

## A Survey of Data Published on the Littoral Zoobenthos of the Gulf of Riga

Kotta J., I. Kotta, G. Martin & H. Kukk

*Estonian Marine Institute, Ravala pst. 8, EE-0001 Tallinn, Estonia; e-mail: jonne@sea.ee*

*Received 11 December 1997, in revised form 28 January 1998*

### ABSTRACT

This paper compiles available literature on the macrozoobenthos and nectobenthos (especially mysids) of the Gulf of Riga. Although emphasis is placed on the recent works, some historically important surveys are also cited. The following information is provided: list of species in the area, maps of abundance and biomass distribution, sensitivity of the communities to pollution, and population dynamics of nectobenthos.

Key words: Baltic Sea, Gulf of Riga, macrozoobenthos, nectobenthos

### INTRODUCTION

In recent years, due to the deterioration of the Baltic marine environment, a considerable research effort has been focused on the ecosystem of the Gulf of Riga. The Gulf of Riga is situated in the easternmost part of the Baltic Sea and it ranks among the most eutrophic regions of the Baltic.

Numerous papers dealing with the communities of the Gulf of Riga were written in Russian. Today, at the dawn of scientific co-operation between the East and the West, a necessity to compile the accumulated knowledge into an English article has arisen.

No concise publication is available about the macrozoobenthos of the Gulf of Riga. Up to now only Laganovskaya & Kachalova (1990) have dealt with the hydrobiological research in the Gulf of Riga.

Here we try to give a survey of the existing knowledge on the macro-zoobenthic and nectobenthic communities of the region. The following topics are discussed: species composition, abundance and biomass distribution, pollution and eutrophication impacts.

### MACROZOOBENTHOS

#### *Species composition*

The first published record on macrozoobenthic species (molluscs) in the Gulf of Riga dates from 1819 (F.S.B., 1819). Since then attention has been primarily focused on the taxonomic composition and distribution of macrozoobenthos. Jaärvekülg (Yarvekyulg, 1979b) concluded that the macrofauna in the Gulf of Riga is characterized by a small number of species due to low salinity and uniformity of habitat, especially in the southern part of the gulf. More than 50% of the gulf is occupied by the *Macoma balthica* association or that of *Cerastoderma lamarcki*, *Mya arenaria*, and *Macoma balthica*. Freshwater species may be very abundant in the photic zone of the gulf.

Yarvekyulg (1979b) found 139 zoobenthic species in the Gulf of Riga. Other authors (Shurin, 1953; Kachalova, 1974b; Lagzdinsh, 1975) added *Unto* sp., *Gammarus locusta*, *Calliopius laeviusculus*, and *Nemertini* (*Prostoma obscurum*). Recently Lagzdinsh & Pallo (1994) reported on the colonization of an alien polychaete species *Marenzelleria viridis* into the southern part of the gulf. Turbellarians were found in the northern part of the Gulf of Riga (I. Kotta, pers. comm.).

In addition, the following papers contain information on the taxonomic composition of zoobenthos: in the whole gulf - Shurin, 1960; Yarvekyulg, 1975; the southern part of the gulf - Kachalova & Lagzdinsh,

1974, 1982; Lagzdinsh & Saule, 1983; the northern part of the gulf - Järvekülg, 1960; Kotta, 1980, 1995. A list of all macrozoobenthic taxa found in the Gulf of Riga is presented below.

**List of zoobenthic species in the Gulf of Riga by Shurin (1953), Kachalova (1974b), Lagzdinsh (1975), Lagzdinsh & Pallo (1994), and Yarvekyulg (1979b)**

