www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277- 7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2021; SP-10(10): 976-982 © 2021 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 12-08-2021

Received: 12-08-2021 Accepted: 17-09-2021

M Gohilapriya

M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Fruit Science, HC&RI, TNAU, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

J Auxcilia

Professor, Department of Fruit Science, HC&RI, TNAU, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

MS Aneesa Rani

Professor and Head, Department of Fruit Science, HC&RI, TNAU, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

K Hemaprabha

Assistant Professor, Department of Fruit Science, HC&RI, TNAU, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

Corresponding Author M Gohilapriya M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Fruit Science, HC&RI, TNAU, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

Profiling of phytoconstituents in papaya varieties and wild genotypes as a omic breeding approach for Papaya Ring Spot Virus resistance (PRSV)

M Gohilapriya, J Auxcilia, MS Aneesa Rani and K Hemaprabha

Abstract

Carica papaya Linn. belongs to the family Caricaceae, which is commonly known as melon tree. Papaya Ring Spot Virus (PRSV), a major devastating disease of papaya causing a yield loss up to 100%. All the commercial varieties of papaya are susceptible to this disease. However, the wild genus *viz., Vasconcella cauliflora* and *Vasconcella candamarcencis* are reported to be resistant to PRSV. Hence, this study was formulated to profile the phytoconstituents in cultivated varieties in comparison with resistant genotypes, so that the compounds contributing resistance to PRSV could be identified. The GCMS analysis revealed that a total of 114 bioactive constituents present in varieties *viz.* CO 7 (22), TNAU papaya CO 8 (20) and wild genus *viz., Vasconcellea cauliflora* (36) and *Vasconcellea candamarcensis* (36). The wild genus possessed (22 compounds) in *V. cauliflora* and (18 compounds) in *V. candamarcensis* as extraneous compounds when compared to the cultivated varieties. Among the phytoconstituents, the compounds namely 9, 12-Octadecadienoic acid (Z, Z)-, Octadecanoic acid, Dodecanoic acid, and squalene were reported to have the properties against plant viral diseases.

Keywords: Profiling of phytoconstituents, papaya varieties, wild genotypes, papaya ring spot virus

1. Introduction

Papaya (Carica papaya L.) is an important fruit crop is being cultivated throughout the tropical and subtropical countries of the World. Papaya is severely infected by viral disease called Papaya Ring Spot Virus (PRSV). This disease attacks papaya plants at all stages of development and spreads rapidly, infecting the entire field in 3-7 months and resulting in catastrophic production losses of up to 100%. (Ventura et al., 2004)^[25]. The transmission of PRSV from plant to plant is caused by insect vector Aphids which is polyphagous in nature. PRSV-P (papaya biotype) infects papaya and cucurbits, while, PRSV-W (watermelon biotype) is restricted only to cucurbits. Vasconcellea cauliflora and Vasconcellea candamarcensis have been reported to be resistant to PRSV and used in conventional breeding studies against PRSV (Balamohan, et al., 2008)^[2]. Phytochemicals have been exploited traditionally for the cure and supress many diseases in both plants and humans, and also have been reported to inhibit viral replication/transcription. Most of them inhibit the viruses either during the viral entry inside the host cell or during their replication. Mazid et al. (2011)^[17] reported that the secondary metabolites including terpenes, phenolics and nitrogen (N) and (S) containing compounds defend the plant against a variety of herbivores and pathogenic microorganisms as well as various kinds of abiotic stresses. Eleazu et al. (2012)^[6] reported that the phytochemical analysis has confirmed the presence of alkaloids, glycosides, saponins, flavonoids, phenolics, proteins, amino acids and tannin in Carica papaya leaf has been documented. Sam et al. (2013)^[20] reported that the saponins are one of the most numerous and diverse groups of plant natural products and serve a range of ecological roles including plant defence against disease and herbivores and possibly as allelopathic agents in competitive interactions between plants. Leaf extracts are used to prepare anti-malarial drugs (Sharmela et al. 2019)^[23]. For the reliable Identification of phytocompounds, GC-MS is a valuable tool, (Subramanian et al. (2011)^[21] and Johnson et al. (2011)^[12]. Plant derived compounds have been investigated extensively for their anti- viral principles for plant viruses. Hence, this study was attempted to study the phytoconstituents in the PRSV resistant wild papaya genotypes viz, V. cauliflora and V. Candamarcensis for their anti-viral properties in comparison with the most susceptible and tolerant varieties viz., CO 7 papaya and TNAU papaya CO 8, respectively.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Collection and identification of plant materials

The mature leaves of the TNAU varieties CO7, CO8 (Fig.1.) and the wild genotype *V. cauliflora* were collected from the University Orchard, Department of Fruit Science, HC&RI, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore. The genotype, *V. candamarcensis* leaves were collected from the Government Botanical Garden, Udhagamandalam, Ooty and Horticultural Research Station, Ooty. The leaves were washed and air dried at room temperature for about 10 days and ground into fine powder using blender and sieved to give particles ≤ 1 mm size.



