Propertius Duskywing Study

Erynnis propertius

Prepared for Skagit Environmental Endowment Commission c\o 1610 Mount Seymour Road North Vancouver, BC V7G 1L3

> Prepared by Denis H. Knopp and Lee K. Larkin B.C.'s Wild Heritage Consultants 47330 Extrom Road Sardis, B.C. V2R 4V1

> > In association with David L. Threatful Vernon, BC

November 2001

*3*9.



Propertius Duskywing Study

Erynnis propertius

Prepared for Skagit Environmental Endowment Commission c\o 1610 Mount Seymour Road North Vancouver, BC V7G 1L3

> Prepared by Denis H. Knopp and Lee K. Larkin B.C.'s Wild Heritage Consultants 47330 Extrom Road Sardis, B.C. V2R 4V1

> > In association with David L. Threatful Vernon, BC

November 2001

i.

Acknowledgements

This study would not have been possible without the financial assistance of the Skagit Environment Endowment Committee (SEEC) and their commitment to preserve the biological integrity of the upper Skagit Valley watershed.

We would like to extend our thanks to the many individuals with whom we corresponded regarding Erynnis propertius. Not all are mentioned here. David Threatful of Vernon provided invaluable expert field research assistance, advice and identified specimens and photographs. Crispin Guppy (Quesnel, BC) and Norbert Kondla (Castlegar, BC) provided invaluable assistance on old records, biology, information on rearing and helped identify specimens and photographs. Robert Pyle (WA) and Jonathan Pelham (Seattle, WA) reviewed photographs and commented on larval host plants. Jon Shepard (Nelson, BC) provided information on genitalia identification and likely host plants. Paul Opler (Loveland, Co.) and Ann Swengel (North American Butterfly Association) helped in the attempt to locate photographs of larva. Robert Cannings (Royal BC Museum) viewed photographs. Wayne Hallstrom (University of Alberta, Calgary) discussed radar tagging experiments and observations with oak-dependent E. propertius egg-laying and larva on Vancouver Island. Cris Schmidt discussed radio tagging verus observation techniques for locating host plants. Don Eastman (University of Victoria) discussed specifics of the life cycle in oak stands on Vancouver Island. Dick Beard (West Vancouver, BC), and Harold Reesor (Vancouver, BC) provided information on rearing, distribution and food plants. Potential host plant distribution was discussed with Cris Guppy, Norbert Kondla, Linda Dupius (Squamish, BC) and Bert Brink (Vancouver, BC).

Summary

A study to investigate the occurrence of a breeding population of *Erynnis propertius* (Propertius Duskywings), their preferred habitat and larval host plant in the upper Skagit Valley watershed was undertaken from April to August, 2001. During the study, it was confirmed that male and female *E. propertius* occurred in the valley. Fresh individual specimens were located throughout the flight period indicating that the *E. propertius* population was indeed a resident population. Literature searches and field searches did not reveal the presence of the known host foodplant of *E. propertius*, *Quercus* species (Oak species). Attempts were made to track female *E. propertius* observed to a larval host plant, but were unsuccessful.

Because of the difficulty in finding a specific host foodplant for the Skagit Valley population, the searches focused on identifying vegetation, soil and climatic conditions that were associated with the occurrence of *E. propertius* individuals. Preferred or critical microhabitats were identified as open, dry to moderately-dry, water shedding microhabitats characterized by the occurrence of *Ceanothus sanguineus* (Redstem Ceanothus) and its relative *Ceanothus velutinus* (Snowbrush).

Consequently, the findings of this study have resulted in new questions from the scientific community. All *E. propertius* populations found to date use *Quercus* spp. exclusively as a host foodplant, but no *Quercus* spp. have been located in the upper Skagit Valley. The absence of *Quercus* spp. host foodplants has several experts asking what the host foodplant of this population could be and whether this population could possibly be a new, unnamed species for British Columbia and Washington State. The only way to clarify this is conduct further study on the host plant and to collect 10-20 male and female specimens for genitalia dissection and expert taxonomic work.

Table of Contents

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	I
SUMMARY	
INTRODUCTION	1
METHODOLOGY	1
RESULTS	3
POPULATION OBSERVATIONS	
Distribution	4
PREFERRED MICROHABITAT	
POTENTIAL HOST PLANTS	6
Incidental Observations	6
Nectar Plants	6
DISCUSSION	7
Further Work	7
RECOMMENDATIONS	8
BIBLIOGRAPHY	9

APPENDICES:

т

ï

E

 $\frac{1}{1}$

0

APPENDIX 1.0 E. PROPERTIUS, UTM LOCATIONS	12
APPENDIX 2.0 E. PROPERTIUS PHOTOGRAPHS	14
APPENDIX 3.0 PLOTS AND VEGETATION RATINGS	17
APPENDIX 4.0 CEANOTHUS SPP. AND HABITAT PHOTOGRAPHS	19
APPENDIX 5.0 CEANOTHUS SPP., UTM LOCATIONS	22

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS:

