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for your understanding. I'm sure the attorneys, aside from Mr. Avers, are okay with this. You're just kind of sitting around collecting their hourly rate, so -- but up next, agenda item would be consideration of the meeting minutes for approval from August 19, 2022. Is there --

MS. BRADSHAW: Mr. Chair, I'd like to make a motion to approve the minutes of our last meeting that was held on August 19th, 2022.

MR. HOUSKAMP: I'll second.
MR. DAUNT: Okay. We have a motion and support.
Is there discussion? Not seeing any. All those in favor of the motion say "aye."

ALL: Aye.
MR. DAUNT: Any opposed? Okay.
(Whereupon motion passed at 12:06 p.m.)
MR. DAUNT: And the next item, item number three, certification of the recount for the Office of State Representative, 34th District. We do have an individual who would like to speak, but I'm going to turn it over to Director Brater for a brief explanation of what this is and what we're dealing with.

MR. BRATER: Thank you, Chair Daunt. So this concerned a recount of the 34 th State House District republican primary election in Lenawee County. So in this instance according to the certified results Dale Zorn was
the winner by eight votes. The second place candidate, Ryan Rank requested a recount and Mr. Zorn did not object to the recount and a recount of the entire race was conducted. The Bureau of Elections staff conducted this recount on your behalf with assistance both from county and municipal election officials in Lenawee County. And I would just commend the work of staff both at the state as well as the county and local level in conducting this recount.

The result of the recount did not change the result. Dale Zorn who was the winning candidate gained 13 votes during the hand recount of the paper ballots and Ryan Rank gained four votes. That's fairly typical. We often find during a hand count the numbers will go up slightly. The most common explanation for that is that a tabulator will read a mark either as an under vote, not cast for a candidate, or it might read something as an over vote and not count a vote for everyone because two boxes are marked perhaps by a stray pen mark. But when human beings look at that, they determined that it was a vote for one of the candidates and it should be counted. Again, that is done by a team of recount officials. So nonetheless, the result didn't change. In fact, Dale Zorn's margin increased from an eight vote margin to a 17 vote margin. And there are no outstanding challenges pending for the Board of State Canvassers there.

Finally, I want to note that ten of the precincts were not able to be recounted. By and large the officials did a good job of keeping things in balance in that precinct, but there were two -- in that county, but there were two precincts that had out of balance precincts that could not be explained during the recount, meaning that the number of ballots they had in the container was not matching the number of names of voters on the list. I expect that with additional time probably it could have been determined what caused that but during the recount period it wasn't possible to explain that and there were no remarks with the materials that we had. And then additionally, several precincts -- this was the predominant issue -- unrecountable because of issues with the way that ballot containers were sealed. For example, a seal number may not have been properly recorded or in a couple instances clerks had broken the seals on the containers to retrieve materials before they brought them to the recount board which is an error. It needs to be brought to the recount board fully sealed. So unfortunately because our recount statute is very strict, that meant that we were not able to recount those precincts. We will follow up with the county municipalities to make sure they understand the proper procedures in terms of maintaining the sealing of ballots in certified containers. I'll just note that those ballots in those precincts are
still retained. So if, you know, one of the candidates or any other member of the public wanted to view those ballots, they could by making a public records request, but we're not allowed to recount them under those circumstances.

So with that, we recommend certification of the result as being unchanged from the certified result from the county canvass.

MR. DAUNT: Okay. Thank you, sir. Any questions? Jeannette, I see you have some. Please go ahead.

MS. BRADSHAW: I always have questions about recounts. And I say that because I've been to a number of recounts. So I just want to make sure because we are dealing with new lines now that the House District 34 , is that a cross-county or is that simply in Lenawee County?

MR. BRATER: I believe it's entirely within Lenawee County.

MS. BRADSHAW: Okay. And then were the --
MR. BRATER: We'll double check that, though. But I believe --

MS. BRADSHAW: We're going to double check?
MR. BRATER: -- yeah, but I believe it's totally within Lenawee.

MS. BRADSHAW: And then you did kind of hit the spot, the questions that $I$ was going to ask which was about the improper ballot containers, the sealing of them. They
didn't have, like, improper containers?
MR. BRATER: There were some instances, I believe, in which the ballots were in containers that were not certified for use under the current standards. So they were probably older containers that they were using that they should not be using. They should be using the current certified ballot containers.

MS. BRADSHAW: Okay. And so in that case that is probably where the seal -- so you had sealing issues and then there were containers that were used. And I know in the past we've actually had municipalities that didn't even have the certified actual seals they were supposed to use. I remember that a couple years ago. And then the other question I had for you, was there any challenges made to the county board? That -- obviously we didn't have it come to us, but were there challenges made on petitions that were settled by the county?

MR. BRATER: On individual votes or on individual ballots or practices?

MS. BRADSHAW: Ballots?
MR. BRATER: Yes. Adam, do you have that available?

MR. FRACASSI: Sure.
MR. BRATER: Go ahead.
MR. FRACASSI: So first, to answer your first
question, it is wholly contained. I have confirmed that. Second, so there were challenges that were made at the recount that were addressed. They were withdrawn prior to it being closed, like, prior to the recount being completed at the local level.

MS. BRADSHAW: And so just -- just so everyone understands why I'm asking these questions. So on a recount if there are challenges to ballots -- and I know I've got the Bureau here, too, you know -- that any kind of those challenges would be made to the county board of canvassers. And if the county board of canvassers did not come to a conclusion or an answer on that, then it would come to us on the State Board of Canvassers to determine any kind of issue on those ballots. Did I follow that correctly?

MR. FRACASSI: Yes, that is --
MS. BRADSHAW: Yes.
MR. FRACASSI: -- correct and it didn't even need to go to the county -- county board.

MS. BRADSHAW: Right. Okay. So they were removed before that process would even happen. And then you said unremarked -- unremarked errors, balance errors. Can you go a little bit more into that, please?

MR. BRATER: Yeah. So when a -- when a precinct is out of balance on election night, if there is a remark that explains why it's out of balance, it can still be
recounted. So, for example, if you have one more name in the poll book than you do ballots, but somebody came into the polling place, checked in and then left with their ballot, that explains that. And so that would be the kind of remark that would say, that -- that would allow us to recount it because it would be -- it explains out of balance precinct. In this case we didn't have something like that so we weren't able to determine the reason during the recount why these precincts were out of balance and for that reason we're not allowed to recount them.

MS. BRADSHAW: And this was a full recount
including absentee ballots as well or no?
MR. BRATER: Yes, it also included the absentee ballots.

MS. BRADSHAW: Okay. Because you do have to a separate request for $A V$ ballots or am $I$ kind of -MR. BRATER: Yeah. All of the precincts to be recounted have to be requested.

MS. BRADSHAW: All right. I just want to make sure because we have individuals who have not heard this before, so -- or been through this process so I want to make sure everyone understands what we're doing and what our role is when it comes to recounts for these state houses or state senate seats. Thank you, Mr. Chair, for allowing me my questions today.

MR. DAUNT: Absolutely. We do have one witness who -- anybody else have any questions? We do have one witness who would like to speak. Mr. Doster, please come up. I'll give you 11 seconds and -- Mr. Doster?

MR. ERIC DOSTER: Where's my -- where's my button
here?

MR. DAUNT: It's a little tiny one next to the base of the microphone.

MS. BRADSHAW: Little.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The big one. The big mic.
MR. ERIC DOSTER: Oh. Big mic?
MR. STEVEN LIEDEL: Right-hand side of the one
closest to --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's already on.
MR. ERIC DOSTER: Is it already on?
MR. DAUNT: Your 11 seconds are up.
MS. BRADSHAW: Nope. You're not on. Not lit up.
MR. ERIC DOSTER: Hold on. Is that it?
MR. DAUNT: Can you help him, Steve?
MR. ERIC DOSTER: Tell me where it is.
MR. STEVEN LIEDEL: How many lawyers does it take to turn on a microphone?

MR. ERIC DOSTER: Two.
ERIC DOSTER
MR. ERIC DOSTER: Eric Doster. I was representing

1 Dale Zorn in that recount. And, you know, Dale on election or Senator Zorn on election night won by seven votes, picked up one vote in the canvass and then stretched to another nine votes during the recount so his new nickname is Landslide Zorn. But the reason why I'm here, I just wanted to report to this Board that your staff on your behalf did an outstanding job. I know Dave Tarrant's here. Dave Foster ran the recount, (inaudible) is always around. It was very efficient. We had a lot of precincts. I've been doing this for $30-s o m e$ years and I didn't think we were going to get through the entire 58 precincts in one day but we did. Ten of them were unrecountable, but, like, three or four of them just -- Jeannette, to your point or questions -- three or four of them got taken out in one fell swoop. Director Brater mentioned the clerk who did the -she opened up the ballot box but that's not -- they did a really good job. So, anyway, I am just here to say thank you.

And then there was two things $I$ do want to point out. And, again, from this side of the table that $I$ appreciate. When we got in, we had lanyards that said, like, "Team Rank" or "Team Zorn" or "Team Moore." That's a nice innovation that $I$ haven't seen before and frankly $I$ appreciate because it was able to allow me to identify my own representatives. Okay? And then the second innovation
that, again, $I$ like it, $I$ really commend it, $I$ hope you use it in the future, we had a running total on a -- what do you -- what do you call that?

MS. BRADSHAW: Spreadsheet.
MR. DAVE TARRANT: Spreadsheet.
MR. ERIC DOSTER: Was it a spreadsheet? But it was on a board. It was on, like, a big Smart board. What was --

MR. DAVE TARRANT: Oh, TV.
MR. DAUNT: Big TV. And --
MR. DAUNT: A TV.
MR. ERIC DOSTER: And I love efficiency and this was very efficient.

MR. DAVE TARRANT: Monitor.
MR. ERIC DOSTER: Monitor. But it was a big, big monitor and I'm not technical so I didn't know how to run it, but $I$ could ask people to scroll through it for me. But normally during these recounts you're sitting down and constantly sitting at a computer and saying, "okay, what did you get for this precinct or with that?" I didn't have to do that because it was on this monitor. So I just wanted to say thank you. Your staff did an outstanding job. And if you have any questions, I'm happy to answer. But your staff -- thank you.

MS. BRADSHAW: Mr. Doster, I have to say that I
appreciate the comments because having been to a number of recounts -- and thank you for the staff for actually identifying people because I've been in those rooms, you know, during -- just even judicial recounts where I walk in and I don't -- unless I know who you are, like, I know -MR. ERIC DOSTER: Yeah.

MS. BRADSHAW: -- you or Mr. Pirich when he was in front of us. You know, --

MR. ERIC DOSTER: Everyone knew John.
MS. BRADSHAW: -- I knew who you guys were, but -MR. ERIC DOSTER: Yeah.

MS. BRADSHAW: -- you know, in most cases but, you know, they are -- I just want to say thank you for bringing that up.

MR. ERIC DOSTER: You're welcome. Thank you.
MR. DAUNT: Thank you, sir. All right. It would appear that we are looking for a motion on certification of the recount for --

MS. BRADSHAW: I will make that motion. So based on the examination of the recount of the votes cast at the August 2nd, 2022, primary election for candidates who sought the republican nomination to the office of State Representative, District 34, I move that the Board certify that the attached is a true statement of the votes given in the election. And I further move that the Board certify
that Dale Zorn -- I'm not going to say "Landslide" because that's not in this motion -- was elected as the republican nominee to the office State Representative, District 34. MR. HOUSKAMP: I'll second that. MR. DAUNT: We have a motion and a second. Any discussion on this? Seeing none, all those in favor of the motion as stated please signify by saying "aye."

ALL: Aye.
MR. DAUNT: Any opposed? Motion carries four to zero.
(Whereupon motion passed at 12:18 p.m.)
MR. DAUNT: All right. Agenda item number four, consideration of the sufficiency of the initiative petition submitted by Promote the Vote 2022. Mr. Brater, I will turn it over to you for a rundown of the staff report and items that you handled and then we'll hear from our witnesses.

MR. BRATER: Thank you, Chair Daunt. So this is the Promote the Vote 2022 constitutional amendment petition. It was submitted on July 11th, 2022, and the number of valid signatures required for certification was 425,059. The total filing that was received included 664,029 signatures on 141,339 sheets. Following the practice that the Board has adopted, the Bureau of Elections first conducted a face review of all the sheets and determined which sheets should not be included for consideration as containing valid
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signatures because the entire sheet was invalid. And based on that, we determined that 13,614 signatures on 4,298 sheets should be excluded from the potential universe of valid signatures. At that point, we proceeded to sort, count, and stamp the sheets to get a total number of signatures before drawing the sample. Under the random sampling procedures that the Board has adopted, we had a sample of 568 sampled signatures. And based upon the universe, the total they had which was a pretty high cushion, they needed to have a -- at least 389 of that 568 total sample be valid registered voters that are otherwise acceptable signatures in order for us to recommend certification. And that equates to a, I believe they needed a percentage of 62 -- is that right? -- 62.5 percent? Sorry. I'm on the wrong page here. No, 6- -- yeah. Sorry. 68.5. I apologize.

So the result of our review of each of the signatures in the random sample indicated that they did have a total of 445 valid signatures in the sample and that projects to a total estimated number of signatures that are valid of 507,780, and that's 62,760 more than the minimum threshold that would be required for certification. The margin is high enough that under the statistical model that we use to extrapolate the projected number of valid signatures and predict how many there are based on the
sample, because of that margin the confidence level is 100 percent.

I will note that there were some objections raised to some of the sheets that we excluded initially. There were a total of 200 signatures that we excluded from the universe initially based on our face review that the proponents, Promote the Vote, objected to. After looking at their review, we agreed that 200 out of the 264 signatures that they thought should go back in should go back in which increased our universe of valid signatures from 650,415 to 650,615 , but that is a 99.97 percent accurate universe and therefore the difference was so small that it made no difference in our -- in our projected number of valid signatures. We did not get -- so based on that we're recommending certification based on the number of valid signatures.

We did not get challenges to individual signatures on the grounds that the voter was not registered or a duplicate signature or something like that, but there was a challenge that was filed by Defend Your Vote and their challenge concerns an argument I'm sure we're going to hear about shortly that there are sections of the constitution that would be altered or abrogated by this proposed constitutional amendment that were not listed in the petition. So section 482 provides -- of the election law
does provide that the sections that would be altered or abrogated should be listed on the face of the petition. Staff reviewed that challenge. We did provide you in our staff report with some of the relevant case law from the courts in terms of, you know, what constitutes a provision being changed or replaced or altered or abrogated. But given the fact that the petition did include sections that were altered or abrogated and the argument we received was about the interaction between the -- what would be the new sections of the constitution and existing sections and the interplay of those sections. In our view that was a legal argument. That was more of a judicial function and therefore we didn't weigh in as the -- as the secretary and the staff to the Board, we didn't weigh in on the legal merits of those arguments.

So -- so our -- our recommendation is based on the number of signatures being valid, being in excess of what is required and us not identifying a specific basis where the Board could, you know, make those sort of judicial
determinations about how seconds are affected and our
recommendation is based on that.
MR. DAUNT: Mr. Director, thank you.
MS. BRADSHAW: Mr. Chair, may I ask a question --
MR. DAUNT: You may.
MS. BRADSHAW: -- of Director Brater? Just
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1 because we do have two constitutional amendments, petitions to be in front of us. Director Brater, can you go over how -- what the role of this Board is up until now and what our role is, you know, where we -- up until now? We'll go do that question first. I might have to ask the second part, Chairman, after. But what our role is in this petition process getting us to today.

MR. BRATER: Sure. Well, so first if there is a proposed constitutional amendment petition, initiative petition, the proponents have the option -- they're not required to do it, but they have the option of requesting that I draft a 100-word summary which the Board has to approve that will go on the face of the petition and that has to be fair and impartial and explain what the petition does. They did follow that process in this case and the Board did approve language that they used on the petition. That forecloses the Board from considering argument that the petition on the face was misleading because the Board has already approved the language that went on there.

There are al- -- the Board also offers preliminary approval as to form. That's something that's done as a courtesy to petition circulators so that the Board and staff can have a look at the petition form before it goes out. They also availed themselves of that in this case. And then they're also required under $483(\mathrm{a})$ of the Election Law to
provide us with a copy of the petition that will be circulated before they go out and circulate. So that's been the Board's responsibilities up to this point. And now, at this stage, the Board's responsibility is to determine whether there are enough valid signatures on the petition, and that includes both the signatures belonging to voters who are registered and otherwise eligible to complete the form, and then also the sheets that those individuals sign have to meet the formal requirements of Section 42 of the Election Law. And then further on we will consider the questions of the 100 -word summary that will go on the ballot, but that's also within the Board's purview.

MS. BRADSHAW: I was just going to say thank you for the explanation. I think that it's important for everyone to kind of understand where our role is and that where we are in this process and I appreciate that. And thank you, Mr. Chair, for allowing me to ask that question. MR. DAUNT: Absolutely. Are there other questions for Director Brater? All right. In that sense, I'll call up Mr. Trebilcock. He is representing the sponsors of this; correct?

MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: Yeah, I am. MR. DAUNT: Okay. MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: But typically, at least in past practice, usually the challengers go first and then we Page 135
respond to the challenges and that's the way the process works in terms of they submit a challenge and then we file a response to that challenge. But as -- as Chair --

MR. DAUNT: I --
MR. HOUSKAMP: That might be a way to go.
MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: -- I -- I --

MR. DAUNT: I actually was just following some
guidance $I$ had of previous ones. So I am --
MR. FRACASSI: It's up to you.
MR. BRATER: It's up to you.
MS. BRADSHAW: It's up to you.
MR. DAUNT: I am --
MS. BRADSHAW: Because you're just going to have him come back up.

MR. DAUNT: -- yeah. I mean, you're going to --
MS. GUREWITZ: I think that because a challenge was filed and a response was filed, that it does make more sense to have the challenger and then the responder.

MR. DAUNT: That's fine with me. I'm --
MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: That's the way us lawyers are used to it, but, hey --

MR. DAUNT: I have -- I have no doubt that you guys are going to ping pong back and forth on this. So in that instance, I'll have Jonathon Koch come up on behalf of the challenger. And, Mr. Koch, you are an attorney. Please
state your name, spell it for our reporter, who you're here on behalf of. And just for your edification, looking at giving around, you know, five minutes for you to expound, we'll ask questions. You know, if you think you need more time, please just let us know but our goal would be to try to wrap this.

## JONATHAN KOCH

MR. JONATHAN KOCH: Chairman Daunt, members of the Board, my name is Jonathon Koch, J-o-n-a-t-h-a-n K-o-c-h. I represent the ballot question committee Defend Your Vote. We are the entity that filed the challenge to Promote the Vote's petition.

There are three points $I$ want to address to the Board. First, the Board has a duty to address the alter and abrogate issue and to declare that a petition that does not comply with the form requirements of the Michigan Election Law is insufficient and it must be rejected, and that the alter and abrogate requirement is a form requirement that falls within that. Second, the alter and abrogate requirement is a form requirement, but it matters. It's -it's important because it ensures that voters are informed about the consequences of the proposed constitutional amendments that they're being asked to vote on. And third, Promote the Vote's proposal would abrogate several constitutional provisions including Article 2, Section 2,
that were not republished in the petition. Because that they did not republish those petitions, it is not in the form prescribed by law which means this Board has a duty to reject it and refuse to certify it.

With respect to the duty to address the alter and abrogate issue, the Supreme Court has held that this Board's duty with respect to petitions is to determine the sufficiency of the form. The MCL $168.482(2)$ says that each part of the petition must, mandatory, be in the form prescribed by the rest of the statute and in the very next subsection it says that if the proposal would alter or abrogate an existing provision to the constitution, it must so state -- the petition must so state and the provisions to be altered or abrogated must be inserted.

As Mr. Avers mentioned earlier, to abrogate a provision means that it is rendered wholly inoperative, but it doesn't have to be the entire provision. It can be a discreet part of a provision including something as small as a single word. The upshot is that the requirement that the petition republish the provisions that are altered and abrogate is a form requirement that this Board is tasked with determining and deciding before it makes the decision to certification. And if a petition does not republish a constitutional provision that would be altered or abrogated if the petition is adopted, the Supreme Court has said that
that is fatal to the petition which means it must be rejected.

Skipping over to, ahead to whether alter and abrogate's provisions, our challenge rate is five separate rounds. Each, any one of them is true and sufficient. Today I'm going to focus on Article 2, Section 2. That's the provision of Michigan's constitution that gives the legislature authority to, quote, "exclude persons from voting because of mental incompetence or commitment to a jail or penal institution." In plain English, Article 2, Section 2 authorizes our state's legislature to exclude otherwise qualified voters from voting if they are mentally incompetent or incarcerated. The petition, if adopted, would destroy that grant of authority in two steps. First, it provides that all qualified electors would have a fundamental right to vote. Then it provides that no one, not the legislature or any other person can quote, enact or use any law that would have the intent or effect of denying, abridging, interfering or unreasonably burdening that right. Note that if that's adopted, even something that's a reasonable interference or a reasonable denial, would be constitutionally invalid. If Promote the Vote's proposal is adopted, the legislature would be prohibited from enacting any law that would exclude someone from voting because they are other- -- if they are otherwise qualified to do so.

As Mr. Avers mentioned, there are four qualifications for voting under Michigan's constitution and the Michigan Election Law. The four qualifications are U.S. citizenship, they have attained the minimum age, they are a resident of the state, and they are a resident of a locality in the state where they would be voting. The statute does not list incarcerated status. It doesn't list mental competence as qualifications for voting. This Board courts no one except for the legislature can rewrite statutes to say that they mean something that they don't say based on their plain text. What that means is that someone who is serving a sentence, who's been convicted of a felony, serving in prison, who is currently incarcerated but is a U.S. citizen who's of the age to vote, who's a resident of Michigan and the resident of a locality in Michigan, that person is qualified to vote despite, in spite of, regardless of their incarcerated status. As I'm sure you're going to hear from Mr. Trebilcock, they disagree with that. They say that in their -- in their response to our challenge they say, well, as an abrogated that isn't affected because a restriction on an incarcerated felon's ability to vote, that's -- that's just another qualification. But as we know from the statute that said -- in the constitution provision regarding qualifications of electors, that isn't true. And, in fact, we know that from the statute that the legislature
has enacted as an exercise of their Article 2, Section 2 authority. Can $I$ continue for a minute or two?

MR. DAUNT: Yup.
MR. JONATHAN KOCH: MCL $168.492(a)$ provides that an otherwise qualified voter who is currently in prison but has not been sentenced or convicted can vote. But that same otherwise qualified person who has been convicted and has been sentenced can no longer vote. Which means that for the legislature to actualize its authority under Article 2, Section 2, it would be restricting someone who's otherwise qualified to vote and the legislature has recognized that. Because that authority would just be obliterated by the amendments proposed in the petition, it is Article 2, Section 2 would be rendered wholly inoperative which means it's been abrogated. It was not republished so the petition doesn't -- doesn't meet the form requirements of the Michigan Election Law 168 -- MCL 168.482(3).