Fig 1: Plant sample used for GC-MS analysis

2.2. Plant extraction

Dried powdered leaf sample (10g) was taken in the conical flask and added with 100 ml of distilled water, kept at 37° C for overnight at shaker cum incubator. Subsequently, the extract was separated using separating funnel and the fractions were condensed by using a rotatory vaccum flask evaporator at 400 °C for 150 rpm 45 mins, (Hajji *et al.*, 2010, Gogoi *et al.* 2016) ^[10, 8]. After that, the fraction of samples was poured into petri plates and kept for drying. Finally, the fractions were then scraped with HPLC grade methanol and filtered through a 0.002 m syringe filter before being GCMS examined.

2.3. Gas Chromatography– Mass spectrometry (GC-MS) Analysis

GC-MS analysis of the extracts were performed using a Perkin-Elmer Clarus SQ8C system and Gas Chromatograph interfaced to a Mass spectrometer (GC-MS) equipped with an Elite-I, fused DB-5 MS capillary standard non polar column. For GC-MS detection, helium (99.999%) was used as carrier gas at a constant flow of 1 micro-litre/ min. The GC instrument vaporizes the sample and then separates and analyses the various components. Each component was ideally produced a specific spectral peak that was recorded on a paper chart electronically. The "Retention time" is the amount of time that passes between elution and injection. The Retention time was used to distinguish between various substances. The peak was measured from the base to the tip of the peak. GCMS analysis was done at the Department of Agricultural Microbiology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University and Coimbatore.

2.4. Identification of components

For all the four papaya samples, the resulting data in spectrum was compared with known spectrum available in NIST, PubChem and Human Metabolome Databases. The credentials of plant materials such as molecular weight, molecular formula and molecular structures of the constituents were ascertained.

3. Result and Discussion

Report of Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS), CO 7 (Fig.2), TNAU Papaya CO 8 (Fig.3), Vasconcellea cauliflora (Fig.4), Vasconcellea candamarcensis (Fig.5). The studies on phytoconstituents in the methanolic extract of papaya leaves by GC-MS analysis clearly indicated the existence of totally 114 bioactive constituents present in varieties viz. Co7 (22 Nos) Table.1. TNAU papaya Co8 (20 Nos) Table. 2. and wild genus viz., Vasconcellea cauliflora (36 Nos) Table. 3. and Vasconcellea candamarcensis (36 Nos) Table. 4. The wild genus possessed 40 extraneous phytoconstituents in V. cauliflora (22 Nos), candamarcensis (18 Nos) when compared to the cultivated varieties. This type of compounds in *Carica papaya* leaves were reported by many works [Pino et al., (2003) [18], Canini et al., (2007)^[4], Harini et al., (2016)^[11], Ezekwe et al., (2017) ^[7], Dwivedi et al., (2020)] ^[5].

Among the two varieties viz., Co.7 and TNAU Papaya Co.8 analysed, there are six compounds were found to be different in each of the above varieties, apart from the similarity of 30 number of compounds in both the varieties. This perhaps, due to the difference in their sex forms that Co.7 is gynodioecious, while Co 8 is Dioecious. Similarly there were twenty two different compounds in V.cauliflora (Dioecious) and eighteen compounds in V. candamarcensis (Gynodioecious) found to present. Here, it is important to notice that the difference in compounds may be due to the variation in the sex forms, besides varied genetic nature in climatic adaptability of these two wild relatives as V.cauliflora is subtropical & tropical, while V. candamarcensis is hilly grown genotype. The compounds exist only in the gynodioecious papaya are Dodecanoic acid, Butanoic acid and 3-Buten-2-one, 4-(4hydroxy-2,2,6-trimethyl-7oxabicyclo [4.1.0] hept1-yl) and dioecious papaya are Octane, 3,5-dimethyl. The compounds viz., Dodecanoic acid, Octadecanoic acid, methy ester, 9,12-Octadecadienoic acid (Z, Z) and 2,4-Di-tert-butylphenol were reported to possess antiviral activity against the human viral diseases reported by Karthikeyan et al. (2016)^[13], Nazneen et al. (2015), Ramya. et al. (2020)^[19], Leila. et al. (2019)^[15].