FIGURE 1.0 STUDY LOCATION	ΓV
CHART 1.0 E. PROPERTIUS NUMBERS AND FLIGHT DATES	3
FIGURE 2.0 DISTIRBUTION MAP	5

Figure 1.0 Study Area



Introduction

A study of *Erynnis propertius*, Propertius Duskywing, was conducted in the upper Skagit Valley watershed between April and August 2001. The study area extended from the Skagit Valley Provincial Park Boundary in British Columbia to the Mount Hozameen area in Washington State (Figure 1.0). *Erynnis propertius* is a large greyish-brown butterfly found along the entire Pacific Coast from southern Vancouver Island to northern Baja California. *E. propertius* is a shade-intolerant species that occurs in dry to moderately dry areas in British Columbia (BC). In BC, *E. propertius* is at the periphery of its northern range. It is Blue-listed in BC and is a state monitored species in Washington (WA). The records of occurrence of *E. propertius* in the Skagit watershed are very unusual as all known populations are associated with oak species, specifically *Quercus garryana* (Garry Oak) in BC. As *Quercus garryana* and other oak species associated with more southerly *E. propertius* populations do not occur in the upper Skagit Valley watershed, the specimens previously recorded in the Skagit Valley were thought to be strays (Guppy and Shepard, 2001).

This study was undertaken to verify whether the individuals identified to date as E. propertius in the upper Skagit Valley watershed were strays or whether they formed a separate population. The field research focused on (1) identifying locations where E. propertius occurred, (2) confirming the presence of both male and female individuals of a potential breeding population and (3) searching for the larval host plants.

Methodology

The background research for this project began on 1 April 2001. Prior to initiation of field surveys, forest cover maps and aerial photographs were reviewed. Interviews were conducted with forestry personnel and specialists in the field of lepidoptera. A research review of ecological data was also conducted.

Field investigations for *E. propertius* were conducted between 21 May 2001 to 26 August 2001. Sampling targeted sunny, relatively cloudless days with light to no wind conditions. The first day of suitable weather to conduct field investigations for *E. propertius* after persistent, cool, wet conditions in late April/May was 21 May 2001. A total of 25 days were spent in the field identifying locations of occurrence, photographing, sexing captured and resting individuals, searching for larva and host plants and analyzing preferred habitats. The field work was conducted and supervised by Denis Knopp and David Threatful with volunteer naturalists and biologists joining in field investigations on 15 of the 25 days of field investigations. As the National Park System research permit for net and release of *E. propertius* took longer to process than expected, arriving at the end of the flight period, only habitat was assessed in the North Cascades National Park System near the Hozameen Campground.

Initially introductory investigations for the distribution of the species began by travelling south (at ~20 km./hr.) from Silver Lake Provincial Park, BC along the Silver-Skagit Road corridor south to Ross Lake for a period of 8 hours. When *E. propertius* were located,

the vehicle was stopped and the time spent at each site within the study area was variable (generally 15 min.) and based on the amount of butterfly activity. At each stop, the highest number of *E. propertius* observed at one time were recorded. Stops were made at sites where *E. propertius* was observed in flight, found nectaring or imbibing minerals from moist gravel and moist soil on the Silver-Skagit Road or road allowance and the UTM for each site was recorded using a hand held Global Positioning Unit (GPS). As temperatures rose and moist sites disappeared, puddles were created in previously identified microhabitats by making depressions and filling them with water. This attracted specimens for photographic documentation of external features and provided opportunities to track females.

Initially a net and release program was conducted but this methodology was modified as *E. propertius* were fast fliers, difficult to approach, and if captured, immediately left the area upon release. The use of binoculars and a high powered scope (38x power scope focusing to within 1.61 m.) allowed for sexing of individuals and it allowed one to follow female individuals without frightening them away. When possible, specimens were captured or photographed to confirm the presence of male and female individuals.

Aside from locating and sexing *E. propertius*, surveys were conducted to locate the larval host plant. A specific search for the known host plant of coastal populations, *Quercus garryana* (Garry Oak) was conducted in the provincial park and in the Hozameen Campground area. Forest cover maps and past documents containing vegetation information on the upper Skagit Valley watershed were reviewed for the presence of *Quercus garryana* (refer to bibliography). Suitable habitat for *Quercus garryana* was surveyed by hiking into areas and by scanning vegetation with binoculars and a high-powered scope. In addition to the search for *Quercus garryana*, a list of host foodplants utilized by the genus *Erynnis* was compiled. Special attention was denoted to the potential host foodplants when recording vegetation in the Silver-Skagit Road transects.

Female *E. propertius* were visually tracked and followed for as long as possible in microhabitats along the road in hopes of observing them ovipositing (egg laying) on a host plant. At locations where numerous individuals were captured or observed linear transects recording the vegetation for 100 m. north and south of the location and 5 m. wide on both sides of the Silver-Skagit Road were conducted. A total of nine linear transects were completed along both sides of the Silver-Skagit Road. The vegetation was rated as to its occurrence and abundance at each transect - absent (0 m²); rare (<1 m².); common (1-10 m²); abundant (>10 m².). The vegetation recorded in the Silver-Skagit Road transects was compared with vegetation along the Silver-Skagit Road and within the ecosystem where *E. propertius* did not occur. The vegetation transects were also analyzed for any similarities with other reports on the mainland of BC where *E. propertius* has been recorded (i.e. Hope, Yale and Mt. Currie, BC). All habitats associated with the occurrence of *E. propertius* were recorded with a GPS unit.

