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Notes by Edward Sapir on Ms. of "Stem Series in Maya and Certain Maya Hieroglyphs."

Page 1: This looks like a very suggestive paper and seems, so far as $I$, not a Maya scholar, can see, to open up tangible leads for Maya glyph decipherment. I am glad Tozzer offers to publish it in the Cambridge Peabody Museum series, a good place.

I think you ought by all means to get in closer touch with Andrade and Morley and their work.

Page 1: Re ters, tres: These would not be considered different roots but simply 2 different ablaut forms of one root: ter- + "determinative" -s-. "Base" *teres- $>$ *térs- or *trés-.

Page 2: Even squash and swash really rhyme historically. The vowel was [darkened (?)] because of $-\underline{w}^{-}$element preceding; cf. wash : ash, [ ? ] with old a (A.S. es) vowel.

Does "fluttering or quavering" of the vowel mean broken vowel, 1.e. vowel cut off by' + echo vovel: e.g., $1 e^{\prime} e_{m}$ ?

Page 3: Some of these bi- examples seem farfetched. I think you'd make a better impression if you left out "how" and inter. particle.

Page 5: I am sorry, but I cannot honestly say I feel the cohesiveness of the sa- set as clearly as you do. "Sand," "white," "weave cloth,"
"much" and "dislocate," for instance, on the basis of a fundamental
"dispersal" seems to me a purely subjective construction.
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SIM SERIES II MAT AID GERTAIE MAYA HIEROGLYPHS

Benjamin L. Whore

(Paper read in outline at the meeting of the Ifnguistic Society of America at Cleveland, Ohio, December 30, 1929. Discussion of the parallelism between phonetioisa and ideology apparent in large numbers of lays stent and of clues to phonetic signs in the Maya hieroglyphs obtainable therefrom.)

## I Introduction

It is a truism that various linguistic phenomena playing so small a part in Indo-Furopean that the If scholar is not forced to give them study may jet be of great importance in another linguistic stock. Thus the known body of IS roots contains various series of roots having a common phonetic element and a common similarity of meaning* (Footnote: Millet, Les Langues Indo-Turopéennes p. 144 ot seq., erg. roots ter, tern, tres, tres, trek, tron, tromp, all "tremble".) yet such root-series are not so common and typical as to make study of their structure a necessary part of complete IF method. Similarly various IE languages, notably Moglish, have series of words, unmanly verbs, that present the mane peculiarity, yet are in much a minority compared with the words not of such type that even very full accounts of the language may fail to mention them.

For instance the series glow, plarengint, glances.
 glisten, gloss, glaze, glass, flare 2 (agape ios") plano 2 ("fiance off"), glide, glib, is characterized by the common phonetic element gland an ides of brightness; later, reflectiveness, with the associated ideas of polish, smoothness, and of slipping. Then there is the series in -ash with the idea of violence and bursting: flash, dash, bash (one's head against), plash, plash
 the phore, squarh, swach.

In If such phenomena may not be of fundamental importence, but in the Maya language of Yucatan we have the different situation that such words are in a majority instoad of a amall minority. The greater part of the simple stems or "roots" belong to such series, so that the whole vocabulary is pertaded with relations between phonetic form and ideational oontent eo extensive that they have to be reckoned with.

## II Grammatical Sotting

Maya belongs to the Maya-quiche stock, a olosely-bait stock whose tongues all show near resemblance. Maya ( and what is here said of it applies in a ceneral way to the other tongues) is a lancuace based on a stook of monosyllabic stems or roots. These stems are subject to reduplication of opening ayllable (lem to lelen), to a reduplioation, fluttering, or quavering of the vowel (len to leen, al to aal), in quiche to reduplioation of olosing syllable (ker to kerer), to attachment of a few prefixes, and to attachment of a large number of suffixes mostly of derivational and conjugational use. Most auffixes have invariable vowels, yet one of the most common is -1 connected by the vowel of the stem (nak - nakal, lub - lubul, am - omel), while certain derivational suffixes $-a,-a,-t,-m,-b$, now show such a vowol and now drop it (rasag, wasg; waves, wais; ogot, ogt; awat, ant; 010ㄹ, ol포 ; agb, aqb).

At present there is no published list of the simple stems. The "Dicoionario de la lengua Maya" by Juan Pio Porez (1866-77), the largest dictionary at present in print, gives in alphabetic order tonse and voice forms guch as galal, galah, qainah, galtah, gaital, galsah, galbesah, galtinhal, eto. These are gramar forme of the
secondary added gallaa galan, galan is readily i meaning of t a diotionary
are found to all the simp. find that th work".

BI-SRRIES: glottalized bix be yield: ziczac, wave bitg' thrott: drag, trail, bil wear out semantemes bi gurmised but to be spun" to indicate 1 So also the 1 could be fron insects, and the series.
be in with od
seoondary steme gal, galt, gals, galbes, galtiah, to which may be added gallach, gellaant, galkun, galkna, acifunt, galbe, gelbil, galan, qalankil, from whioh the primary or aimple stem gal, "olose", is readily isolated, for muoh suffixes have little effect on the meaning of the stem. I hare been for some time engaged in building up a diotionary of auch aimple monosyllabic atema.

## III The Stem-Sories Situstion

The nature of the groupe into which these ainigie atems are found to fall oan best be shown by some examples. If we colleot all the simple steme in the Perez diotionary becinning with bi- we find that the great majority of them exemplify the idea "move, agitate, work".
 ziczac, wave, brandish, bis piok up, carry, bis trace, trail, bitg' throttle, strancle, work into shape, mould, bil slue around, drag, trail, turn, bil hem(along edge), bind over edge, bil trail, traok, bil wear out, age. After this list we oone to a fow monosyliabic semantemen back of which a verbal meaning akin to the list may be surmised but is not positively known; e.g. bi "bunoh of ootton about to be spun" and bil "bone ashes for roughening apinners' hands" seom to indicate a meaning "spin" and if so oould be inoluded in the aeries. So also the stems bik, bik, both "how", and bi- interrogative partiole, oould be from "going, way". This leaves only bis perforate, as by insects, and bil "hairless doc" as aimple bi-words less olearly in the series.

