



Mediterranean Marine Science

Vol 24, No 3 (2023)

VOL 24, No 3 (2023)



Litophellia enoplosa sp. nov., a new species of sea anemone (Actiniaria, Metridioidea) from cold-water coral environments in the North-western Mediterranean Sea

ESTEFANIA RODRÍGUEZ, SOPHIA COLLINS, JORDI GRINYO, PERE PUIG

doi: 10.12681/mms.33697

To cite this article:

RODRÍGUEZ, E., COLLINS, S., GRINYO, J., & PUIG, P. (2023). Litophellia enoplosa sp. nov., a new species of sea anemone (Actiniaria, Metridioidea) from cold-water coral environments in the North-western Mediterranean Sea. *Mediterranean Marine Science*, *24*(3), 558–568. https://doi.org/10.12681/mms.33697

Research Article

Litophellia enoplosa sp. nov., a new species of sea anemone (Actiniaria, Metridioidea) from cold-water coral environments in the North-western Mediterranean Sea

Estefanía RODRÍGUEZ¹, Sophia COLLINS², Jordi GRINYO³ and Pere PUIG⁴

¹American Museum of Natural History, Division of Invertebrate Zoology, Central Park West at 79th Street, New York, NY-10024, U.S.A.

² Shepard Preparatory High School, 8 Columbia Street, Morristown, NJ-07960, U.S.A.

³ Department of Ocean System Sciences, NIOZ Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research and Utrecht University,

Den Burg, Netherlands

⁴ Institute of Marine Sciences (ICM-CSIC), Spanish National Research Council, Barcelona, Spain

Corresponding author: Estefanía RODRÍGUEZ; erodriguez@amnh.org

Contributing Editor: Vasilis GEROVASILEIOU

Received: 28 February 2023; Accepted: 06 September 2023; Published online: 02 October 2023

This article is registered in Zoobank under urn: lsid:zoobank.org:pub:9789903C-EED3-4D1E-9991-EA60B870099C.

Abstract

A new species of sea anemone (Actiniaria, Metridioidea) is described and illustrated from cold-water coral environments at 600 m depth at the Blanes Canyon in the north-western Mediterranean Sea. *Litophellia enoplosa* sp. nov. is characterized by a column divisible into scapus and scapulus, the former with cuticle forming tenaculi, a mesogleal marginal sphincter muscle, more mesenteries proximally than distally arranged octomerously, and a single pair of directive mesenteries; it has strong retractor and parietobasilar muscles, and acontia with basitrichs and *p*-mastigophores B2a. *Litophellia enoplosa* sp. nov. is the second species described in the genus and it can be distinguished from the other species by the cuticle in the column, size ranges of the cnidae, and geographic and bathymetric distribution.

Keywords: Cnidaria; Andvakiidae; Acontiate; Blanes Canyon.

Introduction

The sea anemone fauna from the Mediterranean Sea has been studied since the 1800s by different authors (e.g., Andres, 1883; Pax & Müller, 1962; Schmidt, 1972a; Doumenc et al., 1985, Vafidis et al., 1997); it is considered that there are 52 species of actiniarians in the Mediterranean Sea (Badreddine et al., 2022). Most of these species are distributed in the coastal and shallow waters, with only nine species of sea anemones (i.e., Actinauge richardi (Marion, 1906), Amphianthus dorhnii Koch (1878), Calliactis palliata (Fabricius, 1779) (listed as Adamsia palliata), Calliactis parasitica (Couch, 1842), Hormathia alba (Andres, 1881), H. coronata (Gosse, 1858), Kadophellia bathyalis Tur, 1989, Sagartia undata (Müller, 1778) (listed as Sagartiogeton undulatus), and Segonzactis hartogi Vafidis & Chintiroglou, 2002) distributed in waters deeper than 100 m (Vafidis & Chintiroglou, 2002). Recent studies of Mediterranean deep-sea and cold-water corals environments (e.g., Mas-

558

trototaro *et al.*, 2017; Taviani *et al.*, 2019; Gerovasileiou *et al.*, 2019; Angeletti *et al.*, 2020; Cartes *et al.*, 2022) have cited mainly two species of Mediterranean deep-sea anemones (*A. dorhnii* and *Protanthea simplex* Carlgren, 1891), adding another species of deep-sea anemone for the region. Nevertheless, the identity of some of the deep-sea Mediterranean actiniarian records has been contested [e.g., *H. alba* was mistaken and described as *Paracalliactis mediterranea* Ross & Zamponi, 1982 according to Tur (1993)], others are deemed *nomen dubium* (e.g., *K. bathyalis*, see Rodríguez *et al.*, 2023), and in other cases, the identity of the records must be corroborated because the studies did not have a taxonomic focus (e.g., *P. simplex* in Angeletti *et al.*, 2020). Thus, further attention to the group is needed in Mediterranean deep waters.