Surveys for the immature larva, or signs of larva, on potential host plants began in mid-July and were conducted into August. Intensive searches were conducted within the vegetation transects for larva.

During the survey, several adult *E. propertius* voucher specimens were collected just north of the park boundary for scientific confirmation (Appendix 2.0, scanned image).

Results

Population Observations

Visual identification with binoculars, a high-powered scope, and the net and release program confirmed that both male and female *E. propertius* were present in the upper Skagit Valley watershed throughout the flight period from May to July 2001. The UTM (NAD 27) for each sighting was recorded with a hand-held GPS unit (Appendix 1.0). Both male and female *E. propertius* were located in microhabitat patches along the Silver-Skagit Road and on some drier hillsides and knolls with similar vegetation associations. Photographs were taken of specimens captured, nectaring or puddling to document male and female presence in the upper Skagit watershed (Appendix 2.0). The wings and color of many individuals photographed throughout the flight season were not worn or faded.

Chart 1.0 (below) illustrates the counts from the twenty-one days when *E. propertius* was observed flying. The number of individuals counted during these eight-hour surveys represents the highest number of individuals observed at one time at each stop. The counts were influenced by factors such as weather conditions, the amount of visitor traffic on the Silver-Skagit Road, and the amount of hiking conducted to get to suitable microhabitats.

During the flight period, the highest number of individuals counted along the Silver-Skagit Road in one day totaled twelve adult *E. propertius* on 25 May 2001 and fifteen adult butterflies on 21 June 2001. Female *E. propertius* were confirmed on nine of the twenty-one survey days and their occurrence was spread throughout the flight period (Chart 1.0). Many of the unsexed individuals of the *E. propertius* observed were presumed to be touring males that were flying along the roadside in search of females. These unsexed individuals generally passed through the microhabitats too quickly to be sexed.



Chart 1.0 E. propertius numbers and flight dates, 2001

Distribution

The current extent of *E. propertius* covers a patchy distribution along the Silver-Skagit Road from Kilometer 27 through to Ross Lake in suitable habitat. *E. propertius* were also located on several water-shedding hilltops (Figure 2.0). The two hilltops investigated included the lower slopes of Mount Hozameen in Ecological Reserve #22 and at Shawatum Creek in BC. Potential habitat was also located located on the Hozameen campground roadway halfway between the Campground and the Hozameen Lake trailhead in Washington State (Appendix 5.0).

Preferred Microhabitat

E. propertius occurred from Kilometer 27 to Ross Lake along the Silver-Skagit Road, yet they were indubitably absent from some sections of the road (Figure 2.0). The occurrence of E. propertius was observed to be tightly restricted to areas of what could be termed 'suitable habitat' and it did not occur in areas of 'unsuitable habitat' (areas where E. propertius did not fly). In order to gain an understanding of the habitat preference of E. propertius, nine vegetation transects were recorded along the Silver-Skagit Road where female and male E. propertius occurred in concentrations. The plants listed were given a rating indicating their abundance (absent, rare, common, abundant) and occurrence at each transect (Appendix 3.0). The most commonly occurring trees in these nine transects were Pseudotsuga menziesii (Douglas Fir), Populus balsamifera ssp. trichocarpa (Black Cottonwood) and Alnus rubra (Red Alder). The shrub layer was most often characterized by Pachistima myrsinites (False Box), Acer circinatum (Vine Maple), Salix sp. (Willow Ceanothus sanguineus (Redstem Ceanothus). Rubus parviflorus species). (Thimbleberry) and Amelanchier alnifolia (Saskatoon). Dominant herbs included Gramineae family (grass sp.), Fragaria spp. (Strawberry spp.), Lilium columbianum (Tiger Lily) and Apocynum androsaemifolium (Spreading Dogbane). In two transects where Ceanothus sanguineus (a shade-intolerant species) was absent, it was replaced by its relative Ceanothus velutinus (Snowbrush).

When the transects were compared to areas of unsuitable habitat, it was found that like *Quercus garryana* habitat the preferred microhabitats of *E. propertius* occurred in open, often rocky, water-shedding sites in very dry to moderately-dry areas. Vegetation, listed above, that required moist habitat was the result of wetlands adjacent to transects.