We've heard a lot of talk about the substance of these petitions. That is beside the point for this Board. It doesn't matter whether it's a good idea to have incarcerated felons vote. It doesn't matter if it's a good idea to allow ballot harvesting in Jackson State Prison. What matters is that the people have a right to be informed of the effects of the constitutional amendments they're being asked to consider. They have a right to know what is
going to be abrogated. Here the petition would abrogate Article 2, Section 2, but that was not republished. As a result, that -- that -- that failure to republish is fatal to Promote the Vote's petition and this Board has a duty to reject it.

MR. DAUNT: Okay.
MS. BRADSHAW: I have one question.
MR. DAUNT: Yes.
MS. BRADSHAW: But just one. Let me ask Director
Brater and then I'll ask you. Is that okay? Because I --
it's -- is this one of the petitions that came to us twice for approval to form? Because I cannot recall. MR. BRATER: We'll have to check on that. MR. DAUNT: I don't think so. MS. BRADSHAW: I can't remember if this was or not. MR. DAUNT: I don't think so. MS. BRADSHAW: I know the second one was, but this one -MR. DAUNT: Secure MI Vote which was essentially kind of --

MS. BRADSHAW: Right. But that was the checkbox. I didn't know if Promote the Vote had a -- can't remember if we did a -- on the -- an approval pending that they removed the union label. I don't know if this one came under that
and that's why I'm asking this question.
MR. BRATER: I think it did, but we're checking.
MS. BRADSHAW: Because it turns in my question for
you. So --
MR. DAUNT: I believe --
MS. BRADSHAW: -- let me wait 'til I get the answer and then I'll --
MR. DAUNT: -- if my recollection is correct, the sponsors agreed to make that change -- make that change conditional and so they didn't have to come back.
MS. BRADSHAW: Yeah, that's what I was looking, conditional.
MR. DAUNT: I believe that's how it went, but --
MS. BRADSHAW: Yeah. I just want to --
MR. BRATER: That's -- that's -- that's correct.
MS. BRADSHAW: -- that's -- okay. So this one was not. All right. So the question $I$ have is if there was a question of abrogation or alteration, was it not -- why was it not brought up when we were approving this petition to form?
MR. JONATHAN KOCH: I mean, the most honest answer is I don't know. My client didn't exist. That's the best answer I have for you.
MS. BRADSHAW: I'm just -- I'm asking maybe because obviously it's, you know, we are now at the point
that we are looking at signatures as already, you know, and that's -- this is why I'm asking the question to you, so -MR. JONATHAN KOCH: I understand the practical reality of that, but $I$ think my response would be that regardless of what has happened or whether it could have been raised earlier, this Board still has a duty to follow the law, to apply the law as it's written, and that's what it says.

MS. BRADSHAW: I understand. So my next question
is to Director Brater if that's okay?
MR. DAUNT: Yeah, please.
MS. BRADSHAW: Unless anyone has any questions? MR. DAUNT: Go ahead. Continue.

MS. BRADSHAW: My next question, Director
Brater -- and I'm sorry, Adam, but I'm going to ask this one, too. Have we -- oh, we probably have in my time on this Board, but it's been a little bit. Have we had this issue come up before in front of us? And if we did, can you refresh my memory on that one? And that might even include the Attorney General representation in that, too.

MR. BRATER: Not in my tenure. I don't --
MS. BRADSHAW: I know not in yours.
MR. FRACASSI: Can I -- can I clarify? When you
say "this issue," you mean the alter and abrogation issue specifically?

MS. BRADSHAW: Before $I$ was on the Board.
MR. GRILL: It was before you were on the Board.
MS. BRADSHAW: It was before $I$ was on the Board.
MR. DAUNT: I was -- I was going to say I believe I saw somewhere in some of the materials that this -- this had come up before which is my -- my issue, my struggle on, you know, form versus substance, I think I -- I tend to think this is -- this is a form issue. Then, okay, squaring
with previous form approval, are -- what is kind of precedent? What has been done previously when things have been noticed after that initial form approval is provided? I sympathize with the argument on you've noticed something, you should address it. I just want to make sure that it's -- we're not just being made up on the fly.

MR. JONATHAN KOCH: So I understand that. I think, you know, without having an encycope- -- encyclopedic knowledge of Michigan case law on all things, I do know that if the Board hasn't voted to certify whether it's deadlocked, three-one or if there hasn't been a vote on decision on this revocation, I don't know that you would have the mandamus remedy provided by MCL 168.479, so you wouldn't have kind of the legal hook for us to start fighting it out in court until that decision had been made. And $I$ know that at -- in at least some of the case law involving alter and abrogate, especially the 2012 Project Your Jobs case, I believe that decision, it -- it -- it had been certified which means it was after signatures. I don't know when it was raised, but it was at least decided and the challenge was filed after that date.

MR. DAUNT: And was that -- was that brought based on a deadlock or was that the Board certified but the opponents or whomever ultimately decided, "oh, you know what? We think we have a solid legal argument here, we're
going to bring it anyways"? How -- how does that play out?
MR. JONATHAN KOCH: So Protect Our Jobs involved I think three or four petitions. So I believe the one that, where they -- where they submit it had been al- -- it had alternate --

MR. DAUNT: It was casinos, wasn't it?
MR. JONATHAN KOCH: I think so. It was casino liquor licenses. Regard- -- it was a -- it was a provision that had been altered and abrogated and I believe the Board had voted to -- that it had declared it insufficient. There had been a -- I don't know what the vote was, but I don't believe it --

MR. DAUNT: Because of signatures or the alter and abrogation?

MR. JONATHAN KOCH: I believe it was because of alter and abrogate, but, $I$ mean, that's --

MR. DAUNT: Okay.
MS. BRADSHAW: Mr. Chair, may I ask if we can -- I know you're an attorney, but $I$ also would like to ask our Attorney General representation on the table of what that proceeding looked like, too. If that's okay?

MR. GRILL: Sure. The Protect Our Jobs issue specifically was a ballot question committee that brought an action in the Court of Appeals seeking a writ of mandamus directing the Board of State Canvassers and the Director of

Elections to place on the 2012 general election ballot its proposal for amendments to the constitution. That provided for, among other things, various collective bargaining rights. The Board had approved the form of the petition and the director had in that case found that there were valid -set valid signatures to qualify the petition, but the Board in that case deadlocked on whether the petition should be placed on the ballot. Then after that, there was an action for mandamus brought which ultimately concluded with the court's determination. There was a lengthy opinion ultimately concluding with roughly five paragraphs determining what was or was not altering and abrogating leading to $I$ believe affirming the judgment of the Court of Appeals, granting relief on the complaint of mandamus in that case to place the proposed constitutional amendments on the general election ballot.

MR. JONATHAN KOCH: One of them was not, though. I think there was three petitions and two of them were put on and one of them was not, and it was the one that was not was the -- was the one that abrogated without republishing.

MS. GUREWITZ: I'm sorry. Can you --
MR. JONATHAN KOCH: Sorry.
MS. GUREWITZ: -- get closer to the microphone?
MR. JONATHAN KOCH: Sorry.
MR. GRILL: Yeah, but that's --

MR. JONATHAN KOCH: I know that, like -- sorry. don't mean to be confrontational, but $I$ think that in the holding of the case, the last paragraph, it affirms with respect to one aspect of it which would not be -- which would be keeping a petition off the ballot.

MR. GRILL: According what -- I'm reading from the court's opinion here.
"Accordingly, in Protect Our Jobs we affirm the judgment of the Court of Appeals granting relief on the complaint of mandamus. In Michigan Alliance for Prosperity and The People Should Decide, we grant relief on the complaints for mandamus in part, and direct the -- direct the Board of State Canvassers, the Secretary of State, and the Director of Elections to proceed as necessary to place the proposed constitutional amendments on the November 2012 ballot. We deny relief in all other respects. In Citizens for More Michigan Jobs we dismiss the complaint for mandamus filed in the Court of Appeals and deny relief in all other respects."

But the conclusion of the court here, you know, with the five paragraphs laying out the alter and abrogate language is still -- that applies to all.

MR. DAUNT: So thank you. There's obviously a ton of stuff that we read. I guess I'm -- I'm trying to
understand because it's my understanding that one of those -- and I believe it was casinos, something to do with casinos, was deadlocked because of an alter and abrogation and was not allowed to proceed. But what you're saying seems to contradict my understanding of that. So I'm -- I'm looking for clarity on that.

MR. GRILL: I guess I'm not sure I -- how can I

MR. DAUNT: Well, the -- it was liquor licenses, I believe, and that some kind of power was given to the casinos related to liquor license, but the constitution only gives it to certain, --

MS. GUREWITZ: Liquor Control Commission?
MR. DAUNT: -- to the Liquor Control Commission. And so because of that, that was an abrogation that was not properly addressed which would mean if the court ruled that, then the casino issue wasn't allowed to move forward.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Correct.
MR. DAUNT: But it -- what you said is that they were all allowed to move forward and I'm --

MR. JONATHAN KOCH: But it was the last part where the complaint for --

MR. DAUNT: I'm not an attorney so I'm getting
lost in the legal language, so --
MR. JONATHAN KOCH: Sorry.

MR. GRILL: Well, in fairness I think that kind of underscores what Director Brater was saying. Is that there is a part of this that veers into legal determinations of whether or not what effect this proposal would have if adopted and how would it interact with other constitutional provisions. I suppose the thing to keep in mind, I think the other probably worth mentioning is the Court of Appeals decisions in the Citizens for Protection of Marriage versus Board of State Canvassers which was from 2004. There were subsequent cases as well. But the significant part of the holding held the Board's authority and duties with regard to proposed constitutional amendments are limited to determining whether the form of the petition complies with the statutory requirements and whether there are sufficient signatures to warrant certification of the proposal. In that case, the court held that it was error for the Board to consider either the merits of the proposal or the lawfulness of the proposal. Also noting in that case the court said that well establis- -- it is well established that a substantive challenge to the subject matter of the petition is not right for review until after the law is enacted. MR. DAUNT: Thank you. MR. HOUSKAMP: Can I ask a question? Listen, I'm the newcomer here. Okay? You guys have all done this before.

MS. BRADSHAW: I think I'm the only one. Sorry, Richard.

MS. GUREWITZ: We're all rookies here.
MR. HOUSKAMP: We're all new -- we're all new --
MR. DAUNT: Relatively fresh Board.
MR. HOUSKAMP: If I'm just hearing all the legalese put aside, what I'm hearing you say is the form of this petition, form, whoever blessed it in March or whenever or May or June, the form of the petition doesn't rise, doesn't meet the standard that the Michigan law calls for; is that correct?

MR. JONATHAN KOCH: Yes. That is -- that is our position.

MR. HOUSKAMP: I mean, it doesn't get any simpler.
MR. JONATHAN KOCH: Correct.
MR. HOUSKAMP: And it doesn't meet that standard because there were pieces that were omitted that a simple review of it back whenever --

MR. JONATHAN KOCH: Yes.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Uh-huh; yes.
MR. HOUSKAMP: -- okay, would have called out and said, "hey, this alters or this changes or this voids or abrogates Article 2, Section 2," --

MR. JONATHAN KOCH: Yes.
MR. HOUSKAMP: -- whatever the case might be. And Page 152
so those pieces were left out which means also that in spite of the fact that there's thousands, hundreds of thousands of signatures, in effect hundreds of thousands of signatures signed something that wasn't a legal petition.

MR. JONATHAN KOCH: Yes. And, I mean, and -and -- so -- so to break that out --

MR. HOUSKAMP: That was a yes or no question.
MR. JONATHAN KOCH: Yes. I'm a lawyer. Sorry. I have to make everything complicated.

MR. HOUSKAMP: It's when you guys start going beyond yes and no that I get confused here.

MR. JONATHAN KOCH: Yes, you're right.
MR. HOUSKAMP: That would be correct.
MR. JONATHAN KOCH: If there are any other questions?

MS. BRADSHAW: Not for me.
MR. DAUNT: No. Mary Ellen?
MS. GUREWITZ: No.
MR. DAUNT: Okay.
MR. JONATHAN KOCH: Thank you.
MR. DAUNT: Thank you, sir. With that, bring up Mr. Trebilcock on behalf of the sponsors and supporters of this, and we'll give you the initial five with the understanding that you'll probably need to go a little longer in questions, so please do just state name, who
you're with and we'll go from there.
CHRIS TREBILCOCK
MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: Good -- I guess we're afternoon, aren't we?

MS. BRADSHAW: We're afternoon.
MR. DAUNT: Yup.
MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: Yeah, jeez. Good
afternoon. Good to see you all again. Member Houskamp, nice to meet you. Welcome to the party. And thank you for your opening statement, Chair Daunt. I think they were dead on, although you're not much of a warmup act for us attorneys. Thank you.

Compared to recent petition challenges this Board has reviewed and will yet review today, this challenge is as narrow as it is deep. Mr. Koch doesn't challenge the fact that PTV submitted over half a million valid signatures. Doesn't assert that PTV employed fraudulent circulators. He doesn't argue that a union bug contains too small a font size or there is some other issue with the form. His sole and singular argument is that PTV did not list five sections of the constitution that would be completely unchanged if this proposal was passed and there is no other error in the petition sheets. That's it. No more, no less. And I think Member Houskamp summed it up and said it is pretty simple. You're saying that these five provisions are abrogated and
they should have been listed.
What he fails to mention and I'm -- and he's hoping you all forget and I think we've touched on are two cornerstone principles that this Board must follow. The Bureau and the Board have already approved this petition as to form. And while Mr. Koch wasn't here challenging it because apparently he didn't have a client willing to pay him at that point, Mr. Avers did and he full -- and he had got his actual full rate, Chair Daunt, because he was here on Secure MI Vote and they submitted challenges and yet they did not bring up alter or abrogate at that time. And let's be clear, there's two standards. There's alter or abrogate. They're not even saying anything has been altered. Altered is a more nuanced argument in that it could modify or it shifted and it should be listed. They're saying it abrogated which is a complete evisceration, to quote Mr. Koch's old boss Justice Zara, not exactly a bastion of progressive or liberal jurisprudence, says it has to be completely eviscerated. I don't think anybody reading this provision and gives it a fair -- this proposal and giving it a fair reading would ever think that those provisions are eviscerated and rendered completely inoperable. But those changes weren't made back in February when this petition was approved as to form by this Board by a $4-0$ vote. Now is not the time to go back and revisit what was already done. Over
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half a million voters, Michigan voters, have supported this proposal as approved by this Board and it's time for this to be certified for the ballot.

Second, the Michigan Supreme Court has cautioned that these ballot -- that ballot committees are not required to get prior judicial determination as to whether a provision is abrogated or not. That is not the requirement that ballot committees are held to, but that is just exactly what Defend Your Vote is arguing for. To weigh in to these issues at the level of complication and detail requires a constitutional lawyer and you've heard the various debate and not everybody understanding what this court said or this quote said. This -- those are judicial determinations as stated by Director Brater. It is not your job to carry Defend Your Vote's water. The duty of this Board as quoted in the case by the Attorney General in 2002 , is to make sure the form of this petition substantially complies with the requirements of Section 482 and has submitted sufficient, valid signatures under the constitution supporting the people's right to put these types of proposals on the ballot. They have done that. You've heard from the volunteers who have been before you. They have done that. The Board's duty is to certify this petition.

Now, assuming you decide to delve into and address any of the substance of whether things were actually
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abrogated, applying standards of the Supreme Court which a court would be doing, I think the issues are actually relatively simple and straightforward which is lucky because as you know I'm a simple Yooper with a public education, not some big private school in the Flint area, you know.

So it's -- I was amazed to learn from reading the challenge that we had erased election day from the constitution had I known, or that your jobs are going to be a lot easier because we've eliminated the power of the people to bring any statutory or constitutional initiatives forward -- going forward. I was amazed because those things are not in there. If it sounds absurd, it's because it is absurd. Those things aren't in the proposal. Give it a read. Not before any of these arguments were made did any single person think that we were getting rid of election day? Come on. Or that we're eliminating ballot proposals in this state going forward? Come on. Give me a break. It sounds absurd and it is absurd.

Simply put, the challenge did not even argue much less establish that the five provisions they cite would be rendered wholly inoperative. That's a quote from Mr. Koch's old boss, Justice Zara, in Protect My Jobs. "Wholly inoperative." And, quote, "Change that would essentially eviscerate an existing petition." He focused in apparently because that's the best argument he has on the
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constitutional -- the provision in the constitution, Article 2, Section 2, that grants the permissive authority to the legislature to deny incompetent individuals and felons from being qualified voters. All you have to do is read our proposal. The only changes that are in there are for qualified voters. We don't touch the right of who is a qualified voter. The argument of DYV ignores the introductory clause of that provision that was cited where it says "qualified voters." You can't read that out of the proposal. I'm sorry. Even your middle school English teacher would agree with me on that, Chair Daunt. MR. DAUNT: High school, but you've got a good memory.

MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: High school, you know. That -- that's just how simple -- how simple it is. You know, I don't -- I don't think I need to go through every single point. We've submitted it. I trust that you've read it. If you have questions, I'm happy to answer them. But I think you're all wise enough and experienced enough to see through this misinformation that was put forward and make the courageous vote because we all know it's time to make courageous steps sometime and now is the time to take these courageous steps, look at the form and follow your duties without any measure of what the substance of these proposals contain.

So we would respectfully request that you make a motion to certify this proposal for the ballot and that you affirm -- affirmatively vote in favor of that proposal or that motion. Thank you.

MR. DAUNT: Thank you, Chris.
MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: Any questions?

MR. DAUNT: Questions?
MS. GUREWITZ: Yeah, I have a question. Director
Brater has said in the staff report that the challenge raises legal questions. Do you think -- do you contend or understand that this Board -- let me back up a minute. Member Houskamp and Member Daunt and Member Gurewitz are all new to this, relatively new to this Board and have not addressed these complicated issues before. But I think we do understand that we are not a court and that we cannot make -- we cannot decide questions of law. Is alter and abrogate, whether provisions in the Promote the Vote initiative, whether they alter and abrogate existing provisions, is that a question of law?

MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: Absolutely and the Supreme Court has stated that. It's a question of law and requires constitutional lawyers which, you know, it's a pretty high standard and I think one that the statutes and the constitution don't vest in this Board. And I don't view alter and abrogate any different than the challenges that
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are put up about single object or whether a proposal is a complete rewrite to the constitution. The courts have been very, very clear in those instances the time to challenge -make those challenges is after the people have voted on it. That is no different than right now. They should be approved and any of those such challenges should be brought up after the people vote. Let the people vote.

MR. HOUSKAMP: Can I ask a question again? I'm coming at it from not being an attorney.

MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: Bless you.
MR. HOUSKAMP: I read your -- I read your response -- yeah, well. I read your -- I read your response and $I$ read the challenge and $I$ read them not only once, but a couple times. I have to tell you it was well written, but I did fall asleep the second time. If I'm understanding this correctly, a big part of your argument is, is that we aren't attorneys and therefore we shouldn't be making legal decisions about -- or legal opinions about whether it's abrogated or not and that that's really the province of the court, probably the Supreme Court. If this -- if this committee sends this -- sends this proposal out and doesn't let it go to the ballot, what happens then? Does it then go in front of the court and the court then makes this decision? What happens if we -- what happens if we say, yeah?

MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: So let me say a few things. First, I'll have to use your tip and read my briefs to my kids to get them to sleep. It takes more than a couple readings, I think. Second, the -- if this Board does not vote and perform its clear legal duties as required under the constitution, then as the proponents to get this on the ballot we would have to go to the Supreme Court and file a write of mandamus and a complaint for declaratory action and require them -- require -- ask the Supreme Court to direct you to do your clear, legal duty. So that's the short answer. I think the longer answer is, is that $I$ think the courts have been clear in terms of what issues should be brought up after a vote of the people and that goes to the substance and that includes things like title and object, alter and abrogate, is it a signif- -- it is a complete rewrite of the constitution or not? And so those things come up after a vote of the people, not here. I think the Attorney General (sic) Mr. Grill provided this -- this Board absolutely great legal advice as I -- as I would always expect which is quoting the Supreme Court from 2002, the Board's duties to certify the proposal after determining whether the form of the petition substantially complies with statutory requirements and whether the proposal has sufficient signatures in support. In this case you can look at the four corners and there's other cases that say the

Board's duties and authority is restricted to the four corners of the document, reviewing signatures submitted, things of that nature. If you look at the four corners of the petition form, which you already did once and if you choose to do it again, it substantially complies. It's three pages of provisions that include provisions that would be altered or abrogated. So to sit here today and somehow suggest that this petition does not substantially comply with the form as required under the Michigan Election Law? I mean, the arguments put forward are kind of absurd. We're getting rid of election day? Mr. Houskamp, if you read that petition, did you -- do you agree or do you think it got rid of election day?

MR. HOUSKAMP: The problem is I read the petition and I also read your challenge or responses. Part of the problem is, is that part of what the challenger is saying here is if you read it, it really makes sense. And maybe not the election day issue as much as some of the issues of any ballot brought up or anything that the citizens do or anything that the legislature does is -- is -- is -- is -is impugned here.

MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: I guess --
MR. HOUSKAMP: I hear what you're saying about, well, we push it on the ballot and then worry about it later, but that -- that doesn't seem to be -- I mean, that's
not a solution in anything else we would do in life; right?
MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: I'm not -- I don't think there's anything other in life. I think we're restricted to what the confines of this Board --

MR. HOUSKAMP: So -- so if we -- if we believe that there's a problem with the form of this petition, what I hear you saying is ignore it.

MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: No, not at all. That's absolutely wrong. What I'm saying is that this Board, one, has already reviewed the form. You want to talk about due process and ignoring things, there was a chance for proponent, for people who challenged this ballot initiative to appear for this -- appear before this body in February and make arguments why the form of our petition was insufficient. Mr. Doster was here, Mr. Avers was here, Mr. Koch may have been here and some of them spoke out against it and challenged the form. This Board listened to those concerns and voted 4-0 to approve the form of the petition. They didn't bring up any abrogate problems then as they could have and they didn't. Separate and apart from that, I am not saying ignore it. What I'm saying is that the Board's duty is to look at the four corners of the document and determine whether or not it substantially complies. So if this Board can sit here today and look at the form as it did in February and say the proposal lists provisions that
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1 are altered or abrogated which it does, then it complies 2 with the form. What Mr. Koch is arguing for is that you sit as a judge and jury to then make a judicial determination as Director Brater said over whether something is abrogated. And I'm saying that is outside the confines of this Board. But let's say you're even right, Mr. Houskamp, that it is your duty. Apply the standard. Go ahead. It's -- it's -it's obvious. Look at it just like you said. You go, well, maybe not. You're not getting rid of election day. Look at the arguments that they have on all the ones. They're just as silly as election day. To say that you inserted fundamental right to vote into the constitution that somehow eliminates now the power of the people to bring other constitutional amendments?