The GCMS analysis indicated that the three compounds 9, 12-Octadecadienoic acid, octadecenoic acid, and Squalene was found only in wild genotype viz., V. cauliflora and V. candamarcensis, these compounds were not exist in varieties of papaya. The compound Dodecanoic acid is found only in the gynodioecious papaya CO 7 with (17.0 Probability %) and V. candamarcensis (72.8 Probability %). These four compounds have an anti-viral activity, against plant viruses as per the findings in cotton that (9,12-Octadecadienoic acid) has an anti-viral activity against cotton leaf curl virus Azmat et al., 2015). Abdullah et al. (2019) [1] recommended that octadecenoic acid can be used as an alternative pesticide to control Aphis gossypii. Sujatha et al. (2019)^[24] reported that the compound Dodecanoic acid showed the anti-viral properties and the docking studies on dodecanoic acid, protein ligand complex was identified as a suitable lead molecule for distracting the host-seeking behaviour of Mosquitoes. Sangeetha et al. (2020) [22] also confirmed first that the compound Squalene has an anti-viral activity against the Groundnut Bud necrosis virus in tomato. And also the six compounds having the Insectifuge activity viz.,

(Hexadecanoic acid methyl ester, 9,12,15-Octadecatrienoic acid (Z, Z, Z), 2,4, Di-tert-butylphenol, Tetradecanoic acid, Octadecanoic acid and squalene reported by [Karthikeyan., *et al.* (2016) ^[13], George *et al.* (2018) ^[9], Mahalakashmi and Thangapandian (2019)] ^[16]. From the studies reported in other crops *viz.*, cotton and tomato, the role of 9,12-

Octadecadienoic acid and Squalene may also possess the antiviral properties against PRSV. This is the first study to analyse the anti-viral activity of resistant wild papaya genotypes for Papaya Ring Spot Virus (PRSV). Further studies is being taken up.



Fig 2: Report of Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) – CO 7

| Table 1 | : Major chemical | compounds identi | fied from the acti | ve fraction of m | ethanol extract of C | O 7 papaya leaf ex | tract by GCMS analysis. |
|---------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| | J | 1 | | | | 1 1 2 | |

| S. No. | Compound name | | RT | Peak area % | Molecula r formula | Molecular weight |
|-----------|--|------|--------|----------------|---|---------------------|
| 1. | 6-Hydroxy-4,4,7a-trimethyl-5,6,7,7a-tetrahydrobenzofuran-2(4H)-one | | 18.614 | 1.606 | C11H16O3 | 196.24 |
| 2. | n-Hexadecanoic acid | 66.7 | 22.471 | 8.280 | C16H32O2 | 256.42 |
| 3. | 2,4-Di-tert-butylphenol | 53.2 | 13.062 | 2.981 | C14H22O | 206.32 |
| 4. | Phytol | 52.5 | 25.312 | 16.661 | C20H40O | 296.5 |
| 5. | Neophytadiene | 49.5 | 20.085 | 6.212 | C20H38 | 278.5 |
| 6. | Benzyl isocyanate | 45.3 | 6.795 | 0.336 | C ₈ H ₇ NO | 133.15 |
| 7. | 3,7,11,15-Tetramethyl-2-hexadecen-1-ol | 38.5 | 20.945 | 1.928 | C20H40O | 296.5 |
| 8. | Benzene, (isothiocyanatomethyl)- | 38.1 | 10.371 | 0.420 | C ₈ H ₇ NS | 149.21 |
| 9. | . Hexadecanoic acid, methyl ester | | 21.851 | 0.633 | $C_{17}H_{34}O_2$ | 270.5 |
| 10. | 3-Buten-2-one, 4-(4-hydroxy-2,2,6-trimethyl-7- | | 16.884 | 0.366 | $C_{13}H_{20}O_3$ | 224.30 |
| 11. | Hexanedioic acid, bis(2-ethylhexyl) ester | 33.9 | 29.824 | 1.014 | C22H42O4 | 370.56 |
| 12. | Pentadecanoic acid, 14-methyl-, methyl ester | | 21.851 | 0.633 | $C_{17}H_{34}O_2$ | 270.5 |
| 13. | 2,6,10-Dodecatrien-1-ol, 3,7,11-trimethyl- | | 21.546 | 0.725 | $C_{22}H_{32}O_3$ | 344.5 |
| 14. | 1,3-Dioxolane-4-methanol, 2-ethyl- | | 3.028 | 0.866 | $C_6H_{12}O_3$ | 132.16 |
| 15. | 5H-Cyclopropanal | 19.2 | 28.693 | 0.411 | C ₂₈ H ₃₆ O ₁₁ | 548.6 |
| 16. | Tetradecanoic acid | 18.9 | 18.379 | 0.568 | $C_{14}H_{28}O_2$ | 228.37 |
| 17. | 9,12,15-Octadecatrienoic acid, (Z, Z, Z)- | 18.5 | 25.752 | 12.142 | C19H32O2 | 292.5 |
| 18. | Dodecanoic acid | 17 | 14.108 | 0.350 | C12H24O2 | 200.32 |
| 19. | Oleic Acid | 17 | 26.257 | 1.293 | C18H34O2 | 282.5 |
| 20. | Decane | 15.8 | 4.929 | 0.541 | C10H22 | 142.28 |
| 21. | Octadecane, 3-ethyl-5-(2-ethylbutyl)- | 15.7 | 28.583 | 0.470 | C26H54 | 366.7 |
| 22. | Phenol,2,6, -bis(1,1-dimethylethyl)- | 15.4 | 13.062 | 2.981 | C15H24O | 220.35 |

