

Rapid Assessment of Coastal Biodiversity Post-2015 Chennai Flood, India

Yambem Tenjing Singh¹, Rocktim Ramen Das^{1,2*}, Deepak Samuel Vijay Kumar¹, Pandian Krishnan¹, Purvaja Ramachandran¹, and Ramesh Ramachandran¹

¹National Centre for Sustainable Coastal Management, Ministry of Environment, Forests and Climate Change, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

²Graduate School of Engineering and Science, University of the Ryukyus, Nishihara, Okinawa, Japan

*Corresponding author: k188604@cs.u-ryukyu.ac.jp Received: September 28, 2018; Revised: January 8, 2019; Accepted: June 9, 2019

Abstract

During late November and early December of 2015, Chennai city in the coastal state of Tamil Nadu experienced unprecedented rainfall that resulted in a flash flood. It was one of the worst affected coastal cities of southern India resulting in an alteration of the coastal environment. We was made an attempt to document the impact of the flood on coastal fauna following which a survey was conducted from the estuarine river mouths of Adyar to Cooum along the Marina beach. 177 marine species were identified, represented by 5 fishes, 15 crustaceans, 95 bivalves, and 57 gastropods. Other groups include echinoderm, coral, polychaete, anthozoan and bryozoan represented by a single individual. Presence of various freshwater species including various plastic components indicates that the heavy freshwater outflow from the rivers and canals have had a notable effect, calling for a long term assessment of the study area.

Keywords: Chennai flood; 2015; Precipitation; Coastal fauna; India

1. Introduction

With climate change being evident in the 21st century, extreme weather or tectonic conditions have become more unpredictable. Such conditions can lead to serious environmental impacts as seen previously. Coastal districts of eastern Tamil Nadu and Puducherry usually bear heavy rains during the northeast monsoon along with Andhra Pradesh which becomes prone to flooding with numbers of swelling rivers and wetlands. Between the years from 1943 to 2005 Chennai city had experienced five major floods alone causing particularly severe damage in the year of 1943 and 2005. Aside from this, unplanned and illegally urban development has caused many wetlands and natural sinks being built over artificially. In addition, aging civil infrastructures and poorly designed drainage systems have resulted in an increase in frequency of flooding (Seenirajan *et al.*, 2017). Following the pursuit, the magnitude of heavy rain events has also witnessed a marked increase in the Indian Ocean indicating vulnerability towards the Indian subcontinent (Goswami *et al.*, 2006; Roxy *et al.*, 2017). The effect was observed in one such event where continuous rainfall ranging from 200-340 mm/d (max. 450 mm) occurred in Chennai, Tamil Nadu (Figure 1) due to a northwesterly moving lowpressure system which initially formed over the southeastern Bay of Bengal (Singh *et al.*, 2018). Continuous rainfall resulted in a flash flood along certain parts of the coast (Chakraborty, 2015; Balakrishnan, 2016; Mishra, 2016). The coast, which accounts for 17% (approximately 1000 km) of the coastline of India consists part of the Bay of Bengal to a length of 355 km, the Palk strait 275 km, Gulf of Mannar 315 km and a certain part of Arabian sea amounting to 55 km. The city of Chennai was the worst hit as several sectors were severely affected as well as rivers and canals were overflowing.

Intertidal benthic fauna are bio-indicators of environmental stress (Lekshmi et al., 2014). Due to very restricted movements and differential tolerance, they are among the most common organisms used to assess natural or anthropogenic impacts. With comparatively longer life spans and as key elements in the food web of aquatic systems, they can integrate the effects of the environment (Ansari and Ingole, 2002). During the early period, the interesting phenomenon of sudden and devastating mass mortalities in populations of marine fauna was investigated (Brongersma-Sanders, 1957). Mass mortalities of bivalves have occurred due to low salinity water from outflows from the river mouth (Murray-Jones and Johnson, 2003). Heavy deposition of fine sediment during disastrous events such as extreme rain and flooding can profoundly influence the function

and structure of macrobenthic communities (Norkko et al., 2002). Rajendran et al. (2005) have reported that only two earthquakes (1881 and 1941) have caused the tsunami run-up on the east coast of India. Furthermore, Altaff et al. (2005) highlighted that the previous historical events are meager in terms of the 2004 tsunami as it has caused extensive damage to the shore and foreshore area of the coastal system, resulting in greater physical damage. Severe biodiversity loss in the Nicobar group of Islands in the Indian Ocean post-tsunami was also reported by Porwal et al. (2005). The present work is carried out as a baseline data for biological elements that were identified and documented after the Chennai flood 2015.