MR. HOUSKAMP: Here -- here's the problem that $I$ have and I should have just brought that up first. When I read through this and $I$ read through the challenge and $I$ look at the articles and the sections of the constitution that the challenge is saying should have been in the proposal to make it square. If I take those and I put them into your proposal and $I$ read it that way, your proposal makes perfect sense. But if I take those articles out, the argument that the challenger is making has a little more weight to it. So then I come back and I say, okay, so really what's happening is are -- you're missing --
you're -- there were some pieces that were not in the proposal and that's the question I'm asking. Is -- is -is -- does that -- does that -- does that invalidate the form of the proposal?

MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: I think it's the Supreme Court made a decision that there were provisions that were abrogated that were not. That's their, the role of the Supreme Court, not this Board. I would say second that there are not provisions that were abrogated. I think the proposal was clear and the form was already approved that we listed the provisions that were ab- -- that would be abrogated, if at all, by the proposal. I guess I keep going back to and, you know, respectfully you're glossing over and saying all five -- you're telling me that all five of the challenges they say should have been listed as abrogated? That they think that our proposal, we should have said we've abrogated election day? If our proposal is changed, we're no longer going to have that election day in this constitution, that's what they're arguing.

MR. HOUSKAMP: What I'm saying is --
MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: That's what they argued,
though.
MR. HOUSKAMP: -- what I'm saying is, is when I add -- when I add the missing articles and sections to your proposal, your proposal sounds absolutely perfect. When
those are not there, --
MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: To quote Mr. Daunt at the last hearing in February, he called the petition form "beautiful." It was in the quote.

MR. DAUNT: I don't remember that.
MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: Maybe it was the summary. You said the summary was beautiful. Maybe that's what it was.

MR. DAUNT: Probably the sum- -- yes. Because I probably wrote some of it, so -- or suggested some of it.

MS. BRADSHAW: I think that's --
MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: You know what? Following your high school English teacher I think you did. I think it was brilliant. But I -- I think the requirement, again, what -- Mr. Houskamp, I think what you're -- you're suggesting is that there is a -- that there is a standard which the Supreme Court has directed is not the standard, that you have to get a judicial determination now prior to this Board approving anything, whether or not something was abrogated. And, again, you know, abrogation means total evisceration. If you're sitting here today telling me that you believe this proposal, which over 600,000 Michigan voters supported, over 500,000 valid signatures as determined by the Board, that they signed something that got rid of election day? Really?
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MR. DAUNT: Can I -- I think -- there are four things I think $I$ want to address and if -- if I may. And then it's a little after 1:00. I had said 12:00-- if we go past 12:30 for lunch. So I think we're going to try to wrap this, this portion up and then break for lunch so that we can get -- I enjoy going back and forth with you. I do think I would be remiss if I didn't point out that I think you are slightly misrepresenting the issue of the election day. As I read it, what they're arguing is that it would get rid of the single day election day requirement and make it multiple days.

MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: Can we not vote -- can we not vote early now? I've cast my -- I cast my vote three days before the election.

MR. DAUNT: I'm -- and I'm -- I'm not -- I haven't paid much attention to that argument. I just think that the way you're phrasing it comes across is that they're saying it would just get rid of election day, we wouldn't have elections anymore and that's -- that's kind of what you've been saying. So I don't think that is correct.

MS. GUREWITZ: I think it is.
MR. DAUNT: On three -- the three other points.
You've mentioned "substantial compliance." Is it not "strict compliance"? Those are different terms; correct? MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: Correct; correct.
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MR. DAUNT: Okay. So I wanted to clarify that. The issue of timing on this, $I$ in previous meetings have stated displeasure with things being brought up somewhat late. I believe it was related to the word "the." It was annoying, it was frustrating, but also important points were made that, well, you know what, this was discovered before anything had been done, before decisions had been made, it's our duty to address them. So I think that though frustrating, we should strive to get it right. And then the issue of the previous approval to form with the Board then determining that there was an abrogation issue which they thought was form or certain members thought was form, that was part of that case in '12. And I have in slight text here -- and I'm not saying Mr. Grill is misleading at all. I just -- and this is why I was confused. It says, "The Board of Canvassers" -- this is from the Court of Appeals case.

MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: Can I -- can I just ask who sent that to you?

MR. DAUNT: Sure. Mr. Avers did.
MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: Okay. Mr. Avers in the audience sent that to you. Okay.

MR. DAUNT: "The Board of Canvassers had previously approved the form of the petition and the Director of Elections found that there were sufficient
valid signatures to qualify the proposal. However, the Board of Canvassers subsequently deadlocked on whether the petition should be placed on the ballot with two members voting to place the proposal on the ballot and two members voting not to place the proposal on the ballot. Under the statute the proposal therefore did not qualify for the ballot."

And so that -- that was my recollection of what I had read about that specific form issue was raised after initial form approval had been given. Because I don't -like I said, I don't want to be just making things up on the fly. There needs to be a conflict and some evidence pointing to a conflict which $I$ think that provides. So I -I wanted to state I knew I wasn't crazy thinking that that had been an issue as well as the issue of timing, that though frustrating, I think it's up to us to address it when it is brought to our attention.

MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: Right. And I do find it ironic that Mr. Avers who spoke out in February and spoke to the form issues in February, didn't bring or raise that issue in February.

MR. DAUNT: And just like the issue with the word "the," it is frustrating that things are not brought up. But when they are noticed and we haven't made a decision, I think it's important that they are addressed.
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MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: But --
MR. DAUNT: We probably disagree on that, but -MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: I think there's a difference because ballots weren't about to go in the mail. MR. DAUNT: Well, it -- Mary Ellen?

MS. GUREWITZ: I do note that Mr. Koch's challenge did say that the election day provision was rendered wholly inoperative, was totally abrogated. So, and what he has also said is that four other provisions of the constitution are wholly abrogated. That the ability to -- and I think he's wrong when you say that. I think he's wrong, but I'm basing that on my legal analysis of this issue of how $I$ put together the proposal and what is already in the constitution. So it's hard because I think that's not our role to put together the various pieces of the constitution with regard to this alter and abrogate. So it's -- it's complicated and we are -- sort of complicated I think between form and content. And I -- we recognize that it's our obligation to determine whether the form is correct, but we are not supposed to be, we don't have the statutory responsibility or right to engage too much with the content of the proposal. And $I$ think what -- in the guise of supposedly challenging the failure to alter and abrogate, I think what the challenger is doing here is asking us to engage in a lot of interpretation of the provision which I
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don't think we -- which $I$ believe we are not supposed to be doing. I don't know if I'm clear on that.

MR. DAUNT: Which, and that -- that was an important piece for me of understanding precedent, how had that been addressed in the past. And on the election day issue, $I$-- what -- what I'm saying -- I'm rejecting that argument, number one. I just -- I don't think it provides, you know, they --

MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: So you agree with me?
MR. DAUNT: I'm not going to say that.
MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: Come on.
MR. DAUNT: But $I$ think the way you're phrasing it to me seemed like you were -- you were making it sound as though they were saying "election day is over. We're no longer going to have any more -- we're not going to vote anymore." But I under --

MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: So -- so the constitution says by -- by -- the text of the constitution says the election day shall be -- what? -- the first Tuesday in November.

MS. BRADSHAW: After the first Monday.
MR. DAUNT: After the first Monday.
MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: After the first Monday, yeah. After the first Monday.

MS. BRADSHAW: First full week.

MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: Abrogate means totally eliminate and make it inoperative which would mean that day goes away. So what other way to read that argument than to say we're eliminating election day?

MR. DAUNT: You were referencing it as though it was elections all together. That's how I interpret it and that's why I wanted to push back against it. That's --

MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: I thought I was saying -I'm pretty sure $I$ said we're getting rid of election day. I think that's what I've said repeatedly. But --

MR. HOUSKAMP: But there were more. There were five items in there.

MS. GUREWITZ: Right.
MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: Yeah. And I think each one of them are just as absurd as that. I mean, they led with that which you have to assume is their strongest one and then they didn't even bring up the rest. They go with -they go with that we're totally rendering inoperative the legislature's authority under Article 2, Section 2, which goes to qualifications. Which if you read Article 1 -Article 2, Section 1, says here are the qualifications and as prescribed -- accept as otherwise prescribed in the constitution. That "otherwise prescribed by" means it's subject to Article 2, Section 2. So that's a qualification. We don't touch qualifications. We say qualified electors in
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the proposal. You follow?
MR. HOUSKAMP: I do follow.
MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: Okay. So that's why even if you -- even if -- even if you're in the camp of look, we can -- we can sit in the shoes of the Supreme Court or we can make judicial determinations on abrogate, apply the standard. I welcome you to. Because if you apply the standard, their five challenges, you give them a read and, like I said, a simple Yooper like me can read it. There is no way that these provisions are rendered wholly inoperative or are completely eviscerated which means essentially written out of our constitution by this proposal. This should be a non-issue. Okay? They didn't come here challenging whether or not we got enough signatures. They didn't -- they're not challenging that it's unclear where there was some other error, the union bug font wasn't the right size, you know. Abrogate. That we completely eliminated sections of our constitution, five of them, and failed to list them. I think you can tell from this debate whether or not something's eviscerated. I think that by very definition shows that these things hadn't been eviscerated. Smart, intelligent people can have honest disagreements about whether that has been abrogated or not. And if that's the case, something has not been clearly eviscerated under the standard set by the Supreme Court.
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So for those reasons, the form complies with the statute. We have submitted tens of thousands and more qualified voter signatures in support of this proposal. Let the people vote on this proposal.

MR. BRATER: If I could just ask if the AG's office would like to further clarify the process just because there's been so much back and forth on it?

MR. GRILL: Under the Protect Our Jobs decision which has been quoted extensively today already, the first part of it would be what is the meaning of alter or abrogate? And the court's conclusion on that reads as follows:
"An existing provision is only 'altered or abrogated if the proposed amendment would add to, delete from, or change the existing wording of the provision, or would render it wholly inoperative.'"

At the end of the opinion the court also provides some examples of when something is or is not altered or abrogated. Noting in one of the -- in the -- I'll just read all five.
"When the existing language of a constitutional provision would be altered or abrogated by the proposed amendment, republication of the existing provision is required. The language of the amendment" -- number two. "The language of the amendment itself, rather

```
than how the proponents or opponents of the amendment characterize its meaning, controls whether an existing provision would be altered or abrogated by the proposed amendment." Number three, "When the existing language of a constitutional provision would not be altered, but the proposed amendment would render the entire provision or some discrete component of the provision wholly inoperative, abrogation would occur and republication of the existing language is required." Number four, "When the existing language would not be altered or abrogated, but the proposed amendment would only have an effect on the existing language, and the new and existing provisions can be harmoniously construed, republication of the existing provision is not required." And number five, "When the existing language would not be altered or abrogated, but the proposed amendment would only have an effect on the existing language, thereby requiring that the new and existing provisions be interpreted together, republication of the existing provision is not required."
MR. DAUNT: Thank you, sir. Other questions?
MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: Hopefully that's not where any of you fell asleep while reading my challenge because I restated that.
```

```
MR. HOUSKAMP: No. I stayed awake for that.
MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: Because I restated that.
MR. HOUSKAMP: It was late at night, though.
MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: Were you awake for that
```

```
part?
```

part?
MR. HOUSKAMP: Out of deference to you it was very late at night.
MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: All right. All right.
MR. HOUSKAMP: If you had put pictures in, that would have --
MS. BRADSHAW: Illustrations. Mr. Chair, I do not have any further questions.
MR. DAUNT: Okay.
MS. GUREWITZ: I don't have any further questions of Mr. Trebilcock.
MR. JONATHAN KOCH: May I have a quick rebuttal?
MR. DAUNT: Two minutes, yes.
JONATHAN KOCH
MR. JONATHAN KOCH: The purpose of the alter and abrogate and republication requirement is, quote, "to advise the elector as to the purpose of the proposed amendment and what provisions of the constitutional law it modifies or supplants." That is not something that can be dealt with after the election. That is something that has to be dealt with now. It is part of the form of the petition required
by statute, MCL 168.482(3). The statute says "shall." That is mandatory which means it is subject to strict compliance, not substantial compliance.

The other examples of challenges that can be raised afterwards, single object are not form challenges. They are substantive challenges. Alter and abrogate is not saying that it's a good idea or a bad idea to do what the petition does. It's saying that they -- the form of the petition does not include the list of constitutional provisions that would be altered or abrogated. And just to reiterate in Protect Our Jobs, there was a petition filed, it was approved as to form, the Board subsequently deadlocked. There was enough signatures, the Board deadlocked and that was the complaint for mandamus that was dismissed which means the courts rejected the challenge and allowed -- or upheld the Board's non-action and did not force it to be on the ballot.

MR. DAUNT: Okay. Thank you.
MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: Thirty seconds? I note your patience, 30 seconds.

MR. DAUNT: I got a 12-year-old daughter. I got lots of patience.

MS. GUREWITZ: Somebody always have to have the last word.

MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: Mine's 13. Oh, my goodness. I just -- I wanted to go back and just clarify or make a fuller response to Mr. Houskamp on what could happen in terms of -- and I mentioned that if the Board deadlocks, that we could go to court or vote no. We could go to court to put it on. Well, I just wanted to clarify that if the Board votes to approve and certify it for the ballot, Mr. Koch and his client could go to court and say that, no, you were wrong. It shouldn't have been certified. So I just wanted to clarify that point as well.

MR. HOUSKAMP: Since you're clarifying, can I ask you to clarify one more piece of that? If this -- if this ended up in front of the Supreme Court to address this issue, whether it was form, whether it wasn't form, whether it abrogated, whether it didn't, if this were heard by the Supreme Court right now to answer this question, would they in fact weigh in on whether these -- on whether these were abrogations, whether these were -- how -- how far down the road do they go?

MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: Well, if I could predict what our Supreme Court was doing, I could triple my hourly rate for sure.

MR. HOUSKAMP: Okay. So that's -- that's -that's -- so I'm asking you a question that's not a -MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: But generally -- generally
they would weigh in on whether or not there was a clear, legal duty for -- for this Board to certify or not. They could very easily punt and say "no, we're not going to get into whether or not Mr . Koch is right or wrong on that until the people vote." They could kick it then and then there would be another lawsuit. So, again, I don't -- like I said, if I could predict what our Supreme Court was going to do I could charge a heck of a lot more.

MR. HOUSKAMP: Well, thanks for answering that, though.

MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: Yeah. Thank you.
MR. DAUNT: Jonathan, you want -- you want 15 seconds or -- no. Dammit. I'm kidding.

MR. JONATHAN KOCH: I clerked for Justice Viviano, not Justice Zara.

MR. DAUNT: All right. Thank you. I would -- are there other questions or --

MS. BRADSHAW: No.
MR. DAUNT: Okay. I guess it would be time for a motion of some sort.

MS. GUREWITZ: I would like some further discussion and not questions to the advocates. But I thought that the challenge was creative that Mr. Koch was desperately trying to find something where he could argue that the proposal abrogated provisions. And it seemed to
me, as it does to Mr. Trebilcock, pretty silly in fact to suggest that the ability to -- of the citizens to initiate constitutional amendments is abrogated by this proposal, or that election day is totally removed, or that the legislative powers of the County Boards of Supervisors are eliminated, abrogated, totally inoperative. A, they don't have any. But if they had any, this would not preclude them from exercising whatever powers they have. And so what I'm saying is that $I$ think that the legal argument, if $I$ were called upon as a Michigan Supreme Court justice, if $I$ were called upon to say whether the alter and abrogation argument is valid, I would say it's not but $I$ don't think that's for us. I think that what we're supposed to be doing is saying are there sufficient chall- -- sufficient number of signatures and on that question $I$ think we know the answer. Whether the alter and abrogation argument is -- it's a legal argument, as Director Brater has said, and it's not one for us to address, nor is it one that would preclude us from saying that there are a sufficient number of signatures.

MR. DAUNT: So I -- thank you. I think I disagree with some of that in the terms of -- well, where $I$ agree is the signatures are -- they have enough signatures. That's not at issue. That's not the only thing we're asked to address. The staff has done remarkable work looking through these in a timely fashion. Ultimately looking back at past
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practice of this Board, despite form approval being given, when something is brought to the attention that is a form issue, this Board has disagreed among themselves, the court has taken it up, and the court has not said you can't deal with this. That "this is not a form issue, you should not deal with it." That to me is enough to take seriously this issue of alter or abrogation because if it is addressing an issue that the public is supposed to be voting on, that they will be voting on, if it is not clear what they are voting on, that is a detriment to the voters of the state. That to me is an important issue and I will -- I really hope that the Court can provide some clarity on the issue of form and when it can be addressed as well as this for sure or for sure not being a form issue. Because it's not pleasant to sit up here and have arguments thrown at you and you have to make a determination on which one are you going to believe. Ultimately I think if there is a question of what is before the voters, they should know that before it is placed before them.

MS. BRADSHAW: Chairman Daunt?
MR. DAUNT: Yes.
MS. BRADSHAW: And I know that you have said that the Court would -- should make that determination and you and I were both on this Board when this petition came before us and I know that you want to have answers and solutions
for this, but $I$ do feel that there are a lot of questions even for the four of us on this Board and there are a lot of questions out here that $I$ feel are more legal questions that should be -- we should be given -- that would allow for a judge to basically -- and may I also remind everyone that we do not have promulgated rules for this Board. I'm sorry. I had to put it in there again. We -- we went to get them, and then we were deadlocked on getting promulgated rules for this Board. Myself and my previous democrat voted to move to go to those rules and the former chair and the previous member decided no.

With that, though, $I$ feel that it is a legal question. I am not a lawyer. I know we have one on the Board, but I am not a lawyer. So I will make the move -- I move that the Board of State Canvassers accept the staff recommendation to -- and find the petition submitted by Promote the Vote 2022 sufficient.

MR. DAUNT: We have a motion. Do we have support?
MS. GUREWITZ: Support.
MR. DAUNT: Okay. We have a motion, we have
support. Are -- is there further discussion on this?
MR. HOUSKAMP: I just -- I have just one closing -- closing piece on the discussion. I still have this fundamental problem. We've got all these signatures, but $I$ have this fundamental problem that what we -- what we
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got the signatures on, what we presented to them and what we told them was the story was not the full story. There were pieces that were missing. You know, again, I'm not an attorney and so $I$ really truly am not. I keep telling you guys that. But $I$-- but I'm really good at doing partial stories. I do them with my wife all the time. If $I$ want to do something and I'm clever, I -- I -- I am very selective about what part of the story. The more important it is, the more selective. And I have that -- I have that same feeling that that's part of what's been put to the people signing this petition and I'm not hearing anything that's telling me that that wasn't the case. I -- but I --

MS. GUREWITZ: Yeah. One -- I don't think that the petitioner, the sponsors, have any reason to hide anything.

MR. HOUSKAMP: No, I don't think it was deliberate.

MS. GUREWITZ: No. But -- no. But they have no way, in fact, they need to look through and $I$ know they did with a number of very, very good constitutional lawyers, to see how is -- and that's what any petition sponsor does because it's important to say these are the other provisions that are affect -- that are affected by this, that are either altered or abrogated, that is totally eliminated. So that is something that is done in advance. What we are
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doing here -- what we're asked to do today, I think, is to determine whether it's sufficient. If in fact we did determine that there are sufficient numbers -- that the petition is sufficient based upon the number of signatures and if we decided as well that there was a legal issue which we're not going to address, as Mr. Trebilcock said, if there are people who believe or ballot question -- ballot opposers who want to take this to the Court, they could do it tomorrow. And before the people were called upon to vote, the Supreme Court would make a decision about that. So what is presented to the electorate before it is presented, that alter or abrogate issue could be decided if it were a serious issue. I don't think it is, but it would be decided. You would not be presenting to the electorate a proposal which had implications or effects of which they were unaware.

MR. HOUSKAMP: Okay.
MR. DAUNT: Okay. Discussion? Okay. I guess
that would mean we have a motion, we have support, it would be time for a vote. And all those in favor of the motion as stated say "aye." MS. BRADSHAW: Aye. MS. GUREWITZ: Aye. MR. DAUNT: All those opposed? Aye. MR. HOUSKAMP: You say "aye" for opposed?
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MR. DAUNT: Or nay.
MR. HOUSKAMP: We do "nay" for opposed?
MR. DAUNT: Nay. Nay for opposed.
MR. HOUSKAMP: I would vote nay.
MR. DAUNT: Nay. We deadlock at 2-2.
(Whereupon motion denied at 1:34 p.m.)
MR. DAUNT: I do want to state I do not believe that it was deliberate, but $I$ believe that the importance of people knowing what is before them is worthy of decision before it gets to them. So I -- if -- yeah; yeah. Would, again, ask folks not to -- not to demonstrate, to applaud. You've done a good job of that thus far mostly. I do have a question before we recess for lunch. Because I think I speak for myself and Board Member Housman (sic), abide by whatever it is the Court's decide. That's not at issue. Should we proceed with the assignment of number and consideration of the 100 -word summary so that that is taken care of when the Court completes its action or should we hold on that?

MR. BRATER: I would recommend that we do that because the Court, if the Court does overturn this Board, they may give the Board very little time to implement that. And so as much as the Board can get off its plate before that happens, I think the Board should do.

MR. DAUNT: Okay. Thank you, sir. Then in that
case I would like us to take up numbers -- number five at -number five agenda item, assignment of the number designation for the constitutional amendment sponsored by Promote the Vote 2022, and then we can break for lunch and address the rest of the items.

MS. BRADSHAW: Chairman Daunt, if it's okay if $I$ make the motion?

MR. DAUNT: Yup.
MS. BRADSHAW: I don't think we need to have a discussion about this one.

MR. DAUNT: Yeah, I think that --
MS. BRADSHAW: We've done this one before. And just so everyone understands, we do have to make a motion to designate what this would be on the ballot. So I move that the Board of State Canvassers designate that the constitutional amendment submitted by Promote the Vote 2022 as proposal 2022 -- 22-2, sorry, on November 8th, 2022 general election ballot.

MR. HOUSKAMP: I'll second it.
MR. DAUNT: We have a motion and support. Any discussion? All those in favor of the motion signify by saying "aye."

ALL: Aye.
MR. DAUNT: Any opposed? Motion carries 4-0.
(Whereupon motion passed at 1:36 p.m.)
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MR. DAUNT: What is the Board's pleasure on taking the 100 -word issue now or doing lunch?

MS. BRADSHAW: Lunch.
MR. DAUNT: Okay. We are at recess until 2:00 $o^{\prime} \mathrm{clock}$.
(Off the record)
MR. DAUNT: I'm going to go ahead and call this meeting back to order at 2:28 p.m. Thank you everybody for your continued patience. I'm going to move forward with agenda item number six which is the consideration of the 100-word summary of purpose for the constitutional amendment sponsored by Promote the Vote 2022. And I think it would be important, there may be some question as to why we're moving forward with these couple of steps given the deadlock on the certification and Director Brater can speak to that very ably so we'll turn it over to him and then discuss the issue of the 100 words.

