Algebras of Lorch analytic mappings defined in uniform algebras

Luiza A. Moraes

Instituto de Matemática Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro

Conference on Non-Linear Functional Analysis Valencia 2017

All the results presented in this talk were obtained in collaboration with

Guilherme V.S.Mauro (Universidade Federal da Integração Latino-Americana)

These results are part of

Guilherme V.S.Mauro and L.A.M., *Algebras of Lorch analytic mappings on uniform algebras.*, Pre-print.

and

Guilherme V.S.Mauro, *Espectros de Álgebras de Aplicações Analíticas no sentido de Lorch*, Tese de Doutorado, UFRJ (2016)

2/29

A =complex commutative unital Fréchet algebra.

3/29

A = complex commutative unital Fréchet algebra.

 $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})=$ the set of the non-null complex valued continuous homomorphisms defined in \mathcal{A} (= spectrum of \mathcal{A}).

A =complex commutative unital Fréchet algebra.

 $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})=$ the set of the non-null complex valued continuous homomorphisms defined in \mathcal{A} (= spectrum of \mathcal{A}).

 $\tau_{\rm G}=$ topology induced in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ by the weak*-topology (Gelfand topology). $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ is always considered endowed with $\tau_{\rm G}$.

Valencia, 20/10/2017

A =complex commutative unital Fréchet algebra.

 $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})=$ the set of the non-null complex valued continuous homomorphisms defined in \mathcal{A} (= spectrum of \mathcal{A}).

 $au_{G}=$ topology induced in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ by the weak*-topology (Gelfand topology). $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ is always considered endowed with au_{G} .

Well known: $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ is a compact Hausdorff space whenever \mathcal{A} is a (commutative) Banach algebra

Valencia, 20/10/2017

A =complex commutative unital Fréchet algebra.

 $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})=$ the set of the non-null complex valued continuous homomorphisms defined in \mathcal{A} (= spectrum of \mathcal{A}).

 $au_{\rm G}=$ topology induced in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ by the weak*-topology (Gelfand topology). $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ is always considered endowed with $au_{\rm G}$.

Well known: $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ is a compact Hausdorff space whenever \mathcal{A} is a (commutative) Banach algebra

The **radical** $\mathcal{R}(A)$ of is the intersection of all maximal ideals in A.

A =complex commutative unital Fréchet algebra.

 $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}) = \text{the set of the non-null complex valued continuous homomorphisms}$ defined in \mathcal{A} (= spectrum of \mathcal{A}).

 τ_G = topology induced in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ by the weak*-topology (Gelfand topology). $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ is always considered endowed with τ_G .

Well known: $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ is a compact Hausdorff space whenever \mathcal{A} is a (commutative) Banach algebra

The **radical** $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A})$ of is the intersection of all maximal ideals in \mathcal{A} .

An algebra A is called **semi-simple** if $\mathcal{R}(A) = \{0\}$.

Valencia, 20/10/2017

A =complex commutative unital Fréchet algebra.

 $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})=$ the set of the non-null complex valued continuous homomorphisms defined in \mathcal{A} (= spectrum of \mathcal{A}).

 $\tau_{\rm G}=$ topology induced in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ by the weak*-topology (Gelfand topology). $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ is always considered endowed with $\tau_{\rm G}$.

Well known: $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ is a compact Hausdorff space whenever \mathcal{A} is a (commutative) Banach algebra

The **radical** $\mathcal{R}(A)$ of is the intersection of all maximal ideals in A.

An algebra A is called **semi-simple** if $\mathcal{R}(A) = \{0\}$.

Well known:

• $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ coincides with the set of the closed maximal ideals of \mathcal{A} .

A =complex commutative unital Fréchet algebra.

 $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})=$ the set of the non-null complex valued continuous homomorphisms defined in \mathcal{A} (= spectrum of \mathcal{A}).

 $au_{G}=$ topology induced in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ by the weak*-topology (Gelfand topology). $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ is always considered endowed with au_{G} .

Well known: $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ is a compact Hausdorff space whenever \mathcal{A} is a (commutative) Banach algebra

The **radical** $\mathcal{R}(A)$ of is the intersection of all maximal ideals in A.

An algebra A is called **semi-simple** if $\mathcal{R}(A) = \{0\}$.

Well known:

- $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ coincides with the set of the closed maximal ideals of \mathcal{A} .
- As a consequence:

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A}) = \bigcap_{\varphi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})} \varphi^{-1}(0).$$



From now

E = commutative complex Banach algebra with a unit e s.th. ||e|| = 1.

From now

E = commutative complex Banach algebra with a unit e s.th. ||e|| = 1.

U =open connected subset of E.

From now

E = commutative complex Banach algebra with a unit e s.th. ||e|| = 1.

U =open connected subset of E.

$$B_r(z_0) = \{z \in E : ||z - z_0|| < r\} \text{ for all } z_0 \in E \text{ and } r > 0.$$

From now

E = commutative complex Banach algebra with a unit e s.th. ||e|| = 1.

U =open connected subset of E.

$$B_r(z_0) = \{z \in E : ||z - z_0|| < r\} \text{ for all } z_0 \in E \text{ and } r > 0.$$

 $B_1(0)$ will be denoted by B_F .

Valencia, 20/10/2017

From now

E = commutative complex Banach algebra with a unit e s.th. ||e|| = 1.

U =open connected subset of E.

$$B_r(z_0) = \{z \in E : ||z - z_0|| < r\} \text{ for all } z_0 \in E \text{ and } r > 0.$$

 $B_1(0)$ will be denoted by B_E .

$$d_U(a) = \operatorname{dist}(a, \partial U) > 0 \text{ for every } a \in U.$$

From now

E = commutative complex Banach algebra with a unit e s.th. ||e|| = 1.

U =open connected subset of E.

$$B_r(z_0) = \{z \in E : ||z - z_0|| < r\} \text{ for all } z_0 \in E \text{ and } r > 0.$$

 $B_1(0)$ will be denoted by B_E .

$$d_U(a) = \operatorname{dist}(a, \partial U) > 0 \text{ for every } a \in U.$$

• $B \subset U$ is **U-bounded** if B is bounded and $dist(B, \partial U) > 0$.

From now

E = commutative complex Banach algebra with a unit e s.th. ||e|| = 1.

U =open connected subset of E.

$$B_r(z_0) = \{z \in E : ||z - z_0|| < r\} \text{ for all } z_0 \in E \text{ and } r > 0.$$

 $B_1(0)$ will be denoted by B_E .

$$d_U(a) = \operatorname{dist}(a, \partial U) > 0 \text{ for every } a \in U.$$

- $B \subset U$ is **U-bounded** if B is bounded and dist $(B, \partial U) > 0$.
- $\mathcal{H}_b(U, E)$ denotes the space of holomorphic mappings from U into E which are bounded on the U-bounded subsets of U endowed with the topology τ_b of uniform convergence on the U-bounded subsets of U.

From now

E = commutative complex Banach algebra with a unit e s.th. ||e|| = 1.

U =open connected subset of E.

$$B_r(z_0) = \{z \in E : ||z - z_0|| < r\} \text{ for all } z_0 \in E \text{ and } r > 0.$$

 $B_1(0)$ will be denoted by B_E .

$$d_U(a) = \operatorname{dist}(a, \partial U) > 0 \text{ for every } a \in U.$$

- $B \subset U$ is **U-bounded** if B is bounded and dist $(B, \partial U) > 0$.
- $\mathcal{H}_b(U, E)$ denotes the space of holomorphic mappings from U into E which are bounded on the U-bounded subsets of U endowed with the topology τ_b of uniform convergence on the U-bounded subsets of U.
- Well known: $\mathcal{H}_b(U, E)$ endowed with the usual pointwise operations is an algebra.

Definition

If U is an open subset of commutative complex Banach algebra E, we say that $f:U\to E$ has a **Lorch-derivative** $f'(z_0)\in E$ at $z_0\in U$ if for each $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that for all $h\in E$ satisfying $\|h\|<\delta$ we have

$$||f(z_0+h)-f(z_0)-hf'(z_0)||<\varepsilon||h||.$$

Definition

If U is an open subset of commutative complex Banach algebra E, we say that $f: U \to E$ has a **Lorch-derivative** $f'(z_0) \in E$ at $z_0 \in U$ if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $h \in E$ satisfying $||h|| < \delta$ we have

$$||f(z_0+h)-f(z_0)-hf'(z_0)||<\varepsilon||h||.$$

We say that f is **Lorch analytic** in U if f has a Lorch-derivative at each point of U.

Valencia, 20/10/2017

Definition

If U is an open subset of commutative complex Banach algebra E, we say that $f:U\to E$ has a **Lorch-derivative** $f'(z_0)\in E$ at $z_0\in U$ if for each $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that for all $h\in E$ satisfying $\|h\|<\delta$ we have

$$||f(z_0+h)-f(z_0)-hf'(z_0)||<\varepsilon||h||.$$

We say that f is **Lorch analytic** in U if f has a Lorch-derivative at each point of U.

 Easy to check: f is holomorphic in U in the standard sense in holomorphy whenever f is Lorch analytic in U.

Definition

If U is an open subset of commutative complex Banach algebra E, we say that $f:U\to E$ has a **Lorch-derivative** $f'(z_0)\in E$ at $z_0\in U$ if for each $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that for all $h\in E$ satisfying $\|h\|<\delta$ we have

$$||f(z_0+h)-f(z_0)-hf'(z_0)||<\varepsilon||h||.$$

We say that f is **Lorch analytic** in U if f has a Lorch-derivative at each point of U.

- Easy to check: f is holomorphic in U in the standard sense in holomorphy whenever f is Lorch analytic in U.
- The converse is not true. Classical example: The linear mapping $f: \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ defined by $f(z_1, z_2) = (z_2, z_1)$ is not Lorch analytic.



 $\mathcal{H}_L(U)$ denotes the vector space of all Lorch analytic mappings in an open subset U of E.

 $\mathcal{H}_{I}(U)$ denotes the vector space of all Lorch analytic mappings in an open subset U of E.

Proposition

Let U_1 and U_2 be connected open subsets of E. If $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(U_1)$ is such that $f(U_1) \subset U_2$ and $g \in \mathcal{H}_L(U_2)$, then $g \circ f \in \mathcal{H}_L(U_1)$ and

$$(g\circ f)'(z)=g'(f(z))f'(z)$$

for all $z \in U_1$.

Valencia, 20/10/2017

 $\mathcal{H}_L(U)$ denotes the vector space of all Lorch analytic mappings in an open subset U of E.

Proposition

Let U_1 and U_2 be connected open subsets of E. If $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(U_1)$ is such that $f(U_1) \subset U_2$ and $g \in \mathcal{H}_L(U_2)$, then $g \circ f \in \mathcal{H}_L(U_1)$ and

$$(g\circ f)'(z)=g'(f(z))f'(z)$$

for all $z \in U_1$.

Proposition

A mapping $f: U \to E$ is Lorch analytic in U if and only if given any $z_0 \in U$ there exists r > 0 and there exist (unique) elements $a_n \in E$, such that $B_r(z_0) \subset U$ and $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z-z_0)^n$, for all $z \in B_r(z_0)$.