The series te- is imbued with a sense of "join, merge, be in with or amid, be oonnected, oontinuous", as follows:

TA-SERIFS: tan bring, come, tah to, unto, whither, tah swin, float; stew, boil, tan hook; partiole in oomp. denotinc force, tap adorn, tan' knook tocether, teb unite, tie, bind; delude, tab roots, raym, relationship; put out roets, tan while, meanwhile, tam sink, sound, $s 0$ or be deep, deepen, tan midst, tiddle, breast, width, tan presenoe, tan present-time partiole, tan toward, tet fine, forming smooth mass, of powders, tak put down in, under, between; stake dow, wedce, mix, hide, guard, tak until, tag bring together, join, unite, adhere te, add to; touoh, inoite, provoke, tas stratify, rank, oxder; layers, oovers, rapks, tatg' straighton, righten, tali level, smooth, tel touoh, feel of, tal suooeed one another, tel oome; brinc.

Outside this series Perez records under the only ta olear out, evacuate, defeoate, exonerate, and tat father (but of. tab). Brinton mentions a tak "bond".

The series pi-1: filled with the idea of a sort of expansion: throw out, fling off, unloose, widen, spread over, wrap around. PI-SERIES: pip plumpess (of birds),pis be thick, thioken, pit slip off, strip, oleax, clean, slip, slide, loose, ligtten, pit blow (nose), pik push, throw down, hurl, totter, tumble, fall, pik to fan, winnow, pik- out new teeth, pik much, many, pik alcirt, pig throw, dash, break, soatter, shake, brandish; be wounded; fall far; fall back or retire to a distance, pig- partiole of violenoe and foroe, pits play ball, pitg' oard, oomb, pite pour or draw liquid fros narrow aperture; draw blood, pil to wind (around), pie oover orer, olose, stop, olothe, hide, pil- partiole of motion away, pil wind, wrap, reel, twist; $(>)$ thread, $(>)$ work olothing, quilt, pi- in pihe throw wide open, from he open.

Outside the series the pi-semantemes recorded by Perez are pib oven in eround, to use one, pik kind of insect, pik 8000,
pith rind of slightly, pil
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by Perez 3000 ,
pity kind of tree, pites' kind of bird, pitio bit of meat, pitan aweoten slichtly, pis- only, pis plebeian, pis numerical oounter.

For the above examples I have selected geries that are not very long, yet they are typical in that each substantially cover: the list of important semantemes having the characteristic phonetic element in question. The semantemes outside the series are both fewer and of lesser individual importance. They incline to be special terms of lindted uses, while those in the series incline to be verb stems active as semantic centers from which hosts of words derive. Hach series is not, like the magligh word-groups above oited, lost in the midst of a much larcer rocabulary of heteroceneous appearance. Hearly the whole Maya vocabulary, as regards its "rooten in the sense of its monomylabic semantic centers, would appear to be simply one such series after another.

Citation of a few other series is in order. The series盟-brings out all sorts of ideas of dispersal: be dispersed, disappear, spread, radiate, shine.

SA-SRiails: sah (< put away) fear; frichten, menace; hide a thing, sab- powder; soot, gap make tasteless, banish savor, gap' drain, subside, be apent, wasted, of liquids; evaporate, san expend, pay,
 gat' lose, spend, waste, use up, come to an ond, gatr-moh, sak-weave cloth; oloth, sak white, sag be freely active; nimble; be diligent; itch, sas be bright, be olear, gatg' extend, stretch, enlarce, salu twine, wind, coil, tangle, gai dislocate, detach, disconneot, sai peeling; ( $>$ )thin skin, saif add on at ond, fit, adjust, gal be light; lighten, gel 0001 or dry by ovaporation, air off, aix. As gan-words less clearly a part of this series Perez gives only sa meal griel (which might belong, as a diffogion in water),
sap a fathim (of."extend"), sac locust, sal skin eruptions; Tozzer Gives also gan too.

The ge-series is short, but distinctly marked by the meaning "turn, divert, change".

QR-SERIES: geb turn or co aside, slant, tip, lean, part(e.c. hair), derit. treachery, sin, evil; gep unequal; divide unequally, slicht, gen bend around, twist, curve, double up, geg hook, barb(of fish spear, etc.), gel ohange, exchange; disturb, oonfase, goty sworve, co aside, twist, crook, be orooked, gei reproach (of. geb). This makes seven ge-stems in the series; Perez gives three other ge-stens; gel roast, toast, (ge)-gen pis, gou akin, hide.

The series g : - is also short, but distinctiy means "radiate, slow, project".
QI-SERIES: gin slow, waim; sun, sunlight, day; dorivs, soreness, ache, intensity, git scatter, strew, sow, gig blood, bleed, gis spurt, gush, flow readily, gi首 prickilness, thoms, gitio glow, be warm or hot, feel invigorated, gil glow, heat, flush, sweat; be sore, hurt, erieved. There remains in Perez gis a kind of plum. In the series tean-, tgia-; "oome or put together, make contact, olose, erip, press", the presence or absence of the fortis or slottalization denoted ( 1 ) by Tozzer seome to make no difference except that the sense of force and pressure is more typical or the forms with it than of those without it. This series contains about nineteen stems, with only three or four tgan-or tgia-stens outside. In some other series the slottalization produces a complete ohange of moaning.

Certain series are not restricted to one vowel; for oxmple go-, gu- form one series strongly marked with the meaning "interior, inwardness, inward action or state", includinc approaohinc,
inserting, ov about twentythe series.
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inserting, outting, biting, enclosing, contracting, and containing about twenty-two stems against about ten, mostly apecial terms, outside the series.