MR. BRATER: Thank you, Chair Daunt. So, yeah, the staff's recommendation and the Board's plan at this point is to go ahead and proceed with the ballot numbering and ballot wording for this and the next constitutional amendment regardless of where the Board lands on certification there for a couple of reasons. One, there's no doubt a lawsuit is being drafted currently already if not very soon regarding what the Board just did. At that point
the Supreme Court likely will either say the Board's decision not to certify by a $2-2$ vote was correct in which case the Board wouldn't have to do anything, or they would say the Board's decision was incorrect and the Board has to put it on the ballot. That decision could come close to, hopefully before, but close to the date of September 9th which is the deadline by which ballot contents have to be added to the ballot and that may leave the Board very little time to reconvene and effectuate any order that the Court makes. So by getting these steps out of the way now, there will be less for the Board to do if it, in fact, has to do anything on September 9th.

The other thing that is at play here is that the counties need to have their ballots ready to go. So as soon as the ballots contents get finalized on September 9th, that starts a too short, but constitutionally and statutorily mandated period of 15 days between when the contents are finalized and when ballots need to be proofed, reviewed by candidates, printed, distributed to jurisdictions and tested so they can be ready for our military and overseas voters by the 45 th day before the election.

And so in advance of that, the counties are already starting to lay out their ballot proofs to see what the ballot will look like. And so giving them as much information as possible at this point in terms of how these Page 188
constitutional amendments will appear if they do appear, will put them in a better position regardless of what the Court does between now and September 9th. So with that -with that in mind, we'll get all these steps out of the way now and then what actually appears on the ballot will be up to the Court in the case of the Promote the Vote one and then up to the Board and the Court in the case of the Reproductive Freedom for All one.

MR. DAUNT: Thank you.
MR. BRATER: Should I go ahead with the --
MR. DAUNT: Yeah. Go ahead and just roll right into the next part.

MR. BRATER: Okay. Okay. So with that said, this is the -- this concerns the 100 -word statement of purpose for the proposed constitutional amendment sponsored by Promote the Vote 2022. The election law in the constitution provides that the Director of Elections drafts and the Board of State Canvassers approves a 100-word summary that will go on the ballot itself to accompany constitutional amendment provisions that are put before the voters. We also have to -- I also have to present to you a caption that will summarize even more succinctly what this proposal is about and what the voters will also see if this appears on their ballot.
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the Board -- for myself or for the Board to use the exact same language as the Board used for the face of the petition, but what $I$ presented to you is very, very similar to that and I'll explain what's different and why. And we did receive many public comments about what should go on this summary.

So I'll start by reading the caption which the caption I'm presenting which is new. This is not something that the Board has previously considered. Would be,
"A proposal to authorize additional absentee voting provisions, early in-person voting, and donations to fund elections: and add current legal requirements for voter identification and post-election audits and canvasses to the Michigan Constitution."

I'll just note that in putting this together $I$ did look at the caption for Promote the Vote 1, which was the one that was on in 2020 -- 2018, 2018-3. That used a similar structure to this where it was also a very lengthy and complex set of changes to the constitution that would affect a lot of provisions of the Election Law. And to try to save -- to try to keep this as succinct as possible, I kept the description very high level. And those sections that are basically codifying current law are noted as putting things that are already in statute basically into the constitution and that's what -- what my predecessor,

Director Williams, did for the other proposal in 2018 and what the Board approved at that time.

And then moving on to the 100 -word summary, it reads as follows:
"This proposed constitutional amendment would: Recognize fundamental right to vote without harassing conduct; Require military or overseas ballots be counted if postmarked by election day; Provide voter right to verify identity with photo ID or signed statement; Provide voter right to single application to vote absentee in all elections; Require state-funded absentee-ballot drop boxes, and postage for absentee applications and ballots; Provide that only election officials may conduct post-election audits; Require 9 days of early in-person voting; Allow donations to fund elections, which must be disclosed; Require canvass boards certify election results based only on the official records of votes cast. Should this proposal be adopted? Yes. No."

It's exactly 100 words and the difference between this and the one that was on the petition is that I have condensed "require state-funded absentee-ballot drop boxes, and postage for absentee ballot -- absentee applications and ballots" into one bullet point. We saved a couple of words there. Whereas in the -- on the petition summary they're
broken out as separate clauses. That was necessary because the first five words "this proposed constitutional amendment would" were longer than the petition summary which said "constitutional amendment to." So I had to save two words somewhere, and that seemed to be the most efficient way to do it keeping with what $I$ think is a fair and impartial summary that's also very similar to what the Board already approved.

MR. DAUNT: Okay. Thank you very much. I would assume there are -- there are discussion and questions on this? I do have -- one question that comes to mind for me -- and I apologize if you addressed this. I was trying to put my stuff together while you were speaking earlier, Director Brater. If part of the reason for the -- for the deadlock was the issue of potential abrogation of a section, that could have bearing on what the proposal does, would there be an opportunity to address that at the meeting? Let's say the Court decides yes, it does, but it's not a -you know, for whatever reason it does abrogate that but it isn't enough to keep it off the ballot, come back, do we have a -- are we set in stone on what we're doing here if there was a need to address because the court's decision altered some of the potential impact of the amendment?

MR. BRATER: I think certainly if the Court instructed the Board with regard to anything regarding the
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summary, that could be addressed on the 9th. I will say that -- or whenever we come back, probably the 9th if that ends up being when we would be reconvening. Sorry. My mic's not on. I'll say that again. If the Court -- if the Court orders the Board to change anything with regard to the summary, I think that would be something the Board could certainly do. I will say that I think the issue of other sections that need -- you know, need to be noted as altered or abrogated is probably a little different from how to best summarize what this does in 100 words because $I$ think to the extent we're talking about what this proposal will put in the constitution and what would be affected, I think those discussions in my opinion have already kind of been reflected in what $I$ drafted and what the Board discussed last time and then what the Board will discuss today. But certainly $I$ think that if the Court instructs the Board, then the Board can of course reconvene and fulfill what the Court instructs it to do.

MR. DAUNT: Thank you. I do have for this issue, for number six, I've got a couple of folks who would like to speak. Make sure I get them correct here. Looks like the -- it would be Chris Trebilcock for number six on the 100 words. Do not have anything from challengers indicating desire to speak, so take it away. CHRIS TREBILCOCK

MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: Thank you. Good afternoon. Good to see everyone again. You know, Chair Daunt, as we talked earlier you're fond of following the lessons of your high school English teacher and for me it was my varsity basketball coach --

MR. DAUNT: Mic.

MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: Steve, you got a hand here?
MR. DAUNT: There you go.
MS. BRADSHAW: There you go. It's red.
MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: All right. There we go.
You know, for me it was my varsity basketball coach who followed the KISS principle and told me to follow that all the time: Keep It Simple Stupid. So let me do that.

We do not object and accept the summary presented by the director. You know, the Board spent a lot of time refining it back in February. Chair Daunt, I think Member Gurewitz both added language to it and modify it, and we don't think there's an intent or a need to reinvent the wheel now. I would note that, you know, the proposal was clear enough back in February that we were able to agree on a summary of what it did and did not do and so I'm glad to see that there's agreement that we can -- we can still do that.

We do have a few comments that $I$ just want to add on the caption piece of it that $I$ think are worthwhile and
can improve the current caption that was presented. Just a quick side bar is -- and we stated this in our presentation, is we do think that a caption -- and the plain meaning of a caption is something that should be short and simple and not just a further distilled version of what the caption is. But practically speaking and, you know, we understand that the director, as is his right, decided to do a longer -- a little bit longer than a sentence and that certainly $I$ think been the tradition of a lot of ballot proposals. But we think going forward we'd encourage the Bureau and the Board to take a look at doing shorter captions. I think the current constitution uses very short, concise captions for the provisions and all the articles and everything and so we'd encourage the Board to take a look at that. We proposed one if the Board is so inclined. We proposed one that we think is acceptable and meets the standards of being in plain English and not providing, not being biased one way or the other against the proposal, but accurately summarizing it in plain ordinary English. So we would -- if the Board decides to go that way, we certainly would encourage them to adopt that.

Now that said, if we're going with and working with the director's version, we do have a few points and if I may, I would like to present the Board with an alternative caption to the one presented and I've got it in writing so
you can actually look at it. I think that might be helpful.
MR. DAUNT: Come on up.
MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: All right. The caption before you, it includes what $I$ think were just two omissions that somehow were not included in the -- in the director's version. And the first is that this provision starts with "approval to amend the state constitution." The current version of the director's does not start that way which is different than the proposal you approved last week and is also different than the language presented for the next proposal you're going to consider.

And so keeping that consistent on the ballot when voters are reading, assuming, you know, all these -- or if these three all get on the ballot like $I$ think should happen, that consistency with how these constitutional amendments read $I$ think is important and would be helpful for voters. And so that $I$ think maybe was just an oversight or an omission. But to keep it consistent, have them start the same way.

And then second, as you heard from a lot of folks this morning and what we debated earlier, this is talking about the fundamental right to vote. And in that caption currently, the fundamental right to vote is not mentioned and it should be mentioned first in the list which is first in the summary, it's the corn- -- one of the cornerstones of
the proposal and $I$ think it was just perhaps, you know, trying to keep things short an omission that we think needs to be included.

A couple other quick points about this version. It contains 47 words which is just 14 more than the 33 in the director's proposed one. So those are two -- two omissions, I think, that were just more oversights than anything.

What I do think -- there's two other things that I think would also help improve the clarity and provide much needed clarification. Once again, the summary includes a reference to military ballots and we think that that should be included in the summary and the caption as well. This is a new right that is a cornerstone of this proposal for the men and women who so bravely serve our country. And the failure to include that in the caption $I$ think is just a misstatement and it needs to be included. It's an important part and should be in the caption. And finally, we believe inserting one word, "disclose" before "donations," that's what the summary states and requires and it makes sure voters are informed that this isn't dark money or anything else nefarious such as that.

So those are the only differences, really, between what the director proposed and what we inserted is the word -- going in reverse -- the word "disclosed," including
the reference to military ballots, then including
"fundamental right to vote" and just really bringing where the director concluded with amendment -- "a proposal to amend the constitution," moving that up front. So with that, I'm happy to take any questions, but I think it's pretty self-explanatory. Yes, sir.

MR. HOUSKAMP: We do well with questions.
Question for you on your words "and disclosure of donations to fund elections." Isn't that a little bit -- it's not really what your proposal says. Your proposal is adding the ability to have third parties donate to elections. That's -- Mr. Brater's got it in as add --

MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: It says require, though. If you looked at the beginning of that sentence, the word "require" modifies require "disclosure of donations to fund elections."

MR. HOUSKAMP: Require. Okay. Again, you know, --

MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: So the proposal requires the disclosure of donations to fund elections.

MR. HOUSKAMP: Right.
MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: Currently you can fund elections with donations. This is making sure that in our constitution that any such donations are required to be disclosed. And so it's important that in the caption,
because it's in the substance of the proposal, that the caption reflects that the donations are the key, are disclosed.

MR. HOUSKAMP: Okay. All right.
MR. DAUNT: In my mind I'm going to start with a slight agreement.

MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: There we go. See, now we're getting somewhere.

MR. DAUNT: And then proceed to disappoint. I do agree on the consistency issue of "a proposal to amend the state constitution." I think we can -- I think that would make sense to add that in unless there -- unless, Director Brater, there was some reason it was left out. And then the rest, I think these are all things that are addressed in the following text and I -- I don't see much reason to kind of re-litigate what we -- the majority of us, aside from Mr . Houskamp who was not on the Board at the time, have agreed to as pretty fair language. Go ahead.

MR. BRATER: If I could just weigh in on a couple of these? So this format in terms of the way proposed constitution amendment is listed, that was done differently intentionally. And this is the same thing that happened in 2018. So proposal 2018 -2 which is about the redistricting commission, that -- the caption on that read "a proposed constitutional amendment to establish commission of
citizens" to do all the stuff they did. And then proposal 2018-3 as was drafted said,
"a proposal to authorize automatic voter registra- -- automatic election day voter registration, no reason absentee voting and straight ticket voting and add current legal requirements for military and overseas voting and post-election audits to the Michigan constitution."

So I believe that -- I wasn't around, but I believe the reason it was structured that way was because of the element of it that was -- that was noting that some of these things are in law but being added to the constitution and that was the most word economical and for the purposes of the ballot, space -- inches on the ballot economical was to put that at the end rather the beginning. So it was intentionally structured differently. I do acknowledge that it creates a lack of uniformity in terms of how voters are seeing that this is a constitutional amendment in terms of at the beginning or the end of the caption. But $I$ think that it's the best approach to save space and that's why I did it that way.

In terms of the note about the military ballots, in the proposed caption that is -- that is summarized as part of add absentee -- provisions for absentee voting. The change in question are to how ballots that are for military
and overseas civilians are treated. And that -- a lot of that stems from the federal law called the Uniform Overseas Civilians Absentee Voting Act. So that -- that federal law, UOCAVA, is about how military and overseas civilians can vote absentee. I do think all things being equal it is helpful to clarify, and the summary does clarify, the 100-word summary, that there are different provisions if you are military or overseas versus if you're a domestic, non-military absentee voter. But for purposes of the caption which does need to be more concise, I think that the most efficient way to do it was just to put them under the umbrella of absentee voting provisions. So those are, you know, just in response to those questions raised. That's why it's structured the way it is.

MR. DAUNT: Thank you, sir. Were you --
MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: I'm good.
MR. DAUNT: Okay. Good. Thank you.
MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: If you want, I --
MR. DAUNT: No; no; no. Steve, get his mic. Any
other --
MS. GUREWITZ: Yeah. I agree with you, Member Daunt, that it should be parallel construction. So it should say "a proposal to amend the constitution" rather than just "a proposal to authorize." But I -- I have real trouble with the length of the summary because what we have
done is summarize the summary and that doesn't make a lot of sense to me. So I think that a caption should be a couple of words. I did -- Mr. Trebilcock mentioned the constitution and I looked at the way it labels provisions and it's very efficient, a couple of words at most. So I would -- I decided what $I$ would do if I were Director Brater, I would call it, "A proposal to amend Article 2 of the constitution to add provisions regarding elections." And then, you know, a person sees that and then they say, "oh, what are they adding?" And they go down and they read the summary which we worked so hard on. So I don't see any reason to work hard on a summary of the summary. MR. DAUNT: Amen. MS. BRADSHAW: Sorry. At times we have kind of used that caption to come to a consensus on this Board as to what was left off on the summary. But $I$ think in this case we worked very hard to get the summary to where it is so I agree with Mary Ellen and I agree with you, Chairman Daunt, that a little bit shorter might be a better idea on this one. And honestly, like, in my role, in my time here, that -- that caption has been used to kind of defuse issues of the summary and putting that in the caption. I think the last time -- I believe it was the -- the mineral rights. It was actually a joint house resolution. I think they were -I'm trying to remember that meeting. I think there were
certain things that we didn't get in the summary so we put it into the caption to kind of alleviate a deadlock here and that was coming from the legislature, so -- I agree that shorter is sometimes better.

MR. DAUNT: And -- and you kind -- that's what you kind of alluded to at the very beginning; correct?

MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: Yeah.
MR. DAUNT: Okay.
MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: That we -- you know, it goes with my KISS principle; right, you know?

MR. DAUNT: Yup.
MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: And it gives less fodder for guys like me or men and women like me to come and argue with you over certain words. So I do think simple is better. I don't have any real grounds to disagree with what Member Gurewitz proposed as a short caption if that's the Board's desire. We presented an alternative in our -- in our submission. So I think either one would be fine if that's the direction the Board wants to go. Otherwise, you know, I've stated sort of what minor revisions we do to the director's piece.

MR. BRATER: Well, I would -- I would be delighted to use a shorter caption. Our county clerks and local clerks definitely would prefer that, I'm sure, because it gives them more space on the ballot when they're laying
things out. So the length and descriptive elements of it were based on the most recent round from 2018, but certainly it has been done other ways in the not too distance past. So shorter is great as far as I'm concerned.

MR. DAUNT: Let it be noted that there is wide agreement on this issue.

MR. BRATER: Yeah.
MR. DAUNT: So in that instance, Member Houskamp, any --

MR. HOUSKAMP: No.
MR. DAUNT: So appropriate steps of adding that -replacing what you had with what Member Gurewitz has and then approval?

MR. BRATER: Yeah.
MS. BRADSHAW: Should we --
MR. BRATER: Could we hear that again? I'm sorry.
What the -- oh, you've got it? Proposal to amend -- so this -- so, again, the suggestion was, "The proposal to amend Article 2 of the constitution to add provisions regarding elections." That strikes me as simple and accurate. I think -- I just want to verify that Article 2, is that the only one being amended? I know there's a separate discussion of alteration and abrogation. But I just want to verify that this doesn't amend anything else, any other article.

MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: It should be the only article. Yeah, Article 2, Sections 1 and 7.

MR. BRATER: Yeah. I mean, so that seems very workable. We can just -- all we need to do is print it out and bring it back before the Board; right?

MR. FRACASSI: Uh-huh (affirmative).
MR. BRATER: Yeah.
MS. BRADSHAW: Mr. Chair, can we take a short recess to allow the Bureau to do their work?

MR. DAUNT: Yes. We will recess until 2:55. Amended, we'll give them to 3:00 o'clock.
(Off the record)
MR. DAUNT: I'll call this meeting back to order at 3:07 p.m. And Director Brater, --

MR. BRATER: Yes.
MR. DAUNT: -- why don't you walk us through what you and Mr. Fracassi did and we will take it from there.

MR. BRATER: Yeah; sure. So apologies if this is, like, picking out shades of paints at the hardware store, but I do have a couple of options for you. So we were looking at examples from the 2012 ballot to look for a reference for when we just do the shorter version and we noticed that with those ones, it typically just said "a proposal to amend the state constitution." So just for the sake of options, the one that was suggested previously would
be -- which we have before you is, "A proposal to amend Article 2 of the constitution to add provisions regarding elections." A second option would be, "A proposal to amend Article 2 of the state constitution to add provisions regarding elections." So the same thing, but "state constitution." And a third option would be, "A proposal to amend the state constitution to add provisions regarding elections." I would be comfortable with any of these. I think the one that just references "the state constitution" is probably the simplest and more consistent with what was done ten years ago. But $I$ would be happy to present any of these if the Board has a preference.

MR. DAUNT: Thank you, sir. You want to talk. Go ahead, sir.

MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: No. I was waiting to respond to questions.

MR. DAUNT: Does anybody have questions or want to
discuss? I personally am very comfortable with the shortest and the simplest, "A proposal to amend the state constitution to add provisions regarding elections." I think that gets to what we've all talked about. MS. BRADSHAW: I am also in favor of the shortest one.

MS. GUREWITZ: That's fine with me. MR. HOUSKAMP: Should we make it a motion?

MR. BRATER: Yeah. So I'll just -- just to clarify -- oh, I'm sorry. I'll just read it into the record just to clarify what the Board is, is approving. So I think -- do $I$ just need to read the new caption? I don't have to read the whole thing in, do I?

MR. FRACASSI: I think that's right.
MR. BRATER: Okay. So --
MR. DAUNT: Mr. --
MR. BRATER: -- what I'm proposing -- oh.
MR. DAUNT: Well, I'm going to --
MR. BRATER: Oh, go ahead. Sorry.
MR. DAUNT: -- to the better judgment.
MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: I just want -- you're
talking "A proposal to amend the state constitution to add provisions regarding elections" is the most simple? MR. DAUNT: Yes, sir; yup.

MR. CHRIS TREBILCOCK: Your high school English teacher would be proud. Yes, we agree.

MR. DAUNT: Thank you. All right. Awesome. Mr. Brater?

MR. BRATER: So what I'm proposing, presenting to the Board is the same 100 -word summary I read off previously but with the caption being, "A proposal to amend the state constitution to add provisions regarding elections."

MR. DAUNT: I believe -- I believe we would --

MR. BRATER: If someone wants to make a motion, yeah.

MR. DAUNT: -- entertain a motion at this time.
MS. BRADSHAW: All right. I seem to be the makers
today. I move that the Board of State Canvassers approve the statement of purpose prepared and submitted on August 31st, 2022, by Director of Election for proposal at this time 22-2 on November 8th, 2022, general election ballot.

MR. HOUSKAMP: I'll second.
MR. DAUNT: All right. We have a motion, we have a second. Any further discussion? Seeing none, take a vote. All those in favor of the motion signify by saying "aye."

ALL: Aye.
MR. DAUNT: Any opposed? Motion carries four to zero.
(Whereupon motion passed at 3:10 p.m.)
MR. DAUNT: That would appear to take care of the Promote the Vote issues before us. And thank you again, everybody for your commitment and time and patience.

We will now move on to agenda item number seven, consideration of the sufficiency of the initiative petition submitted by Reproductive Freedom for All. Mr. Brater, would you please give us a synopsis of what we're dealing with here and your -- what your report says?

MR. BRATER: Thank you, Chair Daunt. So this was the second citizen initiative constitutional amendment that we received from Reproductive Freedom for All. This one was -- actually, this one was from Reproductive Freedom for All. It was also submitted on July 11th, 2022, and as with the other one, it required 425,059 valid signatures. The total filing consisted of 752,288 signatures on 152,799 sheets. As with the other constitutional amendment petition, we followed the same procedure whereby we first did a face review to eliminate any sheets that were wholly invalid because of errors that affected the whole sheet and then proceeded to sort, count, stamp the petitions and drew a sample from which we projected the validity rate and the -- or projected the number of estimated total signatures based on the validity rate of the sample. We did identify during face review that there were 16,849 signatures on 4,805 sheets that were invalid. So that left our universe of potentially valid signatures remaining at 735,439 signatures on 147,994 sheets. So still well over the -they still -- still had a large cushion over the minimum number that were required. In this -- in this instance the sample size was 513 signatures and out of those 513 they needed at least 314 to be valid in order for us to project that they would have enough total valid signatures and recommend certification. We did not have any disputes about
the universe or challenges filed regarding individual
signatures here, so it was limited to our review. And we determined that the sample contained 416 valid signatures which projects to a total estimated number of 596,379 valid signatures. And, again, as with the other petition, because of the large margin over the minimum, the confidence level under the statistical model is 100 percent.

So as with the other petition, we did not receive a challenge regarding individual signatures, but instead there was a challenge that was filed by Citizens Who Support Michigan Women and Children, and that challenge was based on the spacing of the text of the constitutional amendment which you of course heard about today.

So the challenge states essentially that the -that the petition should be rejected because of spacing issues in the text that render it unreadable or jibberish because of the lack of differences between spaces in some places between words, those being similar to the spaces between letters. We reviewed this challenge. In our view this is a challenge to the substance of the petition rather than the form of the petition. The Michigan Election Law, again, Section 482 as we discussed with the prior provision, it does contain statutory required elements for the form of the petition which the Board has to verify are present for sheets to be valid. There isn't anything in that section
that goes to the substance of the text within the constitutional amendment and the spacing between letters. There is a provision that specifies a type face size that the balance of the petition including the text of the constitutional amendment has to be in, but it does not give us any basis to evaluate what would be an acceptable level of spacing between letters.

So based on that, we -- we think this -- this challenge is about the form of the language or, sorry, the substance of the language that would go in the petition rather than the form of the petition as complies with saturated elements and therefore we're not weighing in on the legal- -- the sort of legal merits of that argument as it pertains to the substance of the petition. And we are recommending based on our review of the signatures involved that the staff have determined that the Board approve certification of this -- of this petition.