<i>Nais elinguis</i> O. F. Müller		
<i>Paranais litoralis</i> (O. F. Müller)		
<i>P. frici</i> Hrabě		
<i>Clitellio arenarius</i> (O. F. Müller)		
<i>Limnodriloides prostratus</i> (Knöllner)		
<i>Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri</i> Claparède		
<i>Euliyodrilus hammoniensis</i> (Michaelsen)		
<i>E. bavaricus</i> (Oeschmann)		
<i>Psammoryces barbatus</i> (Grube)		
<i>Tubifex costatus</i> (Claparède)		
<i>Pelosclex heterochaetus</i> (Michaelsen)		
<i>P. benedeni</i> (d'Udekem)		
<b>Cl. Hirudinea</b>		
<i>Piscicola geometra</i> (L.)		
<b>Ph. Nemertini</b>		
<b>Ph. Arthropoda</b>		
<b>Cl. Crustacea</b>		
O. Copepoda		
<i>Caligus lacustris</i> Steenst. et Lütke		
<i>Eucyclops macruioides</i> (Lilljeborg)		
<i>Paracyclops fimbriatus</i> (Fischer)		
<i>Nitocra spinipes</i> Boeck		
<i>Mesochora rapiens</i> (Schmeil)		
<i>Nannopus palustris</i> Brady		
<i>Laophonte mohammed</i> Blanch. et Rich.		
<i>Tachidius discipes</i> Giesbrecht		
<i>Ectinosoma curticornis</i> Boeck		
O. Ostracoda		
<i>Candona neglecta</i> Sars		
<i>C. angulata</i> G. W. Müller		
<i>C. compressa</i> (Koch) Brady		
<i>C. protzi</i> Hartwig		
<i>Cyclocypris ovum</i> (Jurine) G. W. Müller		
<i>Ilyocypris biplicata</i> (Koch)		
<i>Heterocypris salina</i> (Brady)		
<i>C. aculeata</i> (Costa)		
<i>Limnocythere inopinta</i> (Baird)		
<i>Cyprideis torosa</i> (Jones)		
<i>Heterocyprideis sorbyana</i> (Jones)		
<i>Paracyprideis fennica</i> (Hirschm.)		
<i>Hirschmannia viridis</i> (O. F. Müller)		
<i>L. lacertosa</i> (Hirschm.)		
<i>Cytheromorpha fuscata</i> (Brady)		
<i>Cytherura gibba</i> (O. F. Müller)		
<i>C. nigrescens</i> (Baird)		
<i>Xestoleberis aurantia</i> (Baird)		
O. Branchiura		
<i>Argulus foliaceus</i> (L.)		
O. Cirripedia		
<i>Balanus improvisus</i> Darwin		
O. Isopoda		
<i>Idotea balthica</i> (Pallas)		
	<i>I. viridis</i> (Slabber)	
	<i>Saduria entomon</i> (L.)	
	<i>Jaera albifrons</i> Leach	
	<i>Asellus aquaticus</i> (L.)	
	<i>Monoporeia affinis</i> Lindström	
	<i>Pontoporeia femorata</i> Kröyer	
	<i>Galliopus laevisculus</i> (Kröyer)	
	<i>Bathyporeia pilosa</i> Lindström	
	<i>Gammarus oceanicus</i> Segerstråle	
	<i>G. locusta</i> Sars	
	<i>G. salinus</i> Spooner	
	<i>G. zaddachi</i> Sexton et Spooner	
	<i>G. duebeni</i> Lilljeborg	
	<i>Leptocheirus pilosus</i> Zaddach	
	<i>Corophium volutator</i> (Pallas)	
	O. Mysidacea	
	<i>Mysis relicta</i> Lovén	
	<i>M. mixta</i> Lilljeborg	
	<i>Praunus flexuosus</i> (O. F. Müller)	
	<i>P. inermis</i> (Rathke)	
	<i>Neomysis integer</i> (Leach)	
	O. Decapoda	
	<i>Palaemon adspersus</i> (Rathke)	
	<i>Crangon crangon</i> (L.)	
	<b>Cl. Arachnida</b>	
	<i>Halacarus balticus</i> Lohmann	
	<i>H. basteri</i> (Johnston)	
	<i>Lohmanella falcata</i> (Hodge)	
	<b>Cl. Insecta</b>	
	O. Lepidoptera	
	<i>Acentropus niveus</i> (Oliver)	
	O. Heteroptera	
	<i>Corixa striata</i> L.	
	<i>Notonecta</i> sp.	
	O. Coleoptera	
	<i>Halipplus confinis</i> Stephens	
	<i>H. immaculatus</i> Gerh.	
	<i>Dyiscus</i> sp.	
	<i>Gyrinus substriatus</i> Stephens	
	O. Diptera	
	<i>Procladius</i> Skuze	
	<i>Clunio marinus</i> Haldiv	
	<i>Cricotopus</i> ex gr. <i>algarum</i> Kieffer	
	<i>C. bififormis</i> Edwards	
	<i>C. latidentatus</i> Tschern.	
	<i>C. ex gr. sylvestris</i> (Fabricius)	
	<i>Orthocladus consobrinus</i> (Holmgren)	
	<i>O. ex gr. saxicola</i> Kieffer	
	<i>Psicrocladius</i> ex gr. <i>psilopterus</i> Kieffer	
	<i>P. simulans</i> Johannsen	
	<i>Chironomus annularius</i> (Meigen)	
	<i>Ch. f. l. bathophilus</i> Kieffer	
	<i>Ch. f. l. halophilus</i> Kieffer	
	<i>Ch. f. l. plumosus</i> (L.)	
	<i>Ch. f. l. salinarius</i> Kieffer	
	<i>Cryptochironomus</i> ex gr. <i>anomalus</i> Kieffer	
	<i>C. ex gr. conjungens</i> Kieffer	
	<i>C. ex gr. defectus</i> Kieffer	
	<i>C. ex gr. viridulus</i> Fabricius	
	<i>Einfeldia</i> ex gr. <i>pagana</i> Meigen	
	<i>Endochironomus</i> ex gr. <i>tendens</i> (Fabricius)	
	<i>Halliella</i> ex gr. <i>taurica</i> Tschern.	
	<i>Limnochironomus</i> ex gr. <i>nervosus</i> (Staeger)	
	<i>L. ex gr. tritonus</i> Kieffer	
	<i>Polypedium breviantennatum</i> Tschern.	
	<i>P. ex gr. scalaenum</i> (Schränk)	
	<i>Pseudochironomus</i> ex gr. <i>prasinatus</i> Staeger	
	<i>Stictochironomus</i> ex gr. <i>histrion</i> (Fabricius)	
	<i>Micropsettra</i> ex gr. <i>praecox</i> Meigen	
	<i>Tanytarsus</i> ex gr. <i>gregarius</i> Kieffer	
	<i>T. ex gr. mancus</i> v. d. Wulp	
	<i>T. ex gr. mancus</i> No 2 Zvereva	
	<i>T. ex gr. lauterborni</i> Kieffer	
	<i>Culicoides</i> sp.	
	<b>Ph. Mollusca</b>	
	<b>Cl. Gastropoda</b>	
	<i>Theodoxus fluviatilis</i> (L.)	
	<i>Viviparus contectus</i> (Millet)	
	<i>Valvata piscinalis</i> (O. F. Müller)	
	<i>V. cristata</i> O. F. Müller	
	<i>Bithynia tentaculata</i> (L.)	
	<i>Hydrobia ulvae</i> (Pennant)	
	<i>H. ventrosa</i> (Montagu)	
	<i>Potamopyrgus jenkinsi</i> (Smith)	
	<i>Lymnaea stagnalis</i> (L.)	
	<i>L. palustris</i> (O. F. Müller)	
	<i>L. peregra</i> (O. F. Müller)	
	<i>L. auricularia</i> (L.)	
	<i>Planorbis planorbis</i> (L.)	
	<i>Planorbis cornutus</i> (L.)	
	<i>Embletonia pallida</i> (Alder et Hanc.)	
	<b>Cl. Bivalvia</b>	
	<i>Unio</i> sp.	
	<i>Mytilus edulis</i> L.	
	<i>Dreissena polymorpha</i> (Pallas)	
	<i>Cerastoderma lamarcki</i> (Reeve)	
	<i>Macoma balthica</i> L.	
	<i>Mya arenaria</i> L.	
	<i>Anodonta cygnea</i> (L.)	
	<i>Sphaerium corneum</i> (L.)	
	<b>Ph. Tentaculata</b>	
	<b>Cl. Bryozoa</b>	
	<i>Electra crustulenta</i> var. <i>baltica</i> Borg	
	<i>Plumatella fungosa</i> (Pallas)	