When the vegetation within the transects was compared with vegetation within the Interior Douglas Fir Wet-Warm (IDFww) and the Coastal Western Hemlock Moist-Maritime Southern (CWHms1) biogeoclimatic subzone, *Ceanothus spp.* were found to be the only plants that did not overlap in areas of unsuitable habitat. A strong correlation was found between the occurrence of *Ceanothus sanguineus* and *C. velutinus* in water-shedding, very dry to moderately-dry habitats and the occurrence of *E. propertius* (Appendix 4.0). This correlation using dry microhabitats characterized by *Ceanothus spp.* was useful during expanded investigations along the roadside and on the hillside areas of Shawatum and Mount Hozameen (ER #22) in locating further *E. propertius* individuals (Figure 2.0). Two isolated patches of this microhabitat (consisting of three plants) were located in the North Cascades National Park on the Hozameen Campground roadway halfway between the campground and the Hozameen Lake trailhead in Washington State. In addition, potential habitat was observed from ER #22 with a high powered scope outside of the survey area on Jackass Mountain, WA.



Figure 2.0 Distribution of Erynnis propertius and Preferred Habitat Locations Characterized by Ceanothus spp.



Potential Host Plants

Forest cover maps, aerial photographs, interviews with forestry personnel, and a review of documents containing vegetation lists and plots for the upper Skagit Valley watershed did not identify any stands of *Quercus garryana* (see bibliography). Areas containing potential suitable habitat were surveyed for the presence of *Quercus garryana*, but none were located. The majority of field investigations focused on vegetation found within preferred microhabitat habitat presently used by the upper Skagit watershed population of *E. propertius*.

Vegetation within the preferred microhabitats was analyzed for the potential of supporting larva of *E. propertius*. Vegetation without characteristics able to support larva (i.e. large enough leaf to provide larval cover) and plants that were abundant over an extensive area in the province were not considered indicative of *E. propertius* habitat. Visual larval searches concentrated on vegetation representative of the water-shedding microhabitats. Because the analysis of the vegetation indicated that the occurrence of *E. propertius* was strongly associated with the occurrence of *Ceanothus* species in preferred microhabitats, repeated surveys for signs of larvae were conducted on the leaves of *Ceanothus* sanguineus and *C. velutinus* in the transects. All vegetation within transects were searched (up to 2 m. height) with specific attention given to host species of the genus *Erynnis*. Aside from *Ceanothus* sanguineus and *C. velutinus* this included Aquilegia formosa (Red Columbine), Lupinus arcticus (Arctic Lupine), Betula papyrifera (Paper Birch), Salix species and Populus balsamifera. Although numerous larvae and eggs were located on *Ceanothus* sanguineus and other vegetation within the transects, none were identified as *E. propertius*.

In addition to larval searches, individual adult female *E. propertius* were visually tracked. The female *E. propertius* were observed nectaring and imbibing mineral salts at puddles but they did not oviposit or show preference for any specific host plant. *E propertius* females, when not nectaring, were only observed landing twice on the leaves of *Corylus comuta* (Beaked Hazelnut) and once on the leaves of a *Rubus parviflorus* (Thimbleberry), but no eggs were found when the plants were inspected. When approached, the female *E. propertius* typically flew quickly out of sight.

Incidental Observations

Nectar Plants

Both male and female *E. propertius* were observed nectaring and imbibing minerals from damp areas on and beside the Silver-Skagit Road. Nectar plants used included introduced and native plants. Weedy species used as nectar sources included *Crepis sp.* (Hawksbeard), *Trifolium praetense* (Alsike Clover), *Trifolium repens* (White Clover), *Trifolium pratense* (Red Clover) and *Leucanthemun vulgare* (Oxeye Daisy). *Prunella vulgaris* (Heal-all), *Antennaria neglecta* (Field Pussytoes) and *Apocynum androsaemifolium* (Spreading Dogbane) were three native species used as nectar plants by adult *E. propertius*.

Discussion

Prior to this survey, historic records for *E. propertius* in the upper Skagit Valley watershed occurred late in the flight period. The lack of records and the fact that no *Quercus ganyana* are known to occur in the Skagit Valley, led to the speculation that the Skagit Valley individuals were strays (Guppy and Shepard 2001).

During the field survey D. Threatful and D. Knopp located both male and female individuals of *E. propertius* throughout the flight period from May to July 2001. Individuals captured or at rest were photographed to document male and female presence (Appendix 2.0). These photographs of the wing patterns of *Erynnis* species were sent to several lepidoptera specialists (see Ackknowledgements). Although there was not complete agreement on the identification of all of the photographed specimens, it was agreed that *E. propertius* was definitely present in the Skagit Valley. In reviewing the photographs, C. Guppy (pers com) stated that in previous investigations, he had found that there was little difference between the wing patterns of three specimens of *E. propertius* collected from Ross Lake, and his specimens from Oregon and Vancouver Island.

In addition, fresh individuals (i.e. wings were not flight-worn or faded) were observed and photographed throughout the flight period from May to July 2001. This indicates that the *E. propertius* observed had not traveled long distances and that the host plant was likely nearby. Generally, female butterflies tend to stay within several meters to several kilometers of the larval host foodplant, rarely traveling long distances (Fuchs, 2001). These new records of a population spread throughout the flight season and of freshly emerged individuals is evidence of a resident breeding population of *E. propertius* in the upper Skagit watershed.

