

## Arthropod Biodiversity in Agricultural, Horticultural and Silvicultural Ecosystems with Special Reference to Spiders (Araneae) in Mid-hills of Meghalaya, India

Gogoi, J.<sup>1\*</sup> and Ningthoujam, K.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Crop Protection, College of Post-Graduate Studies in Agricultural Sciences, Central Agricultural University, Meghalaya-793103.

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor (Entomology), School of Crop Protection, College of Post-Graduate Studies in Agricultural Sciences, Central Agricultural University, Meghalaya-793103.

### Corresponding Author

Jyotim Gogoi, School of Crop Protection, College of Post-Graduate Studies in Agricultural Sciences, Central Agricultural University, Meghalaya-793103.

Submitted: 13 Jan 2022; Accepted: 27 Jan 2022; Published: 24 Mar 2023

**Citation:** Gogoi, J., Ningthoujam, K. (2023). Arthropod Biodiversity in Agricultural, Horticultural and Silvicultural Ecosystems with Special Reference to Spiders (Araneae) in Mid-hills of Meghalaya, India. *J Agri Horti Res*, 6(2), 244-262.

### Abstract

Spiders are the Arthropod and belong to the Phylum: Arthropoda, Class: Arachnida, Order: Araneae. Their occurrence worldwide is about 30,000 species belonging to the 60 families. Totally 4023 arthropods were collected from Silvicultural, Horticultural and Agricultural ecosystem (Maize, Potato and Rice) which belonged to 14 orders and 85 Nos. of different families. Among the ecosystem maximum diversity found in Silvicultural followed by Horticultural and Agricultural ecosystem in terms of alpha diversity such as Shannon Wiener index Simpsons D, Species number, Margalef D, Equitability J, Berger Parker index, MacIntosh U, Brillouin level, Fisher Alpha, Q Statistic and beta diversity such as Whittaker Bw, Cody Bc, Roulledge Br, Roulledge Bi, Roulledge Be and Wilson & Shmida Bt.

**Keywords:** Biodiversity, Arthropods, Arachnida, Alpha and Beta Diversity

### Introduction

Biological diversity is the first terminology used by biologist Lovejoy in the year 1890 to describe numbers of species. E. O. Wilson first used the term 'Biodiversity' in written form in the proceedings of U.S. Strategy Conference on Biological Diversity (1981) held on Washington D.C. [1]. Biodiversity can be measured in terms of genetic diversity and the identity and number of different types of species, assemblages of species, biotic communities, and biotic processes, and the amount (e.g., abundance, biomass, cover, rate) and structure of each. It can be observed and measured at any spatial scale ranging from microsites and habitat patches to the entire biosphere" [2]. Spiders utilize a wide range of strategies for capturing preys which makes them a 'model predator' by trapping the prey in sticky webs, lassoing with sticky balls, mimicking the prey to avoid detection and they mainly detect the prey by sensing vibrations, but in case of active hunters they possess acute vision. In natural habitat spiders also have many predators therefore they possess some defence mechanism to defend themselves; ability to blend with the environment as a form of camouflage, flick hairs at their enemies, webs which will trap the predators as well as prey and the venom which is the most powerful defence mechanism. In terrestrial ecosystem, they constitute the most abundant predator and consume large number of preys without damaging the plant, only feeding on insects, their larvae and eggs. In Tapioca plantation maximum diversity was found in predatory spider family Argiopi-

idae (32.5%) followed by Aranidae (26.2%), Tetragnathidae, Lycosidae and Linyphiidae. The most abundant spider was *Neoscona theisi* in the field due to plant architecture of tapioca plants. Large orb web weaver (Araneidae and Argiopidae) trapped large prey species of Orthopteran insects *Hieroglyphus feaniam* and Lepidoptera insects *Scirphaga incertulas* and *Malanitis leda ismena*, while *Tetragnatha maxillosa* trapped small soft-bodied Dipteran insects *Orseolia oryzae* (74.6%) and Hemipteran insects *Nephotettix virescens* (25.4%). The cone web spiders capture *N. virescens* (60.7%) and other Orthopteran (39.3%) insect [3]. The denominated spider group in orchard ecosystems are clubionid spiders (*Clubiona pallidula*, *Clubiona phragmitis* and *Cheiracanthium mildei*). Stripped lynx spider (*Oxyopes salticus*), green lynx spider (*Peucetia viridans*) and jumping spider (*Phidippus audax*) are the predatory spiders reported in cotton ecosystems which limits the pest flea hopper (*Pseudatomoscellis seriatus*), tarnished plant bug (*Lygus lineolaris*) and noctuids (*Heliothis spp.*). Clubionid species *Cheiracanthium mildei* and *Cucurbitina opistographa* are the predatory spider dominated in apple orchards and limits apple leaf miner (*Phyllonorycter blancardella*), *Psylla mali*, *Anthonomus pomorum* and apple mite [4]. Overall objective of research work is to enhance the scientific understanding of the silvicultural, horticultural and agricultural arthropod, with special reference on spider community, as a basis for an improved, conservation oriented management practices. Conservation of biodiversity without

knowing its component is meaningless. In order to understand how to sustain biodiversity, we should be concerned about arthropods. The objectives of the study are:

1.1 Collection and identification of various arthropod fauna in agricultural, horticultural and silvicultural ecosystems.

1.2 To find out arthropod diversity, richness and abundance in agricultural, horticultural and silvicultural ecosystems.

## Materials and Methods

The investigation was carried out at Experimental farm of CPG-SAS, CAU, Umiam during the time period 02 July, 2019 to 11 February, 2020. Weekly observation were taken from 1st standard meteorological week (SMW) i.e. 27<sup>th</sup> SMW of July, 2018 onwards till 06<sup>th</sup> SMW of February, 2019. The sample collection was done in 3 ecosystems and took an area about (10 × 10) m<sup>2</sup>, 100 m<sup>2</sup> Viz., Agricultural ecosystems (*Maize, Zea mays* L.; *Potato, Solanum tuberosum* L. and *Rice, Oryza sativa* L.), Horticultural (*Citrus, Citrus limon* L. and *Turmeric, Curcuma longa* L.) ecosystem and Silvicultural (Native forest dominated by Pine, *Pinus insularis* Endl. trees) ecosystem.

## Sampling Methods

Collection of arthropods was done through hand picking, using aspirators, sweep nets, Inverted umbrella, pitfall trap and rubbish trap. Both adults and larval/nymphal forms were collected and immature stages of the insects will be reared for emergence of adult for easier and proper identification. For carrying out arthropods collection, the plot was divided into 100 quadrats measuring 10 m × 10 m. Five such quadrats were chosen each at four corners and one in the middle. In each of the quadrats five pitfall traps were installed and the entire plot was covered during the sampling period.

## Collection Techniques

The collection of arthropod samples was done by standard protocol such as net sweeping, pitfall trapping, ground and aerial hand collection etc. which was used by Schuldt et al. (2008) and Kujur and Ekka (2016). Spiders and insects from rocks, logs, debris and bark of the trees were collected by hand picking and active searching. This method was used by Rajendran et al. (2017) for the collection of arachnids from orange orchards. For collection of ground dwelling arthropods pitfall traps were used which is buried in soil and filled with 4% formaldehyde solution or teepol for reduced surface tension and fixative and a total of 5-6 traps were placed 1m apart in an area of 100 m<sup>2</sup> Which was used by Bouseksou et al. (2015), Khan and Rather (2012) and Kujur and Ekka (2016).

## Preservation of Arthropods

Spiders and insects were killed using chloroform and the specimens were preserved in 5 ml glass vials with 70 per cent ethyl alcohol (70 parts of 100 per cent alcohol + 30 parts of distilled water) which was used by Joseph and Premila (2016). Khan (2009) and Khan and Rather (2012) also followed the standard protocol

for preserving the very small spider specimens and the juvenile spiders in Oudemans' fluid (85 parts of 70 per cent alcohol + 5 parts of glycerine + 8 parts of glacial acetic acid + 2 parts distilled water), the same protocol was followed for preservation of spiderlings.

## Identification of Arthropods

The most common and important arthropod species were identified to the lowest possible taxon. Identification was done based on established taxonomic keys and literature [5-9]. Cataloguing and documentation was done using images and photographs. Spiders were identified by Dr. Manju Siliwal, Arachnologist at Wildlife Institute of India, Dehradun.