Known:

• $\mathcal{H}_L(U) \subsetneq \mathcal{H}_b(U, E)$ in cases U = E and $U = B_r(z_0)$.

Known:

- $\mathcal{H}_L(U) \subsetneq \mathcal{H}_b(U, E)$ in cases U = E and $U = B_r(z_0)$.
- Since $\mathcal{H}_I(E) \subset \mathcal{H}_b(E, E)$, we have that $f \in \mathcal{H}_I(E)$ if and only if there exists $(a_n)_n \subset E$ satisfying $\lim_{n \to \infty} ||a_n||^{\frac{1}{n}} = 0$ and such that

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$
, for all $z \in E$.

Valencia, 20/10/2017

Known:

- $\mathcal{H}_L(U) \subsetneq \mathcal{H}_b(U, E)$ in cases U = E and $U = B_r(z_0)$.
- Since $\mathcal{H}_L(E) \subset \mathcal{H}_b(E,E)$, we have that $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E)$ if and only if there exists $(a_n)_n \subset E$ satisfying $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|a_n\|^{\frac{1}{n}} = 0$ and such that

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$
, for all $z \in E$.

• With the usual operations, $\mathcal{H}_L(E)$ and $\mathcal{H}_L(B_r(z_0))$ are **closed** subalgebras of $\mathcal{H}_b(E,E)$ and $\mathcal{H}_b(B_r(z_0),E)$ respectively, and so $(\mathcal{H}_L(E),\tau_b)$ and $(\mathcal{H}_b(B_r(z_0),E),\tau_b)$ are commutative Fréchet algebras (with identity).

Known:

- $\mathcal{H}_L(U) \subsetneq \mathcal{H}_b(U, E)$ in cases U = E and $U = B_r(z_0)$.
- Since $\mathcal{H}_L(E) \subset \mathcal{H}_b(E,E)$, we have that $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E)$ if and only if there exists $(a_n)_n \subset E$ satisfying $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|a_n\|^{\frac{1}{n}} = 0$ and such that

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$
, for all $z \in E$.

- With the usual operations, $\mathcal{H}_L(E)$ and $\mathcal{H}_L(B_r(z_0))$ are **closed** subalgebras of $\mathcal{H}_b(E,E)$ and $\mathcal{H}_b(B_r(z_0),E)$ respectively, and so $(\mathcal{H}_L(E),\tau_b)$ and $(\mathcal{H}_b(B_r(z_0),E),\tau_b)$ are commutative Fréchet algebras (with identity).
- For arbitrary U we may have $\mathcal{H}_L(U) \not\subset \mathcal{H}_b(U, E)$ and in this case we can not consider $\mathcal{H}_L(U)$ endowed with the topology τ_b .

Known:

- $\mathcal{H}_L(U) \subsetneq \mathcal{H}_b(U, E)$ in cases U = E and $U = B_r(z_0)$.
- Since $\mathcal{H}_L(E) \subset \mathcal{H}_b(E,E)$, we have that $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E)$ if and only if there exists $(a_n)_n \subset E$ satisfying $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|a_n\|^{\frac{1}{n}} = 0$ and such that

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$
, for all $z \in E$.

- With the usual operations, $\mathcal{H}_L(E)$ and $\mathcal{H}_L(B_r(z_0))$ are **closed** subalgebras of $\mathcal{H}_b(E,E)$ and $\mathcal{H}_b(B_r(z_0),E)$ respectively, and so $(\mathcal{H}_L(E),\tau_b)$ and $(\mathcal{H}_b(B_r(z_0),E),\tau_b)$ are commutative Fréchet algebras (with identity).
- For arbitrary U we may have $\mathcal{H}_L(U) \not\subset \mathcal{H}_b(U, E)$ and in this case we can not consider $\mathcal{H}_L(U)$ endowed with the topology τ_b .

Known:

- $\mathcal{H}_L(U) \subsetneq \mathcal{H}_b(U, E)$ in cases U = E and $U = B_r(z_0)$.
- Since $\mathcal{H}_L(E) \subset \mathcal{H}_b(E,E)$, we have that $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E)$ if and only if there exists $(a_n)_n \subset E$ satisfying $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|a_n\|^{\frac{1}{n}} = 0$ and such that

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$
, for all $z \in E$.

- With the usual operations, $\mathcal{H}_L(E)$ and $\mathcal{H}_L(B_r(z_0))$ are **closed** subalgebras of $\mathcal{H}_b(E,E)$ and $\mathcal{H}_b(B_r(z_0),E)$ respectively, and so $(\mathcal{H}_L(E),\tau_b)$ and $(\mathcal{H}_b(B_r(z_0),E),\tau_b)$ are commutative Fréchet algebras (with identity).
- For arbitrary U we may have $\mathcal{H}_L(U) \not\subset \mathcal{H}_b(U, E)$ and in this case we can not consider $\mathcal{H}_L(U)$ endowed with the topology τ_b .

We are going to introduce a convenient topology in $\mathcal{H}_L(U)$.



• It is known that if $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(U)$ and $w_0 \in U$, then $r_b f(w_0) = d_U(w_0)$ where $r_b f(w_0) = \text{radius of boundedness of } f$ at w_0 .

• It is known that if $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(U)$ and $w_0 \in U$, then $r_b f(w_0) = d_U(w_0)$ where $r_b f(w_0) = \text{radius of boundedness of } f$ at w_0 .

• It is known that if $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(U)$ and $w_0 \in U$, then $r_b f(w_0) = d_U(w_0)$ where $r_b f(w_0) = \text{radius of boundedness of } f$ at w_0 .

For $f: U \to F$ (where F = complex Banach space) and $B_r(z) \subset U$, let

$$||f||_{B_r(z)} =: \sup\{||f(w)||; w \in B_r(z)\}.$$

$$\mathcal{H}_d(U,F) =: \{ f \in \mathcal{H}(U;F) : \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_r(z)} < \infty \ \forall z \in U \ \text{and} \ \forall \ 0 < r < d_U(z) \}$$

• It is known that if $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(U)$ and $w_0 \in U$, then $r_b f(w_0) = d_U(w_0)$ where $r_b f(w_0) = \text{radius of boundedness of } f$ at w_0 .

For $f: U \to F$ (where F = complex Banach space) and $B_r(z) \subset U$, let

$$||f||_{B_r(z)} =: \sup\{||f(w)||; w \in B_r(z)\}.$$

$$\mathcal{H}_d(U,F) =: \{ f \in \mathcal{H}(U;F) : \|f\|_{B_r(z)} < \infty \ \forall z \in U \ \text{and} \ \forall \ 0 < r < d_U(z) \}$$

• $\mathcal{H}_b(U;F) \subset \mathcal{H}_d(U;F) \subset \mathcal{H}(U;F)$

8/29

• It is known that if $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(U)$ and $w_0 \in U$, then $r_b f(w_0) = d_U(w_0)$ where $r_b f(w_0) = \text{radius of boundedness of } f$ at w_0 .

For $f: U \to F$ (where F = complex Banach space) and $B_r(z) \subset U$, let

$$||f||_{B_r(z)} =: \sup\{||f(w)||; w \in B_r(z)\}.$$

$$\mathcal{H}_d(U,F) =: \{f \in \mathcal{H}(U;F) : \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_r(z)} < \infty \ \forall z \in U \ \text{and} \ \forall \ 0 < r < d_U(z)\}$$

- $\mathcal{H}_b(U; F) \subset \mathcal{H}_d(U; F) \subset \mathcal{H}(U; F)$
- $\mathcal{H}_L(U) \subset \mathcal{H}_d(U; E)$.

• It is known that if $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(U)$ and $w_0 \in U$, then $r_b f(w_0) = d_U(w_0)$ where $r_b f(w_0) = \text{radius of boundedness of } f$ at w_0 .

For $f: U \to F$ (where F = complex Banach space) and $B_r(z) \subset U$, let

$$||f||_{B_r(z)} =: \sup\{||f(w)||; w \in B_r(z)\}.$$

$$\mathcal{H}_d(U,F) =: \{f \in \mathcal{H}(U;F) : \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_r(z)} < \infty \ \forall z \in U \ \text{and} \ \forall \ 0 < r < d_U(z)\}$$

- $\mathcal{H}_b(U; F) \subset \mathcal{H}_d(U; F) \subset \mathcal{H}(U; F)$
- $\mathcal{H}_L(U) \subset \mathcal{H}_d(U; E)$.

• It is known that if $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(U)$ and $w_0 \in U$, then $r_b f(w_0) = d_U(w_0)$ where $r_b f(w_0) = \text{radius of boundedness of } f$ at w_0 .

For $f: U \to F$ (where F = complex Banach space) and $B_r(z) \subset U$, let

$$||f||_{B_r(z)} =: \sup\{||f(w)||; w \in B_r(z)\}.$$

$$\mathcal{H}_d(U,F) =: \{f \in \mathcal{H}(U;F) : \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_r(z)} < \infty \ \forall z \in U \ \text{and} \ \forall \ 0 < r < d_U(z)\}$$

- $\mathcal{H}_b(U; F) \subset \mathcal{H}_d(U; F) \subset \mathcal{H}(U; F)$
- $\mathcal{H}_L(U) \subset \mathcal{H}_d(U; E)$.

Let τ_d = topology generated in $\mathcal{H}_d(U, F)$ by the family of semi-norms

$$\{\|\cdot\|_{B_r(z)} : 0 < r < d_U(z) \text{ and } z \in U\}.$$

• It is known that if $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(U)$ and $w_0 \in U$, then $r_b f(w_0) = d_U(w_0)$ where $r_b f(w_0) = \text{radius of boundedness of } f$ at w_0 .

For $f: U \to F$ (where F = complex Banach space) and $B_r(z) \subset U$, let

$$||f||_{B_r(z)} =: \sup\{||f(w)||; w \in B_r(z)\}.$$

$$\mathcal{H}_d(U,F) =: \{ f \in \mathcal{H}(U;F) : \|f\|_{B_r(z)} < \infty \ \forall z \in U \ \text{and} \ \forall \ 0 < r < d_U(z) \}$$

- $\mathcal{H}_b(U; F) \subset \mathcal{H}_d(U; F) \subset \mathcal{H}(U; F)$
- $\mathcal{H}_L(U) \subset \mathcal{H}_d(U; E)$.

Let τ_d = topology generated in $\mathcal{H}_d(U, F)$ by the family of semi-norms

$$\{\|\cdot\|_{B_r(z)} : 0 < r < d_U(z) \text{ and } z \in U\}.$$

• If $U = B_r(z_0)$, where $z_0 \in E, r > 0$, $\mathcal{H}_L(B_r(z_0)) \subsetneq \mathcal{H}_b(B_r(z_0), E) = \mathcal{H}_d(B_r(z_0), E)$ and $\tau_d = \tau_b$ in $\mathcal{H}_L(B_r(z_0))$.

• It is known that if $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(U)$ and $w_0 \in U$, then $r_b f(w_0) = d_U(w_0)$ where $r_b f(w_0) = \text{radius of boundedness of } f$ at w_0 .