The main objectives of the paper are 1) to provide a comprehensive checklist of coastal biodiversity observed post-Chennai flood-2015. 2) To inform the presence of freshwater and terrestrial species due to an excessive outflow of fresh water. 3) Highlight the need for long term monitoring and management.

2. Material and Methods

2.1 Study area

Marina beach is the second longest beach in the world with a width varying from 150 to 600 m and has a length of approximately 5.6 km (Figure 2). It is a popular tourist attraction with more than a million tourists visiting every year (Pradhan *et al.*, 2018). Adyar and Cooum are the




Figure 1. TRMM radiometric data shows the amount of rainfall over Chennai city (Arrow), east coast of India on the 1st December, 2015 overlaid on Google Earth. (Original source: https://neo.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov/view.php?datasetId=TRMM_3B43M)

S.Y. Tenjing et al. / EnvironmentAsia 12(3) (2019) 91-103




Figure 2. Study area (Marina Beach). Dashed lines indicate sampling area, rectangular boxes indicate estuarine mouth highlighted in Figure 3.




Figure 3. Pre and Post-rainfall scenario of estuarine mouth (A, B) Cooum River (C, D) Adyar River

two main non-perennial rivers that run across the city (Figure 2). The Cooum runs through the middle of the city from west to east and almost bisects the city into two halves while the Adyar is in the south. The estuarine region of these rivers remain closed for most of the year, however, the northeast monsoon during October to December opens up the river mouth (Ramanujam *et al.*, 2014). The watercourses are polluted within the city limits which eventually find its way to the Bay of Bengal. They are used as open sewers due to the innumerable outfall of sewer-stormwater interconnection by Chennai the Metropolitan Water Supply and Sewerage Board (CMWSSB) at a number of points.

2.2 Methodology

Field observations were carried out between the Cooum estuary in the north and the Adyar estuarine mouth in the south (Figure 2). The survey was undertaken in the second week of December 2015 soon after the flood. Specimens representing different taxa were strewn across the beach. Shells, coral fragments and other calcareous matter were either hand-picked or documented in the field based on the Wildlife Protection Act (Regulations) India, 1972. The specimens that were not decomposed, were washed and their tissue was preserved in 10% formalin solution in plastic vials for future studies. The collected fauna was sorted into groups; counted, labeled



S.Y. Tenjing et al. / EnvironmentAsia 12(3) (2019) 91-103

Figure 4. Percentage contribution of coastal fauna collected and observed in the study site




Figure 5. Some of the species observed during the study (A) *Kaliella aspirans* (B) *Turritella duplicata* (C) *Phalium glaucum* (D) *Harpa davidis* (E) *Dromia* sp. (F) *Salmacis virgulata*

and preserved in plastic containers. In the case of molluscs, dry preservation was also carried out. The specimens were segregated group-wise and identified using standard taxonomic references following Day (1967) for polychaetes, anthozoans Raghunathan et al. (2014); bivalves Sathyamurthi (1956), and Huber (2010; 2015), gastropods Franklin and Laladhas (2014); Apte (2014), and Raheem et al. (2014); crustaceans Ng et al. (2001), and FAO (1998); echinoderms Raghunathan et al. (2013), and fishes Venkataraman et al. (2007), and Murugan et al. (2010). The identified species names were validated using World Register of Marine Species database (WoRMS). Google earth archived satellite imagery was georeferenced using QGis 3.0.1 for pre and postflood visualization of the Adyar and Cooum river mouth (Figure 3). Microwave radiometric data for precipitation was obtained from Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) and was modified accordingly (Ghosh *et al.*, 2016) (Figure 1).

3. Results and Discussion

Periods of high river discharge (Murray-Jones and Johnson 2003) followed by extreme flooding lead to increased deposits of sediments leading to mortality of macrobenthic communities in coastal areas (Norkko *et al.*, 2002). This was witnessed during the Chennai floods of 2015 which was caused by incessant rains, followed by the release of excess water from the reservoirs leading to the inundation of low lying areas and spillover from rivers. This is the worst ever recorded incident in Chennai, post 1943 (Frederick, 2011). As per the report of the National Remote Sensing Centre (NRSC, 2016), the flood water level exceeded more than 5 m in height in several localities, severely damaging property of thousands of families. Flooding of Cooum and Adyar rivers, the major draining points for Chennai lead to the inundation of low-lying areas. Excess water outflow also temporarily modified the geomorphological features of the estuarine mouth (Figure 3).