## Measure of Biodiversity Indices

### Alpha Diversity Indices

Measures of diversity are frequently seen as indicators of the wellbeing of any ecosystem. They also serve as a measure of the species diversity in the ecosystem. The following indices were worked out basis of month wise to assess the diversity and distribution of arthropods in observed ecosystems. Species richness indices, Margalef's D, Fisher's alpha, Shannon diversity index, Brillouin diversity index, Q statistic, Species dominance indices, McIntosh index, Simpson's index, Berger-parker diversity index and Evenness indices [10-17].

### Beta Diversity Indices

Beta diversity measures two attributes, the number of distinct habitats within a region and the replacement of species by another between disjoint parts of the same habitat. All of the selected samples in the active data set will be used to calculate the indices. It is assumed that the samples are arranged in the data grid in their order of occurrence along the transect. The six indices calculated, which are described below are those considered by Wilson and Schmida (1984). All six required presence or absence of data. Following indices were evaluated Whittaker's measure ( $\beta_w$ ), Coby Bc and Routledge's R, I & E.

## Results

### Arthropods

The collected samples belonged to 2 classes of arthropods Viz., Arachnida and hexapoda (Insecta). The experiment focuses especially on Arachnida and the diversity of class Arachnida is given in the Table 1 to 12. Totally 4023 arthropods were collected from silvicultural, horticultural and agricultural ecosystem which belonged to 14 orders and 85 Nos. of different families (Table 1). In familial level maximum diversity was found in class Insecta composed of 66 Nos. of family and 186 Nos. of genera and class Arachnida composed of 19 Nos. of family and 67 Nos. of genera (Table 2). In ordinal level maximum diversity was found in class Insecta composed of 13 Nos. of family and class Arachnida composed of 1 Nos. of family.

**Table 1: Total Arthropod individuals in observed ecosystem**

Sl. No.	Ecosystems	Arachnids				Insecta	
		Percentage	Nos. of family	Total individuals	Percentage	Nos. of family	Total individuals
1	Silvicultural ecosystem	36%	18	1001	56%	54	413
2	Horticultural ecosystem	26%	13	1010	10.8%	12	78
3	Rice ecosystem	22%	11	615	12.4%	8	90
4	Maize ecosystem	8%	4	103	8.5%	6	62
5	Potato ecosystem	8%	4	567	11.6%	5	84
		<b>Total</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>3296</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>727</b>

%, Based on Total individuals

**Table 2: Diversity of Hexapods in Silvicultural ecosystem, Horticultural ecosystem, Agricultural ecosystem at species level**

Sl. No.	Order	Family	Species	Total individuals
1	Lepidoptera	Gracillariidae	Phyllocnistis citrella	12
			Phthorimaea operculeiia	9
		Papilionidae	Papilo demoleus	15
		Zygaenidae	Trypanophora semihyalina	5
		Geometridae	Pingasa spp.	8
			<b>Ascotis selenaria</b>	7
			Hyposidra talaca	9
		Erebidae	Artaxa guttata	8
			Orgyia postica	6
			Calliteara pudibunda	4
			Lymantria spp.	6
		Noctuidae	Helicoverpa armigera	6
			Agrotis ipsilon	14
			Sesamia inferens	4
			Mythimna separata	8
			Spodoptera exigua	8
			Sesamia inferens	9
			Mythimna separata	6
			Acacia mangium	9
		Nymphalidae	Symbrenthia hippolus	7
			Euploea sylvester	12
			Parantica aglaea	5
			Tarucus nara	6
		Pieridae	Cepora nadina nadina	9
			Pieris brassicae	5
			Pieris canidia	6
			Pieris napi montana	4
		Melarbelidae	Inderbela spp.	5
		Cossidae	Zeuzera multistrigata	5
		Lymantriidae	Lymantria concolor	7
		Limacodidae	Belippa horrida	7
		Arctiidae	Caeneressa spp.	5

			Nyctemera arctata	5
		Pyralidae	Scirpophaga incertulas	7
			Nymphula depunctalis	6
			Chilo partellus	10
			Chilo suppressalis	7
2	Coleoptera	Lamiidae	Anoplophora versteegi	10
		Scarabaeidae	Anomala dimidiata	9
			Popilia spp.	5
			Phyllophaga spp.	5
			Anomala orientalis	5
			Holotricha spp.	14
		Cerambycidae	Aristobia testudo	3
			Melanaustor beryllinus	4
			Aristobia testudo	3
			Monohammus versteegi	7
		Melyridae	Collops quadrimaculatus	4
		Chrysomellidae	Arthrotus flavocincta	2
			Altica spp.	2
			Dicladispa armigera	6
			Aspidomorpha dorsata	4
		Tenebrionidae	Lagria villosa	2
		Lampyridae	Luciola ovalis	3
			Luciola praeusta	2
		Coccinellidae	Epilachna varivestis	2
			Harmonia spp.	3
			Coccinella septempunctata	4
			Oenopia kirbyi	3
			Oenopia sexareata	3
		Curculionidae	Otiorhynchus spp.	1
			Episomus lacterna	3
		Dytiscidae	Agabus bipustulatus	2
Cybister lateralimarginalis	2			
Hydrophilidae	Hydrophilus spp.	2		
3	Hemiptera	Aleyrodidae	Aleurocanthus woglumi	4
		Aphididae	Taxoptera citricidus	3
			Aphis pomi	4
			Rhopalosiphum maidis	8
			Myzus persicae	35
		Pseudococcidae	Planococcus citri	8
		Diaspididae	Lepidosaphes beckii	4
		Psyllidae	Diaphorina citri	4
		Alydidae	Alydus spp.	5
		Monophlebidae	Icerya seychellarum	5
		Miridae	Helopeltis bradyi	4
Pyrrhocoridae	Dysdercus Koenigii	4		
	Melamphaus rubrocinctus	3		


			Pyrrhocoris spp.	5
		Flatidae	Ormenoides venusta	4
		Coreidae	Anoplocnemis phasiana	3
			Leptocorisa acuta	5
		Pentatomidae	Halyomorpha spp.	3
			Coridius chinensis	2
		Cercopidae	Homalostethus tabulatus	4
		Membracidae	Otinotus spp.	4
		Reduviidae	Cosmolestes spp.	3
		Nepidae	Ranatra spp.	5
			Lethocerus spp.	4
		Belostomatidae	Belostoma spp.	3
		Fulgoridae	Pyrops candelaria	3
		Cicadellidae	Nephotettix nigropictus	5
		Delphacidae	Nilaparvata lugens	6
		Psyllidae	Diaphornia citri	7
4	Diptera	Tephritidae	Bactrocera dorsalis	7
		Syrphidae	Unidentified	2
		Tipulidae	Tipulodina spp.	3
		Tachinidae	Tachina spp.	4
		Cecidomyiidae	Orseolia oryzae	4
		Muscidae	Atherigona orientalis	5
5	Orthoptera	Acrididae	Trilophida annulata	4
			Herioglyphus banian	4
			Herioglyphus nigrorepletus	5
		Tettigoniidae	Hexacentrus mundus	5
			Mecopoda elongata	3
			Holochlora indica	2
	Gryllidae	Gryllus bimaculatus	3	
6	Hymenoptera	Braconidae	Cotesia spp.	3
		Chalcididae	Brachymeria perflavipes	2
		Apidae	Apis cerana indica	3
			Xylocopa spp.	5
		Bombus orientalis	3	
7	Dermeptera	Forficulidae	Forficula spp.	4
8	Dictyoptera	Mantidae	Mantis spp.	7
			Statilia maculata	2
9	Odonata	Calopterygidae	Calopteryx maculata	5
			Neurobasis chinensis	3
		Libellulidae	Neurothemis fulvia	25
			Orthetrum sabina	15
			Orthetrum chrysis	20
		Orthetrum triangulare triangulare	13	
10	Isoptera	Termitidae	Odontotermis spp.	10
			Macrotermis spp.	6

11	Ephemeroptera	Unidentified	Unidentified	2
12	Neuroptera	Chrysopidae	Unidentified	3
13	Phasmatodea	Phasmatidae	Unidentified	2
			<b>Total</b>	<b>727</b>