For $f: U \to F$ (where F = complex Banach space) and $B_r(z) \subset U$, let

$$||f||_{B_r(z)} =: \sup\{||f(w)||; w \in B_r(z)\}.$$

$$\mathcal{H}_d(U,F) =: \{ f \in \mathcal{H}(U;F) : \|f\|_{B_r(z)} < \infty \ \forall z \in U \text{ and } \forall \ 0 < r < d_U(z) \}$$

- $\mathcal{H}_b(U; F) \subset \mathcal{H}_d(U; F) \subset \mathcal{H}(U; F)$
- $\mathcal{H}_L(U) \subset \mathcal{H}_d(U; E)$.

Let τ_d = topology generated in $\mathcal{H}_d(U, F)$ by the family of semi-norms

$$\{\|\cdot\|_{B_r(z)} : 0 < r < d_U(z) \text{ and } z \in U\}.$$

- If $U = B_r(z_0)$, where $z_0 \in E, r > 0$, $\mathcal{H}_L(B_r(z_0)) \subsetneq \mathcal{H}_b(B_r(z_0), E) = \mathcal{H}_d(B_r(z_0), E)$ and $\tau_d = \tau_b$ in $\mathcal{H}_L(B_r(z_0))$.
- In particular $\mathcal{H}_L(B_E) \subsetneq \mathcal{H}_b(B_E, E) = \mathcal{H}_d(B_E, E)$ and $\tau_b = \tau_d$.

• E separable $\Rightarrow (\mathcal{H}_d(U, F), \tau_d)$ is a Fréchet space (Dineen and Venkova, for $F = \mathbb{C}$)

9/29

• E separable $\Rightarrow (\mathcal{H}_d(U, F), \tau_d)$ is a Fréchet space (Dineen and Venkova, for $F = \mathbb{C}$)

9/29

• E separable \Rightarrow $(\mathcal{H}_d(U, F), \tau_d)$ is a Fréchet space (Dineen and Venkova, for $F = \mathbb{C}$)

Proposition

 $\mathcal{H}_L(U)$ is a **closed** subspace of $(\mathcal{H}_d(U; E), \tau_d)$ whenever E is separable. Consequently, $(\mathcal{H}_L(U), \tau_d)$ is a Fréchet space whenever E is separable.

• E separable \Rightarrow $(\mathcal{H}_d(U, F), \tau_d)$ is a Fréchet space (Dineen and Venkova, for $F = \mathbb{C}$)

Proposition

 $\mathcal{H}_L(U)$ is a **closed** subspace of $(\mathcal{H}_d(U; E), \tau_d)$ whenever E is separable. Consequently, $(\mathcal{H}_L(U), \tau_d)$ is a Fréchet space whenever E is separable.

• From now $\mathcal{H}_L(U)$ denotes $(\mathcal{H}_L(U), \tau_d)$.

The spectrum of the Fréchet algebra (with identity) $\mathcal{H}_L(U)$ has been described in cases U=E and by L.A.M. and A.F. Pereira in case U=E and by G.V.S. Mauro, L.A.M. and A.F. Pereira in case $U=B_r(z_0)$. In both cases $\tau_d=\tau_b$.

Take any non empty open subset Ω of \mathbb{C} .

Take any non empty open subset Ω of \mathbb{C} .

Let $E_{\Omega} = \{x \in E : \sigma(x) \subset \Omega\}$ (where $\sigma(x)$ denotes the spectrum of x).

Take any non empty open subset Ω of \mathbb{C} .

Let $E_{\Omega} = \{x \in E : \sigma(x) \subset \Omega\}$ (where $\sigma(x)$ denotes the spectrum of x).

It is well known that E_{Ω} is open in E.

Take any non empty open subset Ω of \mathbb{C} .

Let $E_{\Omega} = \{x \in E : \sigma(x) \subset \Omega\}$ (where $\sigma(x)$ denotes the spectrum of x).

It is well known that E_{Ω} is open in E.

The symbolic calculus associates to each analytic function $f:\Omega\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}$ the mapping $\tilde{f}:E_\Omega\longrightarrow E$ defined by

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \int_{\Gamma} f(\lambda)(\lambda e - x)^{-1} d\lambda.$$

Where Γ is any contour surrounding $\sigma(x)$ in Ω .

Take any non empty open subset Ω of \mathbb{C} .

Let $E_{\Omega} = \{x \in E : \sigma(x) \subset \Omega\}$ (where $\sigma(x)$ denotes the spectrum of x).

It is well known that E_{Ω} is open in E.

The symbolic calculus associates to each analytic function $f:\Omega\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}$ the mapping $\tilde{f}:E_\Omega\longrightarrow E$ defined by

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \int_{\Gamma} f(\lambda)(\lambda e - x)^{-1} d\lambda.$$

Where Γ is any contour surrounding $\sigma(x)$ in Ω .

We are going to present a description of the spectrum of $(\mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega), \tau_d)$ in case $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain and E is a uniform algebra. By using this characterization we can show that in this case the algebra $(\mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega), \tau_d)$ is semi-simple.

As usual, $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}; |\lambda - \lambda_0| < r\}$ will be denoted by $\Delta_r(\lambda_0)$ and $\Delta_1(0)$ will be denoted by Δ .

As usual,

 $\{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}; |\lambda-\lambda_0|< r\}$ will be denoted by $\Delta_r(\lambda_0)$ and

 $\Delta_1(0)$ will be denoted by Δ .

Easy to check:

 $\phi(E_{\Omega}) = \Omega$ for every $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(E)$.

As usual,

 $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}; |\lambda - \lambda_0| < r\}$ will be denoted by $\Delta_r(\lambda_0)$ and

 $\Delta_1(0)$ will be denoted by Δ .

Easy to check:

 $\phi(\textit{E}_{\Omega}) = \Omega \text{ for every } \phi \in \mathcal{M}(\textit{E}). \text{ In particular, } \phi(\textit{B}_{\textit{r}}(\textit{z}_{0})) = \Delta_{\textit{r}}(\phi(\textit{z}_{0})).$

As usual,

 $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}; |\lambda - \lambda_0| < r\}$ will be denoted by $\Delta_r(\lambda_0)$ and

 $\Delta_1(0)$ will be denoted by Δ .

Easy to check:

$$\phi(E_{\Omega}) = \Omega$$
 for every $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(E)$. In particular, $\phi(B_r(z_0)) = \Delta_r(\phi(z_0))$.

• Given any $a \in E$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ $P_{a,n}(z) = az^n \ \forall z \in E$.

In particular we will write $P_{e,1}(z) = z$ and $P_{a,0}(z) = a \ \forall z \in E$.

As usual,

 $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}; |\lambda - \lambda_0| < r\}$ will be denoted by $\Delta_r(\lambda_0)$ and

 $\Delta_1(0)$ will be denoted by Δ .

Easy to check:

$$\phi(E_{\Omega}) = \Omega$$
 for every $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(E)$. In particular, $\phi(B_r(z_0)) = \Delta_r(\phi(z_0))$.

• Given any $a \in E$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ $P_{a,n}(z) = az^n \ \forall z \in E$.

In particular we will write $P_{e,1}(z) = z$ and $P_{a,0}(z) = a \ \forall z \in E$.

As usual,

$$\{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}; |\lambda-\lambda_0|< r\}$$
 will be denoted by $\Delta_r(\lambda_0)$ and

 $\Delta_1(0)$ will be denoted by Δ .

Easy to check:

$$\phi(E_{\Omega}) = \Omega$$
 for every $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(E)$. In particular, $\phi(B_r(z_0)) = \Delta_r(\phi(z_0))$.

• Given any $a \in E$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ $P_{a,n}(z) = az^n \ \forall z \in E$.

In particular we will write $P_{e,1}(z) = z$ and $P_{a,0}(z) = a \ \forall z \in E$.

Using this notation we frequently write

$$f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{a_n,0} (P_{e,1} - P_{z_0,0})^n$$
 in U

instead of

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n, \text{ for all } z \in U.$$



Given any $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_L(U))$, define $\psi_0(a) = \psi(P_{a,0})$ for all $a \in U$.

Given any $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_L(U))$, define $\psi_0(a) = \psi(P_{a,0})$ for all $a \in U$. It is easy to check

• $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{M}(E)$

Given any $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_L(U))$, define $\psi_0(a) = \psi(P_{a,0})$ for all $a \in U$.

It is easy to check

- $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{M}(E)$
- $\psi(P_{e,1}) \in \Delta_r(\psi_0(z_0))$ for every $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_L(B_r(z_0)))$.

Given any $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_L(U))$, define $\psi_0(a) = \psi(P_{a,0})$ for all $a \in U$.

It is easy to check

- $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{M}(E)$
- $\psi(P_{e,1}) \in \Delta_r(\psi_0(z_0))$ for every $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_L(B_r(z_0)))$.

Given any $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_L(U))$, define $\psi_0(a) = \psi(P_{a,0})$ for all $a \in U$.

It is easy to check

- $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{M}(E)$
- $\psi(P_{e,1}) \in \Delta_r(\psi_0(z_0))$ for every $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_L(B_r(z_0)))$.

Definition (Glickfeld)

Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(U)$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(E)$. If there is a (necessarily unique) $g \in \mathcal{H}(\phi(U))$ so that $g \circ \phi = \phi \circ f$ on U, we say that g is the **quotient function of** f with respect to ϕ , and we write $g = f_{\phi}$.

Given any $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_L(U))$, define $\psi_0(a) = \psi(P_{a,0})$ for all $a \in U$.

It is easy to check

- $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{M}(E)$
- $\psi(P_{e,1}) \in \Delta_r(\psi_0(z_0))$ for every $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_L(B_r(z_0)))$.

Definition (Glickfeld)

Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(U)$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(E)$. If there is a (necessarily unique) $g \in \mathcal{H}(\phi(U))$ so that $g \circ \phi = \phi \circ f$ on U, we say that g is the **quotient function of** f with respect to ϕ , and we write $g = f_{\phi}$.

 $U = B_r(z_0)$ where $z_0 \in E$ and $r > 0 \Rightarrow f_\phi$ exists for all $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(E)$. (easy)

Given any $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_L(U))$, define $\psi_0(a) = \psi(P_{a,0})$ for all $a \in U$.

It is easy to check

- $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{M}(E)$
- $\psi(P_{e,1}) \in \Delta_r(\psi_0(z_0))$ for every $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_L(B_r(z_0)))$.

Definition (Glickfeld)

Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(U)$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(E)$. If there is a (necessarily unique) $g \in \mathcal{H}(\phi(U))$ so that $g \circ \phi = \phi \circ f$ on U, we say that g is the **quotient function of** f with respect to ϕ , and we write $g = f_{\phi}$.

 $U=B_{r}(z_{0})$ where $z_{0}\in E$ and $r>0\Rightarrow f_{\phi}$ exists for all $\phi\in\mathcal{M}\left(E\right) .$ (easy)

 $U = \text{star-shaped} \Rightarrow f_{\phi} \text{ exists for all } \phi \in \mathcal{M}(E).(Glickfeld)$



Given any $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_L(U))$, define $\psi_0(a) = \psi(P_{a,0})$ for all $a \in U$.