RT: Retention time



Fig 3: Report of Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) – TNAU Papaya CO 8

| Table 2: Major chemical compound identified from the active fraction of methanol extract of TNAU Papaya CO 8 leaf extract by GCMS |
|---|
| analysis. |

| S. No. | Compound name | Prob % | RT | Peak area % | Molecular formula | Molecular weight | |
|-----------|--|-----------|--------|----------------|--|---------------------|--|
| 1. | Hexadecanoic acid | 62.5 | 22.477 | 6.663 | $C_{16}H_{32}O_2$ | 256.42 | |
| 2. | Hexadecanoic acid, methyl ester | 59.0 | 21.861 | 0.746 | $C_{17}H_{34}O_2$ | 270.5 | |
| 3. | 6-Hydroxy-4,4,7a-trimethyl-5,6,7,7a-tetrahydrobenzofuran- 2(4H)-one | | 18.630 | 0.541 C11H16O3 | | 196.24 | |
| 4 | Phytol | 53.6 | 25.323 | 11.151 | $C_{20}H_{40}O$ | 296.5 | |
| 5. | Neophytadiene | 52.7 | 20.106 | 7.104 | $C_{20}H_{38}$ | 278.5 | |
| 6. | Undecane | 37.5 | 6.450 | 0.331 | C11H24 | 156.31 | |
| 7. | 9,12,15-Octadecatrienoic acid, (Z, Z, Z)- | 35.6 | 25.763 | 13.039 | $C_{19}H_{32}O_2$ | 292.5 | |
| 8. | 2,4-Di-tert-butylphenol | 34.2 | 13.078 | 0.871 | C14H22O | 206.32 | |
| 9. | 3,7,11,15-Tetramethyl-2-hexadecen-1-ol | 33.7 | 20.966 | 2.397 | $C_{20}H_{40}O$ | 296.5 | |
| 10. | N-2,4-Dnp-L-arginine | 26.2 | 23.652 | 0.348 | C12H16N6O6 | 340.29 | |
| 11. | Phenol, 2,6-bis(1,1-dimethylethyl)- | 23.5 | 13.078 | 0.871 | C15H24O | 220.35 | |
| 12. | Hexanedioic acid, mono(2-ethylhexyl) ester | 22.0 | 29.830 | 1.158 | $C_{14}H_{26}O_{4}$ | 258.35 | |
| 13. | 2-Dimethylsilyloxytridecane | 21.9 | 3.028 | 1.697 | C15H33OSi | 257.51 | |
| 14. | Hexadecanoic acid, 1-(hydroxymethyl)-1,2-ethanediyl ester | 20.2 | 28.654 | 0.465 | C35H68O5 | 568.9 | |
| 15. | Phenol, 2,5-bis(1,1-dimethylethyl)- | 18.9 | 13.078 | 0.871 | $C_{14}H_{22}O$ | 206.32 | |
| 16. | Hexanedioic acid, bis(2-ethylhexyl) ester | 17.7 | 29.830 | 1.158 | $C_{22}H_{42}O_4$ | 370.56 | |
| 17. | Pentadecanoic acid, 14-methyl-, methyl ester | 17.7 | 21.861 | 0.746 | C17H34O2 | 270.5 | |
| 18. | Propanoic acid | 17.6 | 24.638 | 0.358 | C ₂₀ H ₃₄ O ₇ | 386.5 | |
| 19. | Pregn-4-ene-3,20-dione, 17,21-dihydroxy-, bis(O- methyloxime) | 17.5 | 26.163 | 0.644 | $C_{23}H_{36}N_2O_4$ | 404.5 | |
| 20. | 1,3-Dioxolane-4-methanol, 2-ethyl- | 15.5 | 3.028 | 1.697 | C6H12O3 | 132.16 | |