Field surveys, literature searches, and personal interviews did not lead to the confirmation of any *Quercus garryana* stands in the upper Skagit Valley watershed. However, like the *Quercus garryana* ecosystem, it was found that the Skagit Valley *E. propertius* showed a definite preference for open, dry to moderately-dry, water shedding microhabitats (Klinka *et. al.*, 1989). This type of microhabitat in the Skagit Valley was characterized by the presence of *Ceanothus sanguineus* and *C. velutinus*. In addition, *Ceanothus sanguineus* and *C. velutinus* in the Skagit Valley.

Until the larval foodplant can be confirmed, the open dry to moderately-dry, water shedding sites characterized by *Ceanothus* species identified in this survey should be considered as critical habitat for the upper Skagit Valley population of *E. propertius* (Appendix 5.0).

Further Work

During this study, the preferred microhabitats of *E. propertius* were identified from Km 22 to Mount Hozameen in the North Cascades National Park System, WA. Further investigation of habitat just south of this study area on Jackass Mountain should be conducted as it is likely that the preferred microhabitat for *E. propertius* is located there as

well. In addition, work needs to be conducted during the flight period in the North Cascades National Park system to confirm the species use of these habitats.

As there is no known population of *E. propertius* that is not oak-dependent, further work should also include more investigations into identifying an alternate larval foodplant. As known populations of *E. propertius* are associated with one specific host plant, this work is critical to managing habitat.

Although the physical wing-pattern characteristics of the *E. propertius* in the upper Skagit Valley watershed resemble that of oak-dependent *E. propertius*, many experts contacted felt that further work to confirm the taxonomic status of the upper Skagit Valley *E. propertius* should be conducted as the presence of this population is an exception to the norm. Genitalia dissection and taxonomic work should be conducted on male and female *E. propertius* to identify whether this is a possibly new, unnamed species.

Recommendations

Habitat protection is the primary cause of the loss of butterfly diversity (Guppy & Shepard, 2001). The upper Skagit Valley population of *E. propertius* was identified with microhabitats along the Silver-Skagit Road and on Mount Shawatum and northwest slopes of Mount Hozameen. Potential microhabitat was also located on the Hozameen Campground roadway halfway between the campground and the Hozameen Lake trailhead in Washington State. Although the roadway likely provides an important corridor for dispersal of this species between patches of microhabitats, it can also be a cause of high mortality. Interim protective measure should include the following:

- The identification of open, dry to moderately-dry, water-shedding sites that are characterized by Ceanothus species as critical habitat for the Blue-listed E. propertius;
- Protection of the critical microhabitats from recreational and road maintenance activities such as road widening;
- Steps should be taken to reduce speeds on the road to reduce mortality of this species. Consider informative signage, speed sign reduction and marked drainage swales along the road, especially if the road is paved and visitor travel and speeds increase; and
- Enhance this type of microhabitat away from the roadway.

Due to the fact that the population of *E. propertius* in the Skagit Valley does not appear to be associated with *Quercus garryana* ecosystems, further investigations should be conducted:

- to confirm the host plant; and
- to conduct gentitalia work and taxonomic work on the Skagit Valley population.

Bibliography

Burns, J.M. 1964. Evolution in Skipper Butterflies of the Genus Erynnis, University of California Press, Publication in Entomology Vol. 37.

Fuchs, M. A. 2001. <u>Towards a Recovery Strategy for Garry Oak and Associated</u> <u>Ecosystems in Canada: Ecological Assessment and Literature Review</u>, Technical Report GBEI/ EC-00-030. Environment Canada, Canadian Wildlife Service, Pacific and Yukon Region.

Guppy, C.S. and J. H. Shepard 2001. <u>Butterflies of British Columbia</u>, Royal BC Museum and UBC Press, Victoria, BC

Klinka, K. V.J. Krajina, A. Ceska, & A.M. Scagel 1989. Indicator Plants of Coastal British Columbia, University of British Columbia Press, Vancouver, BC.

Knopp, D.H. and L.K. Larkin 2000. <u>Ecological Study of the Skagit Valley Provincial Park</u> Lowlands, Skagit Environmental Endowment Commission, North Vancouver, BC, 92pp.

Layberry, R.A., P.W. Hall, and J. D. Lafontaine 1998. <u>The Butterflies of Canada</u>, University of Toronto Press, Toronto, Ontario.

Pyle, R.M. 1988. The Audubon Field Guide to North American Butterflies, Alfred A. Knopf, Inc, New York.

Scott, J.A. 1986. <u>Butterflies of North America</u>, A Natural History and Field Guide, Stanford University Press, California.

Ministry of Water, Land and Air Protection 1999. <u>Rare Butterflies of Southeastern</u> Vancouver island and the Gulf Islands, Wildlife at Risk, WLAP, Victoria.

Documents reviewed for vegetation information:

Schilberg K. and S. Barrett, ed. 1993. <u>Vegetation and Wildlife Findings for Three</u> Ecological Reserves in the Skagit Valley, BC Parks, Coast Region, North Vancouver.

