed from the time that they emerged until mating. A subset of 38 field collected adults (19 males, 19 females) were killed in 70% ethanol and measured to determine body length, wingspan (distal end of left wing to distal end of right wing), and width at the widest point of the thorax. Sizes of males and females were compared using a two-sample t-test. Adult longevity was determined from a sample of 13 males, 11 mated females, and 30 unmated

124   females. Fecundity was determined by counting the number of eggs laid by 10 mated and 10

125   unmated females from emergence until death.

126   **Gall Size.** The length and diameter of galls from which males and females (7 each) had

127   emerged were measured and compared using a two-sample t-test. The galls were collected

128   from the field in Cianjur, West Java.

129

130   **RESULTS**

131

132   **Oviposition, Egg Morphology and Development.** From the 832 galls collected, 55 females

133   and 44 males emerged. Eggs were laid singly or in groups of 2-18, side by side and

134   longitudinally parallel on the wall of the plastic box or on the moist tissue paper on the bottom

135   of the box. Eggs eclosed on the fourth days after oviposition. Eggs that failed to hatch became

136   transparent or moldy.

137   Eggs were oval shaped with a length of 0.53 ± 0.004 mm and a width of 0.14 ± 0.001

138   mm (Table 1). All freshly laid eggs were yellowish white. On the second day after oviposition,

139   eggs from mated females became red at both the apical and distal ends. On the third day, the

140   red color at both ends of the eggs faded while the lateral edges reddened, with the color

141   becoming increasingly apparent as the eggs matured on the fourth day (Figure 1a-d). Eggs from

142   unmated females remained transparent and did not undergo any color change.

143   **Larval and Pupal Morphology and Development.** Of the 350 stems that were inoculated

144   with neonate larvae and dissected, 27% were successfully infested by gall midges and exhibited

145   signs of deformation, 21% of stems died due to decay at the roots and the remaining 52% of

146   stems were not colonized. Abnormal stem growth became evident 10 days after inoculation as

147   an enlargening of the stem at the growing point. During early dissections (< 10 days after

148   inoculation), some stems were found to be infested with two larvae, but later dissections

149 determined that only one larva survived to pupation. Developmental time and the average

150 length and width of the body, length of the sternal spatula and width of the head are shown in

151 Table 1. Newly hatched larvae were 0.49 ± 0.03 mm long and 0.17 ± 0.006 mm wide, reddish

152 to orange, transparent, and had a black eye spot (Figure 2a). The second instar larva was orange

153 to white with a black eye spot (Figure 2b). The dorsal side of second instar larvae was convex

154 whereas the ventral side tended to be flat. Third instar larvae were white to yellowish and their

155 bodies were firmer than those of second instars. The segments of third instars were more

156 apparent than earlier instars and the sternal spatula became visible (Figure 2c).

157 Pupae of cogongrass gall midge were first white, and then darkened as they aged,

158 becoming orange, light brown and dark brown with the eyes, legs and antennae black (Figure

159 3a-c). The length and width were 5.89 ± 0.72 mm and 1.61 ± 0.25 mm, respectively. The mean

160 developmental time of pupae was 8.5 ± 6.6 days (Table 1).

161 **Adult Behavior, Morphology, Fecundity and Longevity.** Adult emergence occurred

162 predominantly in the morning, but some individuals emerged in the afternoon and evening.

163 After emerging from galls, adults remained on the outside of the gall for several minutes and

164 then males flew off, while females tended to remain on the gall from which they emerged or

165 on the soil nearby. Adults were covered with fine hairs on all body surfaces. Males were slender

166 with black bodies and much smaller than females. Females were much broader than males and

167 had a brown abdomen (Table 2, Figure 4a-b). Lifetime fecundity of mated females was 512.1

168 ± 194.4 eggs, while unmated female laid 153.3 ± 86.3 eggs (Figure 5a-b). Mated female,

169 unmated female, and male longevities were 1.7 ± 0.47, 1.2 ± 0.41, and 1.0 ± 0.00 days (mean

170 ± SD).

171 **Gall size.** Gall morphology differed depending on whether a male or female emerged. Galls

172 from which males emerged were longer (male: 125 ± 45 mm, female: 80 ± 23 mm; $t = 2.4$, df

173   = 12, P = 0.02)) and thinner (male: 2.5 ± 2 mm, female: 3.2 ± 2 mm; t = 8.9, df = 12, P <

174   0.00001) than galls from which females emerged.

175

176   **DISCUSSION**

177

178   Developmental time of the midge (range = 12-38 days, mean = 25.4 ± 7.6 days) was

179   surprisingly variable, and much less than that found by Soenarjo (1986) of 35 to 49 days. The

180   variability in developmental times of larvae and pupae in our study was likely due to

181   fluctuations in ambient outdoor temperatures where the infested stems were maintained.

