Journal of King Saud University - Science 35 (2023) 102417

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of King Saud University – Science

journal homepage: www.sciencedirect.com

Original article

HOSTED BY

Morphological and molecular analyses Protolamellodiscus senilobatus (Monogenea: Diplectanidae), a gill parasite infecting the soldier bream Argyrops filamentosus (Sparidae)



Masheil Alghamdi, Saleh Al-Quraishy, Esam M. Al-Shaebi, Rewaida Abdel-Gaber*

Zoology Department, College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 18 September 2022 Revised 4 October 2022 Accepted 26 October 2022 Available online 1 November 2022

Keywords: Monogenea Diplectanidae Lamellodiscinae Protolamellodiscus Morphology Phylogeny

ABSTRACT

Monopisthocotyleans belonging to the family Diplectanidae infect marine fish and are distinguished by a posterior complex haptor. The solider bream Argyrops filamentosus Valenciennes (Perciformes: Sparidae), is one such species that is under consideration caught from the Red Sea (Saudi Arabia). This study is the first description of a diplectanid species from the gills of the soldier bream fish, morphologically by light as well as by molecular analysis of the parasite partial 28S rRNA sequences through multiple alignments and phylogeny by maximum likelihood (ML) analysis which is provided for the first time for the described parasite species. Thirty soldier bream fish were collected from marine water off Saudi Arabia; gills were detached and further analyzed for parasitic infection. A monogenean parasite with a complicated haptor made up of two comparable lamellodiscs, three bilateral pairs of lobes, two pairs of anchors, three bars, and seven pairs of marginal hooks was discovered to naturally infect 21 samples of the examined fish. The molecular analysis of the parasite 28S rRNA and phylogeny revealed a percentage of identities 98.41-77.97 % for Diplectanidae species within a monophyletic clade of Dactylogyridea where a maximum percentage of 98.41 % were obtained for morphologically different sister taxon Lamellodiscus acanthopagri. The results of phylogeny are in line with those obtained through morphological classification, which showed that the parasite identified shared morphological characteristics with Protolamellodiscus senilobatus, a species that had not before been identified by DNA analysis. Under accession number OP419541.1, the obtained parasite sequences were added to the GenBank database. © 2022 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access

article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

The Red Sea's sparid fish fauna consists of 16 species that fall into nine genera (Machkewskyi et al., 2013). One of these is the soldier bream Argyrops filamentosus (Valenciennes) (Sparidae), which is one of the most widely consumed commercial fish in the area and an attractive proposition for aquaculture (Basurco et al., 2011). It is widely known that numerous eukaryotic species may parasitize fish (M'Rabet et al., 2016). Most monogeneans are ectoparasites that parasitize fish's skin, fins, and gills. They are

Production and hosting by Elsevier

highly host-specific and have a simple life cycle (Bakke et al., 2007). A significant taxonomic diversification, with 3500 identified species found in marine fish, distinguishes this group of parasites (Rohde, 2005). They severely damage the gills and produce significant issues with clear pathogenicity (Intamong et al., 2016).

About 250 species of the monogenean monopisthocotyleans Diplectanidae Monticelli, 1903 are found largely on the gills of marine perciform fish (Domingues and Boeger, 2008). Five subfamilies are recognized primarily based on the morphology and presence/absence of the accessory adhesive organs of haptor, as follows: Diplectaninae Monticelli, 1903, Lamellodiscinae Oliver, 1969, Murraytrematoidinae Oliver, 1982, Rhabdosynochinae Oliver, 1987, and Rhamnocercinae Monaco, Wood & Mizelle, 1954. According to Sánchez-García et al. (2011), this family is distinguished by the presence of a complex haptor with numerous different attachment elements, including two pairs of main hooks connected by medial bars, 14 peripheral marginal hooks, and one or two clusters of sclerotized rodlets or lamellae known as 'squamodiscs' or 'lamellodiscs' (Sánchez-García et al., 2011).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksus.2022.102417

1018-3647/© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

^{*} Corresponding author at: Zoology Department, College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

E-mail address: rabdelgaber.c@ksu.edu.sa (R. Abdel-Gaber).

Peer review under responsibility of King Saud University.

FLSEVIER

According to World Register of Marine Species (WoRMS, 2022), the genus *Protolamellodiscus* Oliver, 1969 (Diplectanidae, Lamellodiscinae) currently consists of 4 described species. These species include *P. convolutes* (Yamaguti, 1953) Oliver, 1987, *P. raibauti* Oliver & Radujkovic, 1987, *P. senilobatus* Kritsky, Jiménez-Ruiz & Sey, 2000, and *P. serranelli* (Euzet & Oliver, 1965) Oliver, 1969, that have been mainly parasites of perciform fish of Nemipteridae, Serranidae and Sparidae families.