Extensive collections carried out during the second week after the flash flood indicated that while some taxa had living representatives, most of the collected fauna were dead and in a decomposed condition. The marine taxa documented included dead coral, sea anemone, polychaete worms, crustaceans, bivalves, gastropods, echinoderm, bryozoan and fishes (Table 1). The percentage contribution of coastal fauna collected from the study site is given in (Figure 4). Only a single representative of coral (Truncatoflabellum sp.), sea anemone (Heteractis sp.), polychaete (Sabellides sp.), echinoderm (Salmacis virgulata) and a bryozoan colony occurred. A total of 15 species of crustaceans was recorded among which, commercially important species like Scylla serrata (estuarine form) and *Charybdis natator* (marine form) were present. Phylum Mollusca had the maximum representation with 53.7% bivalves and 32.2% gastropods (Figure 4). Besides, empty shells, 5 species of freshwater/terrestrial gastropods namely Pila globosa, Bellamya dissimilis, Achatina fulica, Ariophanta bistrialis



Figure 6. (A) Removal of plastic garbage from beach after the flood near river mouth, (B) Plastics deposited on the Marina beach post flood, (C) Remains of excess flood and rainwater, (D) Machines to restructure damaged areas, (E) Local community collecting edible *Donax cuneatus* post flood, (F) Water receding as the sea calms post flood

Sl. No.	Group	Family	Species
1	Anthozoan	Hormathiidae	Heteractis sp.
2	Coral	Flabellidae	Truncatoflabellum sp.
3	Polychaete	Sabellidae	Sabellides sp.
4	Bryozoan	-	Unidentified sp.
5	Bivalve	Anomiidae	Anomia ephippium (Linnaeus, 1758)
6	Bivalve	Anomiidae	A. achaeus (Gray, 1850)
7	Bivalve	Arcidae	Anadara antiquata (Linnaeus, 1758)
8	Bivalve	Arcidae	Arca navicularis (Bruguière, 1789)
9	Bivalve	Arcidae	Arca spp.
10	Bivalve	Arcidae	Anadara inaequivalvis (Bruguière, 1789)
11	Bivalve	Arcidae	A. chemnitzii (Philippi, 1851)
12	Bivalve	Arcidae	A. notabilis (Röding, 1798)
13	Bivalve	Arcidae	Anadara spp.
14	Bivalve	Arcidae	Tegillarca rhombea (Born, 1778)
15	Bivalve	Arcidae	T. granosa (Linnaeus, 1758)
16	Bivalve	Arcidae	Mosambicarca sp.
17	Bivalve	Arcidae	Barbatia lacerata (Bruguière, 1789)
18	Bivalve	Cardiidae	Fulvia australis (G. B. Sowerby II, 1834)
19	Bivalve	Cardiidae	Vasticardium assimile (Reeve, 1844)
20	Bivalve	Cardiidae	V. asiaticum (Bruguière, 1789)
21	Bivalve	Cardiidae	V. coronatum (Schröter, 1786)
22	Bivalve	Cardiidae	Maoricardium setosum (Redfield, 1846)
23	Bivalve	Cardiidae	Maoricardium sp.
24	Bivalve	Cardiidae	Acrosterigma cygnorum (Deshayes, 1855)
25	Bivalve	Carditidae	Cardita variegata (Bruguière, 1792)
26	Bivalve	Chamidae	Chama sp.
27	Bivalve	Condylocardiidae	Carditopsis sp.
28	Bivalve	Corbulidae	Corbula taitensis (Lamarck, 1818)
29	Bivalve	Corbulidae	Corbula sp.
30	Bivalve	Donacidae	Donax scortum (Linnaeus, 1758)
31	Bivalve	Donacidae	D. cuneatus (Linnaeus, 1758)
32	Bivalve	Donacidae	<i>D. faba</i> (Gmelin, 1791)
33	Bivalve	Donacidae	Donax spp.
34	Bivalve	Glycymerididae	Glycymeris undata (Linnaeus, 1758)
35	Bivalve	Glycymerididae	G. decussata (Linnaeus, 1758)
36	Bivalve	Glycymerididae	Glycymeris spp.
37	Bivalve	Glycymerididae	Cyrtodaria sp.
38	Bivalve	Limidae	Ctenoides annulatus (Lamarck, 1819)
39	Bivalve	Lucinidae	Anodontia edentula (Linnaeus, 1758)
40	Bivalve	Lucinidae	Monitilora bonneti (Cossmann, 1923)
41	Bivalve	Mactridae	Oxyperas lentiginosa (Gould, 1852)
42	Bivalve	Mactridae	Mactra violacea (Gmelin, 1791)