182   Mangoendihardjo (1980) also reported much longer developmental times than those in our

183   study (33 days for females and 35 days for males) but provided no measure of variability. The

184   developmental times we found are similar to those reported for other *Orseolia* spp. *Orseolia*

185   *oryzae* developed in 15 days (Jagadeesha Kumar 2009) or 19-23 days (Rajamani et al. 2004).

186   *Orseolia oryzivora* was found to complete development in 26 days (Umeh & Joshi 1993).

187   Unfortunately, due to the lack of detail provided in Mangoenhihardio (1980), it is

188   difficult to compare the success of the two rearing methods. The proportion of stems that were

189   successfully colonized by the midge larvae in our study (27%) was low. Reasons for the

190   relatively low success in colonization are unknown but could be due to injury to the delicate

191   neonate larvae during transfer to stems, or perhaps differences in the susceptibility of stems

192   due to their age, size or physiological state. Mangoendihardjo (1980) also reported a low

193   success rate of stem colonization with only 25% of stems exposed to ovipositing females

194   successfully colonized. Surprisingly, he also found that the majority (98%) of *O. javanica* eggs

195   were laid on the soil surface, with only 2% laid on the plant. If this is representative of what

196   occurs in nature, we suspect that there is very high mortality of larvae during their search for

197   suitable stems due to predation and desiccation.

198   *Orseolia javanica* has three larval instars, as had been found for other *Orseolia* spp.,

199   including *O. oryzae* (Perera & Fernando 1970) and *O. oryzivora* (Ogah et al. 2010). Similar to

200   our findings, first and second instars of both *O. oryzae* and *O. oryzivora* had visible eyespots,

201   and the third instar was characterized by the presence of a sternal spatula (Perera & Fernando,

202   1970; Ogah et al. 2010).

203   Biological control of weeds utilizes highly host specific insect herbivores to regulate

204   exotic weeds in their areas of invasion. A narrow host range is required to insure that introduced

205   biological control agents will have little or no negative impacts to native flora or cultivated

206   crops after release. Additionally, biological control scientists are increasingly encouraged to

207   conduct studies on the potential impact of candidate biological control agents to the target plant

208   in order to avoid the introduction ineffective agents (McClay & Balciunas 2005). In order to

209   delineate the physiological host range of candidate biological control agents and conduct

210   impact studies, effective rearing methods are required. Our study provides basic biological

211   information and describes a rearing method for *O. javanica*, a potential biological control agent

212   of cogongrass in the southeastern USA (Overholt *et al.* 2016).

213   Limited host range testing of *O. javanica* suggests that it may have the requisite host

214   specificity for release as a biological control agent in USA. Mangoendihardjo (1980) exposed

215   cogongrass, three varieties of cultivated rice, two wild rice species of questionable

216   identification (published as *Oryza fatua,* which is considered a synonym of *O. rufipogon* Griff.

217   and *O. perennis* which is of doubtful taxonomic status and may also be a synonym of *O.*

218   *rufipogon* (Terrell *et al.* 2000), sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench), maize (*Zea mays* L.)

219   and two wild grasses (*Paspalum conjugatum* Berguis, *Pennisetum polystachyon* (L.) Schult.)

220   to the midge and it only completed development in cogongrass. Narrow host ranges of other

221   *Orseolia* spp. also point towards a possible high specificity of *O. javanica*. The African species,

222   *O. bonzii* Harris is only known to develop in *Paspalum scobiculatum* (L.), and *O. nwanzei*

223 Harris & Nwilene only in *Eragostris atrovirens* (Desf.) Trin. ex. Steud (Nwilene *et al.* 2006),

224 while the Africa rice gall midge, *O. oryzivora*, only completes development on cultivated and

225 wild *Oryza* spp. (Williams *et al.* 1999). The Asian rice gall midge, *O. oryzae* was found only

226 in cultivated rice during a survey of 10 wild grasses and wild *Oryza* spp.in the state of

227 Karnataka, India (Kumar et al. 2009), while another study in India reported the midge from

228 two wild grasses and three *Oryza* spp. (Rajamani *et al.* 2004). Twenty-four species of oriental

229 *Orseolia* are described, and the majority, including *O. javanica*, have only been collected from

230 one grass host (Gagné & Jaschhof 2017).

231 In summary, our studies provide basic biological information, and describe a rearing

232 method that can be used to initiate and maintain laboratory colonies of *O. javanica* so that host

233 range and impact studies can be conducted in order to determine whether the midge may be

234 considered for release as a biological control agent of cogongrass.