*Abundance and biomass distribution*

The frequencies of different zoobenthic species around the whole coast of the Gulf of Riga were estimated by Shurin (1961). Three depth levels and the areas of abundant benthic vegetation were distinguished (Table 1). Most frequent species were the bivalves *Macoma balthica*, *Cerastoderma lamarcki*, *Mya arenaria*, and *Mytilus edulis*; but also the crustaceans *Gammarus* spp. and *Idotea* spp. *Lymnaea peregra* was frequently found between 0 and 3 m; *Gammarus* spp., *Leptocheirus pilosus*, *Idotea viridis*, *Jaera albifrons*, and *Balanus improvisus* between 0 and 10 m; *Monoporeia affinis*, *Saduria entomon*, and *Corophium volutator* between 10 and 20 m.

Table 1

Frequencies (%) of macrozoobenthic species at different depths and in areas of abundant vegetation (after Shurin, 1953)

Species	0-3 m	0-10 m	10-20 m	Rich vegetation
<i>Macoma balthica</i>	22	43	84	-
<i>Mytilus edulis</i>	10	46	41	54
<i>Cerastoderma lamarcki</i>	14	26	13	-
<i>Mya arenaria</i>	+	22	12	-
<i>Theodoxus fluviatilis</i>	39	41	11	64
<i>Hydrobia</i> spp.	12	+	+	-
<i>Bithynia tentaculata</i>	12	+	-	-
<i>Lymnaea peregra</i>	32	17	+	45
<i>Asellus aquaticus</i>	+	+	-	-
<i>Gammarus</i> spp.	44	39	+	95 (27% <i>G. duebeni</i> )
<i>Leptocheirus pilosus</i>	22	29	-	64
<i>Corophium volutator</i>	-	12	19	-
<i>Monoporeia affinis</i>	-	+	43	-
<i>Pontoporeia femorata</i>	-	-	+	-
<i>Bathyporeia pilosa</i>	+	+	+	27
<i>Saduria entomon</i>	-	14	53	27
<i>Idotea balthica</i>	+	+	+	64
<i>I. viridis</i>	31	22	+	64
<i>Jaera albifrons</i> coll.	17	30	+	54
<i>Crangon crangon</i>	-	+	+	23
<i>Halicryptus spinulosus</i>	-	+	18	-
<i>Balanus improvisus</i>	34	46	25	77
<i>Neomysis integer</i>	51	46	+	100
<i>Praunus flexuosus</i>	12	11	-	45
<i>P. inermis</i>	10	12	+	41
<i>Nereis diversicolor</i>	10	14	10	23

+ designates species mentioned in the text.

Shurin (1961) estimated the total abundance and biomass of zoobenthic species at depths to 10 m. Similar calculations at depths between 0 and 20 m were made by Yarvekyulg (1970a) (Table 2). The dominant species of the region was obviously *Macoma balthica*. The selection of subdominants depended either on authors or whether abundance or biomass values were considered. According to Shurin (1961) bivalves had the highest biomass and abundance values. Yarvekyulg (1970a) added here Oligochaeta as the most abundant and *Monoporeia affinis* as a species dominating both in biomass and abundance.