Table 1. Species recorded from Marina beach post flood

Sl. No.	Group	Family	Species
43	Bivalve	Mactridae	M. antiquata (Spengler, 1802)
44	Bivalve	Mactridae	M. achatina (Holten, 1802)
45	Bivalve	Mactridae	Mactrinula sp.
46	Bivalve	Mactridae	Mactra spp.
47	Bivalve	Mesodesmatidae	Atactodea sp.
48	Bivalve	Myida	Pholas orientalis Gmelin, 1791
49	Bivalve	Mytilidae	Perna perna (Linnaeus, 1758)
50	Bivalve	Mytilidae	P. viridis (Linnaeus, 1758)
51	Bivalve	Mytilidae	Modiolus modulaides (Röding, 1798)
52	Bivalve	Mytilidae	Modiolus sp.
53	Bivalve	Ostreidae	Crassostrea bilineata (Röding, 1798)
54	Bivalve	Ostreidae	C. gryphoides (Schlotheim 1813)
55	Bivalve	Ostreidae	Crassostrea spp.
56	Bivalve	Ostreidae	Saccostrea cucullata (Born, 1778)
57	Bivalve	Pectinidae	Mimachlamys sanguinea (Linnaeus, 1758)
58	Bivalve	Pectinidae	Volachlamys tranquebaria (Gmelin, 1791)
59	Bivalve	Pharidae	Siliqua radiata (Linnaeus, 1758)
60	Bivalve	Pholadidae	Barnea candida (Linnaeus, 1758)
61	Bivalve	Pholadidae	Pholas chiloensis Molina, 1782
62	Bivalve	Pholadidae	Barnea birmanica (Philippi, 1849)
63	Bivalve	Pinnidae	Pinna bicolor (Gmelin, 1791)
64	Bivalve	Pinnidae	P. muricata (Linnaeus, 1758)
65	Bivalve	Pinnidae	Atrina vexillum (Born, 1778)
66	Bivalve	Placunidae	Placuna placenta (Linnaeus, 1758)
67	Bivalve	Psammobiidae	Hiatula diphos (Linnaeus, 1771)
68	Bivalve	Pteriidae	Isognomon sp.
69	Bivalve	Spondylidae	Spondylus nicobaricus (Schreibers, 1793)
70	Bivalve	Spondylidae	S. layardi (Reeve, 1856)
71	Bivalve	Spondylidae	Spondylus spp.
72	Bivalve	Tellinidae	Pristipagia subtruncata (Hanley, 1844)
73	Bivalve	Tellinidae	Bosemprella incarnata (Linnaeus, 1758)
74	Bivalve	Tellinidae	Hanleyanus immaculatus (Philippi, 1849)
75	Bivalve	Tellinidae	<i>Tellina</i> spp.
76	Bivalve	Tellinidae	Serratina capsoides (Lamarck, 1818)
77	Bivalve	Tellinidae	Arcopagia sp.
78	Bivalve	Veneridae	Chione sp.
79	Bivalve	Veneridae	Meretrix meretrix (Linnaeus, 1758)
80	Bivalve	Veneridae	<i>M. casta</i> (Gmelin, 1791)
81	Bivalve	Veneridae	Protapes gallus (Gmelin, 1791)
82	Bivalve	Veneridae	Circe scripta (Linnaeus, 1758)
83	Bivalve	Veneridae	<i>Timoclea habei</i> (Fischer-Piette and Vukadinovic, 1977)
84	Bivalve	Veneridae	<i>T. imbricata</i> (Sowerby II, 1853)

Table 1. Species recorded from Marina beach post flood (continue)