Genetic analysis has recently been combined with morphological descriptions, enabling researchers to precisely identify monogenean species (Jovelin and Justine, 2001; Desdevises et al., 2002; Verma et al., 2018; Lablack et al., 2022). While, mitochondrial cytochrome-b DNA sequences were used to investigate the evolutionary relationship between these parasites and their hosts (Desdevises et al., 2000; Choudhary and Agrawal 2017), partial sequences of the ribosomal DNA coding regions were frequently used to estimate the level of divergence at the intra- and interspecific levels among species of Lamellodiscinae (Domingues and Boeger, 2008; El-Nabati et al., 2021; Kaci-Chaouch et al., 2008; Mallatt and Winchell, 2002; Mendoza-Franco et al., 2018; Poisot et al., 2011; Šimkova et al., 2006; El-Nabati et al., 2021).

This study aims to study the occurrence of monogeneans infecting *Argyrops filamentosus* fish that inhabits the Red Sea (Saudi Arabia) and the taxonomic status of the parasites was determined through morphological features and confirmed by molecular tools.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Fish samples collection

During the period of this study, thirty specimens of the soldier bream *Argyrops filamentosus* (Family: Sparidae) were collected via local fishermen in Jeddah province (along the coast of the Red Sea), Saudi Arabia. Fish were put on ice before being moved to the Lab, where they are identified using the external morphological standards set out by Abu Shusha et al. (2010).

2.2. Parasitological examination

Fish skin, fins, and gills were examined macro- and microscopically to check for parasitic infections. The fish's gills were separated, submerged in 0.9 % saline solution to eliminate any extra gill mucus, and then inspected for monogeneans under a stereomicroscope (Nikon SMZ18, NIS ELEMENTS software). Using delicate dissection needles, monogeneans were extracted from the gills. According to Bush et al. (1997), the prevalence and mean intensity of infection in terms of parasitology were determined. Worms were preserved in 4 % formalin for microscopic examinations or 96 % ethanol for molecular analysis. To remove excess fixatives, the fixed specimens (n = 10) were rinsed in distilled water (Hassan et al., 2015). According to Malmberg (1957), worms were first mounted as semi-permanent preparations in a glycerin ammonium-picrate mixture before being mounted in Canada balsam. The parasites were then examined using light microscopy (aNTI-MOULD, MICROS, Austria) to determine their identities using Oliver's description (1969) for the morphology of the haptor and male copulatory organ. Illustrations were made using photos taken by Leica DM 2500 microscope (NIS ELEMENTS software, ver. 3.8). Using the program Image 1.53e software, measurements were collected and expressed in micrometers (µm).

2.3. Molecular analysis

Ten parasites, previously fixed in 96 % ethanol, were digested overnight at 55 °C in the DNA buffer containing 100 μ g/ml pro-

teinase K. Following the instructions, genomic DNA was extracted using a DNeasy tissue kit© (Qiagen). Using the LSU5/LSU1200R primer combination, which was designed by Littlewood (1994) and Littlewood et al. (2000), a partial 28S rRNA gene was amplified. The thermocycling profile for the PCR reaction was as follows: 4 min at 95 °C, followed by 40 cycles of 1 min at 92 °C, 1 min for 54 °C, 1 min 30 sec at 72 °C, and 10 min at 72 °C. The final volume of the PCR reaction was 25 μ l. PCR products were checked in a 1 % agarose gel in 1 \times Tris-acetate–EDTA (TAE) containing ethidium bromide A BigDyeTM Terminator v3.1 chemistry (Applied Biosystems) and a $310 \times DNA$ analyzer (Applied Biosystems) were used for the sequencing process. Sequence identities were determined with the BLAST analysis on the NCBI nucleotide database. ClustalW multiple alignments (Thompson et al., 1997) were used for the initial sequence alignment, and regions of ambiguous sequence alignments were manually edited using BioEdit sequence alignment editor v.4.8.9 (Hall, 1999). With the general time-reversible (GTR) substitution model, phylogenetic analyses were carried out in MEGA7 (Kumar et al., 2016) using the maximum likelihood (ML) approach. Using 1.000 replicates, a bootstrap approach was used to estimate branch support.

3. Results

A monogenetic parasite from the Diplectanidae was naturally present in the gill region of twenty-one (70 %) of the specimens of the studied *Argyrops filamentosus*. This parasitic species was identified morphologically as *Protolamellodiscus senilobatus* Kritsky, Jiménez-Ruiz & Sey, 2000. Each parasitized fish has a mean parasite intensity of no more than eleven (Figs. 1 and 2).