The Blanes Canyon is sited about 5 km off the coast of Catalunya (Spain), in the north-western Mediterranean Sea (Fig. 1). It is 60 km long and half a kilometer wide, with vertical and vaulted walls, descending up to 2,300 m in depth (Lastras *et al.*, 2011). In 2017, during




Fig. 1: Bathymetric map of the Blanes Canyon (north-western Mediterranean Sea) showing the type locality (yellow star) of Litophellia enoplosa sp. nov.

the project ABIDES (Assessment of Bottom-trawling Impacts in Deep-sea Sediments), which focused on the study of bottom trawling impacts on deep marine sediments on submarine canyons and flanks of the Catalan continental margin, extensive and dense colonies of deep cold-water corals were discovered in its walls (Santín et al., 2020). The morphology of the Blanes Canyon (many faults and fractures) generates environments with vertical walls, terraces and rocky outcrops, promoting the formation of very well developed and structured benthic assemblages, mainly dominated by cold-water corals. Monospecific coral gardens composed by different gorgonian species, such as *Callogorgia verticillata* (Pallas, 1766) and Muriceides lepida Carpine & Grasshoff, 1975, and the antipatharian Leiopathes glaberrima (Esper, 1791), were mainly found on terraces and outcrops. The vertical canyon walls and overhangs were dominated by the solitary scleractinian Desmophyllum dianthus (Esper, 1794) and the colonial scleractinians Desmophyllum pertusum (Linnaeus, 1758) and Madrepora oculata Linnaeus, 1758 that achieved high densities. The Blanes canyons are classified alongside the Gulf of Lions as an Ecologically or Biologically Significant Area (EBSA) by the Regional Activity Center for Specially Protected Areas (Gabrie et al., 2012).

Here we describe the sea anemone *Litophellia enoplo*sa sp. nov. from two specimens collected in the Blanes Canyon head, at 623 m depth. *Litophellia enoplosa* sp. nov. is characterised by having a regionate column with cuticle forming tenaculi, tentacles and mesenteries arranged octomerously, a single pair of directive mesenteries, and acontia with two size ranges of basitrichs and one of *p*-mastigophores B2a. *Litophellia enoplosa* sp. nov. is the second species described within the genus and represents the second Mediterranean endemic actiniarian species from deep waters described to date.

Material and Methods

This contribution is part of the more detailed research project ABRIC (Assessment of Bottom-trawling Resuspension Impacts in deep benthic Communities) aimed to explore the effects of trawling sediment resuspension on the Blanes Canyon cold-water corals and to characterize the canyon walls environments and their associated benthic communities The material studied was collected in cold-water corals habitats in the Blanes Canyon (Fig. 1). The oceanographic cruise was carried out on board the R/V Sarmiento de Gamboa during 14/02/202029/02/2020. Specimens were collected at 623 m depth on coral rubble of *D. pertusum* using the Remote Operate vehicle (ROV) *Liropus*, from the Spanish Institute of Oceanography (IEO by its acronym in Spanish).

Two sea anemones were fixed in 10% formalin in seawater and subsequently transferred to ethanol 70%. Preserved specimens were examined whole, in dissection and as serial sections. Fragments from dissected specimens were temporally stained by submerging them in methyl blue dye for one minute. Fragments of both specimens were dehydrated in graded ethanol series and then embedded in paraffin. Histological sections 10 μ m thick were stained with Heidenhain's Azan Triple Stain (Presnell & Schreibman, 1997).

Cnidae measurements were taken from preserved material smeared on slides using Differential Interface Contrast (DIC) microscopy at 1000X magnification. We scanned through the slides and randomly measured 20 capsules of each type (when possible) to generate a range: frequencies given are subjective impressions based on slides. For each type, a mean and standard deviation has been provided to give an idea of the distribution of sizes; these will be not statistically significant when based on fewer than 40 capsules, or if the underlying data are not normally distributed (Williams 1998, 2000). We follow a nematocyst terminology that combines the classification of Weill (1934) modified by Carlgren (1940) thus differentiating 'basitrichs' from 'b-mastigophores' with that of Schmidt (1969, 1972b) which captures the underlying variation seen in 'rhabdoids' (see Gusmão et al., 2018 for more details). We include photographs of each type of nematocyst for reliable comparison across terminologies and taxa (see Fautin, 1988). Higher-level classification for Actiniaria follows Rodríguez et al. (2014). Material studied has been deposited at the American Museum of Natural History (AMNH) in New York City, USA. This article is registered in Zoobank under urn: lsid:zoobank. org:pub:9789903C-EED3-4D1E-9991-EA60B870099C.