It is easy to check

- $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{M}(E)$
- $\psi(P_{e,1}) \in \Delta_r(\psi_0(z_0))$ for every $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_L(B_r(z_0)))$.

Definition (Glickfeld)

Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(U)$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(E)$. If there is a (necessarily unique) $g \in \mathcal{H}(\phi(U))$ so that $g \circ \phi = \phi \circ f$ on U, we say that g is the **quotient function of** f with respect to ϕ , and we write $g = f_{\phi}$.

 $U = B_r(z_0)$ where $z_0 \in E$ and $r > 0 \Rightarrow f_\phi$ exists for all $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(E)$. (easy)

 $U = \text{star-shaped} \Rightarrow f_{\phi} \text{ exists for all } \phi \in \mathcal{M}(E).(Glickfeld)$

 $U = \text{ simply connected } \Rightarrow f_{\phi} \text{ exists for all } \phi \in \mathcal{M} (E). (Glickfeld)$



The algebras $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$ and $(\mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega}), \tau_d)$

Let
$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) = \{\widetilde{f} : f \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)\}.$$

It is not difficult to show that

• $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$ is a closed subalgebra of $(\mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega}), \tau_d)$.

The algebras $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$ and $(\mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega}), \tau_d)$

Let
$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) = \{\widetilde{f} : f \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)\}.$$

It is not difficult to show that

- $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$ is a closed subalgebra of $(\mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega}), \tau_d)$.
- $(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(E_{\Omega}), \tau_d)$ is a Fréchet algebra whenever E is separable.

The algebras $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$ and $(\mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega), \tau_d)$

Let
$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) = \{\widetilde{f} : f \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)\}.$$

It is not difficult to show that

- $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$ is a closed subalgebra of $(\mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega}), \tau_d)$.
- $(\mathcal{H}(E_{\Omega}), \tau_d)$ is a Fréchet algebra whenever *E* is separable.

The algebras $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$ and $(\mathcal{H}_L(\mathcal{E}_{\Omega}), \tau_d)$

Let
$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) = \{\widetilde{f} : f \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)\}.$$

It is not difficult to show that

- $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$ is a closed subalgebra of $(\mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega}), \tau_d)$.
- $(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(E_{\Omega}), \tau_d)$ is a Fréchet algebra whenever E is separable.

Proposition

Given any $f \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(E)$ the quotient function \widetilde{f}_{ϕ} of \widetilde{f} with respect to ϕ exists and $\widetilde{f}_{\phi} = f$.

The algebras $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$ and $(\mathcal{H}_L(\mathcal{E}_{\Omega}), \tau_d)$

Let
$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) = \{\widetilde{f} : f \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)\}.$$

It is not difficult to show that

- $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$ is a closed subalgebra of $(\mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega}), \tau_d)$.
- $(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(E_{\Omega}), \tau_d)$ is a Fréchet algebra whenever E is separable.

Proposition

Given any $f \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(E)$ the quotient function \widetilde{f}_{ϕ} of \widetilde{f} with respect to ϕ exists and $\widetilde{f}_{\phi} = f$.

This guarantees the existence of F_{ϕ} for every $F \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{H}_{L}(E_{\Omega})$. We will show that this is true for all the elements of $\mathcal{H}_{L}(E_{\Omega})$.

The algebras $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$ and $(\mathcal{H}_L(\mathcal{E}_{\Omega}), \tau_d)$

If $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply-connected domain, by the Riemann Mapping Theorem there exists a one-to-one analytic mapping h from Ω onto Δ s.th. h^{-1} is analytic.

The algebras $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$ and $(\mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega}), \tau_d)$

If $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply-connected domain, by the Riemann Mapping Theorem there exists a one-to-one analytic mapping h from Ω onto Δ s.th. h^{-1} is analytic.

It seems natural to try to prove a Riemann Mapping Theorem in case of Banach algebras ${\cal E}$ in the context of the Lorch analytic mappings.

The algebras $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$ and $(\mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega}), \tau_d)$

If $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply-connected domain, by the Riemann Mapping Theorem there exists a one-to-one analytic mapping h from Ω onto Δ s.th. h^{-1} is analytic.

It seems natural to try to prove a Riemann Mapping Theorem in case of Banach algebras E in the context of the Lorch analytic mappings.

Definition

Let U, V be two domains in E. We say that an homeomorphism $f: U \to V$ is an L-homeomorphism between U and V if $f \in H_L(U)$ and $f^{-1} \in H_L(V)$. We say that U and V are L-homeomorphic if there exists an L-homeomorphism between U and V.

If $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply-connected domain, by the Riemann Mapping Theorem there exists a one-to-one analytic mapping h from Ω onto Δ s.th. h^{-1} is analytic.

It seems natural to try to prove a Riemann Mapping Theorem in case of Banach algebras E in the context of the Lorch analytic mappings.

Definition

Let U, V be two domains in E. We say that an homeomorphism $f: U \to V$ is an L-homeomorphism between U and V if $f \in H_L(U)$ and $f^{-1} \in H_L(V)$. We say that U and V are L-homeomorphic if there exists an L-homeomorphism between U and V.

A first attempt to show a Riemann Mapping Theorem in the context of the Lorch analytic mappings in E would be to show that the open unit ball B_E is L-homeomorphic to every bounded homeomorphic image of itself. But Glickfeld showed that this cannot be proved for general Banach algebras by presenting an example of a simply connected domain D contained in the algebra E = C([0,1]) such that D é homeomorphic to the ball B_E but D is not L-homeomorphic to B_E .

Warren characterized which domains are L-homeomorphic to B_E in case E = C(X), where X is a compact Hausdorff space.

Warren characterized which domains are L-homeomorphic to B_E in case E = C(X), where X is a compact Hausdorff space.

Recall that a simple closed curve in a Banach algebra E is, by definition, a continuous mapping $\Gamma:\partial\Delta\to E$ such that, for each $\phi\in\mathcal{M}(E)$, $\phi\circ\Gamma$ is a homeomorphism between $\partial\Delta$ and $\phi\circ\Gamma(\partial\Delta)\subset\mathbb{C}$.

Warren characterized which domains are *L*-homeomorphic to B_E in case E = C(X), where X is a compact Hausdorff space.

Recall that a simple closed curve in a Banach algebra E is, by definition, a continuous mapping $\Gamma:\partial\Delta\to E$ such that, for each $\phi\in\mathcal{M}(E),\ \phi\circ\Gamma$ is a homeomorphism between $\partial\Delta$ and $\phi\circ\Gamma(\partial\Delta)\subset\mathbb{C}$.

The image of each $\phi \circ \Gamma$ is always a Jordan curve in \mathbb{C} .

Warren characterized which domains are *L*-homeomorphic to B_E in case E = C(X), where X is a compact Hausdorff space.

Recall that a simple closed curve in a Banach algebra E is, by definition, a continuous mapping $\Gamma:\partial\Delta\to E$ such that, for each $\phi\in\mathcal{M}(E)$, $\phi\circ\Gamma$ is a homeomorphism between $\partial\Delta$ and $\phi\circ\Gamma(\partial\Delta)\subset\mathbb{C}$.

The image of each $\phi \circ \Gamma$ is always a Jordan curve in \mathbb{C} .

By definition, the interior of the curve Γ is the set

$$int(\Gamma) = \{z \in E ; \ \phi(z) \in int(\phi \circ \Gamma) \text{ for all } \phi \in \mathcal{M}(E)\},$$

where $int(\phi \circ \Gamma)$ is the interior of the Jordan curve $\phi \circ \Gamma$ in the usual sense.

Warren characterized which domains are L-homeomorphic to B_E in case E = C(X), where X is a compact Hausdorff space.

Recall that a simple closed curve in a Banach algebra E is, by definition, a continuous mapping $\Gamma:\partial\Delta\to E$ such that, for each $\phi\in\mathcal{M}(E),\ \phi\circ\Gamma$ is a homeomorphism between $\partial\Delta$ and $\phi\circ\Gamma(\partial\Delta)\subset\mathbb{C}$.

The image of each $\phi \circ \Gamma$ is always a Jordan curve in \mathbb{C} .

By definition, the interior of the curve Γ is the set

$$int(\Gamma) = \{z \in E ; \ \phi(z) \in int(\phi \circ \Gamma) \text{ for all } \phi \in \mathcal{M}(E)\},$$

where $int(\phi \circ \Gamma)$ is the interior of the Jordan curve $\phi \circ \Gamma$ in the usual sense.

Warren considered the case when E is a semi-simple Banach algebra and showed that in this case B_E is L-homeomorphic to $int(\Gamma)$ by a mapping $g: B_E \to int(\Gamma)$ whenever Γ satisfies the following condition: for all $T \in E^*$, $T \circ \Gamma$ has a continuous extension to $\overline{\Delta}$ that is holomorphic in Δ .

If $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply-connected domain, by the Riemann Mapping Theorem there exists a one-to-one analytic mapping h from Ω onto Δ . We may take $\tilde{h} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(E_{\Omega})$ that corresponds to h via the functional calculus and get:

If $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply-connected domain, by the Riemann Mapping Theorem there exists a one-to-one analytic mapping h from Ω onto Δ . We may take $\tilde{h} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(E_{\Omega})$ that corresponds to h via the functional calculus and get:

Theorem

Let $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ be a simply connected domain and E be a Banach algebra. There is an L-homeomorphism between E_{Ω} and E_{Δ} given by $\widetilde{h} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$ where h is a conformal mapping between Ω and Δ .

If $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply-connected domain, by the Riemann Mapping Theorem there exists a one-to-one analytic mapping h from Ω onto Δ . We may take $\tilde{h} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(E_{\Omega})$ that corresponds to h via the functional calculus and get:

Theorem

Let $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ be a simply connected domain and E be a Banach algebra. There is an L-homeomorphism between E_{Ω} and E_{Δ} given by $\widetilde{h} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$ where h is a conformal mapping between Ω and Δ .

Now we are able to show:

If $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply-connected domain, by the Riemann Mapping Theorem there exists a one-to-one analytic mapping h from Ω onto Δ . We may take $\tilde{h} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(E_{\Omega})$ that corresponds to h via the functional calculus and get:

Theorem

Let $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ be a simply connected domain and E be a Banach algebra. There is an L-homeomorphism between E_{Ω} and E_{Δ} given by $\widetilde{h} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$ where h is a conformal mapping between Ω and Δ .

Now we are able to show:

Theorem

Let $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ be a simply connected domain and let E be an unitary commutative semi-simple Banach algebra. Then B_E and E_Ω are L-homeomorphic.

If $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply-connected domain, by the Riemann Mapping Theorem there exists a one-to-one analytic mapping h from Ω onto Δ . We may take $\tilde{h} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(E_{\Omega})$ that corresponds to h via the functional calculus and get:

Theorem

Let $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ be a simply connected domain and E be a Banach algebra. There is an L-homeomorphism between E_{Ω} and E_{Δ} given by $\widetilde{h} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega)$ where h is a conformal mapping between Ω and Δ .

Now we are able to show:

Theorem

Let $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ be a simply connected domain and let E be an unitary commutative semi-simple Banach algebra. Then B_E and E_Ω are L-homeomorphic.