RT: Retention time



Fig 4: Report of Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS)- V. cauliflora

| Table 3: Major chemical compound identified from the active fraction of methanol extract of Vasconcellea cauliflora leaf extract by GCM | S |
|---|---|
| analysis. | |

| S. No. | Compound name | Prob % | RT | Peak area % | Molecular formula | Molecular weight |
|--------|--|-----------|--------|-------------|---|------------------|
| 1. | 4H-Pyran-4-one, 2,3-dihydro-3,5-dihydroxy-6-methyl | 85.7 | 7.115 | 0.452 | $C_6H_8O_4$ | 144.12 |
| 2. | n-Hexadecanoic acid 7 | | 22.506 | 23.981 | $C_{16}H_{32}O_2$ | 256.42 |
| 3. | dl-Glyceraldehyde dimer | 66.3 | 3.474 | 1.848 | $C_6H_{12}O_6$ | 180.16 |
| 4. | 6-Hydroxy-4,4,7a-trimethyl-5,6,7,7a-tetrahydrobenzofuran-2(4H)-one | 51.5 | 18.630 | 0.312 | $C_{11}H_{16}O_2$ | 180.24 |
| 5. | Neophytadiene | 48.1 | 20.105 | 1.415 | C20H38 | 278.5 |
| 6. | Phytol | 47.2 | 25.327 | 6.872 | C20H40O | 296.5 |
| 7. | Octadecanoic acid | 46.1 | 26.273 | 2.359 | C18H36O2 | 284.5 |
| 8. | 2H-1-Benzopyran | 39.5 | 14.228 | 0.291 | $C_{15}H_{14}O_3$ | 242.27 |
| 9. | Hexadecanoic acid, methyl ester | 38.6 | 21.866 | 0.307 | C17H34O2 | 270.5 |
| 10. | 9,12,15-Octadecatrienoic acid, (Z,Z,Z)- | 34.6 | 25.777 | 13.990 | $C_{19}H_{32}O_2$ | 292.5 |
| 11. | Thymine | 34.1 | 5.975 | 0.379 | $C_5H_6N_2O_2$ | 126.11 |
| 12. | 4-Hydroxy-2-methylacetophenone | 33.4 | 9.576 | 1.460 | $C_9H_{10}O_2$ | 150.17 |
| 13. | Phenol, 2,6-bis(1,1-dimethylethyl)- | 32.5 | 13.077 | 0.402 | C15H24O | 220.35 |
| 14. | 2-Methoxy-4-vinylphenol | 30.8 | 9.576 | 1.460 | $C_9H_{10}O_2$ | 150.17 |
| 15. | Decane | 29.1 | 4.939 | 0.582 | C10H22 | 142.28 |
| 16. | (2-Aminothiazol-4-yl) acetic acid | 28.5 | 12.807 | 0.362 | C5H7ClN2O2S | 194.64 |
| 17. | Niclosamide | 28.1 | 14.303 | 0.350 | C13H8Cl2N2O4 | 327.12 |
| 18. | Tetradecanoic acid | 27.9 | 18.414 | 0.377 | $C_{14}H_{28}O_2$ | 228.37 |
| 19. | 5,5-Dimethylimidazolidine-2,4-dione | 26.9 | 21.501 | 0.979 | C5H6BrClN2O2 | 241.47 |
| 20. | Tramadol | 26.9 | 21.501 | 0.979 | C13H18ClN3 | 251.75 |
| 21. | Squalene | 26.8 | 29.774 | 4.363 | C30H50 | 410.7 |
| 22. | Propane-1,1-diol diacetate | 26.7 | 3.018 | 1.021 | C7H12O4 | 160.17 |
| 23. | 2,4-Di-tert-butylphenol | 26.2 | 13.077 | 0.402 | $C_{14}H_{22}O$ | 206.32 |
| 24. | O, O-Diethyl phosphate | 21.5 | 14.303 | 0.350 | $C_4H_{11}O_4P$ | 154.10 |
| 25. | 3,7,11,15-Tetramethyl-2-hexadecen-1-ol | 20.8 | 20.970 | 0.516 | $C_{20}H_{40}O$ | 296.5 |
| 26. | 9,12-Octadecadienoic acid (Z, Z)- | 20.2 | 25.667 | 2.748 | C18H32O2 | 280.4 |
| 27. | Butanamide, 2-hydroxy-N,2,3,3-tetramethyl- | 18.9 | 3.018 | 1.021 | $C_8H_{17}NO_2$ | 159.23 |
| 28. | Octane, 3,5-dimethyl- | 17.6 | 4.939 | 0.582 | $C_{10}H_{22}$ | 142.28 |
| 29. | 1,2-Benzenedimethanol | 17.2 | 8.175 | 0.285 | $C_8H_{10}O_2$ | 138.16 |
| 30. | Butylaldehyde, 4-benzyloxy-4-[2,2,-dimethyl-4-dioxolanyl]- | 17.2 | 23.296 | 1.502 | $C_{16}H_{22}O_4$ | 278.34 |
| 31. | 2,4-Dimethyl-5-methylthiopent-4-en-2-ol | 16.6 | 3.283 | 1.965 | $C_7H_{14}O$ | 114.19 |
| 32. | DL-Arabinose | 16.1 | 6.875 | 0.808 | C5H10O5 | 150.13 |
| 33. | Phenol, 2,5-bis(1,1-dimethylethyl)- | 15.9 | 13.077 | 0.402 | $C_{14}H_{22}O$ | 206.32 |
| 34. | 3-O-Methyl-d-glucose | 15.6 | 11.252 | 0.261 | C7H14O6 | 194.18 |
| 35. | 1,2-Dihydroindeno[1,2,3-cd] pyrene | 15.2 | 23.296 | 1.502 | C ₂₂ H ₁₄ | 278.3 |
| 36. | Sulforaphane | 6.0 | 3.474 | 1.848 | C ₆ H ₁₁ NOS ₂ | 177.3 |