3.1. Microscopic examination

Body slender, fusiform with parallel lateral margins. Tegument smooth. The anterior region with 3 pairs of head organs, 2 pairs of eye spots, accessory granules, and two groups of glandular cells lateral to the pharynx. Mouth subterminal, opening ventrally. Pharynx oval. The esophagus very short. Ceca terminate blindly. Haptor with 2 similar lamellodiscs and 3 bilateral pairs of lobes, 3 bars, and 14 marginal hooks.

Nine concentric tubular lamellae make up lamellodiscs. Details of lamellae from center to periphery: 1 complete circle (ring 1), 8 incomplete circles (rings 2–9). The two types of anchors are different; ventral anchor with an elongated deep root and point that is acutely recurved, and dorsal anchor with a straight shaft. Ventral bar plate-like with small knob-like ends; paired dorsal bar with the medial bend, proximal end with spinous protrusion. Hooks 7 pairs; hook pair 1 located close to the ventral anchor, followed by hook pairs 2, 3, and 4 at the apices of the haptoral lobes; hook pair 5 behind the ventral bar; hook pair 6 close to the dorsal anchor's point; and hook pair 7 close to the dorsal anchor's base.

Testis oval, intercaecal; vas deferens arise from the anterosinistral portion of the testis, enlarge into broad seminal vesicle in the midline of the body, and then simple dilate to form a saccate prostatic reservoir that is located anterior to the copulatory complex. The male copulatory organ (MCO) had a curved tube with a broad-ended distal loop and a subterminal recurved spine. The accessory piece consists of a flattened proximal portion that bifurcates at the midpoint.

The ovary is pyriform, intercaecal, pre-testicular, and loops around the left intestinal caecum; the oviduct is lengthy and forms an ootype; the uterus extends anteriorly along the midline of the body; the vagina funnel-shaped, opening into the medial seminal receptacle; vitellaria densely packed throughout the trunk (Table 1).

M. Alghamdi, S. Al-Quraishy, E.M. Al-Shaebi et al.



Fig. 1. Photomicrographs of *Protolamellodiscus senilobatus* infecting *Argyrops filamentosus.* (A) whole mount preparation. (B) anterior region of the body. (C) male copulatory complex and prostatic reservoir. (D) ovary. (E) testis. (F-H) haptor with related structures. (I,J) Lamellodiscs with 9 lamellae. Note: HO, head organs; ES, eye spots; PH, pharynx; PR, prostatic reservoir; MCO, male copulatory organ; SV, seminal vesicle; OV, ovary; TE, testis; VA, vitellaria; CE, Ceca; HA, haptor; LD, lamellodiscs; DA, dorsal anchor; VA, ventral anchor; VB, ventral bar, DB, dorsal bar, Black arrows, bilateral lobes of haptor; HS, hook(s); AP, accessory piece; SR, seminal receptacle.

3.2. Measurements

Total length 0.710–1.298 (1.002), maximum width 0.116–0.235 (0.179); pharynx width 0.059–0.085 (0.070); haptor 0.100–0.113 (0.109) \times 0.163–0.230 (0.201); lamellodisc 0.035–0.050 (0.041) \times 0.026–0.035 (0.030); ventral anchor 0.035–0.044 (0.042); dorsal



Fig. 2. Line drawing of *Protolamellodiscus senilobatus* infecting *Argyrops filamentosus*. Note: HO, head organs; ES, eye spots; PH, pharynx; PR, prostatic reservoir; MCO, male copulatory organ; SV, seminal vesicle; OV, ovary; TE, testis; VA, vitellaria; CE, Ceca; HA, haptor; LD, lamellodiscs; DA, dorsal anchor; VA, ventral anchor; VB, ventral bar; DB, dorsal bar; Black arrows, bilateral lobes of haptor; AP, accessory piece; SR, seminal receptacle; GC, glandular cells; HS, hook(s). Scale bar = 100 μm.

anchor 0.034–0.040 (0.038); ventral bar 0.031–0.044 (0.039); dorsal bar 0.032–0.043 (0.038); hooks 0.009–0.011 (0.010); testis 0.100–0.109 (0.106) \times 0.046–0.052 (0.049); male copulatory organ

Table 1

worphonielly for Frotoumenouscus semiobalus with those described previou	Morphometr	y for Protolamellodiscus senilobatus with those described	1 previous
--	------------	---	------------