### Arachnida

Under class Arachnida, araneae were the most unique groups. Under Araneae most individuals belonged to the family Araneidae (1456) followed by Tetragnathidae (432), Lycosidae (392), Salticidae (345), Ganophosidae (190), Theridiidae (120), Oxyopidae (111), Linyphiidae (82), Zodariidae (47), Thomisidae (35), Corinnidae (19), Clubionidae (17), Cheiracanthiidae (11), Sparassidae (9), Mimetidae (8), Pisauridae (8), Philodromidae (6) and Pholcidae (1) (Fig. 1.15). Majority of individuals under family Araneidae were of the genus Cyclosa, Argiope and Neoscona while under family Lycosidae, Tetragnathidae, Salticidae and Gnaphosidae the genus Pardosa, Mesida, Plexippus and Haplodrassus were the most abundant genus found respectively. On the other hand for individual ecosystem maximum diversity were found in silvicultural ecosystem (18 Nos. family) followed by horticultural (13 Nos. family), rice (11 Nos. family), maize (4 Nos. family) and potato (4 Nos. family) ecosystem (Figure. 1). In species level maximum diversity found in Neoscona (Aranidae) followed by Cyclosa (Araneidae), Argiope (Aranidae), Pardosa (Lycosidae) (Table 3).

At silvicultural ecosystems a total of 1001 Nos. individuals of Arachnids were collected which composed with 18 numbers of families under 59 Nos. of genus and 99 Nos. of species. Maximum species was collected at the month of July to August. The maximum diversity of araneofauna found in generic level in family Araneidae (13) followed by Salticidae (9), Tetragnathidae (7), Ganophosidae (5), Theridiidae (4), Lycosidae (4), Zodariidae (3), Sparassidae (2), Thomisidae (2), Philodromidae (1), Pholcidae (1), Linyphiidae (1), Oxyopidae (1), Mimetidae (1), Clubionidae (1), Corinnidae (1), Cheiracanthiidae (1) and Pisauridae (1) (Plate 1.1 & 1.2). The maximum individuals was found in the family Araneidae (418) followed by Glycosidase (140), Salticid (129), Tetragnathid (117), Gamophobia (60), Theridiidae (55), Linyphiid (18), Zodariid (12), Oxyopia (11), Parasite (9), Pisauridae (8), Corinnidae (7), Thomisidae (7), Philodromidae (6), Clubionidae (3), Cheiracanthiidae (3), Mimetidae (3) and Pholcidae (1) (Figure. 2).



**Figure1:** Arachnids in familial level in observed ecosystem

**Table 3:** Arachnids diversity at familial and generic level in observed ecosystems viz., Silvicultural ecosystem, Horticultural ecosystem, Agricultural ecosystem

Sl. No.	Family	Genus	Species	Ecosystem				
				Silvicultural ecosystem	Horticultural ecosystem	Agricultural ecosystem		
						Maize ecosystem	Potato ecosystem	Rice ecosystem
1	Araneidae	Erovixia	<i>Erovixia lagalaizei</i>	13	30	45	0	0
			<i>Erovixia excelsa</i>	8	8	13	0	0
			<i>Erovixia spp.</i>	0	0	15	0	0
		Nephila	<i>Nephila clavata</i>	11	0	0	0	0
			<i>Nephila pilipes</i>	10	0	0	0	0
			<i>Nephila kuhlii</i>	10	0	0	0	0


		<i>Nephila spp. 1</i>	8	0	0	0	0
		<i>Nephila spp. 2</i>	8	0	0	0	0
	<i>Cyclosa</i>	<i>Cyclosa spirifera</i>	0	14	55	0	0
		<i>Cyclosa quinqueguttata</i>	3	16	55	0	0
		<i>Cyclosa simoni</i>	0	0	30	0	0
		<i>Cyclosa insulana</i>	0	23	36	0	7
		<i>Cyclosa bifida</i>	10	14	31	0	0
		<i>Cyclosa sundaica</i>	8	45	8	0	0
		<i>Cyclosa hexatuberculata</i>	0	15	0	0	11
		<i>Cyclosa confraga</i>	0	0	0	0	19
		<i>Cyclosa spp. 1</i>	6	9	16	0	17
		<i>Cyclosa spp. 2</i>	6	12	14	0	0
		<i>Thelacantha</i>	<i>Thelacantha brevispina</i>	21	49	34	0
	<i>Argiope</i>	<i>Argiope ameula</i>	8	12	0	0	0
		<i>Argiope pulchella</i>	21	12	0	0	8
		<i>Argiope caesarea</i>	23	0	0	0	0
		<i>Argiope shillongensis</i>	21	0	0	0	0
		<i>Argiope spp.</i>	9	14	0	0	9
	<i>Araneus</i>	<i>Araneus nympha</i>	9	8	0	0	0
		<i>Araneus mitificus</i>	17	7	0	0	8
		<i>Araneus spp.</i>	0	0	0	0	10
	<i>Neoscona</i>	<i>Neoscona chrysanthusi</i>	11	0	0	0	0
		<i>Neoscona vigilans</i>	7	0	0	0	0
		<i>Neoscona nautical</i>	9	39	32	0	0
		<i>Neoscona theisi</i>	13	0	0	0	21
		<i>Neoscona mukerjei 1</i>	11	10	0	0	22
		<i>Neoscona mukerjei 2</i>	10	0	0	0	13
		<i>Neoscona mukerjei 3</i>	10	0	0	0	0
		<i>Neoscona mukerjei 4</i>	7	0	0	0	0
		<i>Neoscona mukerjei 5</i>	16	0	0	0	0
		<i>Neoscona mukerjei 6</i>	12	0	0	0	0
		<i>Neoscona mukerjei 7</i>	8	0	0	0	0
		<i>Neoscona bengalensis 1</i>	18	0	0	0	30
		<i>Neoscona bengalensis 2</i>	13	0	0	0	0
		<i>Neoscona bengalensis 3</i>	20	0	0	0	0
	<i>Neoscona spp.</i>	0	11	19	0	19	
	<i>Gasteracantha</i>	<i>Gasteracantha hasselti</i>	2	0	2	0	0
	<i>Gibbaranea</i>	<i>Gibbaranea bituberculata</i>	3	0	0	0	0
	<i>Parawixia</i>	<i>Parawixia dehaani</i>	7	0	0	0	0
	<i>Cyrtophora</i>	<i>Cyrtophora citricola</i>	0	35	0	0	0
		<i>Cyrtophora moluccrnsis</i>	3	0	0	0	0
	<i>Phintella</i>	<i>Phintella versicolor</i>	0	0	7	0	0
	<i>Neoga</i>	<i>Neoga nocticolor</i>	6	0	27	0	0
	<i>Larinia</i>	<i>Larinia spp.</i>	0	19	0	0	3
	<i>Herennia</i>	<i>Herennia multipuncta</i>	2	0	0	0	0