Local freshwater species were prevalent in the nearshore areas (50-70%) and euryhaline marine species in the open part of the Gulf of Riga (40-60%) (Kachalova & Lagzdinsh, 1974; Yarvekyulg, 1979b).

Table 2

Mean abundance (ind m<sup>-2</sup>) and biomass (g m<sup>-2</sup>) of dominant zoobenthic species at depths up to 10 m (Shurin, 1961) and up to 20 m (Yarvekyulg, 1970a)

Taxa	Abundance		Biomass	
	0-10 m	0-20 m	0-10 m	0-20 m
<i>Macoma balthica</i>	150	602	31	15
<i>Cerastoderma lamarcki</i>	40	-	15	-
<i>Mya arenaria</i>	20	-	12	-
<i>Mytilus edulis</i>	62	-	8	13
<i>Nereis diversicolor</i>	3	-	0.3	-
<i>Saduria entomon</i>	2	-	0.9	-
<i>Corophium volutator</i>	15	-	0.1	-
<i>Monoporeia affinis</i>	28	587	0.1	1
<i>Bathyporeia pilosa</i>	11	-	3.1	-
<i>Hydrobia</i> spp.	6	-	0.04	-
Oligochaeta	-	410	-	-

Shurin (1953) distinguished six different communities in the Gulf of Riga. The distinction was made on the basis of most abundant species (Fig. 1). Three bivalves (*Macoma balthica*, *Cerastoderma lamarcki*, and *Mya arenaria*) inhabited the widest area of the Gulf of Riga. *Mytilus edulis* was fairly abundant on the hard substrate at depths up to 20 m (south-western and partly eastern part of the gulf), but likewise occurred sparsely on various sediment types over the whole gulf.




Fig. 1. The distribution of different communities of macrozoobenthos in the Gulf of Riga by Shurin (1953).

Based on his earlier investigation (Järvekülg, 1961, 1962; Yarvekyulg, 1961, 1962b, 1962c, 1968) Yarvekyulg (1979b) presented a generalized map of the dispersion of total biomass and abundance distribution of macrozoobenthos in the Gulf of Riga and in the aquatory of the Väinameri (Figs. 2 and 3). The highest abundances were found in the southern part of the gulf and the highest biomasses in Parnu Bay, near Muhu Island, and in the south-western part of the gulf. The same author (Yarvekyulg, 1970a) explained why the density of zoobenthos was lower in the southern part of the Gulf of Riga than in other regions. First, unstable sediments coupled with intensive currents and wave action make the colonization of *Mya arenaria* and *Cerastoderma lamarcki* difficult; secondly, lack of hard substrate, i.e. unfavourable conditions for *Mytilus edulis* and *Balanus improvisus*; and, finally, poor bottom vegetation.

Lapin (1972) and Lagzdinsh & Saule (1983, 1984) studied the seasonal dynamics of biomass and abundance of macrozoobenthos in the southern part of the Gulf of Riga. Sampling was performed only at shallower areas of the photic zone (mean 0.6 m, maximum 1.2 m). The total biomass and abundance had higher and fairly constant values between May and October. A crustacean, *Bathyporeia pilosa*, was the dominant species over most time of the year. Oligochaeta, Nematoda, *Macoma balthica*, *Neomysis integer*, *Gammarus zaddachi*, and *G. salinus* were frequently observed.

#### *Studies of eutrophication and pollution*

Shurin (1953) demonstrated the impact of the Daugava River on the species composition of macrozoobenthos in the southern part of the Gulf of Riga. Relatively diverse zoobenthic communities with a high proportion of freshwater species were found up to 3 m depth. Gradual disappearance of freshwater species was noted between 3 and 8 m. Further (8-20 m) only three species were recorded: *M. balthica*, *Saduria entomon*, and *M. affinis*. Yarvekyulg (1970a) stated that the density of zoobenthos was lower (242 ind m<sup>-2</sup>) at the mouth of the Daugava River as compared to the surrounding areas. Similarly, Lagz-

dinsh (1975) found a 4-7 fold difference in the number of species between the mouth of the Daugava River and the open sea. Strikingly, the biomass and abundance values of zoobenthos in the mouth of the Pärnu River were considerably higher than in the adjacent areas (Järvekülg, 1960).




Fig. 2. The distribution of biomass of macrozoobenthos,  $\text{g m}^{-2}$ , in the Gulf of Riga and in the aquatory of the Väinameri (Yarvekyulg, 1979b).




Fig. 3. The distribution of abundance of macrozoobenthos,  $\text{ind m}^{-2}$ , in the Gulf of Riga and in the aquatory of the Väinameri (Yarvekyulg, 1979b).