Comparable items	Kritsky, Jiménez-Ruiz & Sey (2000)	Present study
Fish host	Argyrops spinifer, Argyrops	Argyrops filamentosus
	filamentosus	
Body (L)	0.720-1.318 (1.065)	0.710-1.298 (1.002)
Body (W)	0.120-0.240 (0.185)	0.116-0.235 (0.179)
Haptor (L)	0.104-0.117 (0.111)	0.100-0.113 (0.109)
Haptor (W)	0.169-0.235 (0.155)	0.163-0.230 (0.201)
Lamellodisc (L)	0.037-0.053 (0.044)	0.035-0.050 (0.041)
Lamellodisc (W)	0.029-0.038 (0.032)	0.026-0.035 (0.030)
No. of lamellae	9 (1 complete + 8 incomplete)	9 (1 complete + 8
		incomplete)
Pharynx (W)	0.061-0.089 (0.073)	0.059-0.085 (0.070)
Copulator	0.038-0.053 (0.045)	0.035-0.048 (0.042)
organ (L)		
Accessory piece	0.018-0.034 (0.028)	0.015-0.030 (0.024)
(L)		
Dorsal anchor	0.037-0.044 (0.041)	0.034-0.040 (0.038)
(L)		
Ventral anchor	0.038-0.049 (0.045)	0.035-0.044 (0.042)
(L)		
Dorsal bar (L)	0.035-0.046 (0.040)	0.032-0.043 (0.038)
Ventral bar (L)	0.034-0.047 (0.041)	0.031-0.044 (0.039)
Hook (L)	0.009-0.011 (0.010)	0.009-0.011 (0.010)
No. of hooks	7 pairs	7 pairs
Ovary (W)	0.044-0.056 (0.047)	0.040-0.052 (0.044)
Testis (L)	0.101-0.113 (0.107)	0.100-0.109 (0.106)
Testis (W)	0.048-0.055 (0.052)	0.046-0.052 (0.049)

0.035-0.048 (0.042); accessory piece 0.015-0.030 (0.024); and ovary 0.040-0.052 (0.044).

3.3. Molecular analysis

The partial 28S rRNA sequence was 630 bp with 46.7 % GC content (A(22.7 % 143) | C(18.41 % 116) | G(28.25 % 178) | T(30.63 %

Table 2

Monopisthocotylean taxa used for phylogenetic analysis of the 28S rRNA sequence.

193)) and assigned in GenBank (acc. no. OP419541.1). A phylogenetic dendrogram was created by aligning the nucleotide sequence of 31 taxa over 619 positions using the ML approach (Table 2). Between each specimen sequence, there was an overall mean distance of 0.254. Comparable species have different identity ranges, as 98.41-77.97 % for Diplectanidae, 85.82-84.41 % for Ancylodiscoididae, and 75.95-73.99 % for Dactylogyridae. The current dendrogram is split into two major clades (Fig. 3), the first of which was strongly supported by species belonging to the Diplectanidae and received a value of 100, and the latter of which was represented by taxa belonging to the Dactylogyridae and Ancylodiscoididae. High sequence identity (98.41-84.62 %) is shown by the examined species for Lamellodiscus species, and this is highly confirmed by a value of 98. With a high bootstrap value of 100, the current species was robustly grouped in the same clade as Lamellodiscus acanthopagri (DO054822.1).

4. Discussion

Although there have been many studies about marine fish parasites from the Red Sea, little is known about Lamellodiscinae, especially *Protolamellodiscus* (Kritsky et al., 2000). In the present study, only 21 specimens of the total 30 *Argyrops filamentosus* fish examined had an infection rate of 70 % for the recovered parasite that inhibit the gill region. This rate is quite similar to Boudaya et al. (2009) who stated that 77 % of *Lithognathus mormyrus* were infected with *Lamellodiscus flagellatus*.

The current species is compatible with other diplectanids, *Pro-tolamellodiscus* species, that have inhabited four host families, by sharing all the species' distinguishing features. Our results corroborated with Kritsky et al. (2000) that the primary key feature for differentiating *Protolamellodiscus* species is the existence of lamellodiscs formed of concentric lamellae. The recovered species has all morphological and morphometric features with *P. senilobatus*, which was isolated previously from *A. spinifer* and *A. filamentosus*