2	Linyphiidae	<i>Neriene</i>	<i>Neriene sundaica</i>	11	36	0	0	0
			<i>Neriene birmanica</i>	5	4	0	0	0
			<i>Neriene spp.</i>	2	0	24	0	0
			<i>Mesida culta</i>	13	26	27	0	0
3	Tetragnathidae	<i>Mesida</i>	<i>Mesida spp. 1</i>	1	22	19	0	0
			<i>Mesida spp. 2</i>	3	20	6	0	0
		<i>Opadomet</i>	<i>Opadometa fastigata</i>	4	0	0	0	0
		<i>Tetragnatha</i>	<i>Tetragnatha spp.</i>	18	30	0	0	41
		<i>Lecauge</i>	<i>Leucauge decorata 1</i>	25	38	0	0	0
			<i>Leucauge decorate 2</i>	6	0	0	0	0
			<i>Leucauge celebesiana</i>	23	0	0	0	0
			<i>Leucauge spp.</i>	6	5	26	0	0
		<i>Dolicogantha</i>	<i>Dolicogantha spp.</i>	5	0	0	0	0
		<i>Tylorida</i>	<i>Tylorida ventralis</i>	9	0	13	0	0
			<i>Tylorida spp.</i>	0	0	7	0	9
<i>Guizygiella</i>	<i>Guizygiella spp. 1</i>	4	0	0	0	11		
	<i>Guizygiella spp. 2</i>	0	0	0	0	15		
4	Theridiidae	<i>Nihonhimea</i>	<i>Nihonhimea mundula</i>	23	29	0	0	7
			<i>Nihonhimea spp.</i>	9	0	0	0	0
		<i>Argyrodes</i>	<i>Argyrodes spp.</i>	5	0	0	0	0
		<i>Theridion</i>	<i>Theridion sp.</i>	6	5	0	0	0
		<i>Parasteatoda</i>	<i>Parasteatoda sp.</i>	12	10	0	0	0
		<i>Rubroridion</i>	<i>Rubroridion spp.1</i>	0	0	0	0	5
<i>Rubroridion spp. 2</i>	0		0	0	0	9		
5	Oxyopidae	<i>Oxyopes</i>	<i>Oxyopes bhartatae</i>	11	7	0	0	31
			<i>Oxyopes bimanicus</i>	0	11	0	0	20
			<i>Oxyopes spp.</i>	0	0	0	0	26
		<i>Hamadruas</i>	<i>Hamadruas spp.</i>	0	0	5	0	0
6	Thomisidae	<i>Oxytate</i>	<i>Oxytate spp.</i>	1	8	0	0	8
		<i>Diaea</i>	<i>Diaea spp.</i>	0	0	0	0	2
		<i>Indoxysticus</i>	<i>Indoxysticus spp.</i>	6	10	0	0	0
7	Lycosidae	<i>Lycosa</i>	<i>Lycosa mackenzi</i>	14	18	0	0	39
			<i>Lycosa spp.</i>	18	15	0	0	31
		<i>Hippasa</i>	<i>Hippasa agelenoides</i>	11	7	0	0	0
			<i>Hippasa greenalliae</i>	8	11	0	0	0
			<i>Hippasa spp.</i>	3	0	0	0	0
		<i>Wadicosa</i>	<i>Wadicosa spp.</i>	6	5	0	0	0
		<i>Pardosa</i>	<i>Pardosa birmanica</i>	23	16	9	5	18
			<i>Pardosa mysorensis</i>	12	14	0	8	0
			<i>Pardosa sumatrana</i>	35	15	0	6	23
<i>Pardosa spp.</i>	10		12	0	0	0		
8	Salticidae	<i>Plexippus</i>	<i>Plexippus paykulli</i>	24	36	11	15	8
			<i>Plexippus spp.</i>	0	0	0	0	13
		<i>Rhene</i>	<i>Rhene flavicomans</i>	12	7	0	0	0
		<i>Evarcha</i>	<i>Evarcha flavocincta</i>	10	0	0	12	0
		<i>Epocilla</i>	<i>Epocilla spp.</i>	34	12	0	8	0




		<i>Hasarius</i>	<i>Hasarius adansoni</i>	3	0	0	0	10
		<i>Thiania</i>	<i>Thiania bhamoensis</i>	15	0	21	0	0
			<i>Thiana spp.</i>	0	0	8	0	0
		<i>Telamonia</i>	<i>Telamonia dimidiata</i>	14	0	0	0	0
		<i>Phintella</i>	<i>Phintella versicolor</i>	4	15	0	0	0
		<i>Myrmarache</i>	<i>Myrmarache spp.</i>	0	23	0	0	0
		<i>Marpissa</i>	<i>Marpissa spp.</i>	13	15	0	0	2
9	Mimetidae	<i>Mimetus</i>	<i>Mimetus spp.</i>	3	0	0	0	5
10	Clubionidae	<i>Clubiona</i>	<i>Clubiona sp.</i>	3	3	0	0	11
11	Ganophosidae	<i>Callilepis</i>	<i>Callilepis nocturna</i>	0	13	0	0	6
		<i>Drassodes</i>	<i>Drassodes lutiscens</i>	10	11	0	0	4
		<i>Sosticus</i>	<i>Sosticus insularis</i>	13	12	0	7	0
		<i>Zelotes</i>	<i>Zelotes spp.</i>	0	16	0	0	0
		<i>Drassyllus</i>	<i>Drassyllus spp.</i>	6	5	0	0	9
		<i>Ganophosa</i>	<i>Ganophosa spp.</i>	10	14	0	9	0
		<i>Haplodrassus</i>	<i>Haplodrassus spp.</i>	15	14	0	16	0
12	Sparassidae	<i>Olios</i>	<i>Olios spp.</i>	2	0	0	0	0
		<i>Spariolenus</i>	<i>Spariolenus spp.</i>	7	0	0	0	0
13	Cheiracanthiidae	<i>Cheriacanthium</i>	<i>Cheriacanthium spp.</i>	3	5	0	3	0
14	Corinnidae	<i>Castianeira</i>	<i>Castianeira albopicta</i>	7	12	0	0	0
15	Uloboridae	<i>Uloborus</i>	<i>Uloborus spp.</i>	0	0	0	0	7
16	Philodromidae	<i>Philodromus</i>	<i>Philodromus spp.</i>	6	0	0	0	0
17	Zodariidae	<i>Acanthinozodium</i>	<i>Acanthinozodium sp.</i>	6	8	0	8	0
		<i>Nostera</i>	<i>Nostera lynx</i>	3	6	0	0	0
		<i>Storena</i>	<i>Storena cyanea</i>	3	7	0	6	0
18	Pholcidae	<i>Crossopriza</i>	<i>Crossopriza lyoni</i>	1	0	0	0	0
19	Pisauridae	<i>Dolomedes</i>	<i>Dolomedes spp.</i>	8	0	0	0	0
			<b>Sub total</b>	1001	1010	615	103	567
							<b>Total</b>	3296



**Figure 2:** Total numbers (%) of individuals in familial level in Silvicultural ecosystem




**Plate 1:** Unique species of Arachnids in silvicultural ecosystem



**Plate 2:** Unique species of Arachnids in horticultural ecosystem

In horticultural ecosystem, a total of 1010 Nos. individuals of spider was collected and comprises with 13 Nos. of families under 41 Nos. of genus and 65 Nos. of species. The maximum diversity at generic level was found in the family Araneidae (8) followed by Ganophosidae (7), Salticidae (6), Lycosidae (4), Tetragnathidae (3), Theridiidae (3), Zodariidae (3), Thomisidae (2), Oxyopidae (1), Clubionidae (1), Cheiracanthiidae (1), Corinnidae (1) and


Linyphiidae (1) (Plate 2). On the other hand maximum individuals were found in family Araneidae (402) followed by Tetragnathidae (141), Lycosidae (113), Salticidae (108), Ganophosidae (85), Theridiidae (44), Linyphiidae (40), Zodariidae (21), Thomisidae (18), Oxyopidae (18), Corinnidae (12), Cheiracanthiidae (5) and Clubionidae (3) (Figure. 3).




**Figure 3:** Total Number of Arachnid genera found in Horticultural ecosystem

At maize ecosystems a total of 615 Nos. individuals of Arachnids were collected which composed with 5 numbers of families under 15 Nos. of genus and 29 Nos. of species. Maximum species was collected at the month of July to August. The maximum diversity of araneofauna found in generic level in family Araneidae

(7) followed by Tetragnathidae (4), Salticidae (2), Oxyopidae (1), Linyphiidae (1) and Lycosidae (1) (Plate 3). On the other hand maximum individuals were found in family Araneidae (439) followed by Tetragnathidae (103), Salticidae (40), and Linyphiidae (24), Lycosidae (9) and Oxyopidae (5) (Figure. 4).



**Plate 3:** Unique species of Arachnids in maize ecosystem




**Figure 4:** Total Number of Arachnid genera found in Maize ecosystem


In potato ecosystem, a total of 103 Nos. individuals of Arachnids was collected which comprised with 5 Nos. of families under 10 Nos. of genus and 12 Nos. of species (Plate 4). The maximum diversity at generic level was found in the family Ganophosidae (3) followed by Salticidae (3), Zodariidae (2), Cheiracanthiidae (1)

and Lycosidae (1). Maximum species was collected at the month of December to February. On the other hand maximum individuals were found in family Salticidae (35) followed by Ganophosidae (32), Lycosidae (19), and Zodariidae (14) and Cheiracanthiidae (3) (Figure. 5).