RT: Retention time



Fig 5: Report of Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) – V. candamarcensis

| Table 4: Major chemical compound identified from the active fraction of methanol extract of Vasconcellea candamarcensis leaf extract by | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| GCMS analysis. | | | | | | | |

| C No | Comment la comme | | рт | Peak | Molecular | Molecular |
|---------|--|------|--------|--------|---|-----------|
| 5. INO. | Compound name | % | KI | area % | formula | weight |
| 1. | 6-Hydroxy-4,4,7a-trimethyl-5,6,7,7a-tetrahydrobenzofuran-2(4H)-one | | 18.565 | 0.765 | $C_{11}H_{16}O_3$ | 196.24 |
| 2. | n-Hexadecanoic acid | | 22.486 | 20.779 | $C_{16}H_{32}O_2$ | 256.42 |
| 3. | Tetradecanoic acid | 74.4 | 18.359 | 1.089 | $C_{14}H_{28}O_2$ | 228.37 |
| 4. | Dodecanoic acid | 72.8 | 14.053 | 0.312 | $C_{12}H_{24}O_2$ | 200.32 |
| 5. | 3-Buten-2-one, 4-(4-hydroxy-2,2,6-trimethyl-7-oxabicyclo [4.1.0] hept1-yl) | 64.1 | 16.734 | 0.203 | $C_{13}H_{20}O_{3}$ | 224.30 |
| 6. | Neophytadiene | 57.3 | 20.045 | 1.086 | C ₂₀ H ₃₈ | 278.5 |
| 7. | Phytol | 54.7 | 25.292 | 11.174 | C20H40O | 296.5 |
| 8. | 2,4-Di-tert-butylphenol | 52.8 | 12.997 | 0.732 | C14H22O | 206.32 |
| 9. | 9,12,15-Octadecatrienoic acid, (Z,Z,Z)- | 48.8 | 25.792 | 30.799 | C19H32O2 | 292.5 |
| 10. | 9,12-Octadecadienoic acid (Z,Z)- | 41.5 | 25.667 | 6.228 | $C_{18}H_{32}O_2$ | 280.4 |
| 11. | Oleoyl 3-carbacyclic phosphatidic acid | 39.4 | 9.561 | 0.214 | $C_{22}H_{41}O_5P$ | 416.5 |
| 12. | Octadecanoic acid | 35.6 | 26.253 | 3.048 | $C_{18}H_{36}O_2$ | 284.5 |
| 13. | Dasycarpidan-1-methanol, acetate (ester) | 34.3 | 22.906 | 0.344 | $C_{20}H_{26}N_2O_2$ | 326.4 |
| 14. | Hexanedioic acid, mono(2-ethylhexyl) ester | 33.4 | 29.829 | 0.264 | C14H26 | 258.354 |
| 15. | 7-Hydroxy-6-methoxyisoflavone | 32.8 | 24.742 | 0.761 | $C_{16}H_{12}O_4$ | 268.26 |