Parasite species	Family	Source	% Identity	GC content
DQ054822.1 Lamellodiscus acanthopagri	Diplectanidae	GenBank	98.41	47.1
LC565451.1 Lamellodiscus takitai	Diplectanidae	GenBank	93.33	47
FJ767865.1 Lamellodiscus japonicus	Diplectanidae	GenBank	93.32	47.1
LC565449.1 Lamellodiscus chin	Diplectanidae	GenBank	88.92	49.4
LC565450.1 Lamellodiscus spari	Diplectanidae	GenBank	88.77	49.2
EF100556.1 Lobotrema sciaenae	Diplectanidae	GenBank	86.87	48.3
MK937581.1 Dolicirroplectanum lacustre	Diplectanidae	GenBank	86.22	53
JN254760.1 Diplectanocotyla gracilis	Diplectanidae	GenBank	84.86	53.4
KY640620.1 Lamellodiscus pagrosomi	Diplectanidae	GenBank	84.62	47.7
FJ882609.1 Echinoplectanum leopardi	Diplectanidae	GenBank	84.06	53.7
KY008490.1 Teraplectanum angustitubus	Diplectanidae	GenBank	83.05	54.5
EF100563.1 Lepidotrema longipenis	Diplectanidae	GenBank	81.38	55.2
OK104115.1 Calydiscoides flexuosus	Diplectanidae	GenBank	80.79	47.8
MK203833.1 Diplectanum aequans	Diplectanidae	GenBank	80.42	48.1
DQ054824.1 Laticola latesi	Diplectanidae	GenBank	79.85	50.6
AY553621.1 Pseudorhabdosynochus latesis	Diplectanidae	GenBank	79.85	51.1
LC494521.1 Latiphagum setosum	Diplectanidae	GenBank	79.36	49.7
MF784090.1 Nasobranchitrema sp.	Diplectanidae	GenBank	79.14	53.8
DQ157672.1 Murraytrema pricei	Diplectanidae	GenBank	78.02	49.6
GU573891.1 Sinodiplectanotrema malayanum	Diplectanidae	GenBank	77.97	49.3
DQ157657.1 Euryhaliotrema johnii	Ancylodiscoididae	GenBank	85.82	50.1
EF100545.1 Quadriacanthus kobiensis	Ancylodiscoididae	GenBank	85.66	48.3
MK282177.1 Cornudiscoides sp.	Ancylodiscoididae	GenBank	85.38	46.5
KP056241.1 Chauhanellus boegeri	Ancylodiscoididae	GenBank	85.38	44.1
EF100553.1 Thaparocleidus sp.	Ancylodiscoididae	GenBank	85.26	48.6
MT994792.1 Bychowskyella pseudobagri	Ancylodiscoididae	GenBank	84.95	47.5
MT023785.1 Microncocotyle bicoccae	Ancylodiscoididae	GenBank	84.41	51.2
MZ408907.1 Characithecium paranapanemense	Dactylogyridae	GenBank	75.95	49.8
LC494515.1 Gobioecetes biwaensis	Dactylogyridae	GenBank	73.99	53.4
MT556797.1 Urocleidoides tenuis	Dactylogyridae	GenBank	73.99	51.1



Fig. 3. Molecular Phylogenetic analysis of the partial 28S rRNA sequence by Maximum Likelihood (ML) method based on the Tamura-Nei model. The tree with the highest log likelihood (-10117.20) is shown. The percentage of trees in which the associated taxa clustered together is shown next to the branches. Initial tree(s) for the heuristic search were obtained automatically by applying Neighbor-Join and BioNJ algorithms to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using the Maximum Composite Likelihood (MCL) approach, and then selecting the topology with superior log likelihood value. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site.

(Sparidae). Oliver and Radujkovic (1987) claim that while this species and P. raibauti (from Diplodus annularis, Sparidae) share similarities in the comparative morphology of the copulatory complex, they differ from this species by possessing a subterminal spine that arises from the male copulatory organ, a medial vaginal opening in the seminal receptacle (sublaterally on the left side of the body in *P. raibauti*), presence of three bilateral pairs of haptoral lobes (lobes lacking in P. raibauti), a flattened subrectangular ventral bar (bar rod-shaped in P. raibauti), and each dorsal bar with a proximal spine. The comparative anatomy of the copulatory complex and intercecal vas deferens in Oliver's (1969) description of P. serranelli (from Serranus hepatus and S. scriba, Serranidae) highlights the difference between the recovered species. P. senilobatus differs from P. convolutus (from Nemipterus hexodon, Nemipteridae) in that it has three bilateral pairs of haptoral lobes, the vaginal aperture is submarginal on the sinistrodorsal body surface that is situated midway between the ovary and copulatory complex, and the vas deferens loops the left intestinal cecum. Yamaguti (1953) did not include details of *P. convolutus* for the sclerotized structures of the haptor and copulatory complex.

For the classification of this species, molecular studies should support the degree of morphological variation between the current parasite and other monogenean species. The phylogenetic position of Protolamellodiscus species identified from Saudi Arabia was validated in the current investigation using the partial genetic sequences of the 28S rRNA gene. The family Diplectanidae is unambiguous and located in a distinct clade, as demonstrated by the sequence alignment and phylogenetic trees in the current study. Similar findings relating to the partial 28S rRNA of parasitic monogeneans have been reported in earlier research (Nitta, 2021). Following Desdevises et al. (2000), Chotnipat et al. (2015), van Steenberge et al. (2015), Tambireddy et al. (2016), and Villar-Torres et al. (2019), the current sequencing analysis demonstrated that the partial 28S rRNA gene contains enough phylogenetic signal. The recorded parasite cannot be assigned to any of the aligned sequences since the percentage of sequence identities between the