**Plate 4:** Unique species found in potato ecosystem



**Figure 5:** Total Number of Arachnid genera found in Potato ecosystem

At rice ecosystems a total of 567 Nos. individuals of Arachnids was collected and comprises with 10 Nos. of families under 27 Nos. of genus and 40 Nos. of species. The maximum diversity at generic level was found in the family Araneidae (8) followed by Ganophosidae (3), Salticidae (3), Tetragnathidae (3), Theridiidae (2), Lycosidae (2), Thomisidae (2), Mimetidae (1), Clubionidae

(1), Oxyopidae (1) and Uloboridae (1) (Plate 5). On the other hand maximum individuals were found in family Araneidae (273) followed by Lycosidae (111), Oxyopidae (77), Tetragnathidae (76), Salticidae (33), Ganophosidae (21), Theridiidae (21), Clubionidae (11), Thomisidae (10), Mimetidae (5) and Uloboridae (7) (Figure. 6).



**Figure 6:** Total Number of Arachnid genera found in Rice ecosystem



**Plate 5:** Unique species of Arachnids in rice ecosystem

## Insecta

The present study was restricted to collection of major arthropods from 5 ecosystems Viz., silvicultural, horticultural, potato, maize and rice ecosystems. A total of 727 Nos. of samples collected belongings in the group Pterygota (Table 2). Among Pterygotes orders like Orthoptera, Dermeptera, Hemiptera, Odonata, Dictyoptera, Isoptera, Ephimeroptera and Phasmatodea were observed which belonged to division Exopterygota and orders like Coleoptera, Neuroptera, Diptera, Lepidoptera and Hymenoptera were belonged to the division Endopterygota. In the class insecta maximum familial diversity was found in silvicultural ecosystem followed by horticultural, rice and maize ecosystems. The maximum diversity was found in the order of Hemiptera (22 Nos. of families), the majority of individuals fall under the family Aphididae (50), Pyrrhocoridae (12), Nepidae (9), Pseudococcidae (8) and Coreidae (8) etc. The aquatic hemipteran was represented by the 2


families Nepidae (genus Ranatra and Lethocerus) and Belostomatidae (genus Belostoma).

## Species Richness Indices

### Alpha Diversity

#### In Observed Ecosystems

In observed ecosystems Shannon Wiener index was found maximum at species level in silvicultural ecosystem (3.409) followed by horticultural (3.332), rice (2.644), potato (2.193) and maize (2.091) ecosystem (Table 4). In whole sampling period the Shannon Wiener index in species level was ranged in silvicultural (2.250-3.9362), horticultural (2.547-3.341), maize (2.918-3.158), potato (1.672-2.614) and rice (630-2.891) ecosystems. Likewise the other beta diversity indices such as Species number, Margelef D, Equitability J etc. were calculated and represented in the Figure. 7.



**Figure7:** Overall Arthropod diversity in terms of total individuals in observed ecosystems

**Table 4:** Overall Arthropod diversity in terms of total individuals in observed ecosystems viz., Silvicultural ecosystem, Horticultural ecosystem, Agricultural ecosystem

Ecosystems	Species level					Familial level					Ordinal level				
	SE	HE	ME	PE	RE	SE	HE	ME	PE	RE	SE	HE	ME	PE	RE
Shannon wiener index	3.409	3.332	2.091	2.193	2.644	1.887	1.919	0.939	1.416	1.869	1.152	0.322	0.412	1.221	1.682
Simpsons D	18.06	20.136	5.055	8.858	10.42	4.343	4.698	1.851	3.874	4.912	1.91	1.142	1.229	2.443	3.699
Species number	58	41	15	10	24	18	13	6	5	11	14	5	5	6	14
Margelef D	8.25	5.782	2.18	1.942	3.638	2.461	1.735	0.779	0.863	1.582	1.787	0.568	0.623	0.942	1.829
Equitability J	0.811	0.792	0.497	0.522	0.629	0.641	0.652	0.319	0.481	0.635	0.436	0.122	0.156	0.462	0.637
Berger Parker index	0.165	0.147	0.398	0.184	0.189	0.418	0.398	0.714	0.34	0.354	0.712	0.935	0.899	0.609	0.448
MacIntosch U	0.788	0.8	0.577	0.723	0.718	0.536	0.555	0.276	0.538	0.571	0.284	0.066	0.102	0.385	0.494
Brillouin level	3.295	3.241	2.037	2.027	2.556	1.85	1.888	0.919	1.336	1.825	1.131	0.314	0.399	1.168	1.657
Fisher Alpha	13.421	8.593	2.777	2.734	5.11	3.119	2.107	0.923	1.1	1.944	2.151	0.644	0.745	1.163	2.219
Q Statistic	18.948	15.566	4.612	6.561	6.789	4.062	3.07	1.256	2.419	2.939	2.525	2.392	0.901	1.904	2.118


SE; Silvicultural ecosystem, HE; Horticultural ecosystem, ME; Maize ecosystem, PE; Potato ecosystem, RE; Rice ecosystem

## In Individual Ecosystems

### Berger Parker Index

The maximum ordinal value was observed in November (0.803) and minimum in December (0.541) in silvicultural ecosystem. In the horticultural system maximum at ordinal level was observed in September (0.965) and minimum in December (0.797). In maize,

potato and rice ecosystems the maximum Berger parker index was observed in August (0.930), February (0.727) and September (0.855) while minimum in July (0.838), September (0.571) and July (0.745) respectively. Similarly the values of Berger parker index at familial and species level were calculated and represented in the Figure. 8.




**Figure 8:** Berger parker index from 27th SMW of July, 2019 onwards till 06th SMW of February, 2020 in observed ecosystems

### Species Number

The species number was calculated based on ordinal level during the period from July, 2019 to February, 2020. In silvicultural ecosystem, araneofauna was found to be maximum in month of September (14) and minimum found in the month of February (4). Similarly under horticultural system the maximum number in araneofauna found in the months of August and October (5) and min-


imum in the month of February (3). In maize, potato and rice ecosystem, 4 Nos. of species was found to be maximum in the month of September while 2 Nos. of species was observed to be minimum in August, November and August respectively in maize, potato and rice ecosystem. Similarly the values of species number at familial and species level were calculated and represented in the Figure. 9.



**Figure 9:** Values of Species number from 27th SMW of July, 2019 onwards till 06th SMW of February, 2020 in observed ecosystems

Likewise other values of Alpha diversity indices such as Shannon wiener index Simpsons D, Species number, Margelef D, Equitability J, Berger Parker index, MacIntosch U, Brillouin level, Fisher Alpha and Q Statistic were calculated and represented in the Fig-

ure. 10. The indices were calculated month wise for each ecosystem at species, familial and Ordinal level from 27th SMW of July, 2018 onwards till 06th SMW of February, 2019 (Table 5).



**Figure 10:** Summarised values of Alpha diversity from 27th SMW of July, 2019 onwards till 06th SMW of February, 2020 in observed ecosystems

**Table 5: Overall Arachnids diversity in terms of total individuals in observed ecosystems viz., Silvicultural ecosystem, Horticultural ecosystem, Agricultural ecosystem**

Ecosystems	Species level					Familial level					Ordinal level				
	SE	HE	ME	PE	RE	SE	HE	ME	PE	RE	SE	HE	ME	PE	RE
Shannon wiener index	3.253	3.217	3.045	2.276	2.815	1.745	1.465	1.039	1.262	1.543	1.115	0.339	0.394	1.097	0.767
Simpsons D	46.960	31.011	23.574	16.465	19.634	4.683	3.540	2.181	3.812	4.071	2.050	1.200	1.244	2.487	1.587
Species number	46	32	25	11	21	10	8	5	4	7	10	4	3	5	5
Margelef D	9.017	6.341	5.312	3.352	4.911	1.859	1.363	0.893	1.018	1.619	1.723	0.657	0.473	1.004	0.943
Equitability J	0.722	0.667	0.631	0.472	0.584	0.593	0.498	0.353	0.428	0.524	0.420	0.136	0.149	0.416	0.291
Berger Parker index	0.078	0.083	0.098	0.182	0.132	0.381	0.449	0.646	0.408	0.374	0.684	0.917	0.896	0.597	0.791
MacIntosch U	0.895	0.874	0.859	0.853	0.852	0.578	0.504	0.355	0.580	0.571	0.318	0.092	0.112	0.419	0.227
Brillouin level	3.013	2.834	2.660	1.732	2.352	1.593	1.357	0.960	1.041	1.353	1.023	0.317	0.359	0.944	0.681
Fisher Alpha	25.912	13.999	11.663	10.553	12.666	2.686	1.809	1.151	1.562	2.437	2.307	0.843	0.634	1.434	1.246
Q Statistic	29.753	18.848	14.224	7.105	9.331	2.707	2.124	1.507	3.007	4.216	2.900	1.395	0.241	1.547	1.576