䍜- is found in two series. The oharacteristic iden of the first is "alternate, vary, turn, ohance, be transitory, fucitive". WA-1-SERIES: Whether or not, ox, if, whether, perhaps, wa- any; whatever, wat apply a doublinc-up force, double withes, broak sticks by doubling, break long things, was turn, return, ohange, convert, wrap, wats' turn, turn a comer; double up, wal turn over and separate with fingers leaves of a book or of plants; sift through fingers, mix thinge not liquid; leaf; fan, wal-( -g deriv.) tuxn, reverse, retumn, restore, ohange, convert, wai enolosure, cell, bed; lie in ambush, wai aift through fingers, wai disappear or be transformed by macic; witoh, mai-(-s deriv.) disappear suddenly; things in dreams that disappear, mai-(-g deriv.) to dream.

The other series is wa-, we-, "projeot", inoluding we- "press, foroe".
WA-WE-SHRIES: wa stand, rise, be upright, establish, appoint, designate wah spout, jet, spurt, gush, wak burst forth or make do so, force out, wak partiole of foroe and haste, wag burst out, explode, so off, of firearms, wath' loose, unfasten, unfold, develop, weh soatter, strew, weh patterinc, as of flung grain, wet' block, impede, stop, prohibit, wek scatter, strew, sprinkle, wes soatter semi-fluids, spatter, splash, throw and squash, wets' work the face; grimace, wel bind tight till oord outs in, cut with cord, abrade with sawing motion, saw.

Outside the wa- and wa-we-series we have we tortillas, wak six, weyak eight, wai corrode, excoriate, like lye and oaustio plants wai here, there, wetio armadillo, wety scab, itch, wes, scab, itoh,
wei maidservant, won sleop (which resembles the meaning "drean" in the waseries).

IV Comparative Iin uistios of Sten Series in Mave and Ouiche.
On applying the methods of eomparative linguistics
wo generally find that a series with recognizably similar idea exists in the cognate tongues, even though comparison of individual words may find few apparent semantic similarities word for word. In Guiche the series we- "alternate, turn" is not fownd, although what is perhaps the roct of it appears in a group of three; wats be hidden as inaa thicket, wal a fan, to fan, flatter, and mar sleep, Gakohiqual war eohc, the respeotive oognates of laya wats turn, double, wal tumn leaven, fan, and wai-magic chance, drean (Maym $z(1)=$ Quiche E ). But the series wan, we, "project" is strongly represented in Quiche.* (Footnote: The quiche of Cuatemala and the Maya were in oultural contact, and as might be expected many cultural words are almost exactiy the same. Quiche has not such a full published lexical authority as Perez for Maya. For this paper I have used the old guiche-Spanish rocabulary publiahed by Brasseur de Bourbours.)
WA-WE-SHRIRS, QUICHE: Wh stand, ereot, we to hunger (projective; outreachinc kinaesthesia); meal, food, bread (which explains Maya we tortillas), wai meal, tortilla, wah overflow, run over; drool, wap open up a tronch or drain, wat feed ( $=$ wa), wan to strike, to nail, wak first grindinc of maize, way wander, stroll, way row boat, was drool, was orwnch, eat something that crackies (of. wets and weto ) weth face; front, forward; appearance, likeness; watoh; feign, pretend; dream, wate bear fruit, wal arise, stand, war watoh with someone (projective kinaesthesia) weh eat tortillas (of. wets, wetio and breakinc-up ideas wein Maya), wep urge, spur on, wop stiok, like wax (of. wek \& wal), wen watch, be like a sentinel, wek add, wek olean, wek plouch, wes be hoarse (of. next), wets orackle like toasted tortillas, weti orumble, mel unload, unbuxden. an in
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Lrool， ee，to nail， tt，淠量 drool， waty face； dreap， Irojective p－up idea：we－ ㅃ．1） h，wes be crumble，
 stome given abore，my rocabulary lista only wak turkey，Fer soak up； wae here，we yes，weik loincloth．It would seem that we eannot pursue the study of comparative linguistics very far in this family excopt in conjunction with the stem meries；that in many cases it is the constant element of the series rather that the individual sten that if the esmential cognate base shared by the compared tongues，and that while sometimes the same idea results from the same treatment of this element （K wal fan，Q wal fan），more often the same treatment produces divergent ideas（ $M$ waty＇loose，unfasten，$Q$ waty face，\＆in gen．exposure，both ＜project，throw forth），or again different treatment may derelop closely similar ideas（ $Q$ rel unload，unburden，$M$ wat总 unfasten，ete．）．

## $V$ Serios with Pinal Consomants

In Maye the final conmonant also often hat a dietinct influence on the meaning exemplified in certain extensive and deaidedy characterized series in which this final consonant is the phonetio congtant．For example：
－9＂movement，agitation，energy，Fivacity，slow＂． － $\boldsymbol{p}^{\prime}($ not $-\underline{p})$ Mreak，separate，ond＂．
－t㗐＇（not thy）＂presture，force，press down，defory＂．
It is particularly easy to cheok the characters of these three families of words from the Perez dictionary．It will be geen from the cenerality with which all the abore－described rolatione holl that the vocabulary mast be pervaded with oross－relations that connect the arrangement of the epeeoh－sounds in the stems with the nature of the ideas that the stems express．To a great extent ideology follows phoneticism，or vice versa；

## VI Analysis of Hieroclyphio Toxtg

Mayan hieroslyphic texts show suoh frequent repetition of the aame comparatively small number of elements as to susgest phoneticisn of some grade or other. At least we seem to be dealing with a hichly analytic form of writing. At the same time the closeness of the hieroglyphs to a piotorial form and a oertain similarity to the Azteo tlauiztli or insignia suggest that they are at least not far removed from the status of aigns with an ideologioal import. But if the language is of such nature that ideology and phonetios run more or less parallel, a system of writing that started out by being ideographio could quiokly and naturally achieve phonetioisa, and that without wholly losing its ideographic oharaoter. It this is the oharaoter of the writing, the reoognition in the texts of certain ofthe constant bases of stem series, suoh as gin-! tan-, gi-g that is their identilioation with oertain recurring sigen in the texts, may be feasible where reoognition of individual words or stems would not be. With a few suoh identifioations as starting points, decipherment of individual words might follow where such decipherments could not be made without some such starting point.