| 16. | 2,3,3-Trimethyl-2-(4-methylpentanoyl)-cyclopentanone | 31.0 | 19.415 | 0.307 | $C_{14}H_{24}O_2$ | 224.34 |
| 17. | 3,7,11,15-Tetramethyl-2-hexadecen-1-ol | 28.7 | 20.910 | 0.392 | C20H40O | 296.5 |
| 18. | 4H-Cyclopropa [5',6'] benz[1',2':7,8]azuleno[5,6-b]oxiren-4-one | 28.5 | 28.869 | 0.522 | C ₂₆ H ₃₄ O ₁₁ | 522.5 |
| 19. | 6-Hydroxy-7-methoxy-4-phenylcoumarin | | 21.476 | 0.946 | $C_{16}H_{12}O_4$ | 268.26 |
| 20. | 10-Methyl-8-tetradecen-1-ol acetate | 27.4 | 19.415 | 0.307 | C17H32O2 | 268.4 |
| 21. | 4,8,12,16-Tetramethylheptadecan-4-olide | 27.2 | 29.284 | 0.532 | $C_{21}H_{40}O_2$ | 324.5 |
| 22. | Hexadecanoic acid, 1-(hydroxymethyl)-1,2-ethanediyl ester | 24.6 | 23.447 | 0.727 | C35H68O5 | 568.91 |
| 23. | Octadecanoic acid, 2-(2-hydroxyethoxy) ethyl ester | 24.4 | 26.253 | 3.048 | C26H52O6 | 460.7 |
| 24. | 2-Pentadecanone, 6,10,14-trimethyl- | 21.6 | 20.130 | 0.435 | C18H36O | 268.5 |
| 25. | Octadecanal, 2-bromo- | 20.3 | 29.049 | 0.285 | C ₁₈ H ₃₅ BrO | 347.4 |
| 26. | 12-Hydroxy-14-methyl-oxa-cyclotetradec-6-en-2-one | 20.2 | 28.514 | 0.244 | $C_{14}H_{24}O_3$ | 240.34 |
| 27. | Hexanedioic acid, dioctyl ester | 20.2 | 29.829 | 0.264 | $C_{22}H_{42}O_4$ | 370.6 |
| 28. | Glycerol 1-palmitate | 20.8 | 23.447 | 0.727 | C19H38O4 | 330.5 |
| 29. | Clocortolone pivalate | 19.9 | 28.689 | 0.508 | C ₂₇ H ₃₆ ClFO ₅ | 495.0 |
| 30. | 3-Methoxyflavone | 18.9 | 24.742 | 0.761 | $C_{16}H_{12}O_3$ | 252.26 |
| 31. | Hexanedioic acid, bis(2-ethylhexyl) ester | 17.1 | 29.829 | 0.264 | $C_{22}H_{42}O_4$ | 370.6 |
| 32. | Benzoic acid, 4-hydroxy-3,5-dimethoxy-, hydrazide | 16.3 | 21.476 | 0.946 | $C_9H_{12}N_2O_4$ | 212.2 |
| 33. | Methyl 4-hydroxy-3,5 dimethoxybenzoate | 16.3 | 21.476 | 0.946 | $C_{10}H_{12}O_5$ | 212.20 |
| 34. | Benzeneethanol, à,á-dimethyl- | 16.1 | 3.213 | 0.200 | $C_{12}H_{18}O$ | 178.27 |
| 35. | Butanoic acid | 16.0 | 29.479 | 1.083 | C36H58O6 | 586.8 |
| 36. | Phenol, 2,5-bis(1,1dimethylethyl)- | | 12.997 | 0.732 | C14H22O | 206.32 |