Sl. No.	Group	Family	Species
85	Bivalve	Veneridae	T. ovata (Pennant, 1777)
86	Bivalve	Veneridae	<i>Timoclea</i> spp.
87	Bivalve	Veneridae	Sunetta scripta (Linnaeus, 1758)
88	Bivalve	Veneridae	S. meroe (Linnaeus, 1758)
89	Bivalve	Venerida	S. donacina (Gmelin, 1791)
90	Bivalve	Veneridae	Sunetta spp.
91	Bivalve	Veneridae	Chioneryx grus (Holmes, 1858)
92	Bivalve	Veneridae	Dosinia areolata Römer, 1870
93	Bivalve	Veneridae	D. prostrata (Linnaeus, 1758)
94	Bivalve	Venerida	Dosinia sp.
95	Bivalve	Venerida	Dosinia contracta (Philippi, 1844)
96	Bivalve	Veneridae	Marcia opima (Gmelin, 1791)
97	Bivalve	Veneridae	Paratapes undulatus (Born, 1778)
98	Bivalve	Veneridae	Gafrarium divaricatum (Gmelin, 1791)
99	Bivalve	Veneridae	Periglypta fischeri (Récluz, 1852)
100	Gastropod	Achatinidae	Ariophanta bistrialis* (Beck, 1837)
101	Gastropod	Achatinidae	Ariophanta sp.
102	Gastropod	Achatinidae	Achatina fulica* (Férussac, 1821)
103	Gastropod	Ampullariidae	Pila globosa* (Swainson, 1822)
104	Gastropod	Architectonicidae	Architectonica laevigata (Lamarck, 1816)
105	Gastropod	Babyloniidae	Babylonia spirata (Linnaeus, 1758)
106	Gastropod	Bursidae	Bufonaria crumena (Lamarck, 1816)
107	Gastropod	Bursidae	B. echinata (Link, 1807)
108	Gastropod	Bursidae	B. rana (Linnaeus, 1758)
109	Gastropod	Calyptraeidae	<i>Ergaea</i> sp.
110	Gastropod	Calyptraeidae	Desmaulus extinctorium (Lamarck, 1822)
111	Gastropod	Calyptraeidae	Ergaea walshi (Reeve, 1859)
112	Gastropod	Carditidae	Cardites bicolor (Lamarck, 1819)
113	Gastropod	Cassidae	Phalium glaucum (Linnaeus, 1758)
114	Gastropod	Chilodontidae	Euchelus asper (Gmelin, 1791)
115	Gastropod	Conidae	Conus figulinus (Linnaeus, 1758)
116	Gastropod	Conidae	C. amadis (Gmelin, 1791)
117	Gastropod	Conidae	Conus sp.
118	Gastropod	Chronidae	Kaliella aspirans* (Blanford, 1861)
119	Gastropod	Cypraeidae	Erosaria lamarckii (J.E. Gray, 1825)
120	Gastropod	Cypraeidae	E. ocellata (Linnaeus, 1758)
121	Gastropod	Ficidae	Ficus variegata (Röding, 1798)
122	Gastropod	Ficidae	F. ficus (Linnaeus, 1758)
123	Gastropod	Fissurellidae	Diodora ruppellii (G. B. Sowerby I, 1835)
124	Gastropod	Harpidae	Harpa davidis (Röding, 1798)
125	Gastropod	Janthinidae	Janthina janthina (Linnaeus, 1758)
126	Gastropod	Littorinidae	Littoraria coccinea glabrata (Philippi, 1846)

Table 1. Species recorded from Marina beach post flood (contunue)

Sl. No.	Group	Family	Species
127	Gastropod	Melongenidae	L. undulata (Gray, 1839)
128	Gastropod	Littorinidae	Volegalea cochlidium (Linnaeus, 1758)
129	Gastropod	Muricidae	Purpura bufo (Lamarck, 1822)
130	Gastropod	Muricidae	Drupa sp.
131	Gastropod	Muricidae	Murex carbonnieri (Jousseaume, 1881)
132	Gastropod	Muricidae	M. ternispina (Lamarck, 1822)
133	Gastropod	Muricidae	<i>M. trapa</i> (Röding, 1798)
134	Gastropod	Nassariidae	Bullia vittata (Linnaeus, 1767)
135	Gastropod	Nacellidae	Cellana radiata (Born, 1778)
136	Gastropod	Nacellidae	<i>C. rota</i> (Gmelin, 1791)
137	Gastropod	Olividae	Oliva tigrina (Lamarck, 1811)
138	Gastropod	Potamididae	Telescopium telescopium (Linnaeus, 1758)
139	Gastropod	Potamididae	Pirenella cingulata (Gmelin, 1791)
140	Gastropod	Ranellidae	Gyrineum natator (Röding, 1798)
141	Gastropod	Ranellidae	Gutturnium sp.
142	Gastropod	Ranellidae	Cymatium sp.
143	Gastropod	Ranellidae	Linatella caudata (Gmelin, 1791)
144	Gastropod	Terebridae	Cinguloterebra commaculata (Gmelin, 1791)
145	Gastropod	Tonnidae	Tonna dolium (Linnaeus, 1758)
146	Gastropod	Trochidae	Gibbula swainsonii (Adam, 1851)
147	Gastropod	Turritellidae	Turritella duplicata (Linnaeus, 1758)
148	Gastropod	Turritellidae	T. attenuata (Reeve, 1849)
149	Gastropod	Turritellidae	T. acutangula (Grateloup, 1845)
150	Gastropod	Turritellidae	T. columnaris (Kiener, 1843)
151	Gastropod	Turritellidae	T. terebra (Linnaeus, 1758)
152	Gastropod	Turritellidae	T. terebra cerea (Reeve, 1849)
153	Gastropod	Turritellidae	Turritella sp.
154	Gastropod	Viviparidae	Bellamya dissimilis* (Mueller, 1774)
155	Gastropod	Xenophoridae	Onustus indicus (Gmelin, 1791)
156	Gastropod	Xenophoridae	Xenophora corrugata (Reeve, 1842)
157	Crustacean	Alpheidae	Alpheus malabaricus (Fabricius, 1775)
158	Crustacean	Balanidae	Amphibalanus variegatus (Darwin, 1854)
159	Crustacean	Balanidae	A. amphitrite amphitrite (Darwin, 1854)
160	Crustacean	Balanidae	A. reticulatus (Utinomi, 1967)
161	Crustacean	Balanidae	Megabalanus tintinnabulum tintinnabulum (Linnaeus, 1758)
162	Crustacean	Calappidae	Calappa lophos (Herbst, 1782)
163	Crustacean	Dromiidae	Dromia sp.
164	Crustacean	Epialtidae	Doclea ovis (Fabricius, 1787)
165	Crustacean	Lepadidae	Lepas anserifera (Linnaeus, 1767)
166	Crustacean	Majidae	Schizophrys aspera (H. Milne Edwards, 1834)
167	Crustacean	Matutidae	Matuta planipes (Fabricius, 1798)
168	Crustacean	Ocypodidae	Ocypode platytarsis (H. Milne Edwards, 1852)