235

236 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

237

242

243 **LITERATURE CITED**

244

245 Aviansyah, I. 2016. Population and parasitoid of the congongrass gall midge *Orseolia javanica*

246   Kieffer & Van Leeuwen-Reijnvaan (Diptera: Cecidomyiidae) in Cianjur Regency] [BSc

247   Thesis]. Institut Pertanian Bogor, Bogor.

248   Brook, R.M. 1989. Review of literature on *Imperata cylindrica* (L.) Raeuschel with particular

249   reference to South East Asia. *Tropical Pest Management* 35: 12–25.

250   Buhl, P.N. and Hidayat, P. 2016. A new species of *Platygaster* (Hymenoptera: Platygastridae)

251   reared from *Orseolia javanica* (Diptera: Cecidomyiidae) on cogongrass, *Imperata*

252   *cylindrica* (Poaceae). *International Journal of Environmental Studies* 73: 25–31.

253   Burrell, M., Pepper, A.E., Hodnett, G., Goolsby, J.A., Overholt, W.A., Racelis, A.E., Diaz, R.,

254   and Klein, P.E. 2015. Exploring origins, invasion history and genetic diversity of

255   *Imperata cylindrica* (L.) P. Beauv. (Cogongrass) in the United States using genotyping

256   by sequencing. *Molecular Ecology* 24: 2177–2193.

257   Chikoye, D. and Ekeleme, F. 2001 Weed flora and soil seedbanks in fields dominated by

258   *Imperata cylindrica* in the moist savannah of West Africa. *Weed Research* 41: 475–490.

259   Gagné, R.J. and Jaschhof, M. (2017) *A Catalog of the Cecidomyiidae (Diptera) of the World*,

260   4th edn. Systematic Entomology Laboratory, Agricultural Research Service, U.S.

261   Department of Agriculture, Washington.

262   Garrity, D., Soekardiz, M., Van Noordwijk, M., De La Cruz, R., Pathak, P., Gunasenas, H.,

263   and Van So, N. 1997. The *Imperata* grasslands of tropical Asia: area, distribution, and

264   typology. *Agroforestry Systems* 36: 3–29.

265   Gumilang, B.L. 2016 Distribution and population level of the congongrass gall midge, *Orseolia*

266   *javanica* Kieffer & van Leeuwen-Reijnvaan (Diptera: Cecidomyiidae) [BSc Thesis].

267   Institut Pertanian Bogor, Bogor.

268   Hubbard, C.E., Whyte, R.O., Brown, D., and Gray, A.P. 1944. *Imperata cylindrica*: taxonomy,

269   distribution, economic significance and control. *Imperial Agricultural Bureaux Joint*

270   *Publication* 7: 1–63.

271   Ivens, G.W. 1983. The natural control of *Imperata cylindrica*: Nigeria and northern Thailand.

272   *Mountain Research and Development* 3: 372–377.

273   Jagadeesha Kumar, B.D., Chakravarthy, A.K., Doddabasappa, B., and Basavaraju, B.S. 2009.

274   Biology of the rice gall midge, *Orseolia oryzae* (Wood-Mason) in southern Karnataka.

275   *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science* 22: 535–537.

276   Jose, S., Cox, J., Miller, D.L., and Shilling, D.G. 2002. Alien plant invasions: the story of

277   cogongrass in southeastern forests. *Journal of Forestry* 100: 41–44.

278   Kumar, B.D.J., Charkravarthy, AK, Doddabasappa, B., and Basavaraju, B.S. 2009. Biology of

279   the rice gall midge, *Orseolia oryzae* (Wood-Mason) in southern Karnataka. Karnataka J.

280   Agricultural Science 22: 535-537.

281   Mangoendihardjo, S. 1980. Some notes on the natural enemies of alang-alang (*Imperata*

282   *cylindrica* (L.) Beauv.) in Java, pp. 47-55. In *Proceedings of BIOTROP Workshop on*

283   *Alang-alang*. Jul 27-29 1976, Bogor, Indonesia (Edited by Soewardi). Seameo Biotrop,

284   Bogor.

285   McClay, A.S. and Balciunas, J.K. 2005. The role of pre-release efficacy assessment in selecting

286   classical biological control agents for weeds—applying the Anna Karenina principle.

287   *Biological Control* 35: 197–207.

288   McDonald, S.K., Shilling, D.G., Okoli, C.A.N., Bewick, T.A., Gordon, D., Hall, D., and Smith,

289   R. 1996. Population dynamics of cogongrass, Imperata cylindrica, pp. 156. In

290   *Proceedings of the Southern Weed Science Society*.

291   Nwilene, F.E., Nwanze, K.F., and Olahidievlbie, O. 2006. *African Rice Gall Midge, Biology,*

292   *Ecology and Control. Field Guide and Technical Manual*. African Rice Centre

293   (WARDA) Cotonou, Benin. 24 pp.