Idea of the proof:

Idea of the proof:

Consider the simple closed curve $\Gamma_0: \partial \Delta \longrightarrow E$ given by $\Gamma_0(\lambda) = \lambda \mathbf{e}$ where \mathbf{e} is the unit in E. It is easy to check that $int(\phi \circ \Gamma_0) = \Delta$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(E)$ and hence $int(\Gamma_0) = E_{\Delta}$.

Idea of the proof:

Consider the simple closed curve $\Gamma_0: \partial \Delta \longrightarrow E$ given by $\Gamma_0(\lambda) = \lambda \mathbf{e}$ where \mathbf{e} is the unit in E. It is easy to check that $int(\phi \circ \Gamma_0) = \Delta$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(E)$ and hence $int(\Gamma_0) = E_{\Delta}$.

Show that Warren's result applies and get the existence of an L-homeomorphism $g: B_E \to E_\Delta$.

Idea of the proof:

Consider the simple closed curve $\Gamma_0: \partial \Delta \longrightarrow E$ given by $\Gamma_0(\lambda) = \lambda \mathbf{e}$ where \mathbf{e} is the unit in E. It is easy to check that $int(\phi \circ \Gamma_0) = \Delta$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(E)$ and hence $int(\Gamma_0) = E_{\Delta}$.

Show that Warren's result applies and get the existence of an L-homeomorphism $g: B_E \to E_\Delta$.

By the previous theorem we have that $h^{-1}:E_\Delta\to E_\Omega$ is an L-homeomorphism between E_Δ and E_Ω .

Idea of the proof:

Consider the simple closed curve $\Gamma_0: \partial \Delta \longrightarrow E$ given by $\Gamma_0(\lambda) = \lambda \mathbf{e}$ where \mathbf{e} is the unit in E. It is easy to check that $int(\phi \circ \Gamma_0) = \Delta$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(E)$ and hence $int(\Gamma_0) = E_{\Delta}$.

Show that Warren's result applies and get the existence of an L-homeomorphism $g: B_E \to E_\Delta$.

By the previous theorem we have that $h^{-1}:E_\Delta\to E_\Omega$ is an L-homeomorphism between E_Δ and E_Ω .

Hence, $h^{-1} \circ g : B_E \to E_{\Omega}$ is an L-homeomorphism between B_E and E_{Ω} . This completes the proof.

Idea of the proof:

Consider the simple closed curve $\Gamma_0: \partial \Delta \longrightarrow E$ given by $\Gamma_0(\lambda) = \lambda \mathbf{e}$ where \mathbf{e} is the unit in E. It is easy to check that $int(\phi \circ \Gamma_0) = \Delta$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(E)$ and hence $int(\Gamma_0) = E_\Delta$.

Show that Warren's result applies and get the existence of an L-homeomorphism $g: B_E \to E_\Delta$.

By the previous theorem we have that $h^{-1}:E_\Delta\to E_\Omega$ is an L-homeomorphism between E_Δ and E_Ω .

Hence, $h^{-1} \circ g : B_E \to E_{\Omega}$ is an L-homeomorphism between B_E and E_{Ω} . This completes the proof.

Theorem 1 (G.V.S. Mauro, L.A.M. and A.F. Pereira)

Let E be a commutative Banach algebra with a unit element e. The spectrum $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_L(B_E))$ is homeomorphic to $\mathcal{M}(E) \times \Delta$ by the mapping

$$\delta: \mathcal{M}(E) \times \Delta \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_L(B_E))$$

defined by $\delta(\phi, \lambda_0)(f) = f_{\phi}(\lambda_0)$ for every $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(B_E)$.

Recall that a **uniform algebra** is a closed subalgebra of C(X), where X is a compact Hausdorff space, which contains the constants and separates the points of X.

Recall that a **uniform algebra** is a closed subalgebra of C(X), where X is a compact Hausdorff space, which contains the constants and separates the points of X.

Clearly our uniform algebras are always unitary commutative Banach algebras. Moreover, it is well known that every uniform algebra E is semi-simple and satisfy the equality $\|z\| = \|\hat{z}\|_{\infty}$ for every $z \in E$ where \hat{z} denotes the Gelfand transform of z. Consequently, $E_{\Delta} = B_{E}$.

Recall that a **uniform algebra** is a closed subalgebra of C(X), where X is a compact Hausdorff space, which contains the constants and separates the points of X.

Clearly our uniform algebras are always unitary commutative Banach algebras. Moreover, it is well known that every uniform algebra E is semi-simple and satisfy the equality $\|z\| = \|\hat{z}\|_{\infty}$ for every $z \in E$ where \hat{z} denotes the Gelfand transform of z. Consequently, $E_{\Delta} = B_{E}$.

Our next goal is to describe the spectrum of the algebra $(\mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega), \tau_d)$ when E is a uniform algebra and $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain. We recall that $(\mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega), \tau_d)$ is a Fréchet algebra whenever E is separable.

Recall that a **uniform algebra** is a closed subalgebra of C(X), where X is a compact Hausdorff space, which contains the constants and separates the points of X.

Clearly our uniform algebras are always unitary commutative Banach algebras. Moreover, it is well known that every uniform algebra E is semi-simple and satisfy the equality $\|z\| = \|\hat{z}\|_{\infty}$ for every $z \in E$ where \hat{z} denotes the Gelfand transform of z. Consequently, $E_{\Delta} = B_{E}$.

Our next goal is to describe the spectrum of the algebra $(\mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega), \tau_d)$ when E is a uniform algebra and $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain. We recall that $(\mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega), \tau_d)$ is a Fréchet algebra whenever E is separable.

From now h denotes always a one-to-one analytic mapping h from Ω onto Δ .

Recall that a **uniform algebra** is a closed subalgebra of C(X), where X is a compact Hausdorff space, which contains the constants and separates the points of X.

Clearly our uniform algebras are always unitary commutative Banach algebras. Moreover, it is well known that every uniform algebra E is semi-simple and satisfy the equality $\|z\| = \|\hat{z}\|_{\infty}$ for every $z \in E$ where \hat{z} denotes the Gelfand transform of z. Consequently, $E_{\Delta} = B_{E}$.

Our next goal is to describe the spectrum of the algebra $(\mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega), \tau_d)$ when E is a uniform algebra and $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain. We recall that $(\mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega), \tau_d)$ is a Fréchet algebra whenever E is separable.

From now h denotes always a one-to-one analytic mapping h from Ω onto Δ .

Note that $\widetilde{h}: E_\Omega \to E_\Delta, \ \ \widetilde{h}^{-1}: E_\Delta \to E_\Omega$ and it is easy to show that $\widetilde{h}^{-1} = \widetilde{h^{-1}}$.

Recall that a **uniform algebra** is a closed subalgebra of C(X), where X is a compact Hausdorff space, which contains the constants and separates the points of X.

Clearly our uniform algebras are always unitary commutative Banach algebras. Moreover, it is well known that every uniform algebra E is semi-simple and satisfy the equality $\|z\| = \|\hat{z}\|_{\infty}$ for every $z \in E$ where \hat{z} denotes the Gelfand transform of z. Consequently, $E_{\Delta} = B_{E}$.

Our next goal is to describe the spectrum of the algebra $(\mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega), \tau_d)$ when E is a uniform algebra and $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain. We recall that $(\mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega), \tau_d)$ is a Fréchet algebra whenever E is separable.

From now h denotes always a one-to-one analytic mapping h from Ω onto Δ .

Note that $\widetilde{h}: E_\Omega \to E_\Delta, \ \ \widetilde{h}^{-1}: E_\Delta \to E_\Omega$ and it is easy to show that $\widetilde{h}^{-1} = \widetilde{h^{-1}}$.

Clearly $E_{\Delta} = B_E \Rightarrow \widetilde{h}(z) \in B_E$ for all $z \in E_{\Omega}$.



Proposition

Let E be a uniform algebra. If $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain and $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega)$, then for every $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(E)$ there exists a function $f_\phi \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ satisfying $f_\phi(\phi(z)) = \phi(f(z))$ for all $z \in E_\Omega$.

Proposition

Let E be a uniform algebra. If $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain and $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega)$, then for every $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(E)$ there exists a function $f_\phi \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ satisfying $f_\phi(\phi(z)) = \phi(f(z))$ for all $z \in E_\Omega$.

Idea of the proof:

Proposition

Let E be a uniform algebra. If $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain and $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega)$, then for every $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(E)$ there exists a function $f_\phi \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ satisfying $f_\phi(\phi(z)) = \phi(f(z))$ for all $z \in E_\Omega$.

Idea of the proof: $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega}) \Rightarrow f \circ h^{-1} \in \mathcal{H}_L(B_E)$.

Proposition

Let E be a uniform algebra. If $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain and $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega)$, then for every $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(E)$ there exists a function $f_\phi \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ satisfying $f_\phi(\phi(z)) = \phi(f(z))$ for all $z \in E_\Omega$.

Idea of the proof: $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega) \Rightarrow f \circ \widetilde{h^{-1}} \in \mathcal{H}_L(B_E)$. Take

$$f \circ \widetilde{h^{-1}}(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n w^n$$
 for all $w \in B_E$.

Proposition

Let E be a uniform algebra. If $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain and $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega)$, then for every $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(E)$ there exists a function $f_\phi \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ satisfying $f_\phi(\phi(z)) = \phi(f(z))$ for all $z \in E_\Omega$.

Idea of the proof: $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega) \Rightarrow f \circ \widetilde{h^{-1}} \in \mathcal{H}_L(B_E)$. Take

$$f \circ \widetilde{h^{-1}}(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n w^n$$
 for all $w \in B_E$.

Clearly
$$f(z)=(f\circ \widetilde{h^{-1}})(\widetilde{h}(z))=\sum\limits_{n=0}^{\infty}a_n(\widetilde{h}(z))^n$$
 for every $z\in E_{\Omega}.$

Proposition

Let E be a uniform algebra. If $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain and $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega)$, then for every $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(E)$ there exists a function $f_\phi \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ satisfying $f_\phi(\phi(z)) = \phi(f(z))$ for all $z \in E_\Omega$.

Idea of the proof: $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega) \Rightarrow f \circ \widetilde{h^{-1}} \in \mathcal{H}_L(B_E)$. Take

$$f \circ \widetilde{h^{-1}}(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n w^n$$
 for all $w \in B_E$.

Clearly
$$f(z)=(f\circ \widetilde{h^{-1}})(\widetilde{h}(z))=\sum\limits_{n=0}^{\infty}a_n(\widetilde{h}(z))^n$$
 for every $z\in E_{\Omega}$.

Since $\phi(\mathcal{E}_{\Omega}) = \Omega$, by using the above equality show that $f_{\phi} : \phi(\mathcal{E}_{\Omega}) \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$f_{\phi}(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi(a_n)(h(\lambda))^n \text{ for all } \lambda \in \Omega$$

satisfies $f_{\phi} \circ \phi = \phi \circ f$. This completes the proof.