Table 1. Species recorded from Marina beach post flood (contunue)

Sl. No.	Group	Family	Species
169	Crustacean	Portunidae	Scylla serrata (Forskål, 1775)
170	Crustacean	Portunidae	Charybdis natator (Herbst, 1794)
171	Crustacean	Xanthidae	Liagore rubromaculata (De Haan, 1835)
172	Echinoderm	Temnopleuridae	Salmacis virgulata L. Agassiz in L. (Agassiz and Desor, 1846)
173	Fish	Gerreidae	Gerres methueni (Regan, 1920)
174	Fish	Gerreidae	G. oblongus (Cuvier, 1830)
175	Fish	Gerreidae	G. erythrourus (Bloch, 1791)
176	Fish	Muraenidae	<i>Gymnothorax</i> sp.
177	Fish	Uranoscopidae	Uranoscopus affinis (Cuvier, 1829)

Table 1. Species recorded from Marina beach post flood (contunue)

*Freshwater species

and Kaliella aspirans (Figure 5) were also documented all along the study area in large quantities. These gastropods usually inhabit freshwater areas like ponds, tanks, etc. and were deposited on the beach as the result of their flushing by flood waters. The terrestrial gastropod, A. fulica is also an invasive species in India. Among the macrofauna assessed, Donax cuneatus (bivalve) and Turritella spp. (gastropod) dominated the flood-affected regions. These species are typical representatives of sandy beach and prefer low wave energy with exposed surf beaches. Fisherfolk women inhabiting the Lighthouse area of Marina beach were involved in the collection of wedge clams (Donax cuneatus) after the floods. Inquiries revealed that clams were consumed locally and the shells were used for ornamental purposes (Figure 6E). Among fishes, 5 species were recorded, including 3 representatives of Family Gerridae (Gerres erythrourus, G. emthueni and G. oblongus) that inhabit estuarine areas and are of commercial importance. Altogether bivalves and gastropods accounted for over 85.9% of the collected specimens. Apart from biological fauna, high amount of plastic debris was also observed in certain areas of the beach (Figure 6B). A threefold increase in microplastics were reported post-Chennai floods, especially near the Adyar and Cooum river mouths (Veerasingam et al., 2016) with a report indicating that the flood swept around 0.1 million tons of debris (Pradhan et al., 2018).

These components can have a long-lasting effect on the coastal ecosystem.

Salinity is the major factor for fauna washing ashore (Lazarus and Sreenivasan, 1977). According to Brongersma-Sanders (1957), mass mortality of marine organisms occurs whenever there is a great inflow of freshwater due to heavy rainfall. On the other hand, a severe cyclonic storm in 1972 caused a large-scale death of Lingula due to the cyclonic turbulence combined with high spring tide (Ramamoorthi et al., 1973). Disturbance in meiofauna was reported in the same geographic location post 2004 tsunami due to the alteration of various physio-chemical parameters including salinity (25.86 to 30.53) during the initial 7 days (Altaff et al., 2005) however, long term observation also revealed that these species are resilient (Grzelak et al., 2009). Similar variation in environmental parameters can be expected during, or post Chennai flood.