FHg. 1 shows a typical page from the tonalamatl section of the Dresden Codex, a Maya manuscript of probably not so great antiquity as to bar the supposition that its language is Maya little different from the earliest forms reoorded after the Conquest. Unlike the rest of the Codex, this seotion is practically without mathenatics. Various divisions of the tonalamatl*,(Footnote: Nahuatl "ohart of days", the Azteo term for the period, which has in modern times been rendered into Maya as tzolkin, though we do not mow what the Maya themselves oalled it) the ritual and augurial period of
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260 days, are stated by successions of bar-dot numerals, in connection with colums of day-signs at their left. Fach division has a pioture and a text, usually of four glyph-blocks. It is essentially a picture-book about the tonalamatl. In my drawing I have exaggerated the size of the text in proportion to the pictures. These pictures occur in sets in which a number of different gods are shown all performing the aame action, as here they are all holding out the radiating sign that is known to mean sun and day.

In order to designate any desired glyph-block in a text I shall number the glyph-blooks in eaoh horizontal line from left to right, and call the top line $A$, the one below it B. Ieft to right and from top down have lohg been known to be the direotions of raya writing. The order of reading the text has been determined to be Al, A2, B1, B2; A3, A4, B3, B4; etc. - all four glyph-blooks above a ploture are read before prooeeding to the blocks above the next pioture. It seems to me probable that the principle of the writing is that of a complicated syllabary with numerous polyphones and homophones (like the Sumerian syllabary in this respeot) and with certain approximations to alphabeticism. This view is also, I believe, the one held more or less tentatively by most scholars in the field, including Morley, Spinden, Teeple, and Blom.

It has long since been determined by Schellhas. that certain hieroglyphs ocour regularly with the piotures of oertain gods, and so may be considered to be their names in the text. Thus the slyphs Bl-B2 together denote the "Roman-mosed god" who appears in the pioture below them. Similaply B3-B4 denote the next deity and B6 the third one, though B5 on the other hand is not a part of his name but rather some comon linguistic element.
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How $I$ find that the paralleliga between the text and the piotures is not oonfined to the name-glyphs of the different gode, but that similarly there are oertain signs that oorrespond to oertain a.otions whioh the gods are shown performing and others that answer to certain objeots that they are shown handling. The parallel is oomplete enough for one to aay that the text of usually four glyph-blocks above eaoh picture is essentially a descriptive titie of the pioture.

I next find that linguistic analysis of the text may often be made to this extent: e.g. in the oase of Fig. 1; Al - Yerb, A2 - objeot, B1, B2 - subject; AB - verb, A4 - objeot, B3,B4 - gubjeot; A5 - Verb, A6 - object, B5 - irequenthartiole(?), B6 - gabject. The objeot glyphs $12,4,6$, are the same, and essentially the same as the objeot the three deities are holding, the sun sign. Assuming that eaoh title probably oontains a rerb, understending the term in its broadest sense as whatever names an action, there is only one sign left in each to be the verb, namely Al, 3,5 . These three glyphs are the same, and the action in the three piotures is the same. It is on the nature of this sign and related signs that I wish to foous attention. On the assumption that it answers to the oommon aot depioted of the three deities it might mean holding, holding out, or passing with the hand.

Aotually, oomparison of other texts shows that the essential part of this sign, that is the main ellipse above the snail-like foot or base, is usually assooiated with piotured actions of reaching out the hand or arm either with or without an objeot in the hand. Fig. 2 shows Dresden Codex 15B exoept that I have not drawn the oomplioated piotures of the gods, but only the aotion or
gesture depic A2 - unkonown
(the "Long-rio B3 - nnknown, B5 - subject,
the aign in $w$ shows us that depicting a $h$ gesture. In metamorphosed a head, with appearance of hand, as a ha the prominent peculiarly ty usually one 0 shows seven p this in Dresd actions congi some further
"hold out" or metamorphosed more nearly $t$ glyph-blook 1 only a compon of the stem a
gesture depicted of each. The textual analysis is Al - rerp, A2 - unknown constant element, probably part of verb, Bl - gubject (the "Long-Nosed God"), B2 - demonstrative(?); A3-Terb, 14- oonetant, B3 - unknown, B4 - gubjeot(the "Death God"); A5 - Verb, 16 - onongtant, B5 - gubjeot, B6 - object (held out in hand of subjeot).

The lower part of the verb giyph here will be noted as the sign in which we are interested. Comparison with other glyphs shows us that this sign is only a form of a very common Maya character depicting a human hand, usuaily in a sort of pinohing or graspinc gesture. In the present oase the hand is very conventionalized, and metamorphosed after a characteristic manner of Maya art style into a head, with the space under the grasping fingers assuming the appearance of a closed and lash-fringed eye. The position of the hand, as a hand, has become anatomically impossible, yet there remains the prominent and unmistakable thumb, and a sort of wrist-ornament peculiarly typical of this hand-sien, a circle with a contral dot and usually one or two marginal tabs. Codex Tro-Cortesianus Plate VIa't shows seven pictures and in the text seven verbs of the same form as this in Dresden 15B except that the thumb is indistinguishable; the actions consist of holaing out various objects. Later will appear some further texts showing this sign and its outreaching gesture.

Is the simple hand-sign itself then a mord meaning "hold out" or the like? Ho, I think not, for this sign in all its metamorphosed forms has too great a frequeney to be a word; it has more nearly the order of frequency of a letter than of a word. 1 glyph-blook is, I think, usually a word, but the hand-sign is usually only a component of the block. At this point I draw on the concept of the stem series for aid. The sign may be the oommon phonetic
element of a great many different words or stems forming a stem series, many of whose members have, because they are stem-series members, a similar meaning of reaching out or the like that accounts for the association of the sign with such an action. This viewpoint enables us to throw aside at this early stage of investigation the question of what words are represented in the language of the text, a question as yet insoluble in our ignorance of the text's dialect, and to concentrate simply on the question of what stem-elements may be represented, a question that comes much nearer to being answerable, for as we have seen the dialect might be anything between Maya and Quiche without much changing the general oharacter of certain stem-el ements.