MR. DAUNT: Thank you, Director Brater. Any questions or comments for the director before we go to witnesses? Go ahead. Jeannette?

MS. BRADSHAW: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Director Brater, this petition before us, as I kind of preliminarily recited the last, our last petition we were looking at, this came before this Board twice; correct?

MR. BRATER: Yes. Is that correct?
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MR. FRACASSI: Yes.
MR. BRATER: It did.
MS. BRADSHAW: And there are no challenges to the signatures of this petition; correct?
MR. BRATER: Correct. There are not challenges to individual signatures.
MS. BRADSHAW: Thank you.
MR. DAUNT: Are there other questions? Seeing none, I would like to bring up Eric Doster on behalf of the challengers. Mr. Doster, welcome back. We will kind of keep to what the loose requirements of time of, you know, around five minutes, please. But if you are, you know, continuing to make points related to your arguments --
MR. ERIC DOSTER: I'll take a third of the time of Mr. Trebilcock.
MR. DAUNT: That's not helpful.
MR. ERIC DOSTER: No, it isn't.
MS. BRADSHAW: Are we timing you? Is that what we're doing?
MR. DAUNT: Mr. Doster, if you would just kindly state your name and who you're here on behalf of?
MR. ERIC DOSTER: Yes. I was going to say good morning, but good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, members of the Board. Eric Doster on behalf of Citizens to Support MI Women and Children.
```


## ERIC DOSTER

MR. ERIC DOSTER: I have passed out for the Board and the court reporter a couple things, a few exhibits I want to refer to and also the supplement, a hard copy of the supplement that we filed on Monday.

My comments this afternoon are divided into essentially three parts. First, how we got here today, second what our challenge is and what our challenge is not, third, how this Board's past practice requires petitions to have actual words.

My client is not the sponsor of this petition. The sponsor is Reproductive Freedom for All. And it is the sponsor that bears the burden of establishing that its proposal qualifies to be put before the voters. And according to the Michigan Supreme Court, and I quote, "Entitlement to be placed on the ballot requires a showing of actual compliance with the law," end of quote. In its brief filed -- or its response filed yesterday afternoon, the sponsor admits that it has the burden of proof and this burden of proof means that the sponsor of the petition must demonstrate to this Board that its petition's form complies with law.

On March 23, this Board conditionally approved the sponsor's petition as to form in an effort to assist the sponsor to meet its burden. This is a common and accepted
practice of this Board. And the form of the petition approved by this Board was the March 7th version which I have provided to you as Exhibit 1. As you will notice, there are actual words in the full text of the sponsor's proposed amendment. But the form of the petition filed by the sponsor on March 30, and which is the petition before you now, is not the same petition that this Board saw and approved at its March 23 meeting. Please see Exhibit 2. As you will notice, there are at least a dozen places where actual words do not appear in the full text of the sponsor's proposed amendment. It cannot be overemphasized that this is the very first time that this Board is reviewing this particular form of the sponsor's petition. And the question becomes would this Board have approved the form of this unapproved petition at its March 23 meeting or at any time? Now, I know that the sponsor says over and over that this petition was signed over by 700,000 people and that means that the form must be okay. Well, over nine million people in this state either refused to sign or didn't sign this petition. So if numbers matter here, the simple math says that the form is not okay. But these numbers are irrelevant to today's discussion as, again, the question is would this Board have approved the form of this unapproved petition before you today at the March 23 meeting or any time?

And our form challenge here is very simple. The constitution and the Michigan Election Law both require that the petition set forth to quote, "full text of the proposed amendment," end of quote. And that's from Section 482 of the Election Law. We cite numerous Michigan cases in our challenge and refer to common dictionary definitions and they all lead to the same conclusion. The bottom line is that the full text of the proposed amendment must be actual words. And since the unapproved petition before you right now for the very first time uses collections of letters which are not actual words, this is not the full text of the proposal. It cannot be. It contains nonsense passages and nonsense cannot be put into the Michigan constitution. And because the petition before you right now does not conform to the form requirements under Michigan law, Michigan law imposes a duty on this Board not to certify the sponsor's petition. The reason that the sponsor of this petition has yet to provide this Board with any example of the Board approving the form of any petition with these types of errors is because no such sample exists. It's hard to meet a burden of proof when you don't provide any proof.

Instead, in response to our challenge, the sponsor charges that because we are challenging the form of the petition as it relates to the full text of the actual proposed amendment, that this somehow means that we are
attacking the substance of the proposal. The Board in its staff report also appears to suggest this as well. But case after case, including every case cited by the Bureau in its staff report and by the sponsor indicates that a challenge to the substance of proposed amendment means either a challenge to the merits or to the constitutionality of proposed amendment. Our challenge raises none of these many legal challenges that may be raised should the proposed amendment be adopted such as its violation of, you know, federal constitutional rights and equal protection, due process, or any other substantive constitutional challenge.

I refer this Board to Section $482(3)$ which indicates that, quote, "the full text of the amendment so proposed must follow the summary and be printed in eight point type," end of quote. If it is correct that any form challenge to the proposed amendment were automatically a substantive constitutional challenge, then this Board would have no ability to enforce the form requirement that the full text must be written in eight point type. This is just simply incorrect.

Our challenge is a for- -- is a form challenge which this Board has a clear legal duty to enforce. What deserves special mention here is that all of these form requirements have is their purpose to make these petitions more understandable to the voters signing these petitions.

Whether that form requirement is the full text requirement or one of the various font requirements, the goal here is to make the content as understandable as possible. Please know that the Bureau staff report, the March 7 version of this petition that's set forth in Exhibit 1 , and the sponsor's two responses to our challenge, each had spaces in between actual words. Actions do speak louder than words.

As we point out in our challenge and in our supplement filed with this Board, it is simply contrary to the form requirements to allow this type of confusion in the petition process. Although the sponsor argues that there is not a specific space requirement, there is also not a specific requirement that a petition must be in English, but this Board has never approved a petition's form that wasn't in English. There's also no specific requirement that a petition not have an extra "L" in the proposed amendment, and yet last September as we set forth more detail in our challenge that we've already filed, this Board unanimously rejected a petition form with respect to the secure MI Vote petition for having these capital "L" typos in it. All we ask here is that the Board consistently treat this petition as it did the Secure MI Vote petition and refuse to approve the form of the petition with mistakes, errors or typos.

The sponsor also argues that despite the petition's errors, that voters could understand the full
text of the proposed amendment. In our supplement, we provide the academic research that when there are no spaces between words, the reader loses the ability to comprehend what they are reading or they simply skip the jibberish. In its response to our supplement on this point, the sponsor said nothing. In attempt to counter the printer's affidavit that we filed with our challenge which looked at the present petition by means of a high resolution scan and found no spaces between words in the proposed amendment, the sponsor also provides its own affidavit that indicates that based on its printer's electronic file, which led to the printer version now before this Board, there really are spaces here. The sponsor here is really telling you "believe us, not your lying eyes." And this is the same sponsor that stated on pages 9 and 10 of its response, and I quote,
"Finally, for any of the mem- -- for any member of the public that was legitimately confused - WAC does not identify a single signer of the petition that was the full text of the proposed amendment is available online for review," end of quote.

The fatal admission here is what they are now admitting is the, quote, "full text of the proposed amendment." And I have provided this online document to the Board as Exhibit 3. This is what they're saying is available online for review. Significantly this document
available online that they say is the, quote, "full text of the proposed amendment," end of quote, uses actual words and looks exactly like the form of the condition -- of the petition conditionally approved by this Board on March 23. Again, this is not the petition before you today. What more can I say here? This fatal admission says it all.

And another point about this full text that the sponsor says is available online. The sponsor represents to the Board or at least suggests that this Exhibit 3 was available for legitimately confused signers. However, by going on something called web.archive.org -- and I've given that to you as Exhibit 4 -- you can see that as of August 9, well after these petitions were filed on July 11, this full text did not yet exist on their web site.

But returning to the Board's duty -- the Board's duty as to form. This Board has consistently invalidated the entire petition for incomplete words, whether or not the reader could decipher the meaning. For example, when one of my former clients, Michigan Values Life, circulated petitions in 2019, we found petitions with some of the words were missing letters because they were folded and sometimes folded paper rips. The Bureau threw out entire petitions because of a missing letter and its determination stood. I do have an example of this that I provided to you as Exhibit 5, where there was a letter missing from a single word and
the Bureau threw out the entire petition. But -- but this is consistent with established Bureau practice. And according to page 5 of the Bureau's guidance on this topic regarding other fatal defects that render an entire petition valid, and I quote, "damaged, mutilated or torn petition sheets where any of the mandatory elements are illegible or omitted." The Bureau did the same analysis with respect to this petition because the sponsor used Sharpie markers that bled through the paper to, you know, up and they covered up a letter or two in the back pages of the petition. Even if the reader could arguably decipher what the actual word was, the entire petition was invalidated. Again, if actual words, actual complete words, were not required for petitions, then the Bureau and this Board would never invalidate a petition for having a missing letter within a word. But as we point out in our challenge, in our supplement filed with this Board, actual words are required to meet the full text requirement of the form requirements of the Michigan Election Law.

MR. DAUNT: Mr. Doster, if I may, I -- I -- you're wrapping up?

MR. ERIC DOSTER: I'm going to wrap up. I
promise.
MR. DAUNT: Okay. Thank you.
MR. ERIC DOSTER: And that's not a Chris
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Trebilcock promise. I'll promise. Okay. And this actual word requirement is what we hear from members of the Board who consistently indicate the petitions are, quote, "legal documents." Legal documents require actual words. So do petitions.

So, accordingly, on behalf of Citizens to Support MI Women and Children, those citizens who wish their voices to be heard and those whose voices cannot be heard, we respectfully request that the Board perform its clear legal duty and reject the form of the petition currently before you which is before you for the very first time, call these typos, errors, mistakes or whatever, this jibberish now before this Board does not satisfy the full text requirement under law. And this Board has never approved, never approved a petition with these types of typos and errors.

Thank you for your time and I'm happy to answer any questions the Board may have.

MR. DAUNT: Are there questions for Mr. Doster?
Okay. Do you have one? Go ahead.
MR. HOUSKAMP: Well, I have a question about
the -- about the -- the web site.
MR. ERIC DOSTER: Yes, sir.
MR. HOUSKAMP: You put a piece in with web
archives and you put the copy of the site in your Exhibit 3. Is that the copy that's there now?

MR. ERIC DOSTER: Oh, yeah, I was trying to hurry and rush through this.

MR. HOUSKAMP: No, you're okay. But, I mean, what you put in here is just --

MR. ERIC DOSTER: Yeah, but what -- but if you look at -- if you look at Exhibit 3, that's --

MR. HOUSKAMP: This is what's there today?
MR. ERIC DOSTER: -- that's what's there today, right now. That's what -- and that's what the sponsor said was available for people that were legitimately confused.

MR. HOUSKAMP: So they could have gone and looked at it any time after -- after the --

MR. ERIC DOSTER: Right. But you see -- thank you. Thank you, Mr. Houskamp. What -- because I went through this very quickly. If you look at this webx or web archive -- web. archives.org, it archives and as of August 9 -- and the August 9 date is kind of highlighted up in the top of the document. You see that where -- you see these questions marks. You go to the sixth question mark and it says, "preliminary injunction regarding 1931 law." That's what was there on -- as of -- on August 9. But if you look at the -- you tick through Exhibit 3, you notice that that now says, today it says, "What does the proposed amendment say?" So they -- at some point they swapped that out.

MR. HOUSKAMP: So prior to August 9 --

MR. ERIC DOSTER: But as of August 9, it was not

```
there.
```

MR. HOUSKAMP: So during the time that the
petitions were being -- the signatures were being gathered,
the actual content of the site was "preliminary injunction
regarding 1931 law"?

MR. ERIC DOSTER: Correct. According to

```
web.archive.org, yes.
```

MR. HOUSKAMP: So no one could go to the web site and look at the full text?

MR. ERIC DOSTER: Not at that time, no. Today