present parasite and the aligned sequences exhibited a maximum identity of 98.41 % with Lamellodiscus acanthopagri (DQ054822.1), which is morphologically distinct. A high bootstrap value of 98 further substantiated the link between the Protolamellodiscus and Lamellodiscus species. The claims made by Kritsky et al. (2000) and Domingues and Boeger (2008) that Protolamellodiscus is closely related to Calydiscoides considering the existence of ventral and dorsal lamellodiscs (each with several concentric unpaired lamellae, with the most anterior lamella forming a complete circle) conflict with this study. The only possible explanation for that issue is Oliver's (1987) differential diagnosis of these two genera based on lamellodisc structure is unclear because it relates to lamellodiscs observed from different orientations. Oliver (1987) also noted that the morphology of the eggs, with Calydiscoides having elongate eggs and *Protolamellodiscus* having tetrahedral eggs. may be utilized as a significant feature for distinction. In contrast to Protolamellodiscus, which hosts serranids, sparids, and nemipterids, Justine (2007) noted that Calydiscoides is consistent with a list of species that hosts lethrinids and nemipterids. The present reported parasite has most similarities with the previously described P. senilobatus which was not phylogenetically analyzed before.

5. Conclusion

This study, which is included in the establishment of data for the genus that will aid future studies and species circumscription, should be regarded as the first report combining the morphological description and molecular phylogenetic analysis of the partial 28S rRNA sequences of *P. senilobatus* isolated from the *A. filamentosus* (Sparidae) from the coasts of the Red Sea at Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. To further understand the taxonomic classification of these parasites, extensive phylogenetic studies should be carried out.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the Researchers Supporting Project (RSP-2021/25), King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

References

- Abu Shusha, T.L., Kalantan, M.Z., Al-Nazry, H.H., Al-Ghamdi, Y.A., 2010 Fishes from territorial Saudi Red Sea waters. Marine Research Centre-Jeddah, Deputy Ministry of fisheries affairs, Ministry of Agriculture, Saudi Arabia.
- Bakke, T.A., Cable, J., Harris, P.D., 2007. The biology of gyrodactylid monogeneans: The Russian-doll killers. Adv. Parasitol. 64, 161–218.
- Basurco, B., Lovatelli, A., Garcia, B., 2011. Current status of Sparidae aquaculture. In: Parlidis, M.A., Mylonas, C.C. (Eds.), Sparidae Biology and Aquaculture of Gilhead Sea Bream and Other Species. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, UK, pp. 1–50.
- Boudaya, L., Neifar, L., Euzet, L., 2009. Diplectanid parasites of *Lithognathus mormyrus* (L.) (Teleostei: Sparidae) from the Mediterranean Sea, with the description of *Lamellodiscus flagellates* n. sp. (Monogenea: Diplectanidae). Sys. Parasitol. 74, 149–159.
- Bush, A.O., Lafferty, K.D., Lotz, J.M., Shostak, A.W., 1997. Parasitology meets ecology on its own terms: margolis et al. revisited. J. Parasitol. 83, 575–583.
- Chotnipat, S., Miller, T., Knuckey, R., Hutson, K., 2015. Molecular and morphological evidence for the widespread distribution of *Laticola paralatesi* infecting wild and farmed *Lates calcarifer* in Australia. Dis. Aquat. Org. 113 (3), 195–205.
- Choudhary, K., Agrawal, N., 2017. Ribosomal DNA analysis of isolates of the liver fluke *Opisthorchis pedicellata* (Verma, 1927) from two siluroid fish species in India. J. Helminthol. 9, 302–311.
- Desdevises, Y., Jovelin, R., Jousson, O., Morand, S., 2000. Comaprison of ribosomal DNA sequences of *Lamellodiscus* spp. (Monogenea, Diplectanidae) parasiting

Pagellus (Sparidae, Teleostei) in the North Mediterranean Sea: species divergence and coevoltutionary interactions. Int. J. Parasitol. 30 (6), 741–746.

Desdevises, Y., Morand, S., Legendre, P., 2002. Evolution and determinants of host specificity in the genus *Lamellodiscus* (Monogenea). Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 77, 431– 443.