## VII The Sories MA- in Mava and Guiche

There is one stem series, and only one, that corresponds closely both to the hand action in question and to various other uses of the hand hierogiyph. This series is gas, with the idea "pass", covering about all the meanings assumable by the notion of "passing" in Thaglish. Beoause of its importance to this study I shall give 211 its meanings in some detail and classified under the various subdivisions of the "pass" idea which they represent. Homonyms will be given distinguishing numbers.

M-SERIHS, MAYA:

1. Passing in general: 等l pass, passing, chiefly as (a) pass; (b) advance; improve; before; (c) go by, miss, err; (d) times, repetitions; man 1 pass, chiefly as (a) pass (the usual word for pass, in all senses; (b) cesse; (c) exceed.
2. Passing in time: - 3 tense suffix denoting remote past time; man 2 recur, contime (of days and time); mas 3 continue, not stop.
3. Pass, surpass, exceed: mak 2 superior; -a 2 suffix of stronc affirmation (exceedingly).
4. Passing as evanescent and negational; pass, cease, diminish, reduce:

祭 1 not, no; as verb, cease, also reduce, diminish; mbl 1 deny, revoke, annul; to slight; mater' (a) flatten, level; dent; (b) calm, quiet;
 mai l reduce to fineness, pulverize, aift, filter, refine; mas wear out, wear thin, especially ropes, by friction; orioket (from sound?). 5. Pass over, cover, extend: Eab 2 review, inspect, make visits to animal traps; mak 1 cover, close, stop, restrain, control; covering, lid, case, shell; pitiall; span of distance; mag 2 (orust) (a) scalpcrust; (b) cork; mag 3 tendrila.
6. Pass with hand, transfer, hand out, handle, make passes, hand-motions,
wield: man lend; rent; man 3 trade; buy; matcreceive a favor, a free gift; math l handle, hold in hand, wield, grasp, seize, touch; mag 4 handle, manipulate, adjust, arrange.

This finishes the man-stems in the Perez diotionary that olearly form a series; the remaining ones being gat 3 whoi man 3 whof mah 2 groin; mah 3 soreness; man relationship term; mau maguey; mats' 1 suok; mats' 2 bran; man 3 monkey; mati 2 a crow; mai 2 hoof. We have now reviewed all the ma-stems in Perez, a total of 44 of reasonsbly distinct meanings, of which 23 or $68 \%$, including all the common verbs, form the "pass" series, leaving ll or 32\%, mostly terms of limited use, outside the series.

It will be noted that group 6 of the series oonsists of stems with meanings of great similarity to the hand gestures depioted in the above-mentioned pages of the Dresden Codex. But at present it would be very premature to identify the verb of these texts with for
instance mate 1 , in spite of its striking likeness to the pictured action, or with any one of these modern raya stems. But if we find contributory eridence of a phonetic value antached to the hand-sige, we may then tentatively write the verb of these texts am man- (), meaning that it belongs to the maneries and either may or may not have another phonetic element attached. This postulates as to the meaning of the verb only that it is some nuance readily derivable from "pase"; it might not be any of the verb meanings in Perez; it might be "deal out", "hand over", "give", "extend", or it might be simply "pass".

Before looking at the contributory evidence for the value 를, let us compare the cognate tongue Quiche. The result of an examination of the old Quiche-Spanish vocabulary published by Brasseur is as follows:

Quiche contains a man-series hearing the general idea
"pass ofer, by, away; extend, reach, seize".
MA-SHRIRS, QUICHE:

1. pass over, extend, cover: mar lay or extend on ground, stretch out; be extended, be broad, flat; mal 1 anoint, mag adorn, deck (a room), (mak 1 ) $>$ maxan large leaf for wrapping salt.
2. err, trespass, transgress: mag to sin; sin, blame, guilt; mat 2 disobey.
3. pass away, cease, be not, suffer loss, deteriorate, pass in time, ace:

Ea 1 grow old, age, ma 2 loose, destroy, fray, unravel, forget, ㄹan 3 no, not, man gezeral negative prefix; mal 2 become invisible, vanish, mal 3 decayed, mouldy, man soften, mai period, cyole of time, especially of about 20 years (probably the Maya gatun). 4. make a pass to capture, strike at, reach, grab, seize, grip:
mah 1 grab, or grit teetz mata 1 (a) st "set on edge'
and outside coyol palm, comprises 19 question; did

Aztec languae essential und writing, that kinds convere are studying systems of wz used almost system where system with t piotorial, ye of them, and Etron marks 0 transliterati surprising, 1 mati (in May (also axm), b as the root 0
mah 1 grab, anatoh, selze, mah 2 gather cacao or maize, mat 1 olench or grit teeth; be desperate, despaix, nas crope, foel about, matio 1 (a) strike, (b) work on (raricus things), Yaty 2 have teeth "set on edge" (of. mat 1), mak piok, pluck.