```
they can --
```

MR. HOUSKAMP: They can now.
MR. ERIC DOSTER: -- because I gave that to you -today they can because $I$ gave that to you as Exhibit 3, but not then.

MS. BRADSHAW: Mr. Chair?
MR. DAUNT: So your argument is that this is -this is defective or fatal two times, one because it's an admission that it's the full text versus what they actually circulated and --

MR. ERIC DOSTER: Correct.
MR. DAUNT: -- that it was not there while the circulation was occurring?

MR. ERIC DOSTER: Yeah, that's --
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MR. DAUNT: Okay.
MR. ERIC DOSTER: -- yeah. Because they use that in their response as, "hey, if anyone was legitimately confused, they could have gone on the web site." Well, I'm saying according to archive -- whatever that web site is, web. archive.org, it wasn't yet there as of August 9.

MR. DAUNT: Okay.
MR. ERIC DOSTER: Okay? But, again, that's -that's the fatal admission here because they're saying here's the full text of the amendment and we're saying that what Exhibit 2 or what's before the Board today isn't the full text of the amendment because it doesn't contain actual words. But what they gave this Board back on March 23 did have actual words and their web site which they says is the full text, that's got actual words. So that's our form argument.

MR. DAUNT: Okay.
MS. BRADSHAW: My question --
MR. DAUNT: Jeannette?

MS. BRADSHAW: -- actually is to Director Brater, but it's to the point that Doster's bringing up about posting of petitions. Director Brater or to Mr. Fracassi, when was this petition posted on the Michigan Secretary of State web site which all petitions are posted so that individuals can read them?

MR. BRATER: The version that was circulated would have been posted after they submitted their copy of the petition to us pre-circulation which they have to do under Section $43(a)$ of the Election Law, and that happened sometime soon after March 30th, I believe, but that was the version that was circulated that was posted online.

MR. DAUNT: So that -- so that would be after our meeting where we approved what's listed as the $23 r d$; am I --

MR. BRATER: Yeah.

MR. DAUNT: Okay.
MR. BRATER: And I can -- I can clarify the timeline a little bit because that was -- it was in the staff report, but $I$ didn't mention it when $I$ was presenting the summary of it. So this petition came before the Board to get preliminary approval as to form. The Board provided conditional approval as to preliminary approval with the condition that they would take that "the" that was on the face, the actual face of the petition, that they would fix that, yeah. And they did fix that.

MR. DAUNT: There was a un- -- sorry. There was a union bug issue, too; right?

MS. BRADSHAW: That was the first time.
MR. DAUNT: Okay.
MR. BRATER: Yeah; yeah. But in correcting the "the" that was on the front, that -- they also submitted a
version, that version that had the spacing issues that are in dispute which are different from what the Board had before them previously which didn't have the spacing issues. So, yes, this version with this, these letters and this spacing was not what accompanied the version of the petition that was presented to the Board. Staff's view is that the -- the -- that it's still a challenge that would not be within the Board's purview under form because it's still going to how the text is arranged in the substance of the petition and not something like type face which is specified in the -- in the statute. The other thing I would just note is that there's also a difference in terms of, like, if a letter is missing. You know, we kick a -- if we kick a sheet because there's a whiteout or a tear or something and one of these letters is missing, that's because in that case the full text of the petition is not there because it's missing a letter. So in my view that's a distinction. But it is -- but just to clarify, the version that was -- that accompanied the -- the version that the Board conditionally approved as to form previously did not have the space issues on it.

MR. DAUNT: Thank you. Other questions? Okay. Thank you, Mr. Doster.

MR. ERIC DOSTER: Thank you. Thank you for the opportunity.

MR. DAUNT: We have for the sponsors Olivia Flower and Steve Liedel. And if you could both just when you get there -- I don't think either one of you have been up here yet today, so if you wanted to spell your name for our wonderful court reporter and who you're here on behalf of, and then we'll give you time to respond?

MS. OLIVIA FLOWER: Thank you. Olivia Flower, $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{l}-\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{v}-\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{F}-\mathrm{l}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{w}-\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{r}$.

MR. STEVEN LIEDEL: And Steve Liedel, $S-t-e-v-e$, last name L-i-e-d-e-l with Dykema representing Reproductive Freedom for All.

MR. DAUNT: Okay. Thank you. Floor is yours. OLIVIA FLOWER

MS. OLIVIA FLOWER: Good afternoon. We appear today like Steve just mentioned on behalf of Reproductive Freedom for All which was supported by over 750,000 Michiganders, signed by Michiganders from every county in our great state, who have each put pen to paper to declare that they want to restore the protections of Roe in Michigan.

Their overwhelming message is that this proposed constitutional amendment is needed to ensure that Michigan women have the freedom to make highly personal decisions about abortion themselves instead of having politicians decide for them. Your staff at the Elections Bureau has
worked hard on your behalf. They've done good work and determined that the petition submitted by Reproductive Freedom for All includes sufficient signatures consistent with the constitutional requirements. Accordingly, the director and his team at the Bureau recommended that the constitutional amendment proposed by Reproductive Freedom for All, be certified and placed on the ballot. We agree and urge you as the Board to approve their recommendation.

We've heard from a lot of people today and we urge you not to be distracted from the task at hand. As affirmed last year by the Supreme Court in Unlock Michigan, you have only two duties and they're the two duties that Chairman Daunt mentioned at the beginning of this meeting. The staff first determined whether the petition includes sufficient signatures. The staff report prepared for you clearly indicated -- indicates that it does and not a single party contests the sufficiency of the signatures, not a single signature in over 750,000. Second, you must determine whether the petition has all the requirements relating to the form of petition mandated by the legislature. You previously did so on March 33rd -- 23rd, rather, and page 4 of the staff report confirms the petition complies with all statutory requirements.

Courts have repeatedly indicated that the Board has no authority to impose new requirements or consider
anything else outside of what the legislature has provided. This is consistent with the guidance from the Bureau which confirms in the staff report has no legal authority to otherwise act on the contents, text or substance of a proposed constitutional amendment. If any doubt remains, the Court has also spoken to this. We remind you that the right to amend the Michigan constitution has been reserved as a fundamental right of the people of Michigan. It must not be restricted or interfered with by the Board, the legislature or even the judiciary. Our courts have been clear on that right. When in doubt, reserve all of those doubts in favor of the exercise of the right to amend the constitution. This means you must ignore the challenges and their fabricated criteria intended to distract this Board. While (inaudible) Board can legally consider, affidavits confirm the spaces actually do exist between the words and that's consistent with the version that we provided the Board on the 30th of March.

More importantly more than 750,000 people had an opportunity to read and understand this proposal. Not a single person who signed this petition came up here today and said that they could not understand it. They did, and they signed it in record numbers. Persons who couldn't understand it, had a remedy as counselor for -- as Counselor Doster reminded us. There was plenty of people that didn't,
but the constitution requires an affirmative number of signers. If something they didn't understand what the proposal means, the Court can provide guidance after adoption but this is not the Court's job right now. Under our constitution, the voters decide. We urge you to finish the job today so that the voters can do theirs. And we would be happy to answer questions, but I believe Steve is going to address the challenge.

STEVEN LIEDEL
MR. STEVEN LIEDEL: Yup. Just a couple additional comments based on some of the additional information you've got today. We've heard a lot of talk about the full text and the full text is important. In our republican government, the plain text of language used by the legislature is what's most important. Focusing on the full text of a proposal is, but when you consider the text of the proposal and the full text of the Reproductive Freedom for All proposal, is included in the petition, you need to look to the text of the legislature. What does the legislature say? Your director has already informed you. The only mandatory element under the statute relating to the language that appears in the text of the proposed constitutional amendment is that it must be in eight point font and it is. We hear a lot of distortion about, you know, you should look at other things or that this Board has never approved the
form as circulated. The director indicated earlier today there is no statutory provision for approval to form. It's an optional process that the director and the Board offer as a courtesy. And so there is no requirement that the full text of a proposal be submitted or approved and there's no requirement for the Board to review the actual form of the proposed amendment itself. That's the voter's exercising their reserved constitutional power.

One last note on the web site just to clarify. We didn't indicate that the proposed constitutional amendment was available on the RFFA web site in our response to Mr. Doster's challenge. That's a distortion. That's wrong. We said that it was now available. We also pointed in that same challenge that the full text as circulated was available to anyone, for anyone to look at, on the Bureau of Elections web site at all times when the petition was being circulated. So just inaccurate to say that for some reason that the petition was not available. The full text on the web site represents the text of the proposal as it would appear in the constitution if this proposal were approved by voters. As submitted to you the text includes spaces between the letters. The word, all full words are there. The full text of the proposal is there. In four lines there is -- there are differences in lines, in word spacing, but the Board doesn't regulate word spacing. The legislature
has not delegated any authority to this executive branch Board, and nor does the Board have any authority to adopt standards on its own. So as your staff/director has indicated, the form of this petition fully complies and we believe the duty based on both that and the number of signatures submitted requires this Board under the prior precedent of the court to certify this proposal as valid. Happy to answer any questions.

MR. DAUNT: Questions? I have -- I have one right now. It is -- it is accurate or inaccurate that what we approved at our meeting did not look like what you circulated or what was circulated? That is accurate or inaccurate?

MR. STEVEN LIEDEL: The -- what the Board approved is not the content of the proposal itself. So everything that you have authority to approve and you did approve is on the petition. The text is identical. The only difference is the word spacing in four lines, but that's not something that the Board approves or considers. There's no statutory provision for that.

MR. DAUNT: I think it's very important to point out from my perspective -- and I don't dare to speak for the rest of the people on this Board -- if what was circulated had come to us for review, it would not have received approval because of the severe defect in the spacing and in
the form of the language as it was laid out. I think there's ample history of our work on this Board to reject things because of issues exactly like that. So I have trouble understanding why we should let this one go. It's a tragedy that it happened.

MR. STEVEN LIEDEL: I think that's -- I think that's what was --

MR. DAUNT: But it happened.
MR. STEVEN LIEDEL: -- yeah, I think that's well understood. But if the campaign had never come before the Board, the situation would be the same and the statute does not require the Board to approve. So, and there is no statutory basis to reject a petition based on word spacing in the proposed amendment itself. The -- and so that's why. The only legal requirement is that you file with the Department of State a copy of the actual petition circulated before you circulate it. And as your staff report indicates, all provisions of the law were complied with. You may prefer, it may be your preference, you know, that you would prefer to see things formatted in a different way. In a republican form of government where you're exercising delegated powers, if you believe in strict construction and implementing to text to statutes, your preferences are not a matter for consideration of the Board. What is a matter for consideration as a matter of law is are these mandatory
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elements enacted by law, by the legislature which you have taken an oath to faithfully execute, been complied with? And your staff tells you have, we submit you have. And the question is given the status of the law enacted by the legislature and the duty it imposes on you and the oath you have taken, do you have an obligation based on the statutory requirements, regardless of what you think of the content of the proposal, how it's presented, the law indicates and your staff has recommended that this proposal be submitted to the voters and the voters decide the question.

MR. DAUNT: And I deeply respect the work of the staff and Director Brater. They've been nothing but straightforward and helpful. It's not unprecedented that we disagree with what the staff says on certain things. And what I think is important, content aside, I worked very hard months ago with people to make sure that we could find 100 words that were -- could -- all sides could get on, we could get a vote and not have a delay and have that go to court. Content is not the issue here for me. I want us to be consistent with how we treat these things. And this is a egregious error of the form in the way this is laid out and so I just want to make sure that I'm clear on that. Sir, if you want to state anything else, but it looks like --

MS. BRADSHAW: No.
MR. DAUNT: No? Okay.

MS. BRADSHAW: No.
MR. HOUSKAMP: I have just a question for you. You -- you've obviously put an enormous amount of money, an incredible amount of organization, a ton of people power into collecting these signatures and making this a reality and you've done a great job collecting signatures. How is it that no one -- and it had to be multiple people that this passed through their hands -- how is it that nobody caught what you were putting on these petitions? I mean, we all -we all come from different areas of life and certainly, sir, you have probably done direct mail pieces in your law firm before. If you put 80 letters together and decided to create, I mean, unless you were doing a Mary Poppins with Supercalifragilisticexpialidoious --

MR. STEVEN LIEDEL: Right.
MR. HOUSKAMP: And I suspect that even Rogers \& Hammerstein had spaces that may have been in there originally. But how did you get this past all of your proofers?

MR. STEVEN LIEDEL: You know, I think that that isn't the question for you, the Board, respectfully, for you as members today as why would people sign it? They had the opportunity to read and sign it and an unprecedented number -- an unprecedented number in Michigan were able to read and understand it notwithstanding --

MR. HOUSKAMP: And not one of those people raised a question?

MR. STEVEN LIEDEL: No.

MR. HOUSKAMP: Nobody brought that up to you? No one -- not in 900,000 people?

MR. STEVEN LIEDEL: No, not aware of anyone indicating that there was an issue with the formatting of the text by anyone who signed the petition.

MR. HOUSKAMP: Not even in passing? No one said to you, "wow, this really doesn't make sense"?

MR. STEVEN LIEDEL: No; no.
MR. HOUSKAMP: So -- so the -- so a significant population in Michigan recogni- -- doesn't even recognize that there's missing spaces?

MR. STEVEN LIEDEL: No. I think -- if they recognized the spacing is less than optimal, they can still read it. You need to look no further than the name plates in front of you today. You have one which has very broad spacing between the letters and a sera font. Mr. Daunt has a slightly smaller font than Member Gurewitz. Member Bradshaw is in all caps and it's scrunched together and there's minimal spacing between Jeannette and Bradshaw.

MR. HOUSKAMP: We took your PDF. As a matter of fact, I read your affidavits --

MR. STEVEN LIEDEL: Yeah.
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1 person who produced the petition indicating that. Is the 2 full text of the petition there? Absolutely. The full text is complete. There's not a single letter missing. Every word is there. Every word presented in prior versions of this petition to the Board is there. I mean, I'll note this is not with any proposed constitutional amendment the format in which you see a petition in which it's ultimately going to read in the constitution itself. Petitions typically are formatted in all capital letters because they show, indicate changes in the constitution. That doesn't mean they then become all capital provisions of our constitution. Typically petitions don't indent the paragraph. Right? But when it's put into our constitution, paragraphs are indented. That's a deviation not at all in the text, content or words, but in the format. Oftentimes the formatting of the petition language as printed by the Secretary of State and posted in every election polling place differs from the formatting of the text printed on the petition itself. It may be one thing to be concerned. Could it have been done differently? Absolutely. But the question is, one, what does the law require? And does the law require it to be spaced in any particular manner? The answer to that is no, and there's clearly variation in word spacing even amongst the four lines where while the line spacing is not optimal, you can see variation in line space.

So in terms of what's before you, you have your staff recommended and we are counsel for the proponents of this and we remind you that the substance of whatever someone's proposed is for them under the constitution. They are exercising their reserved constitutional rights. The courts have said no one can interfere with what they propose, be it judiciary, the legislature, except unless the legislature passes a specific requirement. Well, there is no specific requirement passed by the legislature with regard to the petition before you that has not been complied with. You have no specific provision cited to you by anyone, including the challenger, that says the text as it appears complies with the plain language requirements enacted by the legislature. If there was, we wouldn't be before you today because it would be noncompliant. But there is no provision of the Michigan Election Law or the constitution that provides for any authority to regulate the content, spacing, the formatting beyond being in an eight point font of the actual proposed constitutional amendment because we so respect as a republican government the reserved power of the people to exercise free from interference of government their power, reserve power to amend the constitution.

MR. DAUNT: Jeannette?
MS. BRADSHAW: Mr. Chair, it actually wasn't even

1 a question to -- I was actually going to make a statement
2 about we -- you had said off the rules -- set out rules beginning of this meeting for everyone to be respectful. MR. DAUNT: Yes.

MS. BRADSHAW: And I hope that we can continue that respectfulness as individuals are speaking, even if it is not the viewpoint that you agree with. There have I'm noticing -- I'm --

MR. DAUNT: I was -- I was going -- I was actually going to address that, so thank you.

MS. BRADSHAW: Thank you. I just -- I wanted to make sure that we did address that.

MR. DAUNT: It's like a long car ride with your kids. People have been really good. Let's keep it up. There's just a little bit longer. And so, yeah, thank you. I was going to address that.

You know, for me, I'll just be very straightforward on this. This is a much clearer cut issue at hand here than the previous one in terms of what is form and has it failed. We did not approve what was circulated. We simply did not. All of us have said repeatedly that this is a le- -- these are legal documents. You would not sign a mortgage that had this type of mistake in it. You wouldn't turn in a term paper that had this kind of mistake. And if you did, you would likely get knocked down for it. The
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point being we have rejected language for these exact same reasons and it's a form issue because it's how it looks, it's what's before the people, it's what is their understanding of it. I -- I was genuinely hopeful that these issues with the amount of signatures that they would come before us, we would deal with the signatures.

Unfortunately mistakes were made by the people who put these forward. I intend to apply the same standards to everything that comes before us. There have been multiple petitions that have come before us that don't have these problems, there will likely be many that come before us that also do not. Those that do will get the same kind of treatment from me. I -- I did not approve the form of this as it was circulated and I wouldn't approve it now. I don't know how much more clear to be. I'm sorry.

MS. GUREWITZ: I agree with you that this is much clearer than the previous case because here all we're talking about is content and we have no authority to address or complain or challenge the content of the petition. Everyone who spoke earlier today spoke about the content. They were able to understand the content. I can -- while the words are compressed, I think it's easy to read and 700-some thousand people obviously also found it easy to read whether the words were compressed or spaced more completely. So we -- we simply have no authority to reject
this petition based upon challenges to the content of the petition. The form is something different. This is -- but we're not talking about a form challenge here however Mr. Doster wants to characterize it. We're talking about a challenge to the content and that is not within our purview. As Mr. Liedel has said, all -- the full text of the petition is there. Obviously people can read it. I can read it and 700-some thousand people could read it. I don't think there's any confusion. But confusion, if people were confused, that's not for us to be concerned about. The full text is there and I think we have no choice but to certify.

MR. DAUNT: Thank you. I'll just politely but strongly disagree on the issue of content form. It is -- it is in my mind exactly the same as the issue with Secure MI Vote months ago, almost a year ago, I think. Where the "L" had been substituted for semicolons. It was obvious what had happened. It was obviously a mistake, it was a typo, it was a grammatical issue. It was something that interfered with what people saw. We made them come back and fix it. I am insistent on being consistent.

MS. BRADSHAW: Chairman Daunt, it was -- it was more than just the L's, there was other things on that. But that is not the petition that we have in front of us.

Because if I remember right, that was Chairman Shinkle's also thing. If it was just the "L's," that would have been
one thing but it was more than that, on that petition. But that's not the petition we are talking about today.

I agree. I can sense this already that we are going to disagree on this. This -- both of these -- this petition was approved on condition the first time with removal of the union bug. The second time when the word "the" was brought in front of us which was not brought in front of us the first time. So here we are the third time and now it is another thing. Now, I understand this. I'm -- I'm -- I am trying to think of the time how long I've been on this Board of has there -- spacing has ever come in front of us. I think it was, but it was when it was an approval to form before the petition even went anywhere because they had used a condensed font and they tried to basically put everything they could in a condensed -- I think it was an eight point font and you could not read it. There was no spaces at all. So if you would take the petition, there was no spacing. It wouldn't -- it wasn't a line. The entire petition was completely condensed and typed and you couldn't even see where the paragraphs were. But for this, it's been here twice with conditional approvals only three to one. I was a no vote because I don't do conditional approvals.

MR. DAUNT: You may have a point, but -MS. BRADSHAW: I do. But on this, you know, I
feel that our role is clear and that is that the subject for us today is the suffic- -- suffic- -- I can't. It's late. Yeah, it's 4:00. Our role is do they have enough signatures to be put on the ballot which is exactly how I feel about the previous. They have enough signatures to be put on the ballot. Any of these questions are going to have to be handled in Court. So you -- you kind of know where we are going today.

MR. BRATER: If I can just clarify on the issue of the Secure MI Vote petition? So the other -- with the Secure MI Vote petition, there was an issue with the printer's affidavit. So they had the name of the ballot proposal incorrect so that was an issue. It's also true that the L's were noticed and discussed. You know, in staff's view -- and this is -- it's complicated by the fact that the approval as to form that happens at the beginning is not a statutory procedure, it's a procedure that the Board does as a courtesy. So we don't have strict, statutory requirements that govern, you know, what the Board is doing there. But the staff does present this as approval as to form with the understanding it does not extend to the substance of the petition. I understand there's an argument -- so meaning even if there were problems in the substance, the text of the amendment other than the eight point font issue, that that's not what the Board is
approving when it does conditional approval as to form. But it is also the case that the Board or staff will sometimes notice things in the substance that we will flag for petitioner. So that's I think likely where the -- some of the confusion stems from. But in staff's view, just to reiterate, I've already said this, but, you know, our view is that the form issues are limited to the statutory elements which are the things on the face of the petition in eight point typeface text in the substantive petition.

MR. DAUNT: Thank you. And Mary Ellen, you were going to say something, too.

MS. GUREWITZ: Yeah. As I recall, the motion that was made was approval as to form with the understanding that that did not extend to the content of the petition. That is what we regularly say when we are approving as to form and this is content, this is not form.

MR. STEVEN LIEDEL: Mr. Chair, if I may?
MR. DAUNT: Yes.
MR. STEVEN LIEDEL: Your approval did extend to the eight point font which is still before you in the petition before you today. And I think this is distinguishable from the prior proposal you've been referencing. In there, we're not talking about Board action and you had actual characters that deviate. There's no typo here. There is no misplace or misspelled word. There is a
spacing issue as attested, under oath, to this Board by the person who produced it. And so the only question is do you possess the statutory authority to disprove this petition on a form requirement that is not addressed in any way under the Michigan Election Law, that being the spacing? If you do this, you are setting a precedent that you can disapprove petitions for made up reasons without any basis in the statute. That's not faithful to the text of the Michigan Election Law, elected -- you know, enacted by folks that sit here some Tuesdays, Wednesdays and Thursdays throughout the year. Right?

MR. DAUNT: Just not right now.
MR. STEVEN LIEDEL: And if you're committed to the rule of law and to the plain text of language as enacted by the legislature, I would suggest you have an obligation to ask yourself. What exactly does the legislature permit me to regulate? Because there's nothing here that's at issue other than the spacing between words on four lines. And I would urge you to look long and hard and you will not find any precedent or any text in the statute as confirmed by your staff that you have any authority to regulate, question, fail to approve a proposal based on word spacing in the content of a proposed constitutional amendment.

MR. DAUNT: Thank you, sir. Mr. Doster, it would appear as though he would like to make a quick rebuttal, but
I -- I want to make sure we finish up with the witnesses --
MS. BRADSHAW: My question isn't for Mr. -- well,
sorry. It's actually for Director Brater, but --
MR. DAUNT: Okay. Are there other questions for
Mr. Liedel or Ms. Flower?
MR. HOUSKAMP: I -- I still dis- -- I disagree
with you when you say that there's no typos. Missing spaces
are typos. Period.
MR. STEVEN LIEDEL: Perhaps we can, you know,
agree to disagree, Member Houskamp.
MR. HOUSKAMP: We can. We can agree to disagree,
but --
MR. STEVEN LIEDEL: But, you know, our view as
backed up by the affidavit is that the spacing is there. In
four lines it's less than optimal, but, again, the spaces
are there. There's variation in word spacing that you can
see just by looking at the printed petition itself.
MR. DAUNT: If there are no other questions, we'll
politely, kindly dismiss the witnesses. Mr. Doster, when
they do, please feel free to take a seat. But, Jeannette,
you said you had a question for Director Brater?
MS. BRADSHAW: Yeah. I do. Sorry.
MR. DAUNT: Thank you.
MS. BRADSHAW: We went to paperless so I have to
help sometimes. No. Director Brater, how many petitions do
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we have currently that are being circulated that did not come in front of this Board for an approval so far?

MR. BRATER: Currently being circulated I think -I don't know what's actually out there right now.

MS. BRADSHAW: I believe it's one, don't we?
MR. FRACASSI: So I don't know if it's currently being circulated, but what $I$ can say is that there is one petition that was -- that did a $483(a)$ filing that did not come for approval as to form or approval as to the 100 -word summary.

MR. DAUNT: That was an -- that was an election related one, wasn't it? One of the kind of offshoots of certain things?

MR. FRACASSI: Correct.
MR. BRATER: Yeah.
MR. DAUNT: Yeah. Thank you.
MR. BRATER: And if -- if $I$-- we did find the motion just for reference if it's helpful when the Board did the conditional approval last time. The motion was,
"I move that the board conditionally approve the form of the constitutional amendment submitted by RFA provided sponsors remove the definite article the prior to the word constitution in we the undersigned sentence prior to circulation with the understanding that the board's approval does not extend to (1) The substance
of the proposal which appears on the petition, or
The manner in which the proposal language is affixed to the petition."

MR. DAUNT: Thank you. Mr. Doster, brief rebuttal?

MR. ERIC DOSTER: Thank you. I'll just -- thank you. It'll be brief. I'm just trying to get some semblance of equal time here if $I$ can.

ERIC DOSTER
MR. ERIC DOSTER: But just a few very quick points. The fact that it is an absolutely incorrect that this is anywhere remotely close to a substantive challenge to the form of the petition. I mean, the cases -- again, we cited them in our supplement. The cases that were cited in the staff report talk about this form versus substantive challenge and substantive challenge are challenges on the merits of the proposal. We're not challenging the merits of the proposal. The substantive challenges are constitutional challenges: equal protection, due process, whatever, whatever. We're not -- we're talking about form, we're talking about text.

And -- and I -- and I want to -- although I absolutely respect my friends from Dykema, I got to admit they're a heck of a lot easier to follow than that young Miss Kauffman this morning. And Miss Kauffman, you know,
said it best about the words that go into our constitution and may have been the most eloquent speaker that's been here today. But one thing that Mr. Liedel says that you don't have any -- there's nothing -- there's nothing in statute that says that you have any authority on this issue. But I said it in my challenge, $I$ said it in our supplement challenge, and I said it again here just, well, an hour ago now that the statutory requirement that imposes the clear, legal duty for this Board to reject -- reject the form of this petition comes from Section $482(3)$ and $I$ quote, "The full text of the amendment so proposed must follow the summary and be printed in eight point type." That is a clear legal duty that this Board has to follow. Now, all due respect to chairman or Director Brater, he said, well, and I -- he said, well, you know, if it's dealing with the full text of the amendment, you can only reg- -- or deals with the actual proposal -- he's only reading half the statute. He's saying you can only regulate the eight point type part of it, but he for- -- but that kind of analysis to say you don't have any authority fully ignores the first part of that sentence, quote, "The full text of the amendment so proposed must follow the summary." So if you can regulate the eight point type part, you can also regulate and you have a duty to regulate what's the full text. And -- and I don't know how clearer to explain it,
but text requires words.
And in our challenge, we just went to the simple dictionary definition of text and it consists of, and I quote, "the original words of something written or printed as opposed to a paraphrased translation -- as opposed to a paraphrase, translation, revision or condensation," end of quote. And that's a simple dictionary definition of the word "text." This isn't the full text that is before you today. They admit in their -- in their Exhibit 3 the what's available on the web site what they now say is the full text which has actual words. The version of the petition that was before you on March 23 had actual words.

So, again, I promised to be brief and I'll just leave one last point. The fact is that they have provided you zero examples, no examples that gave you any precedent where these types of errors, typos, mistakes, call them what you will, have ever been, ever been accepted by this Board and the reason is they do not exist. So thank you and, again, we ask that you perform your clear, legal duty and reject the form of this petition. Thank you very much for your time.

MR. DAUNT: Thank you, sir. Okay. Well, what would be the Board's pleasure at this point?

MS. GUREWITZ: I will make a motion. I move that the Board of State Canvassers accept the staff
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```
recommendation and find the petition submitted by
Reproductive Freedom for All sufficient.
    MS. BRADSHAW: Support.
    MR. DAUNT: Okay. We have a motion and support.
Will there be any further discussion on this matter? Seeing
none I will move for a vote. Those in favor of the motion
signify by saying "aye."
MS. BRADSHAW: Aye.
MS. GUREWITZ: Aye.
MR. DAUNT: Those opposed signify by saying "nay."
MR. DAUNT: Nay.
MR. HOUSKAMP: Nay.
MR. DAUNT: Deadlock, Jeannette predicted, two to
two.
    (Whereupon motion failed at 4:14 p.m.)
    MR. BRATER: Just Board Member Houskamp voted
"nay," just to clarify?
    MR. DAUNT: Correct; yes.
    MS. BRADSHAW: Got to be a little bit louder,
Richard.
    MR. BRATER: We didn't hear.
    MR. HOUSKAMP: Nay.
    MS. BRADSHAW: Thank you.
    MR. DAUNT: All right.
    MS. BRADSHAW: Or we'll have to go back to the
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roll call votes.
MR. DAUNT: As this -- as with the previous issue Promote the Vote, I think there is probably a desire to move forward on agendas eight -- items eight and nine on the assignment of number and the 100 words so that we can get those issues handled. I think Jonathan's explanation from earlier would suffice. That being said, I think we can move through number eight relatively quickly with an obvious caveat that will be addressed in terms of what happens if the Court rules that the Promote the Vote issue should not go on the ballot in that number of $22-2$. So I see no witnesses seeking to speak on number eight, so looks -- Mr. Houskamp is going --

MR. HOUSKAMP: You want me to make a -- this motion? This is the revised motion that, Adam, you handed me?

MR. FRACASSI: Correct.
MR. HOUSKAMP: I say I move the Board conditionally designate the constitutional amendment submitted by Reproductive Freedom for All as Proposal 22-3 on the November 8th, 2022, general election ballot. I further move that in the event the proposal submitted by Promote the Vote does not appear on the November ballot, that this proposal be designated as Proposal 22-2. Does that make sense?

MS. GUREWITZ: Support.
MR. DAUNT: Okay. We have a motion and we have support. Do we have discussion?

MS. BRADSHAW: I have discussion. Normally I am a no vote on conditional votes, but $I$ am a no vote on conditional votes when it comes to approval to form because I feel that those have to come back in front of us. This is a motion when we're just talking about designation. So I will be a vote in the affirmative for this motion. I just wanted to give an explanation before our vote or I could have done it afterwards.

MR. DAUNT: No. Either was fine. Thank you.
MS. BRADSHAW: Uh-huh (affirmative).
MR. DAUNT: All right. Any further discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor of the motion please signify by saying "aye."

ALL: Aye.
MR. DAUNT: Any opposed? Motion carries 4-0.
(Whereupon motion passed at 4:16 p.m.)
MR. DAUNT: Moving on, agenda item number nine, the consideration of the 100 -word summary of purpose for the constitutional amendment sponsored by Reproductive Freedom for All. I will turn this over to Director Brater once again for his synopsis of what he put together and why, and then we do have a couple of folks who wish to speak on this
item. Director Brater?
MR. BRATER: Thank you, Chair Daunt. So as with the prior issue involving Promote the Vote, the Election Law and constitution provide for the director of elections to propose a draft summary of the provision that constitutes what will appear on the ballot along with a caption and the Board to approve those things. The summary, 100-word summary, in fact $94-w o r d$ summary that accompanies this is the same as what the Board approved for the petition summary with the exception of the changing of the proposed constitutional amendment language. The caption, again, is new. That's not something the Board has approved before. And as with the other one I attempted to at a very high level go through what this amendment does, although with the newly recognized consensus regarding brevity, I'm certainly open to a shorter caption if the Board can agree on something and $I$ can propose a couple ideas there. But -but what $I$ have for you to start with -- and I know that we have comments on this -- the caption would be,
"A proposal to amend the state constitution to establish new individual right to reproductive freedom, including right to make all decisions about pregnancy; allow state to prohibit abortion in some cases; and forbid prosecution of individuals exercising established right."
And then the full text would be 94 word count of the summary, I should say, would be:
"This proposed constitutional amendment would: Establish new individual right to reproductive freedom, including right to make and carry out all decisions about pregnancy, such as prenatal care, childbirth, postpartum care, contraception, sterilization, abortion, miscarriage management, and infertility; Allow state to prohibit abortion after fetal viability, unless needed to protect a patient's life or physical or mental health; Forbid state discrimination in enforcement of this right; prohibit prosecution of an individual, or a person helping a pregnant individual, for exercising rights established by this amendment; Invalidate all state laws that conflict with this amendment. Should this proposal be adopted? Yes. No."
And that is 94 words.
MR. DAUNT: Thank you, Director Brater. I do have cards for Mr. Doster as the challenger on this issue has requested to speak on item number nine. Come on up. Yup.
MR. ERIC DOSTER: Actually, I think in this case it'd make more sense if Mr. Liedel spoke first, but --
MR. DAUNT: I just can't get it right today.
MR. ERIC DOSTER: Yeah, that's okay. But I'll
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go -- I'll speak. Eric Doster on behalf of Citizens for MI Women and Children. Doster, D-o-s-t-e-r.

ERIC DOSTER
MR. ERIC DOSTER: I think that Director Brater has done a pretty good job of encapsulating the hours that we spent to come up with the petition summary. Three of you were here. I don't know if Mr. Liedel was here for another proposal, but Mr . Brewer was representing his client at that time. And I think that, again, Director Brater encapsulated, you know, pretty much the petition summary language. And the only -- the only point that $I$ would make was one of the hot button issues of negotiation with this group was Mr. Brewer -- and I don't think Mr. Liedel knows this -- but Mr. Brewer was actually the one that wanted to have in the petition summary a reference to invalidate the 1931 state criminal abortion ban. And I've got copies of his comments if you -- if you -- if that matters, if you doubt me that that's what he wanted. So what that caused me to do was start listing off all these other invalidating state laws. And the compromise, if you'll recall from last January, was, okay, we weren't going to mention this law, this law, this law, this law, this law. We were just going to say invalidate all state laws that conflict with this amendment. And that was the compromise after hour or two hour, $I$ can't remember, 90 pages of transcript.

So I think that given that compromise was pretty significant with the deliberations of this Board, my only suggestion for consistency and, again, in keeping with the spirit of the negotiations that happened, you know, on the petition summary was -- is that in the caption we also add a phrase about invalidating all state laws that conflict with this amendment. And then with respect to that phrase that's kind of nestled in, in the bottom of the third bullet point there that doesn't -- that has no relationship with anything else in the third bullet point, just make it a fourth bullet point and that's it. Again, I commend Director Brater for his efforts here to bring this before the Board. Thank you.

MR. DAUNT: Thank you. Mr. Liedel and I do have also in addition to Steve, Bonsitu Kitaba-Gaviglio.

MS. BONSITU KITABA-GAVIGLIO: You got it.
MR. DAUNT: All right. And if you having not been up here previously wouldn't mind spelling that out for the court reporter, that would be helpful.

MS. BONSITU KITABA-GAVIGLIO: Sure. Thank you. Bonsitu Kitaba-Gaviglio --

MS. BRADSHAW: You'll have to hit the button.
MR. DAUNT: Oh, hit it again.
MS. BRADSHAW: You almost -- there it is. There
it is.
MR. DAUNT: There you go.
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MS. BONSITU KITABA-GAVIGLIO: Okay. I'll get it right. Bonsitu Kitaba-Gaviglio, B-o-n-s-i-t-u K-i-t-a-b-a hyphen $G-a-v-i-g-1-i-o$.

MR. DAUNT: Thank you.
STEVEN LIEDEL
MR. STEVEN LIEDEL: I thank you again, Chairman Daunt. We just want to briefly address similar to Mr. Doster -- we're certainly aware of the negotiations that occurred before, but we also note that the Attorney General has indicated that ballot language adopted for purposes of a petition summary is not binding and the Board is not required to use that. A couple quick things. One -- or two. I want to make sure Chris Trebilcock is -- does not get credit for shorter captions. I think I was the one who first proposed that to the -- to the Board in a submission a few days before Mr. Trebilcock. So I'll remind him of that regularly.

MR. DAUNT: I'll happily give it to you over him.
MR. STEVEN LIEDEL: Great. And look, it's
certainly less things for lawyers to argue over, whether you're paid by the word or by the hour. So I think it's a good development. We would encourage that here. In fact, we proposed a brief caption. We think that's the term the legislature has used. And if you're a instructionist, plain text type of person, caption means basically just the
heading. Our proposed -- I think we -- we said we can -- we can do that -- name that caption in five words, "A constitutional amendment for reproductive freedom." Is that five or six? Something like that we think would be advisable here as well if the Board is so inclined.

Beyond that I think a couple things. A couple items in the caption, we -- with the changes in front of you. We think it's important to remind voters that what this proposal is about and it's a -- it's mainly about abortion and we have no problem. We think it better informs a voter if you're going to have a longer caption to add the words "and abortion" after "pregnancy" in the second line. We think that -- well, we agree that the director has done a good job with the summary. The word "regulate" is probably more accurate than "prohibit." Regulate is the word that's actually used in the proposal itself. And, you know, we can regulate alcohol, you know, which we do. And alcohol is still available, but it's not available in certain circumstances or we can prohibit it which we did in the past, prohibition. And so I think there's a significant difference between prohibit and regulate and giving that the proposed amendment actually used the word "regulate," we think that's a better summary of the actual text of the proposal itself. The third of the four changes would be medically. The current language prepared by the director
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indicates, you know, "unless needed to protect a patient's life" and so forth. The constitution is much clearer about what that need would be. It's not just any need. It has to be a medical need. So it has to be a medical necessity. So we think it better informs the voter of the content to add one word, "medically." That still keeps you under the 100-word limit.

And then I just will note -- and I understand the discussions that occurred before. I don't -- in my view it's not -- not fully informative to voters to say that the constitutional amendment itself invalidates all laws. And while it may with the actions by executive branch officials and the judiciary effectively, you know, invalidate the 1931 law. What it actually does is prevent enforcement. And that's the case, you know, with the 1931 law right now. It hasn't been invalidated by the courts. Its enforcement has been prevented by judicial action, and the action of executive branch officials unwilling to enforce it. And so it's a quibble, but $I$ do think it's something that, you know, we want to bring to the attention to the Board and the public in that the constitutional amendment itself cannot on its own invalidate other laws. It requires either action by an administrative official, a prosecutor, or other executive branch official to choose how to or not implement some or all of the law, and/or action by the judiciary or changes in
the law by the legislature. And so with that, have I covered everything?

MS. BONSITU KITABA-GAVIGLIO: Yes.

MR. STEVEN LIEDEL: So we'd be happy to answer any questions and happy to discuss with you a shorter caption or even with Mr. Doster any changes as well if that would be helpful to the Board.

MR. DAUNT: Okay. Thank you. Are there thoughts or questions from the Board? I guess I'll take the prerogative as chair just to say kind of, again, I like the idea of shorter and sweeter. I think what Director Brater has put together in the summary language is nicely done. If we were to follow what we did on the previous issue, it would say something like "a proposal to amend the state constitution -- constitution to add provisions regarding abortions." I know that's not going to be what people want probably on either side, so we can't do it that succinctly. But it -- it does appear that they're hashing some things out, so --

MS. BRADSHAW: There -- there might be some agreement.

MR. BRATER: Well, that's -- oh, I'm sorry.
MS. BRADSHAW: That's okay. I wanted to ask Chairman Daunt. You know, we worked really hard to get this summary. How are you feeling about moving or adding the
words "medically," "regulate" and the prevents that prevent enforcement to --

MS. GUREWITZ: Can we do one at a time?
MS. BRADSHAW: Yeah. No, I'm just -- I'm just
general and then we'll -- then we can go one at a time, yes.
MR. DAUNT: So if $I$ may suggest we take a
five-minute break and let them have a discussion and let me utilize the restroom, that will be fantastic.

MR. STEVEN LIEDEL: We may have something that may