- Domingues, M.V., Boeger, W.A., 2008. Phylogeny and revision of Diplectanidae Monticelli, 1903 (Platyhelminthes: Monogenoidea). Zootaxa 1698 (1), 1.
- El-Nabati, E., Ali, S., Al-Quraishy, S., Alajmi, R., Al-Shaebi, E.M., Aljawdah, H.M.A., Dkhil, M.A., Abdel-Gaber, R., 2021. *Heteromicrocotyla polyorchis* Unnithan, 1961 (Monogenea: Heteromicrocotylidae), a gill parasite of the yellow-spotted trevally, *Carangoides fulvoguttatus* (Carangidae) from Saudi Arabia: Morphology and phylogeny. Microb. Pathog. 160, 105165.
- Euzet, L., Oliver, G., 1965. Lamellodiscus serranelli n. sp. (Monogenea) parasite de Téléostéens du genre Serranus. Ann. Parasit. Hum. Comp. 40, 261–264.
- Hall, T.A., 1999. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and anlaysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symp. Ser. 41, 95–98.
- Hassan, M.A., Osman, H.A.M., Aswathan, M., Al-Shwared, W.A., Fita, N.A., 2015. Infestation of Cage-Cultured marine fish with *Benedenia acanthopagri* (Monogenea: Capsalidae) in Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia. Glob. Vet. 14 (2), 219–227.
- Intamong, J., Keawviyuth, S., Yooyen, T., Chantima, K., 2016. New locality record of Monaxinoides austrosinensis (Mazocrraeidea, Monaxinoididae) of finlet crevalle, Atule mate (Perciformes: Carangidae) from the Gulf of Thailand. Agric. Nat. Resour. 50, 416–420.
- Jovelin, R., Justine, J.L., 2001. Phylogenetic relationships within the polyopisthocotylean monogeneans (Platyhelminthes) inferred from partial 28S rDNA sequences. Int. J. Parasitol. 31, 393–401.
- Justine, J.L., 2007. Species of Calydiscoides Young, 1969 (Monogenea: Diplectanidae) from lethrinid fishes, with the redescription of all of the type-specimens and the description of *C. euzeti* n. sp. from *Lethrinus rubrioperculatus* and *L. xanthochilus* off New Caledonia. Sys. Parasitol. 67 (3), 187-209.
- Kaci-Chaouch, T., Verneau, O., Desdevises, Y., 2008. Host specificity is linked to intraspecific variability in the genus *Lamellodiscus* (Monogenea). Parasitology 135 (5), 607–616.
- Kritsky, D.C., Jiménez-Ruiz, F.A., Sey, O., 2000. Diplectanids (Monogenoidea: Dactylogyridea) from the gills of marine fishes of the Persian Gulf off Kuwait. Comp. Parasitol. 67 (2), 145–164.
- Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Tamura, L., 2016. MEGA7: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7/0 for bigger datasets. Mol. Biol. Evol. 33, 1870–1874.
- LaBlack, L., Rima, M., Georgieva, S., Marzoug, D., Kostadinova, A., 2022. Novel molecular data for monogenean parasites of sparid fishes in the Mediterranean and a molecular phylogeny of the Microcotylidae Taschenberg, 1879. CRPVBD 2, 100069.
- Littlewood, D.T.J., 1994. Molecular phylogenetics of cupped oysters based on partial 28S rRNA gene sequences. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 3, 221–229.
- Littlewood, D.T.J., Curini-Galletti, M., Herniou, E.A., 2000. The interrelationships of Proseriata (Platyhelminthes: Seriata) tested with molecules and morphology. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 16, 449–466.
- M'Rabet, C., Ensibi, C., Dhaouadi, R., Yahia, O.K., 2016. A preliminary study on gill parasites of gilthead sea bream *Sparus aurata* (Linnaeus 1758) (Pisces: Teleostei) from the eastern Tunisian sea-cage aquaculture. GERF Bull. Biosci 7 (1), 1–5.
- Machkewskyi, V.K., Dmitrieva, E.V., Al-Jufaili, S., Al-Mazrooei, N.A.M., 2013. *Microcotyle omanae* n. sp. (Monogenea: Microcotylidae), a parasite of *Cheimerius nufar* (Valenciennes) (Sparidae) from the Arabian Sea. Sys. Parasitol. 86, 153–163.
- Mallatt, J., Winchell, C.J., 2002. Testing the new animal phylogeny: first use of combined large-subunit and small-subunit rRNA gene sequences to classify the protostomes. Mol. Biol. Evol. 19 (3), 289–301.
- Malmberg, G., 1957. On a *Gyrodactylus* species from Northern Sweden and the subgeneric position of *G. hrabei* Ergens, Trematoda, Monogenea. Zool Scr. 1973 (2), 39–42.
- Mendoza-Franco, E.F., Tun, M.D.C.R., Anchevida, A.J.D., Rodríguez, R.E.D.R., 2018. Morphological and molecular (28S rRNA) data of monogeneans (Platyhelminthes) infecting the gill lamellae of marine fishes in the Campeche Bank, southwest Gulf of Mexico. ZooKeys 783, 125–161.
- Monaco, H.L., Wood, R.A., Mizelle, J.D., 1954. Studies on monogenetic trematodes. XVI. Rhamnocercinae new subfamily of Dactylogyridae. Am. Midl. Nat. 52, 129– 132.
- Monticelli, F.C., 1903. Per una nuova classificazione degli "Heterocotylea". Monit. Zool. Ital. 14, 334–336.
- Nitta, M., 2021. Lamellodiscus (Monogenea: Diplectanidae) species parasitic on Japanese Acanthopagrus Peters, with proposals of L. chin n. sp. infecting A. sivicolus Akazaki and L. egusai nom. nov. for L. japonicus Ogawa & Egusa, 1978, a junior homonym of L. japonicus Pillai & Pillai, 1974. Sys. Parasitol. 98, 177–188.
- Oliver, G., 1969. Recherches sur les Diplectanidae (Monogenea) parasites de Téléstéens du Golfe du Lion. II. Lamellodiscinae nov. sub. fam. Vie et Milieu, Serie A, Biologie Marine 20, 43–72.
- Oliver, G., 1982. Quelques aspects de la spécifiticité parasitaire chez les Diplectanidae Bychowsky, 1957 (Monogenea, Monopisthocotylea). Bull. Mus. Natl. Hist. Nat., Zool., Paris, Sér. A 123, 295–301.
- Oliver, G., 1987. Les Diplectanidae Bychowsky 1957 (Monogenea, Monopisthocotylea, Dactylogyridea). Systématique, Biologie, Ontogénie, Écologie, Essai de Phylogénèse. Thèse d'état. Université des Sciences et Techniques du Languedoc, 433 pp.
- Oliver, G., Radujkovic, B., 1987. Protolamellodiscus raibauti n. sp., une nouvelle espèce de Diplectanidae Bychowsky, 1957 (Monogenea, Monopisthocotylea)