The remaining quiche matens oited by this vooabulary and outside the series are: man uncle-nephow relationahip, map coyol palm, max 2 hard, harsh, rough, mañ 3, small monkey. The series comprises 19 meanings, the renainder 4. We mov now take up the question; did the hand-sign have a phonetic value, if so, whats

## VIII Phonetic Glues from Aztee

We must now turn our attention to the unrelated Aztec language, and it might be said in passing that it is the essential underlying unity of Middle American oulture, including writing, that allows us to make such a leap: Soldence of various kinds converges toward the view that the systen of writing which we are studying was the basis of all other Middle American pre-Conquest systems of writing. The Aztec systen, obviously mach cruder and used almost entirely for recording proper names, is the only such system where we have post-Conquest records of words written in the system with transliterations. These Aztec hieroclyphe, orude and piotorial, yet seem like an imitation of the May system, while some of them, and some of the Aztec tlauiztli or heraldic devicen, whow ctari mariss of kinship with particular Maya glyphs. In the Aztec transliterations a hand has the phonetic value 祭. This is not suxprising, for hand in Aztec ing, in stem-form man, in full-form maitl (in laya hand is geb). In Aztec ga does not only mean hand (also axm), but as verb catoh, grab (ni-k-man, I oatoh it), and as as the roct of this and a considerable number of other rerbe,

means exte systems of hl coincidences over bodily value and on] to make this language?
from three de Tlotzin, pos transliterat mani and man Aztec $\quad$ erbs pat out tort? above-mentioj (Ten Mani) ti a numeral ar second part individual mi for in Aztec
the usual Ma; for whioh is in which we begins with Aztec way of called deer But besides
means extend, reach. Here is a coinoidenoe between the two systems of hieroglyphs that gives food for thought. Were such coincidences points of contact whereby a sign oould easily be taken over bodily from one system to the other with the same phonetio value and oniy a slight shift in the previous linguiatio explamation to mare this explanation equally obvious to the nsers of the seoond language?

Figure three presents evidenoe bearing on this point from three documents; Codex Huexotzinoo, Mapa Gainatzin, and Mapa Tlotzin, post-Conquest records containing personsl-name hieroglyphs transliterated in Roman letters. Hore the hand has the values mani and man, and not simply man. The referenoe is partly to the Aztec "erbs mani extend, and mana, preterite man, lay; extend; pat out tortillas betweon hands; offer (slyph manauatain); from abovementioned root min but the glyph and name matleo mani (Ten Mani) tell of Maya origin too. Personal names beginning with a numeral are always names of days in the tonalamatl, and the second part of the name mast be one of the twenty day-sigens. The indifidual named 10 Mani had name borrowed from some Maye dialeot, for in Azteo there 18 no day-sign name resemblinc rani.

But there is in raya. The top drawing in Pig. 4 is the usual Maya hierogiyph of the seventh day-sige, the Tay name for which is manig (usually apelled Manik). It is the hand-sign in which we are interested. The Aztec name of this day-sign also begins with ma, being maga (-t1). This word means deer, and the Azteo way of writing the sign is a deor's head. The sign is also called deer in most other Middle American languages excèpt Maya, But besides meaning deer the stem mas is Tery mern similar to
a verb－stem mass－is lin out，hold ou with the wor
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a verb-stem maso- (of which there is a variant masef-, while a variant masa- is linguistically possible if not probable), which means stretch out, hold out, erpeoially the arm or hand, and also, usually combined with the word oruz, oruoify.* (Footnote: F.g. from Catecismo de la

Dootrina Cristiano, traducida al idioma Kejicano por Kiguel Mrinidad Palma, 1886, from the Credo: omo-tlaihiyohuilti itenoopa Poncio Pilato; oruztitech o-mamazoaltiloo, etc. From Breve Praction, y Regimen del Confessionario de Indios, Velasquez de Cardenas, 1761, omo-tlayohuilti itlatocsyopan Poncio Pilatos; oruztiteoh
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I have found an instance of a hand-figure similar to Manik used as the Hieroglyph of the month Mazatl in an Azteo day-sign insoription at Teportlan, Morelom, Mexico. \# (Footnote: Described in a paper; "A Contral Mexican Inscription Combining Mexican and Maya Day Signa", to appear in American Anthropologist.)
A body of evidence indicates that the peculiar wrist-ormament of Manik, the oircle with central dot and projeoting tabs, can have the phonetio value ga. Maya students are Pamiliar with its use as sign for the month Zac (gak) and as sign for the color white (gak). The Maya tagady glyph for the seventh day-aign may then be susceptible of a phonetic reading ma-ga. It may be noted that the stem mas in Quiche means grope or feel about. The origin and meaning of the name manig obtained from post-Conquest souroes are unknow. The closest resemblances to it in Perez are two stems derived from man, pass, by a suffixed -g: mang- pass quiokly, and manag ovanescont something, faint shadow, glimmer, ray, distant almost imperceptible coho: Also possible is a compound of the element ma- pass, handle, with the stem the nig shake, wave, brandish, whioh could give meaning not far from the Quiche mas; and a third possible souroe of the name will be mentioned later.

## IX Characters for $M$ and $M$ in Mava Writing

The right middle sign in FHg. 4 is given by Bishop Landa as equivalent to m , in the "alphabet" that resulted from his asking the Layas what characters they would use to represent the sounds of the Ruropean letters. I regard it as a corrupted reproduction of a cursive Manik. Landa pictures it turned on its side, which has caused some confusion. The essential earmarks, Fiz. the hole enclosed by pinching fingers and rewtiges of the wrist-ornament seem to be preserved in their proper relative positions. The difference from the classical Manik is still not so great as in the late form of Manik from the bock of Chilan Balam of Kaua, shown in FHg. 4, in which all that remains is the finger-space oonventionalized into a keyhcle form. In Landa's drawings of the month hieroglyphs he does not give for the month Gumhu the classical glyph, but instead the composite glyph-block shown at the bottom of Fig. 4, which is apparently a phonetic recording of the name Cumhu. The upper left component (glyph of month Cauac) is the one oited by Landa in his "alphabet" as having the value "ou" (phon. ku), and the right half of the blook is a form of the day-sign Ahau (from a stem hau or ho) which would seem to represent the syllable hu. This leaves the lower left component as the only one that could represent the element $m$. I would consider it a conventionalized Manik, with the wrist-ornament clear but the fingers reduced to two ourving bands approaching at the top. The block may be read kon-m-hu.