Let τ_{π} denote the restriction to $\mathcal{M}(E) \times \Omega$ of the product topology in $\mathcal{M}(E) \times \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 2

If E is a uniform algebra and $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain, the mapping

$$\delta: \mathcal{M}(E) \times \Omega \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega}))$$

defined by $\delta(\phi, \lambda_0)(f) = f_{\phi}(\lambda_0)$ for every $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega})$ is a homeomorphism between $(\mathcal{M}(E) \times \Omega, \tau_{\pi})$ and $(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega})), \tau_{G})$.

Let τ_{π} denote the restriction to $\mathcal{M}(E) \times \Omega$ of the product topology in $\mathcal{M}(E) \times \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 2

If E is a uniform algebra and $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain, the mapping

$$\delta: \mathcal{M}(E) \times \Omega \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega}))$$

defined by $\delta(\phi, \lambda_0)(f) = f_{\phi}(\lambda_0)$ for every $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega})$ is a homeomorphism between $(\mathcal{M}(E) \times \Omega, \tau_{\pi})$ and $(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega})), \tau_G)$.

We noted already that given if $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_L(B_E))$ then it can be proved that $\psi(P_{e,1}) \in \Delta$. Moreover we can get $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{M}(E)$ by defining $\psi_0(a) = \psi(P_{a,0})$ for all $a \in E$.

Let τ_{π} denote the restriction to $\mathcal{M}(E) \times \Omega$ of the product topology in $\mathcal{M}(E) \times \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 2

If E is a uniform algebra and $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain, the mapping

$$\delta: \mathcal{M}(E) \times \Omega \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega}))$$

defined by $\delta(\phi, \lambda_0)(f) = f_{\phi}(\lambda_0)$ for every $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega})$ is a homeomorphism between $(\mathcal{M}(E) \times \Omega, \tau_{\pi})$ and $(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega})), \tau_G)$.

We noted already that given if $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_L(B_E))$ then it can be proved that $\psi(P_{e,1}) \in \Delta$. Moreover we can get $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{M}(E)$ by defining $\psi_0(a) = \psi(P_{a,0})$ for all $a \in E$.

Theorem 1 states that to each $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_L(B_E))$ we can associate $(\phi, \lambda_0) \in \mathcal{M}(E) \times \Delta$ such that $\psi(f) = \delta(\phi, \lambda_0)(f) = f_{\phi}(\lambda_0)$ for every $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(B_E)$.

Let τ_{π} denote the restriction to $\mathcal{M}(E) \times \Omega$ of the product topology in $\mathcal{M}(E) \times \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 2

If E is a uniform algebra and $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain, the mapping

$$\delta: \mathcal{M}(E) \times \Omega \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega}))$$

defined by $\delta(\phi, \lambda_0)(f) = f_{\phi}(\lambda_0)$ for every $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega})$ is a homeomorphism between $(\mathcal{M}(E) \times \Omega, \tau_{\pi})$ and $(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega})), \tau_G)$.

We noted already that given if $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_L(B_E))$ then it can be proved that $\psi(P_{e,1}) \in \Delta$. Moreover we can get $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{M}(E)$ by defining $\psi_0(a) = \psi(P_{a,0})$ for all $a \in E$.

Theorem 1 states that to each $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_L(B_E))$ we can associate $(\phi, \lambda_0) \in \mathcal{M}(E) \times \Delta$ such that $\psi(f) = \delta(\phi, \lambda_0)(f) = f_\phi(\lambda_0)$ for every $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(B_E)$. This can be proved by choosing $\phi = \psi_0 \in \mathcal{M}(E)$ and $\lambda_0 = \psi(P_{e,1}) \in \Delta$.

Let τ_{π} denote the restriction to $\mathcal{M}(E) \times \Omega$ of the product topology in $\mathcal{M}(E) \times \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 2

If E is a uniform algebra and $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain, the mapping

$$\delta: \mathcal{M}(E) \times \Omega \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega}))$$

defined by $\delta(\phi, \lambda_0)(f) = f_{\phi}(\lambda_0)$ for every $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega})$ is a homeomorphism between $(\mathcal{M}(E) \times \Omega, \tau_{\pi})$ and $(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_{L}(E_{\Omega})), \tau_{G})$.

We noted already that given if $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_L(B_E))$ then it can be proved that $\psi(P_{e,1}) \in \Delta$. Moreover we can get $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{M}(E)$ by defining $\psi_0(a) = \psi(P_{a,0})$ for all $a \in E$.

Theorem 1 states that to each $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_L(B_E))$ we can associate $(\phi, \lambda_0) \in$ $\mathcal{M}(E) \times \Delta$ such that $\psi(f) = \delta(\phi, \lambda_0)(f) = f_{\phi}(\lambda_0)$ for every $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(B_E)$. This can be proved by choosing $\phi = \psi_0 \in \mathcal{M}(E)$ and $\lambda_0 = \psi(P_{e,1}) \in \Delta$.

A similar argument did not work when we tried to prove that δ is surjective in Theorem 2.

Valencia, 20/10/2017

Next we are going to show that δ is surjective in Theorem 2. Our proof uses the following result:

Next we are going to show that δ is surjective in Theorem 2. Our proof uses the following result:

Lemma

Let E be a uniform algebra, $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ be a simply connected domain and h be a conformal mapping between Ω and Δ . If $z_0 \in E_{\Omega}$ and

 $0 < r < d_{E_{\Omega}}(z_0) = \inf_{w \in E_{\Omega}} \|z_0 - w\|$, then $\widetilde{h}(B_r(z_0))$ is a B_E -bounded subset of B_E .

Next we are going to show that δ is surjective in Theorem 2. Our proof uses the following result:

Lemma

Let E be a uniform algebra, $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ be a simply connected domain and h be a conformal mapping between Ω and Δ . If $z_0 \in E_{\Omega}$ and

$$0 < r < d_{E_{\Omega}}(z_0) = \inf_{w \in E_{\Omega}} \|z_0 - w\|$$
, then $h(B_r(z_0))$ is a B_E -bounded subset of B_E .

The proof of this lemma uses strongly the equality $||z|| = ||\hat{z}||_{\infty}$ for every $z \in E$ where \hat{z} denotes the Gelfand transform of z.

Next we are going to show that δ is surjective in Theorem 2. Our proof uses the following result:

Lemma

Let E be a uniform algebra, $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ be a simply connected domain and h be a conformal mapping between Ω and Δ . If $z_0 \in E_{\Omega}$ and

$$0 < r < d_{E_{\Omega}}(z_0) = \inf_{w \in E_{\Omega}} \|z_0 - w\|$$
, then $h(B_r(z_0))$ is a B_E -bounded subset of B_E .

The proof of this lemma uses strongly the equality $||z|| = ||\hat{z}||_{\infty}$ for every $z \in E$ where \hat{z} denotes the Gelfand transform of z.

Let us show that δ is surjective.

Next we are going to show that δ is surjective in Theorem 2. Our proof uses the following result:

Lemma

Let E be a uniform algebra, $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ be a simply connected domain and h be a conformal mapping between Ω and Δ . If $z_0 \in E_{\Omega}$ and

 $0 < r < d_{E_{\Omega}}(z_0) = \inf_{w \in E_{\Omega}} \|z_0 - w\|$, then $h(B_r(z_0))$ is a B_E -bounded subset of B_E .

The proof of this lemma uses strongly the equality $||z|| = ||\hat{z}||_{\infty}$ for every $z \in E$ where \hat{z} denotes the Gelfand transform of z.

Let us show that δ is surjective.

Take $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega))$. We are going to get $(\phi, \lambda_0) \in \mathcal{M}(E) \times \Omega$ such that $\psi(f) = \delta(\phi, \lambda_0)(f) = f_\phi(\lambda_0)$ for every $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega)$.

Easy:
$$f \in \mathcal{H}_L(B_E) \Rightarrow f \circ \widetilde{h} \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega})$$
.

Easy: $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(B_E) \Rightarrow f \circ \widetilde{h} \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega})$.

Now, define

$$\psi_h: \mathcal{H}_L(B_E) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

$$f \longmapsto \psi(f \circ \widetilde{h})$$

Easy: $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(B_E) \Rightarrow f \circ \widetilde{h} \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega)$.

Now, define

$$\psi_h: \mathcal{H}_L(B_E) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

$$f \longmapsto \psi(f \circ \widetilde{h})$$

• $\psi_h \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_L(B_E))$. (The Lemma is used to show that ψ_h is continuous).

Easy: $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(B_E) \Rightarrow f \circ \widetilde{h} \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega)$.

Now, define

$$\psi_h: \mathcal{H}_L(B_E) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

$$f \longmapsto \psi(f \circ \widetilde{h})$$

• $\psi_h \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_L(B_E))$. (The Lemma is used to show that ψ_h is continuous).

Easy: $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(B_E) \Rightarrow f \circ \widetilde{h} \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega)$.

Now, define

$$\psi_h: \mathcal{H}_L(B_E) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

$$f \longmapsto \psi(f \circ \widetilde{h})$$

• $\psi_h \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_L(B_E))$. (The Lemma is used to show that ψ_h is continuous).

For every $a \in E$,

$$(\psi_h)_0(a) = \psi_h(P_{a,0}) = \psi(P_{a,0} \circ h) = \psi(P_{a,0}) = \psi_0(a).$$

Easy: $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(B_E) \Rightarrow f \circ \widetilde{h} \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega)$.

Now, define

$$\psi_{\mathsf{h}}:\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{L}}(\mathsf{B}_{\mathsf{E}})\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}$$

$$f\longmapsto\psi(f\circ\widetilde{\mathsf{h}})$$

• $\psi_h \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_L(B_E))$. (The Lemma is used to show that ψ_h is continuous).

For every $a \in E$,

$$(\psi_h)_0(a) = \psi_h(P_{a,0}) = \psi(P_{a,0} \circ \widetilde{h}) = \psi(P_{a,0}) = \psi_0(a).$$

Now: $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega}) \Rightarrow f \circ \widetilde{h^{-1}} \in \mathcal{H}_L(B_E)$.



Easy: $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(B_E) \Rightarrow f \circ \widetilde{h} \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega)$.

Now, define

$$\psi_h: \mathcal{H}_L(B_E) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

$$f \longmapsto \psi(f \circ \widetilde{h})$$

• $\psi_h \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_L(B_E))$. (The Lemma is used to show that ψ_h is continuous).

For every $a \in E$,

$$(\psi_h)_0(a) = \psi_h(P_{a,0}) = \psi(P_{a,0} \circ \widetilde{h}) = \psi(P_{a,0}) = \psi_0(a).$$

Now: $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega) \Rightarrow f \circ h^{-1} \in \mathcal{H}_L(B_E)$. And by Theorem 1, $\psi_h = \delta((\psi_h)_0, \mu_0)$ where $\mu_0 = \psi_h(P_{e,1}) \in \Delta$.