Farr, A.C.M. 1986. <u>A Pacific Giant Salamander Survey and List of Herpetological</u> <u>Records in the Skagit River Watershed</u>. BC Ministry of Water, Land and Air Protection, Victoria.

Conservation Data Centre <u>1997</u>. Vegetation Records for the Ecological Reserves of the Skagit Valley Provincial Park, fax, CDC, Victoria.

Knopp, D.H. and L.K. Larkin 2000. <u>Ecological Study of the Skagit Valley Provincial Park</u> Lowlands, Skagit Environmental Endowment Commission, North Vancouver, BC, 92pp.

Ogilvie, R. T., & A. Ceska 1988. <u>Rare Vascular Plants of the Skagit Valley</u>, British Columbia, Royal BC Museum, Vic.

Parsons H. and C. Sherlock 1997. <u>Environmental Impact Assessment for a proposed</u> Horse Corral Development, BC Parks, North Vancouver

Fuhr, B. 1988. <u>Biophysical Wildlife Habitat Mapping of the Skagit Valley</u>, BC Min of Water Land and Air Protection, Victoria

Russel, G., ed. 1994. Quantifying Fuel Loads and Classifying Vegetation in the Skagit Valley Recreation Area, BC Parks, North Vancouver

ĩ

i.

Banard, T. 1986. <u>Current Status of Deer Spring Ranges at Whitworth and Ponderosa</u> <u>Meadows, Lower Skagit Valley</u>, BC Ministry of Water, Land and Air Protection, Victoria. Appendices

Date	Enconertius	Site Name + Notes	UTMm.E.	UT Mm.N.	
	Numbers	Enter Park at 0629000m E 5443500m.N	NAD 27	NAD 27	
5-21-2001	2	courtship chase at burn	0629519	5443523	
5-21-2001	1	male	0630346	5443184	
5-21-2001	1	male	0627651	5443480	
5-21-2001	1	female	0624970	5443802	
5-21-2001	3	male	0624567	5444111	
5-22-2001	2	male at horse camp at rd	0641217	5433660	
5-22-2001	1	male at horse camp at rd	0641217	5433660	
5-23-2001	4	3 males nectaring on dandelion	0624424	5444602	
5-23-2001	1	flying at our meadow	0626551	5443537	
5-23-2001	2	Whitworth meadow	0624424	5444602	
5-23-2001	1	male	0627147	5443457	
5-23-2001	1	mavbe-female	0626577	5443510	
5-23-2001	1	male 4:50pm	0624523	5444181	
5-24-2001	1	at Juba site, up side rd.	0624526	5444741	
5-24-2001	1	male baby squirrels	0627298	5443424	
5-25-2001	1-2	burn site	0629521	5443525	
5-25-2001	2-1	nectaring on field pussy-toes	0635668	5441207	
5-25-2001	1	female photo at horse camp 5:30pm	0641056	5434133	
5-25-2001	1	male nectaring on dandelion Juba site	0624526	5444741	
5-25-2001	2	male imbibing minerals	0624554	5444121	
5-25-2001	6	nectaring at baby squirrel site	0627188	5443431	
5-31-2001	1-2	flying along rd	0637252	5439446	
6-07-2001	1	female Skyline parking	0641220	5433730	
6-07-2001	1	male photo nectaring on white clover, at horse camp	0641056	5434133	
6-13-2001	4	female nectaring on raspberry and alsike clover, at burn site	0629519	5443523	
6-14-2001	3	2 males Nepopekum cr.	0638908	5435228	
6-17-2001	1	male nectaring on red clover at burn site	0629519	5443523	
6-18-2001	1	flving at burn site	0629519	5443523	
6-18-2001	1	male in park	0632169	5442790	
6-18-2001	2	patrolling	0632388	5442716	
6-18-2001	1 1	patrolling	0632592	5442779	
6-18-2001	1	nectaring on white clover and puddle at Silvertip Campground pump	0633654	5443680	
6-18-2001	2	sp. in question across river from work vard	0633610	5447620	
6-19-2001	1	Silvertip pump	0633654	5443680	
6-19-2001	1	female bending abdomen under	0632592	5442729	
6-19-2001	2	patrolling nectaring on heal-all	0632592	5442729	
6-19-2001	1	patrolling and landing under RS Ceanothus gold mine = north of Shawatum creek	0637320	5441330	
6-20-2001	1	flying at km 27	0621666	5446797	
6-20-2001	<u> i</u>	patrolling flying saw 3 times in 25 min	0632534	5442537	
6-20-2001	1	male imbibbing at damp soil	0638056	5437492	
6-21-2001	1 1	saw twice	0642127	5431744	
6-21-2001	2	patrolling, sp. in guestion maybe E.	0641677	5432561	

Appendix 1.0 Erynnis propertius, UTM Locations in the Upper Skagit Valley Watershed