I find that there are two main hieroglyphic treatments of the hand, one being the outholding or passing gesture Manik, the other the outspread palms as in the Aztec glyph mana-ua-tzin (F1g. 3). No. 1 top row of Fig. 5 shows the common glyph of the month Mac (phon. max), the upper element of which appears to be based on a pair

of outstretched hands or paws. In this form the finger lines are merely a sketchy filling-in treatment and so may be of any number. No. 3 top row is a form from the codices with only one hand, in the outspread position and bearing a wrist ormament. Ho. 4 top row ie a sign recorded in Landa's "alphabet" as "ma", and as comparison of the codices shows us, is simply the upper part of lac. The lower part of Mac INO. 1 is the death's head of the day-sign Cimi (phon. kimi), which I have shown separately in No. 1 of the bottom row. It is this sign in cursive form (No. 2 bottom row) that Landa records for the sound $k$. No. 1 top row may then be read ma-k. The bottom of Mac Ho. 3 top row is a comb-like sign whioh Landa records (No. 3 bottom row) as oa (phon. las); so that this glyph may be read ma-ka.

Ho. 2 top row is a less common form of Mac. At the top is the ma component, but with both hands turned the same way, and at the bottom a form of the comb sign for ka or k. Between the two is what I take to be an ideographic determinative for mak. By refering to the ma-series above it will be seen that the stem mak means cover, close, restrain, control, and as a noun, covering, cover, lid. The midale component looks to me like a basket or pot with a lid haring its top orossed by a strap-like handle. If so, the glyph may be read ma MaK -kan.

Fig. 6 shows at the top Dresden Codex page l5c., one of some texts in which the two forms of ma-sign, Manik and Mac, are are united as though to confirm their common sound, much like the Hoining of the determinative MaK to ma in Fig. 5. The Mac is sometimes below and sometimes above the Manik, which is in head-variant form. The linguistic analysis is Al verb, AL object, B1, 2 subject; 43 rerb, 14 object, B3, 4 subject. The action shown
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DRESDEN CODEX PAGE: $15 C$

hAMAN ES

Fig. 6
in the pictures is "passing" the object, which is the "sun" sign.
The left lower part of Fig. 6 shows a verb and object from an extended passage of the Dresdon Codex, 13b. -14b. In each of six piotures the verb is the same, being the Mac-Manik compound show, with different objects and subjects. The six pictures all show the action of "passing" the objects.

The lower right shows a common name-glyph of a deity who frequently figures as subject, whick glyph is another kind of head-variant of Manik. The treatment of thumb, thumbnail, and fingers may be seon in the top part of the head, the demilune enclosed by the fingers is there, and the wrist ornament. The front of the glyph has been rendered into the face of the god in question, with the thick lips and blobby nose characteristic of his pictures. The Manik treatment will lead us to expect a god with "ma" in his name. As it happens, this god has long since been identified by Schellhas as the god of the Morth and the North Star, called by the Naya "North Star", "Xaman FAc" (phon. Kaman eq). The lowermost sign in Fig. 6 shows that the Maya used the face of this god saman ("north") to denote a sound like old Spanish $x$ (so Landa), that is g. The lips and nose on this sign are quite peculiar to him. His name-glyph is then a fusion of his own g-sign with ma, with the added feature that it is pictographically determinative of him, and SAMAN so may be read g-ma

Here we lose sight of the "passing" ideas of the ma-series that I take to have been the ideographic origin of the meg ma-sign, and the sign becomes a purely phonetic character. The same thing is still more strikingly shown in Fig. 7, reproducing Codex Tro-Cortesianus p. ll3d. This is one of two similar pictures, comparison of which
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187
shows the analysis of the text to be Al verb, A2 object, Bl, 2 subject. The action in the pictures is that of weaving on the primitive lap loom, as the Aztec Indian housewives to-day weave girdes. The verbs for both pictures are alike and their second components are nag. The first component is a sign for which I have a considerable body of evidence to show that it has a phonetic value "yan. Maya students will recall that it is the superitx of the glyphs of the months Yax (yaǧ) and Yaxkin (yaggin) in the codices. The verb then reads Ya-ma. Yama or yamah would be a preterite or possibly an aorist of the transitive conjugation of a verb with stem yam. The most common stem yam in Perez means between, interstice, and as verb interpose, insert, force between, get carght between. This is the action shown - the subject is inserting the shuttle and its thread into the warp or running it between the warp threads.

The first part of the object glyph is the sign related to the Manix wrist-ornament that would seem to have the phonetic value sa. It appears in the exact form it has as aign of the month Zac (sak), and so inight have here the value sak. The after part of the glyph is a form of the day-sign thau. My evidence is that Ahau is a polyphonic character and that one of its valuesiss that of 1. Maya students will recall its use as superif of the sign for east, li-gin. So it is possible to read this object-glyph as sak-l. But aakal is the Maya word for woven oloth ( $v$. series gas"disperse, spread, etc." supra). Hence I translate this text: "He did the inserting into the cloth, did $X$ (name of subject deity)." Turning back now to Fig. 2; glyph Bl, subject, is the name-glyph of the "Long-Nos6i God", one of the chief yaya codex gods, who is now generally regarded as the same as the late Maya god

Kukulcan (ph The glyph is chink under This T-like the second d nearly all t day-sign is wind, his he second day-s used to help but that in the unknown How we know Ah Macik (ph winds*. (Foo Hieroglyphs, wind, from served would Middle Ameri he served.
called quetz were guequet have been th wind", or ai Kukulean (as take it that "Kukulcan" t this god. I