294   Ogah, E., Odebiyi, J., Ewete, F., Omoloye, A., and Nwilene, F. 2010. Biology of the African

295   rice gall midge *Orseolia oryzivora* (Diptera: Cecidomyiidae) and its incidence on wet-

296   season rice in Nigeria. *International Journal of Tropical Insect Science* 30: 32–39.

297   Overholt, W.A., Hidayat, P., Le Ru, B., Takasu, K., Goolsby, J.A., Racelis, A., Burrell, A.M.,

298   Amalin, D., Agum, W., Njaku, M., Pallangyo, B., Klein, P.E., and Cuda, J.P. 2016.

299   Potential biological control agents for management of cogongrass (Cyperales: Poaceae)

300   in the southeastern USA. *Florida Entomologist* 99: 734–739.

301   Perera, N. and Fernando, H.E. 1970. Infestation of young rice plants by the rice gall midge,

302   *Pachydiplosis oryzae* (Wood-Mason) (Diptera: Cecidomyiidae), with special reference

303   to shoot morphogenesis. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 59: 605–613.

304   Rajamani, S., Pasalu, I.C., Mathur, K.C., and Sain, M. 2004. Biology and ecology of rice gall

305   midge, *Orseolia oryzae* (Wood-Mason), pp. 7-16. In *New Approaches to Gall Midge*

306   *Resistance in Rice*. Proceedings of the International Workshop. 22-24 November 1998,

307   Hyderabad, India (Edited by J Bennett, JS Bentur, IC Pasalu, K Krishnaiah). International

308   Rice Research Institute, Hyderabad, India.

309   Soenarjo, E. 1986. Alang-alang gall midge potential as an alternate host for parasitoids.

310   *International Rice Research Newsletter* 11: 22–23.

311   Terrell, E.E. and Duvall, M.R. 2000. *Oryza*. Catalogue of New World Grasses (Poaceae): I.

312   Subfamilies Anomochlooideae, Bambusoideae, Ehrhartoideae, and Pharoideae.

313   *Contributions from the United States National Herbarium* 39: 89–92.

314   Umeh, E.D.N. and Joshi, R.C. 1993. Aspects of the biology, ecology and natural biological

315   control of the African rice gall midge, *Orseolia oryzivora* Harris and Gagné (Diptera:

316   Cecidomyiidae) in central and southeast Nigeria. *Journal of Applied Entomology* 116:

317   391–398.

318   Watson, G.W. 2007. Identification of whiteflies (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae), pp. 16-26. In *APEC*

319   *Re-entry Workshop on Whiteflies and Mealybugs*. 16 – 26 April 2007, Kuala Lumpur,

320   Malaysia.

321   Williams, C.T., Okhidievbie, O., Harris, K.M., and Ukwungwu, M.N. 1999. The host range,

322   annual cycle and parasitoids of the African rice gall midge *Orseolia oryzivora* (Diptera:

323   Cecidomyiidae). *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 89: 589–597.

324

325 **Table 1.** Sizes and developmental durations (means ± SD) of immature stages of cogongrass

326 gall midge.

Stages	Length (mm)	Width (mm)	Length of sternal spatula (mm)	Width of head (mm)	Duration (days)	N
Egg	0.53±0.004	0.14± 0.001	-	-	4.0±0.0	133
Larva						
First instar	0.59±0.100	0.19±0.010	-	0.05±0.01	7.1±1.4	10
Second instar	1.18±0.400	0.43±0.150	-	0.09±0.02	2.7±1.5	12
Third instar	3.30±1.500	1.00±0.350	0.09±0.02	0.08±0.01	9.8±4.7	32
Pupa	5.89±0.700	1.61±0.250	-	-	8.5±6.6	30

327

328 **Table 2.** Length, width and wingspan (mean ± SD) of adult male and female cogongrass gall

329 midge.

Parameter	Male (mm) [a]	Female (mm) [a]	t	df	P
Body length (mm)	2.95±0.27a	5.65±1.12b	10.3	36	< 0.00001
Body width (mm)	0.58±0.06a	1.16±0.15b	15.8	36	< 0.00001
Wingspan (mm)	6.63±1.19a	9.76±0.49b	10.5	36	< 0.00001

330 [a] Means in a column followed by different lowercase letters are significantly different ($P \leq$

331 0.05; ANOVA and LSD test).

332

333

334

335   **Figure 1.** One (a), two (b), three (c) and 4 days (d) old eggs of *O. javanica.*

336

337

Figure 2. Neonate (a), 11 days old (b), and 21 days old larvae of *O. javanica*, es, eye spot; ss,

sternal spatula.

340

341

342   **Figure 3.** Pupae of *O. javanica* at 7 (a), 15 (b), and 25 days (c) after pupation.

343

344

345   **Figure 4.** Adult male (a) and female (b) cogongrass gall midges.

346

347

Figure 5. Fecundity (a) and longevity (b) (mean ± SD) of adult female cogongrass gall midges.

349