SE; Silvicultural ecosystem, HE; Horticultural ecosystem, ME; Maize ecosystem, PE; Potato ecosystem, RE; Rice ecosystem

### Beta Diversity Indices at Species, Familial and Ordinal Level

In the current study of beta diversity indices, Whittaker Bw, Cody Bc, Routledge Br, Routledge Bi, Routledge Be and Wilson & Shmida Bt were used to compare the species composition of Arachnids communities in all the five ecosystems Viz. silvicultural, horticultural, maize, potato and rice ecosystem. Analysis based on Whittaker Bw at species level revealed that variation was highest in

silvicultural ecosystem (1.170) followed by horticultural (1.000), rice (0.487), potato (0.343) and maize (0.128) ecosystem. Cody Bc index was found maximum in silvicultural ecosystem (77.500) followed by Horticulture (42.000), rice (11.000), maize (4.500) and potato (1.500) ecosystem. The trend of variation of beta indices at familial and ordinal level is represented in the table 6.



**Table 6: Beta diversity from 27<sup>th</sup> SMW of July, 2019 onwards till 06<sup>th</sup> SMW of February, 2020 in observed ecosystems viz., Silvicultural ecosystem, Horticultural ecosystem, Agricultural ecosystem**

Ecosystems	Species level					Familial level					Ordinal level				
	SE	HE	ME	PE	RE	SE	HE	ME	PE	RE	SE	HE	ME	PE	RE
Whittaker Bw	1.17	1	0.128	0.343	0.487	0.87	0.733	0.154	0.25	0.231	0.418	0.212	0.579	0.111	0.297
Cody Bc	77.5	42.5	4.5	1.5	11	11	7	0.5	0.5	2.5	7	1.5	1.5	0.5	2
Routledge Br	0.117	0.153	0.008	0	0.039	0.102	0.199	0	0	0	0	0	0.19	0	0
Routledge Bi	0.504	0.549	0.085	0.223	0.295	0.434	0.444	0.134	0.149	0.158	0.192	0.169	0.289	0.088	0.168
Routledge Be	1.656	1.732	1.089	1.25	1.343	1.543	1.558	1.144	1.16	1.171	1.211	1.185	1.335	1.092	1.183
Wilson & Shmida Bt	1.699	1.328	0.181	0.134	0.442	1.143	0.933	0.115	0.125	0.308	0.709	0.364	0.474	0.111	0.432

SE; Silvicultural ecosystem, HE; Horticultural ecosystem, ME; Maize ecosystem, PE; Potato ecosystem, RE; Rice ecosystem

## Discussion

At silvicultural ecosystems a total of 1001 Nos. individuals of Arachnids were collected which composed with 18 numbers of families under 58 Nos. of genus and 99 Nos. of species. Maximum species was collected at the month of July to August. The maximum diversity of araneofauna found in generic level in family Araneidae (13) followed by Salticidae (9), Tetragnathidae (7), Ganophosidae (5), Theridiidae (4), Lycosidae (4), Zodariidae (3), Sparassidae (2), Thomisidae (2), Philodromidae (1), Pholcidae (1), Linyphiidae (1), Oxyopidae (1), Mimetidae (1), Clubionidae (1), Corinnidae (1), Cheiracanthiidae (1) and Pisauridae (1). The results were found similar to the respective works by Chetia and Kalita (2012), Quasin (2011), Bhattacharya *et al.* (2017), Otto and Floren (2007) who also reported maximum diversity in Orb weavers followed by Ambushers and Hunters in forest canopy. Chetia and Kalita (2012) reported maximum diversity in family Araneidae followed by Salticidae, Tetragnathidae from Gibbon wild life century, Assam. Quasin (2011) reported Araneidae as the dominant family (18%) followed by Salticidae and Thomisidae (11.5%), Theridiidae (8.6%), Linyphiidae (7.4%), Uloboridae and Tetragnathidae (4.5%) in Nanda Devi Biosphere Reserve, Dehradun. Bhattacharya *et al.* (2017) reported maximum diversity of areal spiders as family Araneidae followed by Tetragnathidae and Thomisidae from different habitats at Jaintia hills of Meghalaya. Chetry and Moran (2019) reported araneofauna from Arunachal Pradesh, the maximum diversity was found in family Araneidae followed by Salticidae and Tetragnathidae.

In horticultural ecosystem, a total of 1010 Nos. individuals of silvicolous spider was collected which comprised of 13 Nos. of families under 40 Nos. of genus and 65 Nos. of species. The maximum diversity at generic level was found in the family Araneidae (8) followed by Ganophosidae (7), Salticidae (6), Lycosidae (4), Tetragnathidae (3), Theridiidae (3), Zodariidae (3), Thomisidae (2), Oxyopidae (1), Clubionidae (1), Cheiracanthiidae (1), Corinnidae (1) and Linyphiidae (1). Similar results with our study were also reported by Brown *et al.* (2003) who observed dominant families were Araneidae, Tetragnathidae, Lycosidae, Salticidae, Ganophosidae, Theridiidae in horticultural ecosystems. Deshmukh and Chaudhari (2016) reported maximum diversity of spider families were Araneidae, Salticidae and Lycosidae in cit-

rus ecosystems. Sankari and Thiyagesan (2010) and Bhat *et al.* (2013) also found the maximum diversity in families Araneidae followed by Tetragnathidae and Lycosidae in horticultural ecosystem. Tahir *et al.* (2015) found most dominant family in citrus ecosystem was Lycosidae followed by Salticidae, Araneidae and Linyphiidae while the present study revealed the dominant family was Araneidae followed by Lycosidae, Salticidae and Linyphiidae. In case of ground dwelling spider's maximum diversity was found in the families' Lycosidae followed by Ganophosidae and Salticidae which are also agreement with the results of Tahir *et al.* (2011). In winter season maximum diversity was found in the families' Clubionidae and Corinnidae similar results also found by Divina and Jorge (1999) in lime orchard. Stenchly *et al.* (2011), Kacar (2015) and Asarkar and Ade (2017) also found same results in horticultural ecosystems. On the other hand the one third of the collection period belonged in monsoon season and the study area experiencing heavy rainfall because of heavy rainfall the effectiveness of pitfall traps were reduced.

At maize ecosystems a total of 615 Nos. individuals of Arachnids were collected which composed of 5 numbers of families under 15 Nos. of genus and 29 Nos. of species. The maximum diversity of araneofauna was found in generic level in family Araneidae (7) followed by Tetragnathidae (4), Salticidae (2), Linyphiidae (1), Oxyopidae (1) and Lycosidae (1). On the other hand maximum individuals were found in family Araneidae (439) followed by Tetragnathidae (103), Salticidae (40), Linyphiidae (24), Lycosidae (9) and Oxyopidae (5). Similar results were reported from field crop ecosystems by Khan and Rather (2012), Joseph and Premila (2016) and Rajendran *et al.* (2017) who reported maximum diversity in families Araneidae followed by Tetragnathidae and Salticidae in field crop ecosystems. Rajeswaran *et al.* (2017) reported the families Oxyopidae and Lycosidae from maize ecosystem. Saranya *et al.* (2019) reported the dominated families Salticidae, Lycosidae and Oxyopidae and the genera Pardosa, Lycosa and Hippasa from maize ecosystem. The present study reported maximum diversity in orb weavers (Araneidae and Tetragnathidae) which may be attributed to the robust growth and development of maize crop i.e. the web spiders were observed to highly correlate with size and complexity of crop canopy and not prey availability, Greenstone (1984).