Our survey further indicates that the seawater influx and the river discharge had an impact on the coastal and marine biodiversity along the coast of Chennai. The occurrence of deep water coral of the genus *Truncatoflabellum* in the shore points out towards the extent of impact on the continental shelf region of Chennai. Further, with the advent of climate change and the rise in SST, such natural hazards are expected to be more frequent in the future and the coastal cities must be prepared to minimize the degree of damage caused to the environment, properties or human life. This baseline data on the fauna encountered post floods provide environmental planners the level of damage that can occur for living resources when a natural disaster like flooding occurs and calls for regular and long term monitoring of the study area.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the research facilities provided by the Ministry of Environment, Forests and Climate Change, Govt. of India. The authors are grateful to Dr. Durga Prasad Behera (Gujarat Institute of Desert Ecology, India) and an anonymous reviewer for the critical comments on the manuscript.

References

- Altaff KJ, Sugumaran J, Naveed MDS. Impact of tsunami on meiofauna of Marina beach, Chennai, India. Current Science. 2005; 89(1): 34-38.
- Ansari ZA, Ingole BS. Effect of a spill of fuel oil on the meiofauna of a sandy beach at Goa, central west coast of India. Marine Pollution Bulletin. 2002; 44: 396–402.
- Apte D. Sea shells of India. An illustrated guide to common gastropods. London: Oxford; 2014.
- Balakrishnan S. Chennai flood of 1–5 December 2015: an extreme climatic event? Current Science. 2016; 110: 9–10.
- Brongersma-Sanders M. Mass mortality in the sea. In: Hedgepeth JW, editor. Treatise on marine ecology and paleoecology, Baltimore: Waverly Press; 1957. p. 941-1010.
- Chakraborty A. A synoptic-scale perspective of heavy rainfall over Chennai in November 2015. Current Science. 2016; 111: 201–207.
- Day JH. The monograph on the polychaetes of Southern Africa. Vol I & II, Trustees of the British Museum, Natural History, London, 1967.
- FAO. Species identification guide for fishery purposes. Cephalopods, crustaceans,

holothurians and sharks. In: Carpenter KE, Niem VH, editors. The living marine resources of the Western Central Pacific, Vol 2, Rome: FAO; 1998. p. 687-1396.

- Frederick. Memories of Madras: Story of a submerged city. The Hindu. Available from: http://www.thehindu.com/features/ metroplus/memories-of-madras-storyof-a-submerged-city/article2650132.ece [Accessed March, 2018].
- Franklin JB, Laladhas KP. Marine gastropods of Kerala, (Kerala State Biodiversity Board, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala; 2014.
- Ghosh S, Nandy S, Senthil Kumar A. Rapid assessment of recent flood episode in Kaziranga National Park, Assam using remotely sensed satellite data. Current Science. 2016; 111(9): 1450-1451.
- Goswami BN, Venugopal V, Sengupta D, Madhusoodanan MS, Xavier PK. Increasing trend of extreme rain events over India in a warming environment. Science. 2006; 314: 1442–1445.
- Grzelak K, Kotwicki L, Szczuciński W. Monitoring of sandy beach meiofaunal assemblages and sediments after the 2004 tsunami in Thailand. Polish Journal of Environmental Studies. 2008; 18(1): 43-51.
- Huber M. Compendium of bivalves. Vol I, Harxheim: ConchBooks; 2010.
- Huber M. Compendium of bivalves. Vol II, Harxheim: ConchBooks; 2015.
- Lazarus S, Sreenivasan PV. A large-scale washing-ashore of marine fauna at Kovalam, southwest coast of India. Indian Journal of Fisheries. 1977; 24: 198–200.
- Lekshmi S, Miranda MTP, Jose JJ, Rajesh BR, Sreelekshmy SG. Assemblages and diversity of pelagic larval forms of benthic fauna along Kollam coast, Kerala. Journal of Aquatic Biology and Fisheries. 2014; 2: 292–297.
- Mishra AK. Monitoring Tamil Nadu flood of 2015 using satellite remote sensing. Natural Hazards 2016; 82: 1431–1434.
- Murray-Jones S, Johnson JA. Goolwa cockles (*Donax deltoides*) fishery assessment report to PIRSA (Fisheries) for the Inland Waters Fisheries Management Committee.

