This study reviewed the influence of RWE submitted as part of the evidence base for NICE oncology appraisals.

Methods. A search for NICE HTEs was conducted for interventions supported by SATs from January 2017-November 2021. Evidence was stratified by submission packages with SAT evidence alone or in combination with randomized controlled trial (RCT) evidence, with or without RWE.

Results. Thirty-two decisions for interventions supported by SATs were made by NICE between 2017-2021, all in oncology indications. Fifty percent were supported by SAT evidence and fifty percent by RCT plus SAT evidence, both with or without RWE. A lower proportion of RCT/ SAT HTEs submitted RWE compared to SAT HTEs (fifty vs ninety four percent). Seventy five percent and nineteen percent of SAT HTEs received a positive recommendation, with and without restrictions, irrespective of submitting RWE. One negative decision was observed for SATs supported by RWE. Sixty three percent and thirty eight percent of RCT/ SAT HTEs received a positive recommendation, with and without restrictions. Overall, the proportion of positive recommendations were lower for HTEs submitting RWE (ninety six percent) compared to HTEs not submitting RWE (one hundred percent), which is in contrast to recent findings specific to orphan oncology HTEs (one hundred versus seventy eight percent).

Conclusions. RWE was more commonly submitted to support SAT HTEs, than RCT HTEs. The use of RWE seems to be established as a necessity to supplement a SAT evidence base, whereas RWE is more generally a nice to have in RCT HTEs. However, RWE appears to positively influence decision-making for orphan oncology indications with a more neutral influence for non-orphan indications.

PD21 Data Sources And Real-World Data On Medical Devices In The Brazilian Scenario

Leidy Anne Teixeira (leidyunb@gmail.com) and Fotini Toscas

Introduction. The Brazilian government has made efforts in systems to generate data from medical devices (MD). This work explores the main systems and data sources in the perspective of contributing as a source to generate real world data (RDW).

Methods. Document review of relevant national data sources for MD. In addition, a structured search was carried out in EMBASE using key descriptors for RWD applied to the regulatory context and to the management of health technologies, without date or language restrictions.

Results. Eighteen primary federal government data sources for MD were identified. Not all sources are publicly accessible. Of the articles, the search returned 1,185 results, of which 29 titles were selected and 8 met the protocol's objective. Included articles were from Europe, the United States and Canada. As in other countries, Brazil initially systematized DM administrative data to meet commercial and financial demands. With the evolution of health technology assessment methods, the use of RDW has become imperative to assess the value of MD to society. Common examples from these countries are

implantable MD databases. Current challenges focus on data linkage and quality, in addition to standardized naming. The adoption of the Unique Device Identification (UDI) is one of the promising initiatives to facilitate traceability throughout the lifecycle proposed in the International Medical Device Regulators Forum (IMDRF) of which Brazil is a member. Among the systems, the following stand out: i) ConectSUS, which intends to provide access to health information centered on the patient, anywhere and at any time; ii) National implant registry that generates data on implanted prostheses and stents, surgical techniques used, the profile of patients and the health services involved.

Conclusions. This work showed the similarities between Brazil and other countries in the management of MD data throughout its life cycle, as well as mapped the national primary data sources for MD.

PD22 Exploratory Analysis of a Brazilian Real-World Open Database Applied to Prostate Cancer

Lucilena Rebelo Monteiro, Mércia Liane Oliveira, Mario Olímpio Menezes and Lorena Pozzo (lorenapozzohta@gmail.com)

Introduction. Prostate cancer was the second most frequent cancer and the fifth leading cause of cancer death among men in 2020. The incidence rates vary substantially in countries with different Human Development Indexes (HDI), while the mortality rates decrease with improved access to the health system, availability of therapies and earlier detection. Worldwide, population-based cancer registries are important tools for planning and managing health systems. The Fundação Oncocentro de São Paulo (FOSP) is responsible to collect, clean and publicize data from cancer treatment institutions. This study aimed to describe retrospectively the demographic and clinical profile of prostate cancer (PC) in Brazil using this database. It is not an incidence study as data is representative only from specific institutions.

Methods. This was a retrospective observational study of the years 2000 to 2020 from analysis of the publicly available FOSP database (http://www.fosp.saude.sp.gov.br).

The records were extracted, merged, and cleaned using a fully documented and validated data process. Only patients included on the register with a primary PC diagnosis were considered.

Results. From January 2010 to June 2020, there were 943,660 patients diagnosed with C61 in FOSP database for the considered time period. The majority of the FOSP database records are from patients who live and/or were born in SP (91.8 and 58.4%, respectively) or MG (2.8 and 10.5%, respectively). The mean age of PC at baseline was 69 years. Considering the stage of the disease, the mean ages are 55, 70, 67, 66 and 61, for stages 0, 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively. This cohort was also analyzed in relation to treatments received, and status at the end of treatment (51.3% are disease-free, 18.4% are alive with cancer, and 30.3% are dead).