In potato ecosystem, a total of 103 Nos. individuals of Arachnids was collected with comprises of 5 Nos. of families under 10 Nos. of genus and 12 Nos. of species. The maximum diversity at generic level was found in the family Ganophosidae (3) followed by Salticidae (3), Zodariidae (2), Cheiracanthiidae (1) and Lycosidae (1). Similar results were reported from Solanaceous crop ecosystems by Sankari and Thiyagesan (2010) who reported the dominated ground dwelling spider family was Lycosidae in egg-plant ecosystem. Murali *et al.* (2017) reported the dominant families were Salticidae, Lycosidae, Oxyopidae, Araneidae and Cheiracanthiidae in brinjal ecosystem. Khan (2013) reported hunting and running spiders from temperate vegetable ecosystems from Kashmir. The present report maximum diversity found in ground dwelling spiders because of poor canopy development of potato crop due to prolonged dry spell and the abundance of areal spiders are positively correlated with complexity of canopy, Greenstone (1984).

At rice ecosystems a total of 567 Nos. individuals of Arachnids was collected comprising of with 10 Nos. of families under 24 Nos. of genus and 40 Nos. of species. The maximum diversity at generic level was found in the family Araneidae (8) followed by Ganophosidae (3), Salticidae (3), Tetragnathidae (3), Theridiidae (2), Lycosidae (2), Thomisidae (2), Mimetidae (1), Clubionidae (1), Oxyopidae (1) and Uloboridae (1). Similar results were reported from rice ecosystems by Thakur *et al.* (1995) who were reported the araneofauna of rice ecosystem was dominated by families Arancidae, Tetragnathidae, Salticidae, Lycosidae, Oxyopidae and Clubionidae. Prabal and Mayuri (2008) observed the spider fauna of Sali and Boro rice from Lakhimpur, Assam, and observed the dominated species were Oxyopes and Tetragnatha in selected seed bed, main field and ratoon crop. Jayakumar and Sankari (2010) found a total of 5 genera Viz., Lycosa, Callitrichia, Tetragnatha, Argiope and Plexippus were found to be common among 6 different sowing techniques of rice crop. Joseph and Premila (2016) also found maximum diversity in families Arancidae, Tetragnathidae and Salticidae in rice ecosystem.

### Alpha Diversity

Deshmukh and Chaudhari (2016) reported Shannon diversity index and Evenness to be 1.98 and 0.9 respectively in Orange farm. Rajini (2016) reported the highest species diversity in banana ecosystem (3.55) followed by paddy field (3.23) and the lowest was observed in lady's finger ecosystem (2.30). In the case of Simpson index, the maximum value was 0.90 in banana ecosystem and the minimum was 0.55 in lady's finger field. The species richness of spiders was greater in banana ecosystem (2.51) followed by paddy field (1.94). The evenness value was maximum in lady's finger ecosystem (0.87) followed by groundnut ecosystem (0.82). Zaki *et al.* (2015) found Shannon-Wiener Index and Simpson Index in between 0.76-1.48, 0.24-0.67 respectively in tomato ecosystem. Rendon *et al.* (2006) evaluated the biodiversity of 2 systems of coffee plantation and found ranges of Species richness (6-51), Shannon index (1.16-2.94), Simpson index (0.101-0.455). Bhat *et al.* (2013) found Shannon index (4.20), Simpson index (0.04), Evenness index (0.50), Margale D (14.73) in cashew system. Ri-

aza *et al.* (2017) found the ranges of species richness (37-42), Evenness (0.71-0.88) and Shannon Wiener index (3.311-3.405) in sunflower and soybean field crop ecosystems. Stokmane and Spungis (2016) found the range of Shannon index to be 0.50 to 2.25 for ground-dwelling spiders and 0.14 to 1.86 for grass-dwelling spiders. Species evenness was 0.87 for the ground-dwelling spiders and 0.68 for the grass-dwelling spiders in calcareous fen ecosystem. Bhattacharya *et al.* (2017) found Shannon Wiener index (2.17) and Evenness index (0.7) in different ecosystem plotted in forest, shrub habitats and five numbers of houses from Meghalaya. Deshmukh and Raut (2014) found Shannon index 1.06, Simpson index 0.10, Margalef Richness Index 8.42 from different ecosystems. Bhuvad *et al.* (2011) recorded Simpson's index to be highest in mango (0.119) followed by rice (0.103), finger millet (0.081), cashew (0.054) and the values of Shannon diversity index was 3.41, 3.02, 2.81 and 2.73 in cashew, mango, finger millet and rice respectively. Goswami *et al.* (2015) found that Shannon diversity varied from 1.73-2.17, while Simpson index was between 0.13-0.18, Margelef richness index was found to be between 1.15-1.86, while Evenness index ranged between 0.69-0.72 at different growth stages of rice crop. Saranya *et al.* (2019) found the species number (5-16), Fisher's Alpha (1.941-5.705), Margalef D (1.467-3.067), Brillouin index (0.992-2.514), Shannon Weiner (H') index (1.427-2.594), Q statistic (2.327- 13.915), Simpson index (4.683-5.142), Equitability J (0.514- 0.9493) in different growth stages of maize crop

### References

1. Swingland, I. R. (2001). Biodiversity, definition of. Encyclopedia of biodiversity, 1, 377-391.
2. DeLong Jr, D. C. (1996). Defining biodiversity. Wildlife society bulletin, 738-749.
3. Sankari, A., & Thiyagesan, K. (2010). Population and predatory potency of spiders in brinjal and snake-gourd. Journal of Biopesticides, 3(1), 28.
4. Bogya, S. (1999). Spiders (Araneae) as polyphagous natural enemies in orchards. Wageningen University and Research.
5. Tikader, B. K., & Bal, A. (1980). Studies on spiders of the genus Zygeilla Cambridge from India (Araneae: Araneidae). Proceedings: Animal Sciences, 89, 243-246.
6. Tikader BK 1987 Hand book of Indian spiders (Calcutta: The director, zoological survey of India)
7. Barrion, A. T., & Litsinger, J. A. (1995). Riceland spiders of south and southeast Asia. Int. Rice Res. Inst.
8. Platnick, N. I. (2011). The World Spider Catalog, Version 11.5. American Museum of Natural History, New York. Online at
9. Khan AA and Khan ZH 2011 Spider fauna (Arachnida: Araneae) in horticultural ecosystem; in Animal Diversity, Natural History and Conservation (eds) Gupta VK (New Delhi: Daya Publishing House) pp 313-38.
10. Clifford, H. T., & Stephenson, W. (1975). Introduction to numerical classification. Academic Press.
11. Fisher, R. A. (1940). The precision of discriminant functions. Annals of Eugenics, 10(1), 422-429.
12. Batten, L. A. (1976, January). Bird communities of some