```
facilitate --
```

MS. BRADSHAW: I think that Chairman Daunt needs to use the restroom.

MR. DAUNT: Yeah. We're going to -- we're going to take a break for five minutes. We're going to recess for five minutes and come back at 4:35.
(Off the record)
MR. DAUNT: In that instance, then we will call
this meeting back to order at 4:45. And it looks like there's -- both of you would like to come up and I -- please feel free to do so. Let us know what you've got in mind and we'll hopefully wrap this up.

MR. STEVEN LIEDEL: Eric, if you want to go ahead and we'll just play in if there's --

ERIC DOSTER
MR. ERIC DOSTER: Oh, sure. Yeah; absolutely.

Listen -- members of the Board, Eric Doster here on behalf of Citizens Supporting MI Women and Children. Working from Mr. Liedel's draft, that's probably the easiest way to start here. We're -- we would agree to his caption. We would also agree to his, on the second bullet point where he said "regulate" instead of "prohibit," adding the word "medically." And then the third bullet point that starts with "forbid state discrimination," what we'd like to do is to take that phrase at the end where it says -- he put "prevent enforcement" -- let me read that. So in other words, the third bullet point would end at the word "amendment." So, "for exercising rights established by this amendment;" add a fourth bullet point that reads,
"Invalidate state laws conflicting with this amendment." Is
that what you had, Steve?
MR. STEVEN LIEDEL: That works.
MS. BONSITU KITABA-GAVIGLIO: Yes.
MR. ERIC DOSTER: Okay.
MR. HOUSKAMP: Invalidate all or invalidate --
MS. BRADSHAW: Invalidate state laws.
MR. ERIC DOSTER: Invalidate state laws
conflicting with this amendment. That's a separate and
fourth bullet point.
MS. BRADSHAW: I think Director Brater has
something to say.

MR. DAUNT: Mr. -- Director Brater, please.
MR. BRATER: Yeah. So all that is agreeable to me with one exception which is the changing the second bullet point to say "allow state to regulate abortion after fetal viability unless medically needed to protect a patient's life or physical or mental health." Medically, I don't -that's fine. But when you change that -- when you remove the word "prohibit" and substitute it with "regulate," it makes it sound as if the state cannot regulate abortion when it is medically needed to protect a patient's life or physical or mental health when in fact it can, it just can't prohibit it. So what the constitutional amendment says is that literally, the state may regulate the provision of abortion care after fetal viability provided that in no circumstance shall the state prohibit an abortion that in the professional judgment of an attending health care professional is medically indicated to protect the life or physical or mental health of the pregnant individual. In those circumstances when it's needed, the state can still regulate it, but it can't prohibit it. So I don't believe this is accurate if you just swap out the word "prohibit" with "regulate." And we actually did have this discussion when we were talking about the petition summary at the previous meeting. So the word "regulate" can be in there, but if that's the case, then there needs to be an adjustment
made on the back end that says something like "allow state to regulate abortion af-" -- you know, maybe "allow state to regulate abortion after fetal viability but not prohibit it if medically needed," or something like that. You need to -- you need to reflect what the clause actually does. I mean, I guess I would propose, "allow state to regulate abortion after fetal viability but not prohibit it where medically needed to protect a patient's life or physical and mental health."

MR. STEVEN LIEDEL: I think Mr. Doster and I are going to discuss a briefer version to keep us within, you know, 100 words the best we can unless after "fetal viability but not prohibit unless medically needed." Just add three words, but not -- but we -- we're going to need to discuss that.

MR. BRATER: But not prohibit where --
MS. GUREWITZ: But not -- if medically needed.
MR. BRATER: -- but not prohibit -- oh, if -yeah, that would, yeah.

MS. GUREWITZ: Not prohibit if medically needed.
MR. STEVEN LIEDEL: So after viability but not prohibit would be added -- subject to discussion -- unless medically needed.

MS. GUREWITZ: No.
MR. DAUNT: If they're --
Page 266

| 1 | MR. BRATER: "Allow state to regulate abortion |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | after fetal" -- |
| 3 | MS. GUREWITZ: Not prohibit it if medically |
| 4 | needed. |
| 5 | MR. BRATER: -- yeah. "Allow state to regulate |
| 6 | abortion after fetal viability but not prohibit if medically |
| 7 | needed." |
| 8 | MS. BRADSHAW: Yes. That's right. |
| 9 | MR. DAUNT: "If" instead of "unless." |
| 10 | MS. BRADSHAW: Right. |
| 11 | MR. STEVEN LIEDEL: Sure. |
| 12 | MR. ERIC DOSTER: But the rest of it we can leave |
| 13 | in there; right? |
| 14 | MR. STEVEN LIEDEL: Yes. |
| 15 | MR. ERIC DOSTER: "But not prohibit if medically |
| 16 | needed to protect the patient's life or physical and mental |
| 17 | health"? |
| 18 | MS. GUREWITZ: Right. |
| 19 | MS. BRADSHAW: Right. |
| 20 | MR. DAUNT: Okay. |
| 21 | MR. BRATER: Did that take us over? |
| 22 | MR. FRACASSI: I don't know. Why don't you and I |
| 23 | figure it out. Take a break for a second. We'll figure it |
|  | out and then we'll type it up, distribute. |
| 25 | MR. BRATER: Okay. We're going to type it up. |
|  | Page 267 |

MR. DAUNT: We will recess for five minutes.
(Off the record)
MR. DAUNT: I will call us back to order at 4:56. And we've got four minutes and then $I$ think the sergeants come in and start sweeping us away. So it looks like there has been some discussion and hopefully agreement. Director Brater?
MR. BRATER: Yeah. I'm just going to read what I've drafted. Caption,
"A proposal to amend the state constitution to establish new individual right to reproductive freedom, including right to make all decisions about pregnancy and abortion; allow state to regulate abortion in some cases; and forbid prosecution of individuals exercising established right.
The proposed constitutional amendment would: Establish new individual right to reproductive freedom, including right to make and carry out all decisions about pregnancy, such as prenatal care, childbirth, postpartum care, contraception, sterilization, abortion, miscarriage management, and infertility; Allow state to regulate abortion after fetal viability but not prohibit if medically needed" -- sorry. I'm going to start that over. "Allow state to regulate abortion after fetal viability but not prohibit if

```
medically needed to protect a patient's life or physical or mental health; Forbid state discrimination in enforcement of this right; prohibit prosecution of an individual, or a person helping a pregnant individual, for exercising rights established by this amendment." And then new bullet point, "Invalidate state laws conflicting with this amendment. Should this proposal be adopted? Yes. No." 95 words.
MR. DAUNT: Okay. Thank you, Director Brater. Counsel for each side, are we in agreement that we have something?
MR. STEVEN LIEDEL: Reproductive Freedom for All is prepared to accept the director's proposed language.
MR. DAUNT: Thank you.
MR. ERIC DOSTER: Citizens Supporting MI Women and Children are okay with the language with one exception, or actually two exceptions. To go back to Director Brater's language about "prohibit" in the caption and then his second bullet point, you know, "prohibit abortion after fetal viability, unless medically needed." Yeah, the word "medically" is fine, so I hope that makes sense. So we're okay with what Director Brater just said. The word "prohibit" comes back in the caption, in the second bullet point "prohibit" instead of "regulate," and then the word "medically" leave it -- just leave -- this is Mr. Liedel's
initial language for the second bullet point minus the word "prohibit" versus "regulate." So we're only talking about just a couple words. Oh, thank you. Was that as clear as mud?

MR. DAUNT: Yes. I am -- I am confused as to what the --

MR. ERIC DOSTER: Here, I'll --
MS. GUREWITZ: What could a final version be in the next two minutes?

MS. BONSITU KITABA-GAVIGLIO: And just to clarify there for in the second bullet point there's two types of actions happening. There's regulation after fetal viability and then prohibiting abortion if medically needed to protect the life or physical and mental health of the patient. And so those two concepts are being reflected in the director's new proposed language.

MR. DAUNT: I -- I --
MR. BRATER: I agree with that.
MR. DAUNT: Yeah. I think that what was read by Director Brater matches the back and forth we had with both sides. I'm -- and I'm -- really I'm lost as to what your --

MR. ERIC DOSTER: Let me -- three changes from what Director Brater just said.

MR. DAUNT: Before -- before I let you go any further, are the changes that he is suggesting okay with
```

you?

```

MR. STEVEN LIEDEL: (Shaking head negatively)
MS. BONSITU KITABA-GAVIGLIO: No.
MR. DAUNT: Okay. Then -- then -- did you have something to add, Jeannette?

MS. BRADSHAW: We have one minute.
MR. DAUNT: I am -- I have no problem with what was laid out by Director Brater. I think it matches the intent of both sides. I fail to see what -- what would need to be changed. Mr. Houskamp?

MR. HOUSKAMP: I -- I'm not the -- I'm not an attorney.

MS. GUREWITZ: I thought we were going to do a short caption.

MS. BRADSHAW: That's what I thought we were doing. I thought that was the conversation was the short caption, not to the summary.

MS. GUREWITZ: Short caption?
MR. BRATER: It was, but --
MR. STEVEN LIEDEL: Look the -- we'll get to that next time. Apparently not this time. The two groups could find consensus by adding words --

MR. HOUSKAMP: What was --
MR. STEVEN LIEDEL: -- as Member Brater
suggested -- or Member Bradshaw suggested.
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MR. HOUSKAMP: What was your objection? What were you looking to do?

MR. STEVEN LIEDEL: We, in terms of short caption, "A proposed constitutional amendment for reproductive freedom" or, you know, we -- we would also be fine with "a proposed constitutional amendment regarding reproductive freedom and abortion," whichever -- some -- some concept similar to that.

MR. DAUNT: I think at this point we want as close to agreement and acceptance from both sides as we can get. I -- again, I'm -- I think what Director Brater read is very fair from my perspective. It doesn't shorten things, but it does address each side's concerns. I -- I would be comfortable with a motion on that. Is there other thought or discussion on that?

MR. BRATER: I don't know whether we have time or not, but a short caption that would work for me would be, "A proposal to amend the state constitution to establish new individual right regarding decisions about pregnancy and abortion."

MR. STEVEN LIEDEL: I don't think you would have support from either side right now for that. I think we're, you know, very close otherwise. But obviously we're more comfortable with the director -- you know, we had agreed to change some other words prior to the concerns raised by the
director. We'd leave it to the Board at this point to
decide in which direction to go.
    MR. DAUNT: Okay. Thank you very much for both
sides for working on this. This --
    MR. STEVEN LIEDEL: Thanks for all -- all your
time today.
    MR. DAUNT: -- the beauty of government at work.
All right. So we have language that the director has read.
From the standpoint of a motion, are we -- should it be
re-read or --
    MS. BRADSHAW: Okay. He -- I'm sorry.
    MR. BRATER: I don't think it needs to be re-read.
    MR. DAUNT: Okay.
    MS. BRADSHAW: Are we -- are we going on the first
one that you read or the second one that you read?
    MS. GUREWITZ: This (indicating).
    MR. BRATER: I think the motion would be on the
revised caption and summary that I read a few minutes ago,
not on -- not with the proposed shortened caption. So it
would be the full -- the full caption and summary that I
just read together.
    MS. BRADSHAW: So which incorporates the word
"regulate," is that the one that you were talking about,
Chairman?
    MR. BRATER: Yes.

MR. DAUNT: Yes.
MS. GUREWITZ: Right.
MS. BRADSHAW: Okay. I -- sorry. I move that the Board of State Canvassers -- oh, wrong one. I tried. Oh, I have it. I move that the Board of State Canvassers approve the statement of purpose prepared and submitted on August 31st, 2022, and read by the Director of Elections for
Proposal 22-3 on November 8th, 2022, general election
ballot. Do I need to --
    MR. BRATER: I think that's fine.
    MS. BRADSHAW: Okay.
    MS. GUREWITZ: Second.
    MR. DAUNT: Okay. We have a motion and support.
Do we have any discussion?

MR. HOUSKAMP: Nope.
MR. DAUNT: All right. Those in favor of the motion signify by saying "aye."

ALL: Aye.
MR. DAUNT: Those opposed? Motion carries four to zero.
(Whereupon motion passed at 5:04 p.m.)
MR. DAUNT: And I know there was one last item
that \(I\) probably need to do to be -- any other business properly submitted to the Board that we need to discuss? MR. BRATER: Not from my standpoint, no.

5 you. This meeting is adjourned at 5:03.