Gaumiga & Lagzdinsh (1995) reported that biomass and abundance of zoobenthos have considerably increased in the Gulf of Riga over the course of the last 30 years. J. Kotta & I. Kotta (1995) stated a 1.5 to 8 times increase in the total biomass of macrozoobenthos in Pärnu Bay as well as the disappearance of several oligosaprobic and some mesosaprobic species (e.g., *Idotea viridis*, *Jaera albifrons*, *Leptocheirus pilosus*, *Bathyporeia pilosa*, *Lymnaea stagnalis*, *Lymnaea peregra*, and *Mytilus edulis*). The densest communities have shifted from open areas towards the northern coast of Pärnu Bay where the most intense influx of pollutants occurs. Similar changes in community structure were found in the photic zone of the southern bays of Saaremaa Island (I. Kotta & J. Kotta, 1997).

## NECTOBENTHOS

During the last 25 years special attention has been paid to nectobenthos research, especially to Mysidacea in the northern part of the Gulf of Riga. *Neomysis integer* is the most abundant species in the shallower area of the gulf. Deeper regions are inhabited by *N. integer*, *Mysis mixta*, and *M. relicta* (Järvekülg 1960, 1961; Sanina, 1961; Kotta, 1976, 1978, 1979, 1980, 1984, 1995; Yarvekyulg, 1979b). *Praunus inermis* and *P. flexuosus* prevail in the vegetated areas of the Gulf of Riga (Kotta, 1980).

Kotta (1995) observed the dynamics of *N. integer* in Pärnu Bay during the last 20 years. The species had higher abundances and biomasses in 1980 and 1987-91 ( $> 100 \text{ ind m}^{-3}$  and  $> 500 \text{ mg m}^{-3}$ ). These peaks coincided with the higher average water temperature of the bay. The highest abundance and biomass values of *M. mixta* and *M. relicta* have been found after very severe winters when the temperature in the bottom layers was noticeably lower than usual in spring.

Seasonal dynamics of *N. integer* and *M. mixta* was studied in the northern part of the Gulf of Riga by Kotta & Simm (1979) and Kotta (1995). The maximum abundance was observed in Pärnu Bay in August/September and in the open parts of the Gulf of Riga in September.

The presence of diel vertical migration of *M. mixta* (Chekhova, 1961) and *N. integer* (Sanina, 1961) was reported in the southern part of the Gulf of Riga.

Four regressions have been proposed to describe the relationship between the fecundity ( $F$ , the number of embryos in the brood pouch) and length of *N. integer* ( $L$ , mm) (I. Kotta, pers. comm.):

1. July (very active breeding season)  
 $F = 3.5 \times L - 21.6$  and  $F = 0.620 \times L^{1.40}$
2. May, June, August (less active breeding season)  
 $F = 2.6 \times L - 14.0$  and  $F = 0.320 \times L^{1.58}$

The yearly production of *M. mixta* was estimated in the northern part of the Gulf of Riga (Simm et al., 1983; Simm & Kotta, 1982, 1992). Recruitment of the population was observed in April/May. The highest growth ratio was measured in July/August. Shvetsova (1980) analysed the dynamics of recruitment of *M. mixta* in the southern part of the Gulf of Riga. These results agree with those of Simm & Kotta (1992).

## MISCELLANEOUS

In addition, there are about 20 publications dealing with different topics of macrozoobenthos that have not been cited above. The results of these studies were either covered by other authors, or these studies concentrate on a very specific item.

1. Studies of historical importance: Kauri, 1934; Haberman, 1938; Bêrzinš, 1949.
2. Distribution, abundance, and biomass of different zoobenthic species: whole Gulf of Riga – Yarvekyulg, 1962a, 1970b; Järvekülg, 1973; Lagzdinsh et al., 1987a; southern part of the gulf – Karpevich & Shurin, 1970; Lapin, 1974; northern part of the gulf - Yarvekyulg, 1963, 1967; Järvekülg, 1964, 1965, 1967.
3. Interannual comparison of abundances of macrozoobenthos: southern part of the gulf- Kachalova et al., 1967; Karpevich & Shurin, 1970; Lagzdinsh et al., 1987b; Kostrichkina et al., 1992; northern part of the gulf - Ojaveer et al., 1988.
4. Effect of pollution on macrozoobenthos: southern part of the gulf - Kachalova, 1974a; Lagzdinsh, 1975.
5. Relation between isolation rank of a bay and the structure of zoobenthic communities: northern part of the gulf - Kangur et al., 1982.
6. Ecology of bivalves: Yarvekyulg, 1979a.