- Killarney woodlands. In Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy. Section B: Biological, Geological, and Chemical Science (pp. 285-313). Royal Irish Academy.
13. Magurran AE 1987 Measuring Biological diversity (UK: Blackwell Science Ltd)
  14. Kempton, R. A., & Taylor, L. R. (1976). Models and statistics for species diversity. *Nature*, 262(5571), 818-820. Khan AA 2009, Biodiversity of spider fauna (Arachnida: Araneae) in horticultural ecosystem of Kashmir, *J. Biol. Control.*, 25(2), 103-13
  15. McIntosh, R. P. (1967). An index of diversity and the relation of certain concepts to diversity. *Ecology*, 48(3), 392-404.
  16. Simpson, E. H. (1949). Measurement of diversity. *nature*, 163(4148), 688-688.
  17. Berger, W. H., & Parker, F. L. (1970). Diversity of planktonic foraminifera in deep-sea sediments. *Science*, 168(3937), 1345-1347.
  18. Anitha, G., & Vijay, J. (2016). Quantification of the abundance and diversity of predatory spiders in rice ecosystem of Rajendranagar, Telangana, India. *Journal of Applied and Natural Science*, 8(2), 1010-1014.
  19. Asarkar, G. M., & Ade, P. P. (2017). Spider density and diversity in agroecosystem of Akola district (Vidharbh). *Int J Life Sci, Special Issue A*, 8, 103-108.
  20. Bhat, P. S., Srikumar, K. K., & Raviprasad, T. N. (2013). Seasonal diversity and status of spiders (Arachnida: Araneae) in cashew ecosystem. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 22(6), 763-770.
  21. Anindita, B., Mahadev, C., & Prabal, S. (2017). Spider diversity in different habitats at Jaintia Hills of Meghalaya. *International Journal of Life Sciences*, 217(5), 4.
  22. Bhuvad, N. V., Patil, V. K., Bhave, S. G., Narkhede, S. S., Jalgaonkar, V. N., & Mhaiske, V. M. (2011). Spider diversity attributes in a cultural landscape dominated by field crops and fruit orchards in the Konkan region of Maharashtra. *ENVIS Bulletin: Arthropods and their Conservation in India (Insects & Spiders)*, 14(1), 21-33.
  23. Bouseksou S, Kherbouche-Abrous O and Beladjal L 2015, Ecology of Araneae (Arthro poda, Arachnida) populations in two agroecosystems: wheat and oilseed rape in the Mitidja plain (Algeria), *Life Environ.*, 65(4), 257-64
  24. Brown, M. W., Schmitt, J. J., & Abraham, B. J. (2003). Seasonal and diurnal dynamics of spiders (Araneae) in West Virginia orchards and the effect of orchard management on spider communities. *Environmental Entomology*, 32(4), 830-839.
  25. Chetia<sup>1</sup>, P., & Kalita, D. K. (2012). Diversity and distribution of spiders from gibbon wildlife sanctuary, Assam, India.
  26. Deshmukh, U. S., & Chaudhari, P. W. (2016). Study of spider fauna from orange agro ecosystem in the catchment area of upper Wardha dam, Amravati, Maharashtra, India. *Int J Fauna Biol St*, 3(5), 120-123.
  27. Deshmukh, U. S., & Raut, N. M. (2014). Seasonal Diversity and Status of Spiders (Arachnida: Araneae) in Salbardi forest (Satpura Range), Maharashtra, India. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 2(6), 278-281.
  28. Amalin, D. M., & Pena, J. E. (1999, December). Predatory spiders in lime orchards and their importance in the control of citrus leafminer, *Phyllocnistis citrella* (Lepidoptera: Gracillariidae). In PROCEEDINGS-FLORIDA STATE HORTICULTURAL SOCIETY (Vol. 112, pp. 222-223).
  29. Goswami, T. N., Kumari, K., & Anil, B. K. (2015). Quantitative Estimation of Spider Fauna in Rice Ecosystem of Zone IIIA in Bihar. *Environment & Ecology*, 33(2), 783-785.
  30. Greenstone, M. H. (1984). Determinants of web spider species diversity: vegetation structural diversity vs. prey availability. *Oecologia*, 62, 299-304.
  31. Jayakumar, S., & Sankari, A. (2010). Spider population and their predatory efficiency in different rice establishment techniques in Aduthurai, Tamil Nadu. *Journal of Biopesticides*, 3(Special Issue), 20.
  32. Anis Joseph, R., & Premila, K. S. (2016). A study on the richness of spider fauna in rice ecosystem.
  33. Kacar, G. (2015). Biodiversity of spider species, interactions with horticultural crops and a new record for Turkey. *Pakistan Journal of Zoology*, 47(2).
  34. Khan AA 2013 Spider fauna (Arachnida: Araneae) of temperate vegetable ecosystem of Kashmir; in *Biotechnological approaches in crop protection* (eds Prasad D and Ray DP (New-Delhi: Biotech books) pp 400-14
  35. Khan, A. A., & Rather, A. Q. (2012). Diversity and foraging behaviour of spider (Arachnida: Araneae) in the temperate maize ecosystem of Kashmir. *Journal of Biological Control*, 85-95.
  36. Kujur R and Ekka A 2016, Inventorization of Spider fauna of Indra Vihar Park, Raigarh, Chhattisgarh, India, *J. Environ. Sci. Toxicol. Food Technol.*, 1(2), 20-26
  37. Murali, S., Jalali, S. K., Shylesha, A. N., Shivalinga Swamy, T. M., & Gandhi Gracy, R. (2017). Predatory spider fauna in brinjal crop, their abundance and composition. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 5(5), 675-681.
  38. Otto, S., & Floren, A. (2007). The spider fauna (Araneae) of tree canopies in the Białowieża Forest. *Fragmenta Faunistica*, 50(1), 57-70.
  39. Prabal, S., & Mayuri, B. (2008). Spider fauna of rice ecosystem in Northern Assam. *Journal of Applied Zoological Researches*, 19(2), 163-166.
  40. Uniyal, V. P., Sivakumar, K., & Quasin, S. (2011). Diversity of Spiders in Nanda Devi Biosphere Reserve. *Wildlife Institute of India, Dehradun. (DST Project Completion Report)*.
  41. Rajendran, R., Kaliyaperumal, S., & Periyasamy, K. (2017). Diversity and distribution of spider (Araneae) in different ecosystem of Puthanampatti, Tiruchirappalli district, Tamil Nadu, South India. *Int J Sci Engg Res*, 8(10), 1056-1060.
  42. Rajeswaran, J., Duraimurugan, P., & Shanmugam, P. S. (2005). Role of spiders in agriculture and horticulture ecosystem. *Journal of Food Agriculture and Environment*, 3(3/4), 147.
  43. Ranjini, S. (2016). Preliminary studies on the spider fauna in selected agro-ecosystems of Kozhinjampara, Palakkad, Kerala. *International Journal of Scientific Research*, 7(10), 13740-

- 13743.
44. Rendón, M. A. P., Ibarra-Nú, G., Parra-Tabla, V., García-Balinas, J. A., & Hénaut, Y. (2006). Spider diversity in coffee plantations with different management in Southeast Mexico. *The Journal of Arachnology*, 34(1), 104-112.
  45. Riaz, S., Kausar, S., Mohsin, M., Memon, A. M., Maqsood, I., & Abbas, M. N. (2017). Spider Diversity in Some Common Oilseed Crops in Central Punjab, Pakistan. *Biological Sciences-PJSIR*, 60(3), 168-175.
  46. Routledge, R. D. (1977). On Whittaker's components of diversity. *Ecology*, 58(5), 1120-1127.
  47. Sankari, A., & Thiyagesan, K. (2010). Population and predatory potency of spiders in brinjal and snake-gourd. *Journal of Biopesticides*, 3(1), 28.
  48. Saranya, V. S. L., Samiayyan, K., & Prema, M. S. (2019). Diversity of predatory spider fauna in maize ecosystem. *Journal of Biological Control*, 27-35.
  49. Schuldt, A., Fahrenholz, N., Brauns, M., Migge-Kleian, S., Platner, C., & Schaefer, M. (2008). Communities of ground-living spiders in deciduous forests: does tree species diversity matter?. *Biodiversity and conservation*, 17, 1267-1284.
  50. Stenchly, K., Clough, Y., Buchori, D., & Tschamtke, T. (2011). Spider web guilds in cacao agroforestry—comparing tree, plot and landscape-scale management. *Diversity and Distributions*, 17(4), 748-756.
  51. Štokmane, M., & Spunģis, V. (2016). The influence of vegetation structure on spider species richness, diversity and community organization in the Apšuciems calcareous fen, Latvia. *Animal Biodiversity and Conservation*, 39(2), 221-236.
  52. Tahir, H. M., Butt, A., Naheed, R., Bilal, M., & Alam, I. (2011). Activity density of spiders inhabiting the citrus field in Lahore, Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Zoology*, 43(4), 683-688.
  53. Tahir, H. M., Nazarat, I., Naseem, S., Butt, A., Yaqoob, R., Mukhtar, M. K., & Samiullah, K. (2015). Seasonal dynamics of spiders and insect pests in citrus orchards of district Sargodha, Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Zoology*, 47(6).
  54. Thakur, J. N., Singh, J. P., Verma, O. P., & Diwakar, M. C. (1995). Spider fauna in the rice ecosystem of Jammu. *Journal of Biological Control*, 9(2), 125-126.
  55. Wilson, M. V., & Shmida, A. (1984). Measuring beta diversity with presence-absence data. *The Journal of Ecology*, 1055-1064.
  56. Zaki, A. Y., Aly, A. I., Eid, R. A., & Mergawy, M. M. (2015). Biodiversity of ground spiders (Araneae) occurred in tomato and tomato yield fertilized with different organic manures in Fayoum Governorate. *Egyptian Academic Journal of Biological Sciences. A, Entomology*, 8(3), 87-96.

**Copyright:** ©2023 Jyotim Gogoi, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.