Ŧ

Date	E. propertius Numbers	Site Name + Notes Enter Park at 0629000m.E 5443500m.N	UTMm.E. NAD 27	NAD 27
		persius		
6-21-2001	3	flying sp. in question likely E. persius	0641227	5433050
6-21-2001	2	1 male captured, nectarine on oxeye daisy	0641139	5433184
6-21-2001	3		0641129	5433306
6-21-2001	1		0641161	5433497
6-21-2001	4	male 4 nectaring on Heal-all and Oxeye, at Skyline parking	0641218	5433662
6-21-2001	1	nectaring on White Clover at Horse Camp	0641056	5434133
6-22-2001	5	5 males specimen captured, photo	0629510	5443528
6-22-2001	2	1 female on rd.	0629904	5443362
6-22-2001	1	male photo of genitalia	0630108	5443262
7-02-2001	5	flying at burn site	0629519	5443523
7-03-2001	1	nectaring on oxeye daisy	0641851	5432137
7-03-2001	1	flying	0641951	5432038
7-03-2001	4	4 males Skyline parking	0641218	5433669
7-06-2001	1	male photo wings folded over it's back at Skyline parking	0641218	5433662
7-06-2001	1	sp. in question, flying	0613606	5491383
7-09-2001	1-2	2, female?, at burn site	0629519	5443523
7-09-2001	1	sp. in question flying-fast at Mesopanick Cr.	0627750	5443497
7-10-2001	2	pair, female sunning on thimbleberry leaf	0641840	5432131
7-10-2001	1	sp. in question mid size, Skyline Parking	0641218	5433662
7-10-2001	4	specimen taken 3 male, 3 nectaring on Dogbane, mid size	0641136	5434084
7-26-2001	1	larva in question feeding on Ceanothus	0641218	5433662
8-26-2001	0	15 butterfly spp. along rd., no E. propertius	200	

Appendix 1.0 Erynnis propertius, UTM Locations in the Upper Skagit Valley Watershed

ļ.

i t

E

0 2

į

Appendix 2.0 Erynnis propertius Photographs



Two Erynnis propertius males note variation.



Flight wom male Erynnis propertius



Male Erynnis propertius



Male Erynnis propertius



Two flight worn males and one fresh E. propertius



Flight wom male Erynnis propertius



Male Erynnis propertius



Male Erynnis propertius



Male Erynnis propertius

Appendix 2.0 Erynnis propertius Photographs



Female Erynnis propertius



Male Erynnis propertius



Female Erynnis propertius





Male Erynnis propertius



Male Erynnis persius



Male Erynnis pacuvius



Female Erynnis propertius



Male Erynnis icelus



4

Appendix 2.0 Erynnis propertius Photographs - Upper Skagit Valley Watershed, summer 2001

Erynnis persius Erynnis propertius Scanned image (ventral view) of *E. propertius* and *E. persius* specimens in upper Skagit Valley Watershed.

Appendix 3.0 Plots and Vegetation Ratings

These linear plots measured 200m (100m. on each side of UTM) x 5m. on both sides of the Silver-Skagit Road. The plots were taken at sites where an abundance of *E. propertius* were observed.

-				
0	Absent (0 m²)			
1	Rare (<1 m²)			
2	Common (1-10 m²)			
3	Abundant (>10 m²)			

Second Automatics				57 X 4 X	and the second			na rega Las des			ar sites References
		9. N	and the second		8. J.	Long St		N.S.	S	2.3	Standin brancasta
		Cover Ratings									
Vaccinium parvifolium	Red Huckleberry	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Sorbus sitchensis	Sitka Mountain-Ash	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Corylus comuta	Beaked Hazelnut	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Ceenothus velutinus	Snowbrush	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	3
Pinus monticola	Western White Pine	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	3
Rhododendron macrophyllum	Pacific Rhododendron	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	3
Aquilegia formosa	Red Columbine	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	3
Rhamnus purshiana	Cascara	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3
Arctostaphylos uve-ursi	Kinnikinnick	0	0	2	1	0	0	Ó	0	1	4
Antennaria neglecta	Field Pussytoes	0	0	1	2	0	1	0	0	Ô	4
Acer macrophyllum	Big Leaf Maple	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Lonicera ciliosa	Orange Honeysuckle	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	5
Betula papyrifera	Paper Birch	2	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	5
Apocynum androsaemifolium	Spreading Dogbane	0	1	0	1	1	3	0	0	0	6
Pinus contorta	Lodgepole Pine	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	1	0	7
Holodiscus discolor	Ocean Spray	2	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	7
Mahonia nervosa	Dull Oregon-Grape	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	2	1	7
Prunus emarginata	Bitter Cherry	1	2	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	7
Rosa gymnocarpa	Baldhip Rose	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	2	1	8
Lilium columbianum	Tiger Lily	2	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	8
Fragaria virginiana & F. vesca	Wild/Woodland Strawberry	1	0	2	1	2	1	0	0	2	9
Amelanchier alnifolia	Saskatoon	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	12
Cornus stolonifera	Red Osier Dogwood	2	2	0	0	2	1	2	1	1	11
Thuja plicata	Western Red Cedar	2	0	1	1	2	0	3	2	2	13
Rubus parviflorus	Thimble Berry	2	1	1	0	2	1	2	2	2	13
Alnus rubra	Red Alder	3	2	1	0	2	0	2	2	2	14
Ceanothus sanguineus	Red Stem Ceanothus	2	3	0	1	2	2	1	2	2	15
Acer circinatum	Vine Maple	1	2	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	15
Salix scouleriana	Scouler's Willow	2	2	2	2	0	1	2	2	2	15
Gramineae spp	Grass spp	2	2	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	15
Pachistima myrsinites	False Box	2	2	2	3	1	2	1	2	2	17
Populus balsamifera	Black Cottonwood	2	2	1	2	3	2	3	3	2	20
Pseudotsuga menziesii	Douglas Fir	3	2	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	25