parasite de *Diplodus annularis* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Sparidae). Ann. Parasit. Hum. Comp. 62, 209–213.

- Oliver, G., 1987. Les Diplectanidae Bychowsky, 1957 (Monogenea, Monopisthocotylea, Dactylogyridae) Systématique: biologie: ontogénie: ecologie: essaide phylogenèse. Doctor of Science Thesis, l'Université des Sciences et Techniques du Languedoc, Académie de Montpellier, France, 433 pp.
- Poisot, T., Verneau, O., Desdevises, Y., 2011. Morphological and molecular evolution are not linked in *Lamellodiscus* (Platyhelminthes, Monogenea). PLoS ONE 6 (10), e26252.
- Rohde, L., 2005. Marine Parasitology. CSIRO Publishing, Melbourne.
- Sánchez-García, N., Raga, J.A., Montero, F.E., 2011. Morphological and attachment changes of *Lamellodiscus theroni* (Monogenea: Diplectanidae) during its postlarval development on fish. Folia Parasitol. 62 (1), 26.
- Šimkova, A., Verneau, O., Gelnar, M., Morand, S., 2006. Specificity and specialization of congeneric monogeneans parasitizing cyprinid fish. Evolution 60, 1023– 1037.
- Tambireddy, N., Gayatri, T., Gireesh-Babu, P., Pavan-Kumar, A., 2016. Molecular characterization and phylogeny of some mazocraeidean monogeneans from carangid fish. Acta Parasitol. 61, 360–368.

- Thompson, J.D., Gibson, T.J., Plewniak, F., Jeanmougin, F., Higgins, D.G., 1997. The CLUSTAL-X windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. Nucleic Acids Res. 24, 4876–4882.
- van Steenberge, M., Pariselle, A., Huyse, T., Volckaert, F.A.M., Snoeks, J., Vanhove, M. P.M., 2015. Morphology, molecules, and monogenean parasites: an example of an intergrative approach to cichlid biodiversity. PLoS ONE 10 (4), e0124474.
- Verma, A.K., Verma, J., Agrawal, N., 2018. Redescription and new host record of Diplostamenides sciaenae (Monogenea, Microcotylidae) and its phylogenetic status using molecular markers. Vestnik Zoologii 52, 37–46.
- Villar-Torres, M., Repullés-Albelda, A., Montero, F.E., Raga, J.A., Blasco-Costa, I., 2019. Neither *Diplectanum* nor specific: a dramatic twist to the taxonomic framework of *Diplectanum* (Monogenea: Diplectanidae). Int. J. Parasitol. 49 (5), 365–374.
- WoRMS, 2022. Protolamellodiscus Oliver, 1969. Accessed at: <u>https://www.marinespecies.org/</u> aphia.php? p=taxdetails&id=119294 on 2022-08-16
- Yamaguti, S., 1953. Parasitic worms mainly from Celebes. Part 2. Monogenetic trematodes of fishes. Acta Med. 8, 203–256.