## REFERENCES

- Bērziņš, B. 1949. Über temperaturbedingte Tierwanderung in der Ostsee. *Oikos*, **1**, 1, 29–33.
- Chekhova, V. A. 1961. Vertical migration of *Mysis mixta* and *M. oculata* f. *relicta* in the Gulf of Riga. *Trudy NIIRH SNH Latv. SSR*, 1961, **3**, 307–327 (in Russian).
- Gaumiga, R. & Lagzdinsh, G. 1995. Macrozoobenthos. In *Ecosystem of the Gulf of Riga Between 1920 and 1990* (Ojaveer, E., ed.). Estonian Academy Publishers, Tallinn, 198–211.
- F. S. B. 1819. Existence simultanée de mollusques marins et fluviatiles dans le Golfe de Livonie. *Bull. Soc. philom.* Paris, 72.
- Haberman, H. 1938. Selgrootud. In *Eesti VIII, Läänemaa. I*. Eesti Kirjanduse Selts, Tartu, 81–91.
- Järvekülg, A. 1960. Materjale Pärnu lahe põhjaloomastiku kohta. *Eesti NSV TA Toim. Biol.*, **9**, 3, 199–214.
- Järvekülg, A. 1961. Mõnede bentiliste ja nektobentiliste selgrootute levikust Riia lahe kirdeosas. *Eesti NSV TA Toim. Biol.*, **10**, 3, 214–230.
- Järvekülg, A. 1962. Põhjaloomastiku varudest Riia lahe kirdeosas. *Kalatööstus* (Tallinn), **1**, 48–52.
- Järvekülg, A. 1964. Eesti NSV läänerranniku vete põhjaloomastik töönduskalade toidubaasina. *Abiks Kalurile* (Tallinn), **1**, 30, 20–24.
- Järvekülg, A. 1965. Eesti rannikuvete müsiididest. *Eesti Loodus*, **3**, 145–151.
- Järvekülg, A. 1967. Saaremaad ümbritsevate merealade põhjaloomastikust. In *VIII Eesti Loodusuurijate Päeva ettekannete teesid*. Eesti NSV TA Loodusuurijate Selts, Tartu, 53–55.
- Järvekülg, A. 1973. Distribution and ecology of the local populations of benthic glacial relicts. *Oikos*, Suppl. **15** (Baltic Symposium), 91–97.
- Kachalova, O. L. 1974a. Changes of benthic fauna in the estuary of the Daugava river in connection to pollution. In *Self Purification Factors of the Daugava Estuary Area*. Zinatne, Riga, 90–105 (in Russian).
- Kachalova, O. L. 1974b. Peculiarities of the species composition of the zoobenthos in the southern part of the Gulf of Riga. In *Biology of the Baltic Sea I*. Zinatne, Riga, 244–257 (in Russian).
- Kachalova, O. L., Kumsare, A. J., Laganovskaya, R. J., Rudzroga, A. I. & Jurane, A. D. 1967. Hydrobiological regime in the coastal zone of the Gulf of Riga. In *Marine Bays as the Recievers of Riverine Runoff*. Zinatne, Riga, 98–105 (in Russian).
- Kachalova, O. L. & Lagzdinsh, G. S. 1974. Zoobenthos in the southern part of the Gulf of Riga in 1964–1969. In *Biology of the Baltic Sea I*. Zinatne, Riga, 258–302 (in Russian).
- Kachalova, O. L. & Lagzdinsh, G. S. 1982. Benthic fauna in the southern part of the Gulf of Riga. In *Environment and Hydrobiocoenoses of the Gulf of Riga*. Zinatne, Riga, 181–198 (in Russian).
- Kangur, M., Annist, J., Kotta, I., Lumberg, A. & Turovskij, A. 1982. Isolation effect on the hydrochemical regime and biota in some bays of Saaremaa Island. In *Problems of Modern Ecology*. Tartu, 116 (in Russian).
- Karpevich, A. F. & Shurin, A. T. 1970. The effect of physical and chemical environment to the invertebrates consumed by fish inhabiting the Baltic Sea and the Gulf of Riga. *Rybokhozyajstvennye issledovaniya v bassejne Baltijskogo morya* (Zvaigzne, Riga), **6**, 157–195 (in Russian).
- Kauri, H. 1934. Saaremaa selgrootud. In *Eesti VI, Saaremaa*. Eesti Kirjanduse Selts, Tartu, 98–102.
- Kostrichkina, B., Gaumiga, R., Jurkovskis, A., Modre, B., Mazmachs, M. & Kalveka, B. 1992. The dynamics of plankton and macrozoobenthos in the Gulf of Riga and the main trends of their changes in the 1950.–1980. In *18th Conference of Baltic Oceanographers. Abstracts*. St. Petersburg, 67.
- Kotta, I. 1976. About the reproduction and abundance dynamics of *Neomysis vulgaris* Thompson in Pärnu Bay. *Rybokhozyajstvennye issledovaniya v bassejne Baltijskogo morya* (Zvaigzne, Riga), **12**, 44–50 (in Russian).