4. Conclusion

The methanol extract of cultivated papaya varieties in comparison with wild genotypes indicated the presence of bioactive compounds *viz.*, Octadecadienoic acid, octadecenoic acid, Squalene and Dodecanoic acid in wild genotypes might be responsible for the anti-viral activities against the Papaya Ring Spot Virus (PRSV), since these compounds are reported to possess anti-viral principles in crops like Tomato and Cotton. However, further study is necessary for the confirmation of anti-viral activity of the compounds against PRSV and their role of defence mechanism against Papaya Ring Spot Virus.

5. Acknowledgements: The authors are Acknowledge, Department of Agricultural Microbiology, TNAU-Coimbatore for providing the instrumental facilities (GC-MS) for metabolite profiling studies.

6. References

- 1. Abdullah RR. Insecticidal Activity of Secondary Metabolites of Locally Isolated Fungal Strains against some Cotton Insect Pests. Journal of Plant Protection and Pathology 2019;10(12):647-653.
- Balamohan TN, Auxcilia J, Thirugnanavel A, Manoranjitham SK. CP-50: A Papaya Ring Spot Virus (PRSV) tolerant papaya genotype under field conditions. In II International Symposium on Papaya 2008;851:153-156).
- Bobby MN, Gananaraj WE, Antonysamy JMA, Adaikalam AAR, Jamesraj V. GC-MS Analysis of Albizia Lebbeck Benth. World Journal of Pharmaceutical Research. Edn 2015;11(4):1284-1304.
- 4. Canini A, Alesiani D, D'Arcangelo G, Tagliatesta P. Gas chromatography–mass spectrometry analysis of phenolic compounds from Carica papaya L. leaf. Journal of food composition and analysis 2007;20(7):584-590.
- 5. Dwivedi MK, Sonter S, Mishra S, Patel DK, Singh PK. Antioxidant, antibacterial activity, and phytochemical characterization of Carica papaya flowers. Beni-Suef University Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences 2020;9:1-11.9:23.
- Eleazu CO, Eleazu KC, Awa E, Chukwuma SC. Comparative study of the phytochemical composition of the leaves of five Nigerian medicinal plants. Journal of Biotechnology and Pharmaceutical Research 2012;3(2)42-46.
- 7. Ezekwe SA, Chikezie PC. GC–MS analysis of aqueous extract of unripe fruit of Carica papaya. Journal of Nutrition and Food sciences 2017;(3):1-5.
- Gogoi J, Nakhuru KS, Policegoudra RS, Chattopadhyay P, Rai AK, Veer V. Isolation and characterization of bioactive components from Mirabilis jalapa L. radix. Journal of Traditional and Complementary Medicine 2016;6(1):41-47.
- 9. Gorane A, Naik A, Nikam T, Tripathi T, Ade A. GCMS analysis of phytocomponents of C. papaya variety red lady. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 2018;7(2):553-555.
- Hajji M, Jarraya R, Lassoued I, Masmoudi O, Damak M, Nasri M. GC/MS and LC/MS analysis, and antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of various solvent extracts from Mirabilis jalapa tubers. Process Biochemistry 2010;45(9):1486-1493.
- 11. Harini RS, Saivikashini A, Keerthana G, Kumaresan K,

Murugesan S, Senthilkumar N. Profiling metabolites of *Carica papaya* Linn. variety CO7 through GC-MS analysis. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 2016;5(2):200.

- Mariswamy Y, Gnaraj WE, Johnson M. Chromatographic finger print analysis of steroids in *Aerva lanata* L by HPTLC technique. Asian Pacific journal of tropical biomedicine 2011;1(6):428-433.
- Karthikeyan V, Baskaran A, Rajasekaran CS. Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) analysis of ethanolic extracts of Barleria acuminata Nees. International Journal of Pharmaceutical Research 2016;6(2):55-61.
- 14. Khan MAU, Shahid AA, Rao AQ, Bajwa KS, Samiullah, TR, Muzaffar A *et al.* Molecular and biochemical characterization of cotton epicuticular wax in defense against cotton leaf curl disease. Iranian Journal of Biotechnology 2015;13(4):3.
- 15. Leila A, Lamjed B, Roudaina B, Najla T, Taamalli A, Jellouli S *et al.* Isolation of an antiviral compound from Tunisian olive twig cultivars. Microbial pathogenesis 2019;128:245-249.
- Mahalakashmi R, Thangapandian V. Gas chromatography and mass spectrometry analysis of bioactive constituents of Maytenus heyneana (Roth) Roju & Babu (Celestraceae). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 2019;8(1):2748-2752.
- 17. Mazid M, Khan TA, Mohammad F. Role of secondary metabolites in defense mechanisms of plants. Biology and medicine 2011;3(2):232-249.
- Pino JA, Almora K, Marbot R. Volatile components of papaya (Carica papaya L., Maradol variety) fruit. Flavour and fragrance journal 2003;18(6):492-496.
- 19. Ramya AK, Poojasri S, Annathai P, Saravanan M. Molecular Anti-Viral Drug Designing from Hybanthus enneaspermus A Virtual Drug Screening. Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Health Sciences 2020;10(1).
- 20. Sam T Mugford, Osbourn A. Isoprenoid Synthesis in Plants and Microorganisms: New Concepts and Experimental Approaches 2013;28:405-424.
- 21. Subramanian S, Ramakrishnan N. Chromatographic finger print analysis of Naringi crenulata by HPTLC technique. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine 2011;1(2):S195-S198.
- 22. Sangeetha B, Krishnamoorthy AS, Renukadevi P, Malathi VG, Sharmila DJS, Amirtham D. Antiviral activity of basidiomycetous fungi against Groundnut bud necrosis virus in tomato. Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology 2020;166:104570.
- Sharmela P, Thiruvengadam V, Ram SG, Soorianathasundaram K. Effect of cryo-storage on germinability and biochemical changes in papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) seeds. Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding 2019;10(2):930-935.
- 24. Sujatha S, Sara SC, Gayathiri M, Roselin IR, Ruby RGD. Analysis of bioactive compounds present in methanolic extract of Phymatosorus scolopendris (Brum.F.) Pic Serm. through Gas Chromatography and Mass Spectroscopy. International journal of pharmacological science and research 2020;11(7):3294-3299.
- 25. Ventura JA, Costa H, da Silva Tatagiba J. Papaya diseases and integrated control. In Diseases of Fruits and Vegetables: Springer, Dordrecht 2004;2:201-268.