6
MR. DAUNT: Okay. All right. on legal at our next proposed meeting.
(Proceedings concluded at 5:05 p.m.)
\(-0-0-0-\)

0

MS. BRADSHAW: Mr. Chair, I'm okay with the update

MR. DAUNT: Okay. All right. Everybody, thank
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline A & 101:17 103:24 & 204:23 & achieve 73:25 & additional 121:9 \\
\hline A-110:25 & 106:23 107:18 & abrogations 178:18 & acknowledge 42:10 & 190:10 230:10,11 \\
\hline A-l-d-r-e-d 64:14 & 109:2 227:24 & absentee 9:3,6,9,12 & 200:16 & additionally 35:12 \\
\hline A-p-p-l-i-n-g 30:5 & 255:23 256:8,9 & 10:8,9 19:20 & Acrobat 237:7,9 & 121:12 \\
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\hline for- 216:21 250:19 & 241:2,13 242:2,3 & 274:19 & 256:4 260:3 & 198:2 229:8 \\
\hline forbid 255:24 & 242:13 243:13 & fourth 9:5 258:10 & 268:11,17 269:12 & fundamentally \\
\hline 256:11 264:8 & 244:16,21 245:1,7 & 264:13,23 & 272:5,7 & 65:11,15 \\
\hline 268:14 269:2 & 245:13,15,16 & Fracassi 1:11 11:23 & freedoms 33:5 59:13 & funny 96:4 \\
\hline force 76:5 177:17 & 246:4 248:9,21 & 12:1 16:5,9,12 & 71:24 92:19,21 & further 25:1 66:23 \\
\hline forced 71:3 111:20 & 249:13,15,20 & 123:23,25 124:15 & fresh 76:20 152:5 & 81:4 86:25 129:25 \\
\hline forces 81:8 & 250:9 251:20 & 124:17 136:9 & friend 47:10 57:11 & 135:10 174:6 \\
\hline forecloses 134:17 & 254:6 & 144:23 145:3,6,9 & friends 249:23 & 176:12,14 179:21 \\
\hline foreign 101:8 & formal 135:9 & 145:13 205:6,17 & front 8:8 27:22 74:5 & 182:21 195:5 \\
\hline forever 108:14 & format 67:21 & 207:6 212:1 & 79:9 84:2 86:12 & 208:11 236:17 \\
\hline forget 56:13 90:21 & 199:20 238:6,15 & 224:22 248:6,14 & 129:8 134:2 & 252:5 253:22 \\
\hline 155:3 & formatted 233:20 & 253:17 267:22 & 144:18 160:23 & 254:14 270:25 \\
\hline forgive 6:18 37:15 & 238:9 & frame 7:13 & 178:13 198:4 & future 17:22,25 \\
\hline 37:18 83:20 & formatting 63:17 & frankly 127:23 & 225:25 236:18 & 53:12 56:10 58:5 \\
\hline forgives 37:17 & 72:22,25 236:7 & fraud 9:13 18:18 & 242:23 243:7,8,12 & 63:15 87:8 112:14 \\
\hline forgiving 53:7 & 238:16,18 239:18 & 27:5 & 248:2 254:7 260:7 & 128:2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline fuzzy 114:1,2 & gibberish 23:23 & 95:7,11,15,18,21 & 74:24 76:9,11,14 & 243:4 244:6,8 \\
\hline & girl 107:4 & 96:4,16 97:2 & 76:17,21 77:23 & 245:11 253:13 \\
\hline G & girls 106:16 107:4 & 98:17 102:16 & 79:21 82:15 83:16 & 257:21,22 260:11 \\
\hline G-a-l-l-a-n-t 16:23 & give 10:19 12:4,5,11 & 107:2,3 108:4 & 84:15,16 88:3,4 & 262:16 263:13,13 \\
\hline G-a-r-y 19:16 44:18 & 17:21 20:7 25:21 & 109:9,20 110:24 & 89:3 91:5 92:5 & 263:14 266:11,14 \\
\hline G-a-v-i-g-l-i-o & 30:3 35:24 38:5 & 116:22 118:15 & 93:3 94:22,25 & 267:25 268:8,24 \\
\hline 259:3 & 41:9,11 42:20 & 120:13 122:9 & 95:10 96:25 98:22 & 271:13 273:14 \\
\hline G-e-r-m-a-n 61:9 & 43:4 44:6 47:12 & 123:24 124:18,21 & 98:23 100:14 & \(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { g o o d }} 8: 17,1811: 10\) \\
\hline G-o-y-e-r-t 79:25 & 47:17 48:17 49:13 & 132:9,9 134:2,4 & 102:14 105:7 & 18:23 19:8,9 \\
\hline G-r-a-c-e 51:22 & 66:24 70:8 76:18 & 134:13 135:2,11 & 108:2,24,24 & 20:17,18 22:20,21 \\
\hline G-r-a-n-d-y 28:6 & 85:14,18 87:18 & 135:25 136:5 & 109:18 110:22 & 26:1 28:1,2,4,5 \\
\hline G-r-e-g-o-r-y 79:24 & 88:7 90:23 109:7 & 144:13 153:24 & 112:25 115:20,22 & 32:9 33:11,12 \\
\hline G-u-r-l-e-y 97:4 & 117:23 126:4 & 154:1 155:25 & God's 13:7 68:7 & 35:24 36:1,3 40:2 \\
\hline gained 120:10,12 & 153:23 157:13,17 & 158:16 160:22,22 & 76:19 104:1 & 45:9,11 47:20 \\
\hline Gallant 2:8 16:7,15 & 173:8 185:22 & 161:7 164:7,8 & God-given 59:5 & 49:14,15 50:15,16 \\
\hline 16:16,18,19,22,22 & 205:11 208:24 & 167:3 170:4 & goes 10:3 25:3 39:15 & 52:11,12 53:15,16 \\
\hline 16:24 17:2,3 & 211:5 227:6 & 172:17,18 178:2,5 & 39:15,23 84:22 & 57:7 58:19,20 \\
\hline 18:21 & 254:10 259:18 & 178:5,8,19 182:10 & 134:23 161:13 & 60:3 61:3 62:17 \\
\hline Gary 2:9,23 19:6,6 & given 13:3 19:22 & 187:7,20 188:14 & 172:3,20 203:10 & 63:1 64:16 66:1 \\
\hline 19:7,8,12,15,16 & 43:21 92:5 129:24 & 189:10,11,18 & 211:1 & 67:6,7 69:24,25 \\
\hline 19:16 20:15 41:10 & 133:7 150:10 & 190:5 194:8,9,10 & going 6:13,14,17,17 & 70:18 73:7,9 75:5 \\
\hline 44:8,10,13,15,18 & 169:10 181:1 & 195:20 199:7,18 & 6:20 10:23 11:16 & 77:16,18 79:18,19 \\
\hline 44:18,21,22 & 182:4 187:14 & 202:10 203:19 & 12:3 17:18 20:14 & 80:2 82:12,13 \\
\hline gathered 223:4 & 219:11 234:4 & 206:13 207:11 & 24:20,22,25 25:17 & 83:11,12,23 84:5 \\
\hline gatherers 39:11 & 258:1 & 211:10,19,20 & 34:1,7,13 47:12 & 84:12,13 88:23 \\
\hline gathering 103:3 & gives 139:7 150:12 & 221:19 222:19 & 47:13,14 56:10 & 89:1 94:18,20 \\
\hline gavel 6:14 & 155:20 203:12,25 & 223:9 233:4 & 58:18 69:2,15 & 98:12 100:5 105:2 \\
\hline gender 117:11 & giving 14:13 54:17 & 234:18 250:1 & 82:4 88:21,25 & 105:3 107:25 \\
\hline genders 117:11 & 76:11,14 90:1 & 252:25 253:11 & 90:16 91:15 95:24 & 109:13 112:23 \\
\hline general 1:14 46:25 & 137:3 155:20 & 255:14 257:1 & 98:5,6 104:5 & 113:20 114:16,17 \\
\hline 75:21 144:20 & 188:24 260:21 & 258:25 263:5,22 & 105:24,24 106:25 & 114:17 121:3 \\
\hline 147:20 148:1,16 & glad 44:22 194:21 & 269:17 270:24 & 107:1,2,3,5,24 & 127:17 141:20,21 \\
\hline 156:16 161:18 & glaring 84:22 & 273:2 & 108:14 113:21 & 154:3,7,8 158:12 \\
\hline 186:18 208:8 & glibly 53:7 & goal 37:7 137:5 & 116:13 118:10,15 & 177:7 183:5,20 \\
\hline 253:21 259:9 & glimpse 80:21 & 217:2 & 118:19 119:19 & 185:12 194:1,2 \\
\hline 263:5 274:8 & gloss 48:13 & God 8:22,24 12:15 & 122:20,24 127:11 & 201:16,17 212:22 \\
\hline generally 178:25,25 & glossing 165:13 & 13:9,17 14:21 & 130:1 132:21 & 212:23 227:14 \\
\hline generation 101:22 & go 8:8,25 11:21 12:4 & 17:1 19:11 20:7 & 135:13 136:13,15 & 228:1 240:14 \\
\hline generations 47:2 & 20:16 21:2 23:12 & 20:10,11,11,21,22 & 136:23 139:6 & 257:5 259:22 \\
\hline genetic 17:21 & 24:13 27:22 28:21 & 23:6 25:4,6 26:11 & 140:17 142:1 & 260:14 \\
\hline gentlemen 118:6 & 29:22 32:14 36:12 & 26:12 28:9 29:25 & 144:15 145:21 & goodness 114:18 \\
\hline gently 76:22 & 37:22 39:16 40:10 & 31:1 32:11 33:14 & 147:1 153:10 & 178:2 \\
\hline genuinely 241:4 & 43:13 44:12,17,20 & 34:19 36:6,7 & 157:8,11,17 & gotten 115:9 \\
\hline German 3:12 60:7 & 49:21 50:24 53:20 & 37:15,17 38:23 & 165:12,18 167:4,6 & govern 244:19 \\
\hline 61:2,2,3,6,8,8,11 & 54:21 55:25 56:5 & 40:4 43:1 44:14 & 171:10,15,15 & governing 52:24 \\
\hline 61:12 & 56:15 57:5 58:22 & 45:14 49:18 50:18 & 179:3,7 181:16 & 53:3 80:5 84:6 \\
\hline getting 18:14 25:10 & 59:17,25 60:13 & 51:18 52:14 53:18 & 184:6 187:7,9 & government 71:18 \\
\hline 34:5 36:16 40:16 & 61:7 65:18 66:12 & 53:19 54:23 55:19 & 195:10,22 196:11 & 113:20,24 230:14 \\
\hline 74:22 93:23,23 & 67:15,25 68:24 & 56:25 58:14 60:8 & 197:25 199:5 & 233:21 239:20,22 \\
\hline 134:7 150:23 & 69:870:13 71:1 & 61:5 62:22 64:10 & 207:10 212:22 & 273:7 \\
\hline 157:15 162:11 & 72:14 75:1 77:25 & 66:6 67:9 68:10 & 219:11 220:22 & governmental 81:23 \\
\hline 164:9 172:9 182:8 & 82:8,8,8,9 84:1 & 68:10,10,11,17 & 226:9 230:8 238:7 & governor 15:17 53:1 \\
\hline 188:10 199:8 & 88:5 89:6,7 91:7 & 70:11 72:9 73:11 & 240:1,9,10,16 & governs 53:5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Goyert 3:23 77:15 & 81:9 82:5 149:25 & Hammerstein & harvesting 32:20 & 19:11 20:21,22 \\
\hline 79:17,19,22,24,24 & 150:7 154:3 & 235:17 & 141:22 & 23:6 24:20 26:11 \\
\hline 80:1,2 & 162:22 165:12 & hand 65:24 91:24 & hashing 262:18 & 26:12 28:8 29:25 \\
\hline Grace 3:4 50:14 & 179:19 184:18 & 120:11,13 194:7 & Haste 87:15 & 30:25 32:3,11 \\
\hline 51:14,16,17,19,21 & 262:9 266:6 & 228:10 240:19 & hastily 86:13 & 33:14 34:18 36:5 \\
\hline 51:21,23,24 52:10 & guidance 136:8 & handed 253:15 & hate 107:12 & 36:7 38:23 40:4 \\
\hline gracious 27:24 & 220:3 229:2 230:3 & handled 130:16 & head 97:18 145:9 & 43:1 44:14 45:14 \\
\hline grade 108:20 & guide \(84: 8\) & 244:7 253:6 & 271:2 & 49:18 50:18 51:18 \\
\hline grammar 55:7 & guidelines 59:22 & handling 118:18 & heading 260:1 & 52:14 53:18,19 \\
\hline 97:25 & guise 170:22 & hands 96:17 117:7 & heads 12:5 & 54:23 55:19 56:25 \\
\hline grammatical 86:15 & gullible 112:8 & 117:13,15 235:8 & health 14:3 54:2,3 & 58:14 60:8 61:5 \\
\hline 242:18 & gun 94:13 & handwriting 39:5 & 67:19 70:21 74:9 & 62:22 64:10 66:5 \\
\hline grammatically & Gurewitz 1:9 & 64:7 & 80:5,6,8 81:8,14 & 67:9 68:17 70:11 \\
\hline 75:23 & 136:16 148:21,23 & hang 19:5 & 82:22,25 85:7 & 72:8 73:11 74:24 \\
\hline grandbabies 31:23 & 150:13 152:3 & hangers 96:14 & 86:1,5,23 87:1 & 77:22 79:21 82:15 \\
\hline grandchildren 33:3 & 153:18 159:8,12 & happen 11:5 12:6 & 92:11 102:2 105:6 & 83:16 84:15,16 \\
\hline granddaughter & 167:21 170:6 & 17:25 99:16 & 105:11 116:11 & 88:3 89:3 91:5 \\
\hline 106:19 107:8 & 172:13 176:14 & 111:25 124:20 & 256:11 265:6,11 & 93:3 94:22 96:25 \\
\hline grandfather 30:20 & 177:23 179:21 & 178:3 196:15 & 265:16,18 266:9 & 98:21,23 100:14 \\
\hline grandmother 33:3 & 182:19 183:13,18 & happened 15:9 & 267:17 269:2 & 102:14 105:7 \\
\hline Grandpa 31:25 & 184:23 194:17 & 144:5 199:22 & 270:14 & 108:2,25 109:18 \\
\hline Grandy 2:13 25:22 & 201:21 203:16 & 225:4 233:5,8 & healthy 92:20 & 110:22 112:25 \\
\hline 28:2,5,10,13,14 & 204:12 206:24 & 237:3 242:17 & hear 10:2 16:15,18 & 115:19 118:1,2 \\
\hline grant 139:14 149:11 & 236:20 241:16 & 258:4 & 16:19 68:15 70:6 & 126:19 197:10 \\
\hline granting 148:14 & 245:12 251:24 & happening 26:20 & 76:23 89:12 96:5 & 247:25 \\
\hline 149:9 & 252:9 254:1 263:3 & 103:20 164:25 & 99:8 105:19 116:4 & helped 39:12 118:10 \\
\hline grants 158:2 & 266:17,20,24 & 270:12 & 116:5 130:16 & helpful 196:1,16 \\
\hline gravest 54:3 & 267:3,18 270:8 & happens 24:17 & 132:21 140:18 & 201:6 212:16 \\
\hline gravity 57:25 & 271:13,18 273:16 & 43:12,22 44:4 & 162:23 163:7 & 234:13 248:18 \\
\hline great 72:1 99:9 & 274:2,12 & 112:1 160:22,24 & 204:16 221:2 & 258:18 262:7 \\
\hline 114:14,16,18 & Gurley 4:8 94:18 & 160:24 185:24 & 230:24 252:21 & helping 256:13 \\
\hline 161:19 204:4 & 97:1,3,3,5,6 & 244:16 253:9 & heard 27:25 90:12 & 269:4 \\
\hline 227:18 235:6 & guy 101:9 & happily \(259: 18\) & 99:3 101:3 104:23 & hey 136:21 152:22 \\
\hline 259:19 & guys 37:10 38:9 & happiness 59:6 & 114:23 125:20 & 224:3 \\
\hline great-granddaug... & 43:11 84:6 103:6 & 113:21 & 141:18 156:11,21 & Hi 33:10 87:20,21 \\
\hline 107:9 & 111:4 129:10 & happy 114:4 128:23 & 178:15 196:20 & 109:15 \\
\hline greatly 30:17 & 136:23 151:24 & 158:18 198:5 & 210:13 221:8,8 & hide 183:14 \\
\hline green 15:6 29:22 & 153:10 183:5 & 206:11 221:16 & 228:9 230:12 & high 58:16,18 81:17 \\
\hline Gregory 3:23 77:14 & 203:13 & 230:7 232:8 262:4 & hearing 50:6 111:10 & 107:3,4 131:9,23 \\
\hline 79:17,17,19,22,24 & Gynecologists 83:3 & 262:5 & 152:6,7 166:3 & 158:12,14 159:22 \\
\hline 79:24 80:1,2 & gynecology 70:19 & harassing 191:6 & 183:11 & 166:13 190:22 \\
\hline Grill 1:14 145:14,19 & & harassment 35:8 & Heather 1:13 3:14 & 194:4 207:17 \\
\hline 147:22 148:25 & H & hard 34:25 51:6 & 62:15 64:5,8,11 & 218:8 255:13 \\
\hline 149:6 150:7 151:1 & H- 64:13 & 63:10 98:5 102:24 & 64:13,13,15,16 & highest 63:22 72:22 \\
\hline 161:18 168:14 & H-i-m-a 100:16 & 108:15 170:14 & heavy 31:22 80:24 & highlighted 35:6 \\
\hline 174:8 & H-o-l-l-y 28:6 & 202:11,12,17 & heck 179:8 249:24 & 222:17 \\
\hline Griner 15:25 & H-o-r-n-b-e-c-k & 213:4 215:20 & held 27:24 72:22 & highly 227:23 \\
\hline grocery 83:25 & 34:16 & 228:1 234:15 & 119:8 138:6 & Hill 112:17 \\
\hline grounds 54:2 & H-u-t-c-h-i-n-s-0-n & 246:19 262:24 & 151:11,16 156:8 & Hima 4:10 98:6 \\
\hline 132:18 203:15 & 15:1 & hardware 205:19 & Hello 55:4 59:2 & 100:1,2,3,4,6,6,7,8 \\
\hline group 257:13 & half 154:16 156:1 & harm 114:8 & 62:16 72:6,7 & 100:12,15,18,19 \\
\hline groups 271:21 & 250:17 & harmoniously & help 8:22,23 12:15 & 100:19 102:7 \\
\hline guess 6:12 8:2 47:11 & halt 58:9 & 175:13 & 13:17 14:21 17:1 & hissing 7:22 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline history 13:8,8 72:20 & Houskamp 1:9 & 141:20,22 177:7,7 & 169:25 171:4 & 125:12 137:25 \\
\hline 91:15 233:2 & 41:20 119:9 130:4 & 202:19 262:11 & 181:11 183:8,22 & 138:18 197:25 \\
\hline hit 122:23 258:21 & 136:5 151:23 & ideas 255:17 & 187:13 196:16 & 198:1 211:4 216:3 \\
\hline 258:22 & 152:4,6,14,16,21 & identical 232:17 & 197:17 198:25 & 239:12 255:22 \\
\hline hold 77:13 126:18 & 152:25 153:7,10 & identification & 230:13,15 232:21 & 256:5 268:12,18 \\
\hline 185:19 & 153:13 154:8,24 & 190:13 & 234:15 260:8 & inclusion 51:12 \\
\hline holding 94:11 117:7 & 159:12 160:8,11 & identified 21:5 & importantly 229:19 & 86:10 \\
\hline 117:12 149:3 & 162:11,14,23 & 84:20 & impose 228:25 & incompatible 71:14 \\
\hline 151:11 & 163:5 164:6,15 & identify 18:11 & imposes 215:16 & incompetence 139:9 \\
\hline holiday 15:9 & 165:20,23 166:15 & 127:24 209:15 & 234:5 250:8 & incompetent 63:25 \\
\hline Holly 2:13 25:22,22 & 172:11 173:2 & 218:18 & impossible 31:21 & 139:13 158:3 \\
\hline 28:2,5,6,7,10,13 & 176:1,3,6,9 178:3 & identifying 129:3 & impression 37:15 & incomplete 219:17 \\
\hline 28:14 29:20 & 178:11,23 179:9 & 133:18 & impressive 100:11 & incomprehensible \\
\hline home 106:16 & 182:22 183:16 & identity 191:9 & improper 122:25 & 55:6 61:25 \\
\hline honest 94:23 143:21 & 184:17,25 185:2,4 & ignorance 26:22 & 123:1 & inconsistencies \\
\hline 145:10 173:22 & 186:19 198:7,17 & ignore 163:7,21 & improve 86:5 195:1 & 103:22 \\
\hline honestly 202:20 & 198:21 199:4,17 & 229:13 & 197:10 & incorporates 273:22 \\
\hline honor 53:9 & 204:8,10 206:25 & ignored 237:15 & improvements & incorrect 75:23 \\
\hline honorable 15:4 82:1 & 208:9 221:20,23 & ignores 84:21 158:7 & 39:19 & 88:20 188:4 \\
\hline 93:10 & 222:3,7,11,14,25 & 250:20 & impugned 162:21 & 216:20 244:13 \\
\hline honored 91:19 & 223:3,9,13 235:2 & ignoring 163:11 & in-person 190:11 & 249:11 \\
\hline honoring 90:20 & 235:16 236:1,4,9 & II 2:21 38:16 40:5,8 & 191:15 & increased 80:16,17 \\
\hline hook 146:14 & 236:12,23 237:1,5 & 40:9,11,12 41:6 & inaccurate 19:24 & 120:22 132:10 \\
\hline Hoover 16:1 & 237:9 247:6,10,11 & illegal 11:3 43:15 & 231:17 232:10,13 & incredible 235:4 \\
\hline hop 104:11 & 252:12,16,22 & illegible 52:4 73:1 & inaction 22:7 & incredibly 7:11 \\
\hline hope 20:9 31:25 & 253:13,14,18 & 220:6 & inaudible 11:6 15:5 & 63:16 \\
\hline 32:2 33:23 42:18 & 264:19 271:10,11 & Illustrations 176:11 & 17:18 72:2 87:8 & indent 238:12 \\
\hline 62:6 89:1 110:11 & 271:23 272:1 & image 237:10 & 100:23 114:16 & indented 238:14 \\
\hline 128:1 181:11 & 274:15 & imagine 31:8 75:13 & 127:8 229:15 & independent 21:21 \\
\hline 240:5 269:21 & Housman 185:14 & immediately 101:11 & incarcerated 48:5 & indicate 98:7 221:3 \\
\hline hopeful 241:4 & How's 23:3 & immorality 86:4 & 48:22 139:13 & 231:10 238:9 \\
\hline hopefully 26:21 & huge \(37: 11,12\) & immunity \(22: 13\) & 140:7,13,17,21 & indicated 131:18 \\
\hline 41:21 175:23 & hum- 77:5 & impact 72:20 80:4 & 141:21 & 228:16,24 231:1 \\
\hline 188:6 263:21 & human 17:20 24:14 & 192:23 & incest 93:19 & 232:4 259:10 \\
\hline 268:6 & 24:19 53:8 86:1 & impartial 134:14 & inches 200:14 & 265:17 \\
\hline hopelessly 22:15,17 & 86:25 120:18 & 192:6 & inclined 195:15 & indicates 216:4,13 \\
\hline hoping 155:3 & hundred 90:8 & impeccable 83:22 & 260:5 & 218:10 228:16 \\
\hline Hornbeck 2:18 33:8 & hundreds 74:12 & impediment 99:13 & include 53:13 63:24 & 233:18 234:8 \\
\hline 33:9 34:15,15,16 & 82:1 93:22 153:2 & 99:17 & 64:18 92:14 133:7 & 261:1 \\
\hline 34:17,20,22,23 & 153:3 & imperative 30:15 & 144:19 162:6 & indicating 26:14 \\
\hline horrible 65:23 & hurry 222:1 & implement 185:22 & 177:9 197:16 & 42:2 43:12 60:14 \\
\hline 106:11 & husband 30:20 & 261:24 & included 14:8 46:6 & 193:23 236:7 \\
\hline horribly 116:21 & 89:18 107:7 & implemented 103:6 & 84:25 125:13 & 238:1 273:16 \\
\hline hospital 116:22 & Hutchinson 2:7 & implementing & 130:21,25 196:5 & individual 9:22 \\
\hline hot 7:8,8 257:12 & 14:16,18,22,25,25 & 233:23 & 197:3,13,17 & 17:18,19 24:2 \\
\hline hour 250:7 257:24 & 15:3,4,6 & implications 184:15 & 230:18 & 40:14 72:21 92:14 \\
\hline 257:25 259:21 & hyphen 259:3 & implore 57:25 & includes 17:11 & 115:5 119:18 \\
\hline hourly 119:3 178:21 & hypocrites 76:7 & importance 185:8 & 92:13 135:6 & 123:18,18 132:17 \\
\hline hours 30:13 257:5 & & important 35:3,15 & 161:14 196:4 & 210:1,9 212:6 \\
\hline house 119:23 & I & 42:6 52:25 56:8 & 197:11 228:3,14 & 255:21 256:4,13 \\
\hline 122:13 202:24 & ID 19:18 43:14 & 64:25 75:13 76:2 & 231:21 & 256:13 265:18 \\
\hline housekeeping 6:13 & 191:9 & 76:6 90:19 135:14 & including 15:8 71:5 & 268:11,17 269:4,5 \\
\hline houses 36:25 125:23 & idea 10:22 87:12 & 137:21 168:5 & 74:10 83:1 84:25 & 272:19 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline individuals 48:5 & instance 119:25 & interrupt 11:24 & 154:19 162:18 & J-a-n 67:13 \\
\hline 125:20 135:8 & 136:24 204:8 & 16:5 98:15 107:13 & 167:8 168:2,10,11 & J-a-s-i-n-s-k-i 49:23 \\
\hline 158:3 224:25 & 209:21 263:17 & intimidation 35:8 & 169:9,15,15,21,22 & J-e-a-n-e-t-t-e 13:22 \\
\hline 240:6 255:24 & instances 60:21 & introduced 107:10 & 170:12 171:6 & J-e-r-r-o-n 93:7 \\
\hline 268:14 & 121:16 123:2 & 107:16 & 178:14 180:23 & J-e-s-s-i-c-a 70:16 \\
\hline infertility \(256: 8\) & 160:3 & introductory 158:8 & 181:3,5,7,8,11,12 & J-i-m-m-y 8:18 \\
\hline 268:21 & institution 139:10 & invalid 91:25 131:1 & 181:14 184:5,12 & J-o-h-n-s-o-n 21:1 \\
\hline influence 83:7 & instruct 65:18 & 139:22 209:11,17 & 184:13 185:15 & 31:6 \\
\hline information 13:3 & instructed 192:25 & invalidate 52:6 & 187:2,16 192:15 & J-o-n-a-t-h-a-n \\
\hline 110:5 188:25 & instructionist & 90:17 165:3 & 193:7,19 199:10 & 137:9 \\
\hline 230:11 & 259:24 & 220:15 256:15 & 204:6 225:21 & J-u-d-i 82:18 \\
\hline informative 261:10 & instructs 79:2 & 257:15,23 261:13 & 234:19 236:7 & Jackson 141:22 \\
\hline informed 70:2 & 193:16,18 & 261:22 264:14,19 & 237:24,25 240:18 & jail 71:7 139:10 \\
\hline 137:21 141:23 & insufficiencies 21:6 & 264:19,20,21 & 241:2 242:13,14 & Jajuga 4:17 115:7,7 \\
\hline 197:21 230:20 & insufficient 22:7 & 269:6 & 242:18 244:9,11 & 115:10,12,14,16 \\
\hline informing 93:21 & 51:10 137:17 & invalidated 61:23 & 244:13,25 246:1 & 115:17,21,24 \\
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