- Kotta, I. 1978. Abundance dispersion and migration of mysids in the Gulf of Riga. In *Problems of Modern Ecology*. Tartu, 111 (in Russian).
- Kotta, I. 1979. Effect of water temperature on the dispersion, reproduction and seasonal dynamics of *Neomysis vulgaris* and *Mysis mixta* in the north-eastern part of the Gulf of Riga. *Rybokhozyajstvennyye issledovaniya v bassejne Baltijskogo morya* (Zvaigzne, Riga), **14**, 63–70 (in Russian).
- Kotta, I. 1980. Dispersion of abundance and migration of mysids in the Gulf of Riga. *Rybokhozyajstvennyye issledovaniya v bassejne Baltijskogo morya* (Zvaigzne, Riga), **15**, 98–107 (in Russian).
- Kotta, I. 1984. Abundance, biomass and seasonal migration of mysids in the Gulf of Riga. In *Hydrobiological Regime of the Baltic Sea*. Institute of Zoology and Botany, Academy of Sciences of the Estonian SSR, Tallinn, 92–101 (in Russian).
- Kotta, I. 1995. Nektobenthos (*Mysidacea*). In *Ecosystem of the Gulf of Riga Between 1920 and 1990* (Ojaveer, E., ed.). Estonian Academy Publishers, Tallinn, 187–197.
- Kotta, I. & Kotta, J. 1997. Changes in zoobenthic communities in Estonian waters between the 1970's and 1990's. An example from the southern coast of Saaremaa and Muuga Bay. In *Proceedings of the 14th Baltic Marine Symposium* (Ojaveer, E., ed.). Estonian Academy Publishers, Tallinn, 70–79.
- Kotta, I. & Simm, M. 1979. On the seasonal dynamics of abundance of planktonic and nektobenthic crustaceans in the north-eastern part of the Gulf of Riga and Pärnu Bay. *Rybokhozyajstvennyye issledovaniya v bassejne Baltijskogo morya* (Zvaigzne, Riga), **14**, 54–62 (in Russian).
- Kotta, J. & Kotta, I. 1995. The state of macrobenthos of Pärnu Bay in 1991 as compared to 1959–1960. *Proc. Estonian Acad. Sci. Ecol.*, **5**, 1/2, 26–37.
- Laganovskaya, R. J. & Kachalova, O. L. 1990. *Hydrobiological Studies in the Baltic Sea*. Riga (in Russian).
- Lagzdinsh, G. S. 1975. Benthic fauna in the Gulf of Riga as the indicator of pollution. In *Fundamentals of Bioproductivity of the Inner Waterbodies of the Baltic Region* (Virbitskas, Yu., Krotas, R., Manyukas, I., eds.). *Proceedings of XVIII Scientific Conference of the Research of the Inner Waterbodies of the Baltic States*. Institute of Zoology and Parasitology, Academy of Sciences of the Lithuanian SSR, Vilnius, 429–430 (in Russian).
- Lagzdinsh, G. & Pallo, P. 1994. *Marenzelleria viridis* (Verrill) (*Polychaeta, Spionidae*) – a new species for the Gulf of Riga. *Proc. Estonian Acad. Sci. Biol.*, **43**, 3, 184–188.
- Lagzdinsh, G. S. & Saule, A. H. 1983. Macrozoobenthos of upper littoral zone in the Gulf of Riga. In *Biocoenosis of Different Trophic Groups*. Zinatne, Riga, 147–161 (in Russian).
- Lagzdinsh, G. S. & Saule, A. H. 1984. About the biology of *Bathyporeia pilosa* Lindström in the coastal areas of the Gulf of Riga. In *Hydrobiology of the Gulf of Riga*. Riga, 217–228 (in Russian).
- Lagzdinsh, G. S., Saule, A. H. & Pallo, P. E. 1987a. Changes in benthic macrofauna as the indicator of eutrophication in the southern part of the Gulf of Riga. In *Biological Resources of the Water Bodies of the Baltic Sea Region*. Vilnius, 101–102 (in Russian).
- Lagzdinsh, G. S., Saule, A. H. & Pallo, P. E. 1987b. The zonation of zoobenthos in the eastern part of the Baltic Sea, the Gulf of Riga and the Gulf of Finland. In *Biology of the Baltic Sea. Hydrochemical and Hydrobiological Characteristics and the Zonation of the Eastern Part of the Baltic Sea, the Gulf of Riga and the Gulf of Finland* (Andrushajtis, G. P., ed.). Zinatne, Riga, 164–197.
- Lapin, I. M. 1972. New data on *Bathyporeia pilosa* Lindström in the southern part of the Gulf of Riga. *Proc. Acad. Sci. Latvian SSR*, **5**, 56–60.
- Lapin, I. M. 1974. Macrozoobenthos in the southern part of the Gulf of Riga. In *Biology of the Baltic Sea I*. Zinatne, Riga, 303–332 (in Russian).