Since $(\psi_h)_0 = \psi_0$, for every $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega)$ we have

$$\psi(f) = \psi(f \circ \widetilde{h^{-1}} \circ \widetilde{h}) = \psi_h(f \circ \widetilde{h^{-1}}) = (f \circ \widetilde{h^{-1}})_{\psi_0}(\mu_0)$$

Since $(\psi_h)_0 = \psi_0$, for every $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega)$ we have

$$\psi(f) = \psi(f \circ \widetilde{h^{-1}} \circ \widetilde{h}) = \psi_h(f \circ \widetilde{h^{-1}}) = (f \circ \widetilde{h^{-1}})_{\psi_0}(\mu_0)$$

As h is a bijection between Ω and Δ , there exists $\lambda_0 \in \Omega$ such that $h(\lambda_0) = \mu_0$. So,

Since $(\psi_h)_0 = \psi_0$, for every $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega)$ we have

$$\psi(f) = \psi(f \circ \widetilde{h^{-1}} \circ \widetilde{h}) = \psi_h(f \circ \widetilde{h^{-1}}) = (f \circ \widetilde{h^{-1}})_{\psi_0}(\mu_0)$$

As h is a bijection between Ω and Δ , there exists $\lambda_0 \in \Omega$ such that $h(\lambda_0) = \mu_0$. So,

$$\psi(f) = (f \circ \widetilde{h^{-1}})_{\psi_0}(\mu_0) = (f \circ \widetilde{h^{-1}})_{\psi_0}(h(\lambda_0)) = f_{\psi_0} \circ (\widetilde{h^{-1}})_{\psi_0} \circ h(\lambda_0)$$
$$= f_{\psi_0} \circ h^{-1} \circ h(\lambda_0) = f_{\psi_0}(\lambda_0) = \delta(\psi_0, \lambda_0)(f).$$

Hence, $\psi(f) = f_{\psi_0}(\lambda_0)$ for every $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega)$.

This shows that δ is surjective.

Since $(\psi_h)_0 = \psi_0$, for every $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega)$ we have

$$\psi(f) = \psi(f \circ \widetilde{h^{-1}} \circ \widetilde{h}) = \psi_h(f \circ \widetilde{h^{-1}}) = (f \circ \widetilde{h^{-1}})_{\psi_0}(\mu_0)$$

As h is a bijection between Ω and Δ , there exists $\lambda_0 \in \Omega$ such that $h(\lambda_0) = \mu_0$. So,

$$\psi(f) = (f \circ \widetilde{h^{-1}})_{\psi_0}(\mu_0) = (f \circ \widetilde{h^{-1}})_{\psi_0}(h(\lambda_0)) = f_{\psi_0} \circ (\widetilde{h^{-1}})_{\psi_0} \circ h(\lambda_0)$$
$$= f_{\psi_0} \circ h^{-1} \circ h(\lambda_0) = f_{\psi_0}(\lambda_0) = \delta(\psi_0, \lambda_0)(f).$$

Hence, $\psi(f) = f_{\psi_0}(\lambda_0)$ for every $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega)$.

This shows that δ is surjective.

As a consequence of Theorem 2 we get:

Proposition

Let E be a uniform algebra and $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ be a simply connected domain. Then, if E is a separable space, $\mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega)$ is a semi-simple algebra.

The algebra $\overline{(\mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega), \tau_0)}$

Let us consider the space locally convex space $(\mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega), \tau_0)$.

Let us consider the space locally convex space $(\mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega), \tau_0)$. It is known:

• $(\mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega}), \tau_0)$ is complete.

Let us consider the space locally convex space $(\mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega), \tau_0)$. It is known:

• $(\mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega}), \tau_0)$ is complete.

Let us consider the space locally convex space $(\mathcal{H}_L(\mathcal{E}_{\Omega}), \tau_0)$. It is known:

- $(\mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega}), \tau_0)$ is complete.
- Every separable C^* -álgebra $\mathcal A$ (not necessarily unitary) has an approximation of the identity, i.e., a sequence $(\mathbf e_n)_{n\in\mathbb N}\subset\mathcal A$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|\mathbf e_nz-z\|=0$ for every $z\in\mathcal A$ and $\|\mathbf e_n\|\leq 1$ for every $n\in\mathbb N$.

Let us consider the space locally convex space $(\mathcal{H}_L(\mathcal{E}_{\Omega}), \tau_0)$. It is known:

- $(\mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega}), \tau_0)$ is complete.
- Every separable C^* -álgebra $\mathcal A$ (not necessarily unitary) has an approximation of the identity, i.e., a sequence $(\mathbf e_n)_{n\in\mathbb N}\subset\mathcal A$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|\mathbf e_nz-z\|=0$ for every $z\in\mathcal A$ and $\|\mathbf e_n\|\leq 1$ for every $n\in\mathbb N$.
- If A is a separable C*−algebra and φ ∈ M(A), then ker(φ) is a separable C*−algebra as well.

Let us consider the space locally convex space $(\mathcal{H}_L(\mathcal{E}_{\Omega}), \tau_0)$. It is known:

- $(\mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega}), \tau_0)$ is complete.
- Every separable C^* -álgebra $\mathcal A$ (not necessarily unitary) has an approximation of the identity, i.e., a sequence $(\mathbf e_n)_{n\in\mathbb N}\subset\mathcal A$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|\mathbf e_nz-z\|=0$ for every $z\in\mathcal A$ and $\|\mathbf e_n\|\leq 1$ for every $n\in\mathbb N$.
- If A is a separable C*−algebra and φ ∈ M(A), then ker(φ) is a separable C*−algebra as well.

Let us consider the space locally convex space $(\mathcal{H}_L(\mathcal{E}_{\Omega}), \tau_0)$. It is known:

- $(\mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega}), \tau_0)$ is complete.
- Every separable C^* -álgebra $\mathcal A$ (not necessarily unitary) has an approximation of the identity, i.e., a sequence $(\mathbf e_n)_{n\in\mathbb N}\subset\mathcal A$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|\mathbf e_nz-z\|=0$ for every $z\in\mathcal A$ and $\|\mathbf e_n\|\leq 1$ for every $n\in\mathbb N$.
- If \mathcal{A} is a separable C^* -algebra and $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$, then $\ker(\phi)$ is a separable C^* -algebra as well.

Let $\mathcal{M}_0(\mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega))$ denote the spectrum of $(\mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega), \tau_0)$ endowed with the Gelfand topology τ_G .

Let us consider the space locally convex space $(\mathcal{H}_L(\mathcal{E}_{\Omega}), \tau_0)$. It is known:

- $(\mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega), \tau_0)$ is complete.
- Every separable C^* -álgebra $\mathcal A$ (not necessarily unitary) has an approximation of the identity, i.e., a sequence $(\mathbf{e}_n)_{n\in\mathbb N}\subset\mathcal A$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|\mathbf{e}_nz-z\|=0$ for every $z\in\mathcal A$ and $\|\mathbf{e}_n\|\leq 1$ for every $n\in\mathbb N$.
- If A is a separable C*−algebra and φ ∈ M(A), then ker(φ) is a separable C*−algebra as well.

Let $\mathcal{M}_0(\mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega))$ denote the spectrum of $(\mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega), \tau_0)$ endowed with the Gelfand topology τ_G .

Well known: If θ is a complex homomorphism defined in $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$, then $\theta(f) = f(\lambda_{\theta})$ for every $f \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ where $\lambda_{\theta} = \theta(Id) \in \Omega$

Let us consider the space locally convex space $(\mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega), \tau_0)$. It is known:

- $(\mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega}), \tau_0)$ is complete.
- Every separable C^* -álgebra $\mathcal A$ (not necessarily unitary) has an approximation of the identity, i.e., a sequence $(\mathbf e_n)_{n\in\mathbb N}\subset\mathcal A$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|\mathbf e_nz-z\|=0$ for every $z\in\mathcal A$ and $\|\mathbf e_n\|\leq 1$ for every $n\in\mathbb N$.
- If \mathcal{A} is a separable C^* -algebra and $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$, then $\ker(\phi)$ is a separable C^* -algebra as well.

Let $\mathcal{M}_0(\mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega))$ denote the spectrum of $(\mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega), \tau_0)$ endowed with the Gelfand topology τ_G .

Well known: If θ is a complex homomorphism defined in $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$, then $\theta(f) = f(\lambda_{\theta})$ for every $f \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ where $\lambda_{\theta} = \theta(Id) \in \Omega$

Lemma 1

If ψ is a complex homomorphism defined in $\mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega})$, then $\psi(\widetilde{f}) = f(\lambda_0)$ for every $f \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$, where $\lambda_0 = \psi(P_{\mathbf{e},1})$.

The algebra $(\mathcal{H}_L(\mathcal{E}_\Omega), au_0)$

Lemma 2

Let *E* be a separable C^* -álgebra, $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{M}_0(\mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega))$. If $f(z) \in \ker(\psi_0)$ for every $z \in E_{\Omega}$, then $f \in \ker(\psi)$.

Valencia, 20/10/2017

Lemma 2

Let E be a separable C^* -álgebra, $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{M}_0(\mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega))$. If $f(z) \in \ker(\psi_0)$ for every $z \in E_\Omega$, then $f \in \ker(\psi)$.

Idea of proof:

 $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{M}(E) \Rightarrow \ker(\psi_0)$ is a separable C^* -álgebra \Rightarrow there exists an approximation of the identity $(\mathbf{e}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\ker(\psi_0)$.

Show that:

• $\{P_{e_n,1} \circ f; n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathcal{C}(E_{\Omega}, E)$ is equicontinuous.

Lemma 2

Let E be a separable C^* -álgebra, $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{M}_0(\mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega))$. If $f(z) \in \ker(\psi_0)$ for every $z \in E_\Omega$, then $f \in \ker(\psi)$.

Idea of proof:

 $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{M}(E) \Rightarrow \ker(\psi_0)$ is a separable C^* -álgebra \Rightarrow there exists an approximation of the identity $(\mathbf{e}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\ker(\psi_0)$.

Show that:

• $\{P_{e_n,1} \circ f; n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathcal{C}(E_{\Omega}, E)$ is equicontinuous.

Lemma 2

Let E be a separable C^* -álgebra, $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{M}_0(\mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega))$. If $f(z) \in \ker(\psi_0)$ for every $z \in E_\Omega$, then $f \in \ker(\psi)$.

Idea of proof:

 $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{M}(E) \Rightarrow \ker(\psi_0)$ is a separable C^* -álgebra \Rightarrow there exists an approximation of the identity $(\mathbf{e}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\ker(\psi_0)$.

Show that:

- $\{P_{e_n,1} \circ f; n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathcal{C}(E_{\Omega}, E)$ is equicontinuous.
- $\overline{\{(P_{e_n,1}\circ f)(z);n\in\mathbb{N}\}}$ is a compact set for every $z\in E_\Omega$.

Lemma 2

Let E be a separable C^* -álgebra, $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{M}_0(\mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega))$. If $f(z) \in \ker(\psi_0)$ for every $z \in E_\Omega$, then $f \in \ker(\psi)$.

Idea of proof:

 $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{M}(E) \Rightarrow \ker(\psi_0)$ is a separable C^* -álgebra \Rightarrow there exists an approximation of the identity $(\mathbf{e}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\ker(\psi_0)$.

Show that:

- $\{P_{e_n,1} \circ f; n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathcal{C}(E_{\Omega}, E)$ is equicontinuous.
- $\overline{\{(P_{e_n,1}\circ f)(z);n\in\mathbb{N}\}}$ is a compact set for every $z\in E_\Omega$.

