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CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO and PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA


BY:


SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
UNLIMITED JURISDICTION

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, a Municipal Corporation, and the PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF
CALIFORNIA, by and through DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney for the CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,

Plaintiffs,
vs.
ANNE KIHAGI aka ANNA KIHAGI aka ANNA SWAIN aka ANNE KIHAGI SWAIN aka ANNA KIHAGI SWAIN, JULIA MWANGI aka JULIA MUNENE, CHRISTINE MWANGI aka CHRISTINA MWANGI aka CHRISTINE JOHNSON, XELAN PROP 1, LLC, RENKA PROP, LLC, NOZARI 2, LLC, ZORIALL, LLC, and DOE ONE THROUGH DOE FIFTY,

Defendants.

Case No. CGC-15-546152
rike
[RROPOSED] ORDER OVERRULING DEFENDANT CHRISTINE MWANGI'S DEMURRER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

Hearing Date: $\quad$ December 15, 2015
Hearing Judge:
Time:
Place:
Date Action Filed: June 4, 2015
Trial Date: Not Yet Set

Defendant CHRISTINE MWANGI's Demurrer to First Amended Complaint ("Demurrer") in the above-entitled action came on for hearing on December 15, 2015 at 9:30 a.m. in Department 302 of the San Francisco Superior Court, the Honorable Harold E. Kahn presiding. Plaintiffs CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO and PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA (collectively "Plaintiffs") appeared through their counsel of record, Deputy City Attorney Megan Cesare-Eastman of the San Francisco City Attorney's Office. Defendant appeared through her counsel of record Aleksandr voikov, Esqu.

Based on the evidence presented, the complete file in this matter, the oral argument of the parties, and GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, it is the order of this Court that Defendant's Demurrer is OVERRULED in its entirety.

## IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT:

1. While the allegations against Defendant are thin, they are sufficient to withstand a demurrer.
2. If Defendant wishes further specificity and if she believes she is entitled to have the claims alleged against her adjudicated in her favor, her recourse is to serve discovery and file a summary judgment motion.
3. Defendant has 30 days to answer. IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:

CCSF, et al. v. Kihagi, et al.
S.F. Superior No. CGC 15-546152


HON. HAROLD E. KAHN JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