- Ojaveer, E., Erm, V., Järvekülg, A. & Tenson, J. 1988. Pärnu lahe ökosüsteemi muutustest viimastel aastakümnetel. In *Kaasaegse ökoloogia probleemid. Ökoloogia ja ühiskond*. TRÜ, Tartu, 100–102.
- Sanina, L. V. 1961. About the biology of *Neomysis vulgaris* Thompson in the Gulf of Riga. *Trudy NIIRH SNH Latv. SSR*, 3, 293–306 (in Russian).
- Shurin, A. T. 1953. Benthic fauna of the Gulf of Riga. *Trudy Latv. otd. VNIRO*, 1, 77–113 (in Russian).
- Shurin, A. T. 1960. Benthic fauna of the Gulf of Riga and its dispersion patterns. *Trudy VNIRO*, 42, 37–60 (in Russian).
- Shurin, A. T. 1961. Assemblages of benthic fauna in the Gulf of Riga. *Trudy NIIRH SNH Latv. SSR*, 3, 343–368 (in Russian).
- Shvetsova, G. M. O. 1980. Reproduction of two relict arctic species of mysid species in the Gulf of Riga. *Rybokhozyajstvennyye issledovaniya v bassejne Baltijskogo morya (Zvaigzne, Riga)*, 15, 108–117 (in Russian).
- Simm, M. & Kotta, I. 1982. Relationship between body length and weight of the main species of copepods and mysids in the Baltic Sea and seasonal changes in the body parameters of *Acartia bifilosa*. *Rybokhozyajstvennyye issledovaniya v bassejne Baltijskogo morya, (Zvaigzne, Riga)*, 17, 15–22 (in Russian).
- Simm, M. & Kotta, I. 1992. The life cycle and production of *Mysis mixta* in the Gulf of Riga. In *Taxonomy, Biology and Ecology of (Baltic) Mysids, Proc. of an International Expert Conference* (Köhn, J., Malcolm, B. J., Moffat, A., eds.). Rostock University, Germany, 45–54.
- Simm, M., Kotta, I. & Randla, R. 1983. Biology and production of *Mysis mixta* in the northeastern part of the Gulf of Riga. In *XXI Scientific Conference in the Research and Exploitation of the Bodies of Water in the Baltic and Byelorussian Area*. Ministry of Fishery, Pskov, 70–71 (in Russian).
- Yarvekyulg, A. 1961. The results of the investigations of benthic fauna in the northeastern part of the Gulf of Riga. In *Proceedings of IX Scientific Conference of the Research in the Baltic Region Surface Waters*. Institute of Biology, Academy of Sciences of Latvian SSR, Riga, 134–136 (in Russian).
- Yarvekyulg, A. 1962a. About the arctic fauna and its history in the Baltic Sea. *Okeanologiya*, 2, 2, 327–333 (in Russian).
- Yarvekyulg, A. 1962b. Biomass distribution of benthic fauna in the northeastern part of the Gulf of Riga. *Rybnaya promyshlennost'* (Tallinn), 1, 6, 56–60 (in Russian).
- Yarvekyulg, A. 1962c. Data on the benthic fauna of Pärnu Bay. In *Hydrobiological Investigations. III*. Institute of Zoology and Botany, Academy of Sciences of the Estonian SSR. Tartu, 189–194 (in Russian).
- Yarvekyulg, A. 1963. The results in the investigations of the benthic fauna of the north-eastern part of the Gulf of Riga. In *Hydrobiology and Ichthyology of the Internal Water Bodies of the Baltic States*. Acad. of Sciences of the Latvian SSR, Riga, 155–160 (in Russian).
- Yarvekyulg, A. 1967. Zoobenthos of the western coastal sea of the Estonian SSR as the diet of commercial fish species. *Ezhegodnik O-va estestvoispyt*. Academy of Sciences of Estonian SSR, Tallinn, 58, 175–196 (in Russian).
- Yarvekyulg, A. 1968. Some regularities in the distribution of zoobenthos in the eastern part of the Baltic Sea. *Rybokhozyajstvennyye issledovaniya v bassejne Baltijskogo morya (Zvaigzne, Riga)*, 4, 89–108 (in Russian).
- Yarvekyulg, A. 1970a. General description and distribution patterns of zoobenthos in the southern part of the Gulf of Riga. *Rybokhozyajstvennyye issledovaniya v bassejne Baltijskogo morya (Zvaigzne, Riga)*, 6, 196–214 (in Russian).

- Yarvekyul, A. 1970b. Distribution, refuge formation of the relict glacial populations in the eastern part of the Baltic Sea. *Rybokhozyajstvennyye issledovaniya v bassejne Baltijskogo morya* (Zvaigzne, Riga), 7, 24–51 (in Russian).
- Yarvekyul, A. 1975. *The Dispersion Ecology of Zoobenthos in the Eastern Part of the Baltic Sea*. D.Sc. Thesis. Academy of Sciences of Estonian SSR, Tallinn (in Russian).
- Yarvekyul, A. 1979a. The ecology of bivalves in the eastern part of the Baltic Sea In *Mollusks, Main Results of the Investigations*. Nauka, Leningrad, 45–47 (in Russian).
- Yarvekyul, A. 1979b. *Benthic Fauna in the Eastern Part of the Baltic Sea*. Valgus, Tallinn (in Russian).

## ÜLEVAADE LIIVI LAHE LITORAALI ASUSTAVA ZOOBENTOSE KOHTA AVALDATUD KIRJANDUSEST

Jonne KOTTA, Ilmar KOTTA, Georg MARTIN ja Henn KUKK

Artiklis on kokku võetud Liivi lahe põhjaloomastiku ja nektobentose (müsiidide) kohta ilmunud uurimused. Põhitähelepanu on pööratud viimastel aastakümnetel avaldatud töödele, kuid ära on märgitud ka mõned varasemad. Lisaks on esitatud Liivi lahe põhjaloomastiku liikide nimekiri, arvukuse ja biomassi leviku kaardid ning hinnatud koosluste reaktsiooni reostuse suurenemisele. Põhjalikumalt on kirjeldatud nektobentose populatsioonidünaamikat uurimisel.