Lemma 2

Let E be a separable C^* -álgebra, $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{M}_0(\mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega))$. If $f(z) \in \ker(\psi_0)$ for every $z \in E_\Omega$, then $f \in \ker(\psi)$.

Idea of proof:

 $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{M}(E) \Rightarrow \ker(\psi_0)$ is a separable C^* -álgebra \Rightarrow there exists an approximation of the identity $(\mathbf{e}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\ker(\psi_0)$.

Show that:

- $\{P_{e_n,1} \circ f; n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathcal{C}(E_{\Omega},E)$ is equicontinuous.
- $\overline{\{(P_{e_n,1}\circ f)(z);n\in\mathbb{N}\}}$ is a compact set for every $z\in E_\Omega$.

Arzela-Ascoli Theorem \Rightarrow there exists $g \in \mathcal{C}(E_{\Omega}, E)$ such that $P_{e_{n,1}} \circ f \stackrel{\tau_{0}}{\to} g$ (go to a subsequence, if necessary) .

Lemma 2

Let E be a separable C^* -álgebra, $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{M}_0(\mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega))$. If $f(z) \in \ker(\psi_0)$ for every $z \in E_\Omega$, then $f \in \ker(\psi)$.

Idea of proof:

 $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{M}(E) \Rightarrow \ker(\psi_0)$ is a separable C^* -álgebra \Rightarrow there exists an approximation of the identity $(\mathbf{e}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\ker(\psi_0)$.

Show that:

- $\{P_{e_n,1} \circ f; n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathcal{C}(E_{\Omega}, E)$ is equicontinuous.
- $\overline{\{(P_{e_n,1}\circ f)(z);n\in\mathbb{N}\}}$ is a compact set for every $z\in E_\Omega$.

Arzela-Ascoli Theorem \Rightarrow there exists $g \in \mathcal{C}(E_{\Omega}, E)$ such that $P_{e_{n},1} \circ f \stackrel{\tau_{0}}{\to} g$ (go to a subsequence, if necessary) .

In particular,

$$g(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} P_{e_n,1}(f(z)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} e_n f(z) = f(z)$$

for all $z \in E_{\Omega}$, and hence $P_{e_n,1} \circ f \stackrel{\tau_0}{\rightarrow} f$.



Note that $P_{e_n,1} \circ f = P_{e_n,0} \cdot f$ since $P_{e_n,1}(f(z)) = e_n f(z) = P_{e_n,0}(z) f(z)$ for all $z \in E_{\Omega}$.

Now, $P_{e_n,1} \cdot f \stackrel{\tau_0}{\to} f$ and $\psi(P_{e_n,0}) = \psi_0(e_n) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ implies

$$\psi(f) = \psi(\lim_{n \to \infty} P_{e_n,0} \cdot f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \psi(P_{e_n,0} \cdot f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \psi_0(e_n)\psi(f) = 0.$$

Hence $f \in \ker(\psi)$.

Note that $P_{e_n,1} \circ f = P_{e_n,0} \cdot f$ since $P_{e_n,1}(f(z)) = e_n f(z) = P_{e_n,0}(z) f(z)$ for all $z \in E_{\Omega}$.

Now, $P_{e_n,1} \cdot f \stackrel{\tau_0}{\to} f$ and $\psi(P_{e_n,0}) = \psi_0(e_n) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ implies

$$\psi(f) = \psi(\lim_{n \to \infty} P_{e_n,0} \cdot f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \psi(P_{e_n,0} \cdot f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \psi_0(e_n)\psi(f) = 0.$$

Hence $f \in \ker(\psi)$.

Theorem

If E is a separable commutative C^* -álgebra with a unit element e and $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain, the mapping

$$\delta: \mathcal{M}(E) \times \Omega \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_0(\mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega}))$$

defined by $\delta(\phi, \lambda_0)(f) = f_{\phi}(\lambda_0)$ for every $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega})$ is a homeomorphism between $(\mathcal{M}(E) \times \Omega, \tau_{\pi})$ and $(\mathcal{M}_0(\mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega})), \tau_G)$.

Note that $P_{e_n,1} \circ f = P_{e_n,0} \cdot f$ since $P_{e_n,1}(f(z)) = e_n f(z) = P_{e_n,0}(z) f(z)$ for all $z \in E_{\Omega}$.

Now, $P_{e_n,1} \cdot f \stackrel{\tau_0}{\to} f$ and $\psi(P_{e_n,0}) = \psi_0(e_n) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ implies

$$\psi(f) = \psi(\lim_{n \to \infty} P_{e_n,0} \cdot f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \psi(P_{e_n,0} \cdot f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \psi_0(e_n)\psi(f) = 0.$$

Hence $f \in \ker(\psi)$.

Theorem

If E is a separable commutative C^* -álgebra with a unit element e and $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain, the mapping

$$\delta: \mathcal{M}(E) \times \Omega \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_0(\mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega}))$$

defined by $\delta(\phi, \lambda_0)(f) = f_{\phi}(\lambda_0)$ for every $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega})$ is a homeomorphism between $(\mathcal{M}(E) \times \Omega, \tau_{\pi})$ and $(\mathcal{M}_0(\mathcal{H}_L(E_{\Omega})), \tau_G)$.

We are going to show that δ is surjective.



Given any $\psi \in \mathcal{M}_0(\mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega))$, take $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{M}(E)$ defined as in the proof of Theorem 2 and $\lambda_0 = \psi(P_{\mathbf{e},1}) \in \Omega$.

$$f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega) \Rightarrow f_{\psi_0} \in \mathcal{H}(\psi_0(E_\Omega)) = \mathcal{H}(\Omega) \Rightarrow \widetilde{f_{\psi_0}} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega).$$

Given any $\psi \in \mathcal{M}_0(\mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega))$, take $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{M}(E)$ defined as in the proof of Theorem 2 and $\lambda_0 = \psi(P_{\textbf{e},1}) \in \Omega$.

$$f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega) \Rightarrow f_{\psi_0} \in \mathcal{H}(\psi_0(E_\Omega)) = \mathcal{H}(\Omega) \Rightarrow \widetilde{f_{\psi_0}} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega).$$

Consider the mapping $f - \widetilde{f_{\psi_0}}$. For every $z \in E_{\Omega}$,

$$\psi_0(f(z) - \widetilde{f_{\psi_0}}(z)) = f_{\psi_0}(\psi_0(z)) - f_{\psi_0}(\psi_0(z)) = 0.$$

Given any $\psi \in \mathcal{M}_0(\mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega))$, take $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{M}(E)$ defined as in the proof of Theorem 2 and $\lambda_0 = \psi(P_{\textbf{e},1}) \in \Omega$.

$$f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega) \Rightarrow f_{\psi_0} \in \mathcal{H}(\psi_0(E_\Omega)) = \mathcal{H}(\Omega) \Rightarrow \widetilde{f_{\psi_0}} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega).$$

Consider the mapping $f - \widetilde{f_{\psi_0}}$. For every $z \in E_{\Omega}$,

$$\psi_0(f(z) - \widetilde{f_{\psi_0}}(z)) = f_{\psi_0}(\psi_0(z)) - f_{\psi_0}(\psi_0(z)) = 0.$$

Hence, $\left(f-\widetilde{f_{\psi_0}}\right)(z)\in \ker(\psi_0)$ for all $z\in E_\Omega$.

Given any $\psi \in \mathcal{M}_0(\mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega))$, take $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{M}(E)$ defined as in the proof of Theorem 2 and $\lambda_0 = \psi(P_{\textbf{e},1}) \in \Omega$.

$$f \in \mathcal{H}_L(\mathcal{E}_{\Omega}) \Rightarrow f_{\psi_0} \in \mathcal{H}(\psi_0(\mathcal{E}_{\Omega})) = \mathcal{H}(\Omega) \Rightarrow \widetilde{f_{\psi_0}} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{H}_L(\mathcal{E}_{\Omega}).$$

Consider the mapping $f - \widetilde{f_{\psi_0}}$. For every $z \in E_{\Omega}$,

$$\psi_0(f(z) - \widetilde{f_{\psi_0}}(z)) = f_{\psi_0}(\psi_0(z)) - f_{\psi_0}(\psi_0(z)) = 0.$$

Hence, $\left(f - \widetilde{f_{\psi_0}}\right)(z) \in \ker(\psi_0)$ for all $z \in E_{\Omega}$.

By the Lemma2 , $f-\widetilde{f_{\psi_0}}\in\ker(\psi)$ and, by Lemma 1, $\psi(\widetilde{f_{\psi_0}})=f_{\psi_0}(\lambda_0)$. Consequently,

$$0 = \psi(f - \widetilde{f_{\psi_0}}) = \psi(f) - \psi(\widetilde{f_{\psi_0}}) = \psi(f) - f_{\psi_0}(\lambda_0).$$

From this we get $\psi(f) = f_{\psi_0}(\lambda_0)(f)$ for every $f \in \mathcal{H}_L(E_\Omega)$ and so $\psi = \delta(\psi_0, \lambda_0)$.



Some References

- 1. B.W. Glickfeld, *The Riemann sphere of a commutative Banach algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **38** (1960), 414-425.
- 2. B.W. Glickfeld, *Contributions to The Theory of Holomorphic Function in Commutative Banach Algebras*, Thesis, Columbia University, New York, 1964.
- 3. B.W. Glickfeld, *On the inverse function theorem in commutative Banach algebras*, Illinois J. Math. 15 (1971) 212-221.
- 4. J. Dugundji, *Topology*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1966.
- 5. E. Hille and R.S. Phillips, *Functional Analysis and Semi-groups*, American Mathematical Society, Colloquium Publications XXXI, Baltimore, 1957.
- 6. E.R. Lorch, *The theory of analytic functions in normed abelian vector rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **54** (1943), 414–425.
- 7. D.H. Luecking and L.A. Rubel, *Complex analysis. A Functional Analysis Approach*, Springer-Verlag New York, 1984.

Some References

- 8. G.V.S. Mauro, Espectros de Álgebras de Aplicações Analíticas no sentido de Lorch, Tese de Doutorado, UFRJ (2016)
- 9. G.V.S. Mauro, L.A. Moraes and A.F. Pereira, *Topological and algebraic properties of spaces of Lorch analytic mappings*, Math. Nachr. **289** (2015), 845 853.
- 10. G.V.S. Mauro and L.A. Moraes, *Algebras of Lorch analytic mappings on uniform algebras.*, Pre-print.
- 11. L.A. Moraes and A.F. Pereira, *The spectra of algebras of Lorch analytic mappings*, Topology **48** (2009), 91–99.
- 12. L.A. Moraes and A.F. Pereira, *The algebra of Lorch analytic mappings with the Hadamard product*, Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ. 49 (2013) 111-122.
- 13. H.E. Warren, A Riemann mapping theorem for C(X), Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 28 (1971), 147-154.
- 14. H.E. Warren, Analytic equivalence among simply connected domains in C(X), Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **196** (1974), 265-288.

Algebras of Lorch analytic mappings defined in uniform