Appendix 3.0 Plots and Vegetation Ratings

UTM Easting NAD 27	UTM Northing NAD 27	Field Notes	Linear Plot #
0627229	5443240	Near pink Broadleaf Penstemon scree	1
0629515	5443483	bum site	2
0635668	5441207	2 nectarine on field pussytoes	3
0637238	5439442	1 flying down road	4
0638908	5435228	3 fresh on Dandelion-like flower Nepopekum	5
0641136	5434 084	east of Horse Camp at road	6
0641840	5432131	6 + 7 overlap, Cottonwood forest, Oxeye Daisies	7
0641954	5432043	roadside	8
0642127	5431744	north of Ross Lk. campground	9

Linear Plot Locations:

Appendix 4.0 Ceanothus spp. and Habitat Photographs



Photo B: Ceanothus velutinus - Snowbrush

Appendix 4.0 Ceanothus spp. and Habitat Photographs



Photo C: Hilltop microhabitat at Ecological Reserve #22



Photo D: Slide area illustrating water-shedding habitat colonized by Ceanothus sanguineus.

Appendix 4.0 Ceanothus spp. and Habitat Photographs





Photo F: Typical roadside microhabitat characterized by Ceanothus sanguineus.

UTMm.E. UTMm.N. Ceanothus Species Plant Date NAD 27 **NAD 27** Enter Park @ 0629000mE 5443500mN Numbers **Redstem Ceanothus** May 21-Aug 26 **Redstem Ceanothus Redstem Ceanothus** Snowbrush Ceanothus **Redstem Ceanothus Redstem Ceanothus Redstem Ceanothus Redstem Ceanothus Redstem Ceanothus Redstern Ceanothus Redstem Ceanothus Redstem Ceanothus Redstern** Ceanothus **Redstem Ceanothus Redstem Ceanothus Redstem Ceanothus** 10 +**Redstem Ceanothus Redstern Ceanothus Redstem Ceanothus Redstern Ceanothus** 79+ **Redstem Ceanothus Redstem Ceanothus Redstem Ceanothus Redstern Ceanothus Redstem Ceanothus Redstem Ceanothus Redstem Ceanothus Redstem Ceanothus Redstem Ceanothus Redstem Ceanothus** Snowbrush Ceanothus Snowbrush Ceanothus **Snowbrush Ceanothus** Snowbrush and Redstem Snowbrush and Redstern just north of **Snowbrush Ceanothus Snowbrush Ceanothus** ? **Snowbrush Ceanothus** Snowbrush Ceanothus **Snowbrush Ceanothus Redstem Ceanothus Redstem Ceanothus Redstem Ceanothus**

Appendix 5.0 Ceanothus spp. UTM Locations

Date	Plant	Ceanothus Species	UTMINE	UTMm.N.
2001	Numbers	Enter Park @ 0629000mE 5443500mN	NAD 27	NAD 27
Construction interference	7	Redstem Ceanothus	0638270	5435820
	4	Redstern Ceanothus	0638270	5435820
	5	Redstem Ceanothus	0638296	5435773
	6	Redstem Ceanothus	0638925	5435210
	3	Redstem Ceanothus	0639401	5434928
	2	Redstem Ceanothus	0640510	5434444
	7	Redstem Ceanothus	0640602	5434417
	1	Redstem Ceanothus	0640800	5434100
	10	Snowbrush Ceanothus	0640800	5434100
	18	Redstem Ceanothus	0641190	5434210
	1	Redstem Ceanothus	0641200	5433750
	2	Redstem Ceanothus	0641275	5427619
	1	Redstem Ceanothus	0641343	5428041
	2	Redstern Ceanothus	0641400	5433760
	5	Redstem Ceanothus	0641656	5433753
	14	Redstern Ceanothus	0641912	5430796
	6	Redstern Ceanothus	0641990	5431750
	9	Redstern Ceanothus	0641999	5431978
	16	Redstem Ceanothus	0642079	5431871
	2	Redstern Ceanothus	0642156	5431545
	1	Redstern Ceanothus in WA. State	0641343	5428041
	2	Redstem Ceanothus in WA. State	0641275	5427619

Appendix 5.0 Ceanothus spp. UTM Locations