Kukuloan (phon. ququikan), who is the same as the Aztec quetzalooatl. The glyph is the head-variant of Manik with a suffix and with the ohink under the fingers converted into a figure like the letter $T$. This T-like mark is well known to every student of things Maya as the second day-sign, Ir (phon. iq). The word ig means wind, and in nearly all the other $\mathbf{K i d a l e}$ American tongues, inoluding Aztec, this day-sign is also named Find. Now Quetzalcoatl was the god of the wind, his head with a trumpei,-mouth being the Aztec glyph for the second day-sign. It is natural then that we should find the ig-sign used to help denote Kukulcan. Ky evidence is that ik is polyphonous, but that in this case its phonetic value may well be ig. Feglecting the unknown value of the suffix, we can then read ma--ige in the name. How we know that a certain kind of priest among the kaya was oallod Ah Macik (phon. h-mak-ig) and that his business was to conjure the winds*. (Footnote: Korley, Introduction to the Study of the Maya Hieroglyphs, p. 20.) The word means he who covers or controls the wind, from mak cover, restrain, control. The god whom such a priest served would certainly be the wind god. It was quite general in Midale America for a priest to be called by the same name as the god he served. Thus in Yexico the priest of quetzalcoatl was himself called quetzalcoatl as his title, and in the plural all such priests were quequetzalcoa. Conversely the priest called h-mak-ig might well have been the priest of a god called h-mak-ig "he who controls the wind", or simply mak-ig. This then could have been another name for Kuculcan (as Mhecatl, wind, was another name for Quetzalcoatl); and I take it that it is this name or some very similar one, and not "Kukulcan" that is written in the hieroglyphs commonly associated with this god. It is possible that the hand-sign plus its suffix equals

"pass", and $t$ he who passes of the name $M$
in the date $i$ substitution stating mambe zeros; thus $t$ cycles, six k and second dr "zero days", other a late found that th words out of and the genes not, the pres In the number and the glypl compare such "no clothes" head-variant right middle head-variant
inscriptions announces th the end of $t$
mak. It is also possible that the hand-sign here equals min or man "pass", and that one form of the deity's name was mal-ia or man-ia, he who passes out or deals out the winds, which could be the source of the name Manik attached to this sign.

Next in consideration come the uses of the hand-bien in the date insoriptions. First, it is used as a sign for zero in substitution for the more usual rosette-like sign for zero, in stating mumbers. A Maya number had its unused terms filled out with zeros; thus the period nine oycles six katuns would be stated nine cycles, six katuns, zero tuns, zero uinals, zero days". The first and second drawings of Fig. 8 show the hand for zero in stating "zero days", one from an early date on Zoomorph $P$ at guirigua, the other a late date from quen Santo. On analyzing the ma-series we found that the dominant idea "pass" developed a notable group of words out of the "passing away" nuance, plain ma being a verb cease, and the general negative partiole - the interjection nol, the adverb not, the preposition without, and the adjective no, not ace not any. In the numbers then the hand-sign ma is simply phonetic for man $n_{n}$ ", and the glyph-blocks cited are to be read ma gin "no days". We may compare such oommon Maya expressions as ma qaba nno name", buk "no olothes", etc. More common than the plain hand for zero is a head-variant in which a hand replaces the lower jaw - as shown in right middle of Fig. 8. This form follows the analogy of the head-variant numerals.

Declarations of the end of a time period in the inscriptions have long been deoiphered. The "ending sign" that announces the fact is often the hand-sign, as in the dediaration of the end of the seventeenth katun from Stela F , Guirigua, shown at the
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bottom of Fig. 8. This is very likely mas used as a verb; "censen" or "has ceased", but at the same time it might be any one of several other verbs in the ma-series, such as mal "passes" or "has passed", man pass, mab annul, mas wear out. To detexmine the oxact form it will be necessary to find out whether the lower part of the glyph is phonetic, and if so what its value is.

This negational and terminational value of the hand-sign was evidently taken over into the cruder Aztec sigemystem, and therein acquired new phonetic values based on the Fahuatl tongue. Out of a considerable familiarity with Aztec I can see no connection in that language between negational ideas and either the syllable ma or the word for hand. The negative particle in Aztec is a-. Yet the Codex Huexotzinco (Fig. 9 left) shows a hieroglyph in which a hand in the pinching position of Maniz has the phonetic value ayac. Ayac means (a) nobody, none, (b) to be no longer. The indication of a calyx over the hand is the sign for xochitl flower, and the scribe has transliterated aya ixoohiuh "nobody's flower". On the On the right we have essentially the same hieroglyph with the addition of an ideographic determinative used to express the name xochipoloa, which could mean either "he destroys the flowers" or The perishes like a flower". The hand here has the value polog lose, laok, fail, perish, destroy; the determinative is a out flower in a vase, preyed on by an insect.

We also should consider the hand as a component of hieroglyphs of time periods longer than the katun (phon. gatun). The katun, a little less than twenty years, was the longest Maya period that a man could live through. The next higher period was a oyole of 20 katuns, and its glyph like all time-period glyphs
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had two forms, head form and without-head form. The head form is marked by a hand for the lower jaw. Fig. 10 bottom of left colum shows this head form, while beside it in the lons colum is the without-head form. Neict above these are the head and without-head forms of the next higher period or "great oycle" of 20 oyoles. The head form of this period also bears the hand. The glyphs of these immense periods, too long to be used in actual chronology, were necessarily of theoretical use only and are very rare. The glyphs of the next two vigesimal places, 8000 and 160,000 katuns, are known only from the Tikal inscription shown in the long column of Pig. 10.' Hach shows prominently the hand-sigen. So all the higher periods, those too long to be lived through and as it were obtained by mathematical extrapolation, are distinguished by the hand-sign either in the head form or in the only form known. In terms of a phonetio hypothesis this amounts to saying that these periods all had or might be called by names that contained " man, and that probably began with ma- judging from the Tikal glyphs where the hand is on top and from the analogy of the head form fur zero where the hand for a jaw stands for initial ma. With this may be compared the following Inguistic facts: (1) the verb man pass, also means surpass, exceed; (2) mak 2 means "superior"; (3) ma acts as a verbal particle (suffixed) to denote remote past, long elapsed time; (4) man 2 means recur; continue, of days and time, and forms compounds like manain( i-ah) recur daily, continue day after day; (5) in quiche mai means time-period and especially the katon.

While present eridence does not permit us to say that any of these forms is present as such in the higher period-glyphs, it is highly probable that the phase of the ma "pass" idea that means
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