South Australian Fish Assess Ser 2002/21; 2003.

- Murugan A, Naveen N. Fin fishes of the Gulf of Mannar: A field identification guide. Bangalore: Dakshin Foundation; 2010.
- National Remote Sensing Centre. Hydrological simulation study of flood disaster in Adyar and Cooum Rivers, Tamilnadu. Available from: http://www.nrsc.gov.in/sites/all/ pdf/Adyar_Cooum_Rivers.pdf; 2016. [Accessed 6th April 2016].
- Ng PKL, Chia-Hsiang W, Ping-Ho H, Hsi-Te S. An annotated checklist of brachyuran crabs from Taiwan (*Crustacea: Decapoda*). National Taiwan Museum Special Publication Series (National Taiwan Museum, Taipei). 2001; 11: 86.
- Norkko A, Thrush SF, Hewitt JE, Cummings VJ, Norkko J, Ellis JI, Funnell GA, Schultz D, MacDonald L. Smothering of estuarine sandflats by terrigenous clay: the role of wind-wave disturbance and bioturbation in site dependent macrofaunal recovery. Marine Ecology Progress Series. 2002; 234: 23–41.
- Porwal MC, Padalia H, Roy PS. Impact of tsunami on the forest and biodiversity richness in Nicobar Islands (Andaman and Nicobar Islands), India. Biodiversity and Conservation. 2012; 21: 1267–1287.
- Pradhan U, Naik S, Begum M, Kumar SS, Panda US, Mishra P, Ramana Murthy MV. Marine litter: post-flood nuisance for Chennai beaches. Current Science. 2018; 115(8): 1454-1455.
- Raheem DC, Taylor H, Ablett J, Preece RC, Aravind NA, Naggs F. A systematic revision of the land snails of the Western Ghats of India. Tropical Natural History. 2014; 4: 1-294.
- Rajendran K, Rajendran CP, Earnest A. The great Sumatra: Andaman earthquake of 26 December 2004. Current Science 2005; 88: 11–12.
- Raghunathan C, Sadhukhan K, Mondal T, Sivaperuman C, Venkataraman K. A guide to common Echinoderms of Andaman and Nicobar Islands. Kolkata: Zoological Survey of India; 2013.

- Raghunathan C, Raghuraman R, Choudhury S, Venkataraman K. Diversity and distribution of sea anemones in India with special reference to Andaman and Nicobar islands. Records of the Zoological Survey of India. 2014; 114: 269–294.
- Ramamoorthi K, Venkataramanujam K, Srikrishnadhas B. Mass mortality of Lingula anatina (Lam.) (Brachipoda) in Porta Nova waters, S. India. Current Science 1973; 42: 285–287.
- Ramanujam ME, Rema Devi K, Indra TJ. Ichthyofaunal diversity of Adyar wetland complex, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, Southern India. Journal of Threatened Taxa. 2014; 6(4): 5613-5635.
- Roxy MK, Ghosh S, Pathak A, Athulya R, Mujumdar M, Murtugudde R, Terray P, Rajeevan M. A threefold rise in widespread extreme rain events over central India. Nature Communications. 2017; 8:708
- Roy SD, Krishnan P. Mangrove stands of Andamans vis-à-vis tsunami. Current Science. 2005; 89: 1800–1804.
- Satyamurti ST. The Mollusca of Krusadai island (in the Gulf of Manaar) II-Scaphopoda, Pelecypoda and Cephalopoda. Madras: Bulletin of the Madras Government Museum; 1956.
- Seenirajan M, Natarajan, M, Thangaraj, R, Bagyaraj M. Study and analysis of Chennai flood 2015 using GIS and multicriteria technique. Journal of Geographic Information System. 2017; 9: 126-140.
- Singh KS, Bonthu S, Purvaja R, Robin RS, Kannan BAM, Ramesh R. Prediction of heavy rainfall over Chennai Metropolitan City, Tamil Nadu, India: Impact of microphysical parameterization schemes. Atmospheric Research. 2018; 202: 219-234.
- Venkataraman K, Jothinayagam JT, Krishnamoorthy P. Fauna of Chennai Coast. Kolkata: Zoological Survey of India; 2007.
- Veerasingam S, Mugilarasan M, Venkatachalapathy R, Vethamony P. Influence of 2015 flood on the distribution and occurrence of microplastic pellets

along the Chennai coast, India. Marine Pollution Bulletin. 2016; 109: 106-204