Results

Order Actiniaria Hertwig, 1882 Suborder Enthemonae Rodríguez & Daly, 2014 in Rodríguez *et al.*, 2014 Superfamily Metridioidea Carlgren, 1893 Family Andvakiidae Danielssen, 1890

Diagnosis (After Daly & Goodwill, 2009; Rodríguez et al., 2012; modifications in bold): Metridioidea with weak or absent basilar muscles and long mesogleal marginal sphincter. Elongate, cylindrical body divisible into **aboral end**, scapus, and capitulum. **Aboral end** physa-like and rounded or flattened. Scapus with cuticle and usually with tenaculi; it may have cinclides. Mesenteries not divisible into macro- and micro-cnemes but **usually dimorphic**. Perfect mesenteries fertile, with developed filaments, strong retractors, and acontia. Imperfect mesenteries small, sterile, without retractor muscles, at least some extend length of column, may have filaments and acontia. Acontia with basitrichs and *p*-mastigophores B2a. Cnidom: Gracile spirocysts, basitrichs, and *p*-mastigophores A, B1 and B2a.

Included genera. Andvakia Danielssen, 1890; Epiphellia Carlgren, 1950; Euphellia Pax, 1908; Flosmaris Stephenson, 1920; Gymnophellia England, 1992; Isophellia Carlgren, 1900; Ilyactis Andres, 1881; Litophellia Carlgren, 1938; Octophellia Andres, 1883; Telmatactis Gravier, 1916. Synandwakia Carlgren, 1947.

Remarks. We modified the familial and generic diagnoses to reflect the cnidae terminology used in this study. Similarly, we use the term dimorphic to describe mesenteries with different morphology of pairs among cycles, but that do not fit the definition of macro- and micro-cneme mesenteries -the later defined as imperfect and poorly developed, not having retractor muscles, mesenterial filaments, gametogenic tissue, or acontia (Stephenson, 1928; revised in Gusmão et al., 2019). We added the possibility to have an aboral end that is not a physa or physa-like in Andvakiidae because some species within the family have an aboral end forming a flat disc (e.g., Litophellia, Flosmaris). Finally, we added the genus Octophellia to the family following Carlgren's (1949) comments that this genus probably belongs within Andvakiidae and provide an updated diagnosis for the genus.

Genus Octophellia Andres, 1883

Diagnosis (after Andres, 1883): Andvakiidae with flat, circular, weakly adherent base, sometimes physa-like. Column cylindrical, divisible into scapus and scapulus; scapulus smooth and delicate, scapus with cuticle and tenacula (?). Margin tentaculate; tentacles few, up to 16, in two cycles, all similar sizes, octomerously arranged; at least 12 perfect (?) mesenteries. Marginal sphincter muscle mesogleal or not developed (?). Acontia. Cnidom: unknown.

Type species. Phellia timida Andres, 1881 by subsequent designation (Fautin, 2016).

Remarks. Because Andres (1883) mostly described external characters of *O. timida*, we indicated questionable characters in the diagnosis with a question mark [i.e., (?)].

Genus Litophellia Carlgren, 1938

Diagnosis (after Carlgren, 1949; modifications in bold): Andvakiidae with **broad disc-like** base. Column elongate, not regionate and smooth, or **divisible into scapus and scapulus; scapus with cuticle and tenaculi.** Cinclides present. Margin tentaculate. Sphincter rather strong, mesogleal. Tentacles and mesenteries octomerously arranged. A single siphonoglyph and one pair of directives. Mesenteries similar in number proximally and distally or more mesenteries proximally, distinctly **dimorphic** among cycles: eight pairs of **perfect mesenteries**. Retractors of **perfect mesenteries** strongly restricted, reniform. Parietobasilar muscles weak. Basilar muscles well **developed**. Acontia with basitrichs and

p-mastigophores B2a. Cnidom: spirocysts, basitrichs, and *p*-mastigophores A, B1, and B2a.

Type species. Litophellia octoradiata Carlgren, 1938 by monotypy.

Remarks. Carlgren (1938) described *Litophellia* with a distinct basal disc in his original diagnosis of the genus, but he modified the diagnosis later on to include the possibility of the base being small (Carlgren, 1949). Because the only two species so far included in this genus have a distinct broad disc-like base, we have modified the diagnosis to reflect the presence of a wide flat base and the presence of well-developed basilar muscles. We also added the possibility of the column to be divided into scapus and scapulus, the former bearing a strong cuticle forming tenaculi to accommodate L. *enoplosa* sp. nov. We modified the language for the mesenteries to reflect the distinction among pairs of different cycles that do not confirm the definition of macro- and micro-cnemes (see family diagnosis remarks).

Litophellia enoplosa sp. nov. Rodríguez & Collins (Figs. 1-4, Table 1) Zoobank Registration: urn:lsid:zoobank. org:act:4EA99524-EAC8-477F-B57F-E78A44EBF4F8.

Table 1. Size ranges of the cnidae of *Litophellia enoplosa* sp. nov. Data from *L. octoradiata* (in parenthesis) from Carlgren (1938, 1945); ranges in bold font are from Carlgren (1938). S: Sample, ratio indicates number of specimens in which each cnidae was found compared to number of specimens examined; N: indicates total number of capsules measured. F: Frequency, +++ = very common, ++ = common, += rather common, --= sporadic. (*), capsules present but broken; ND, No data.

Tissue/Categories	Range of length and width of capsules (in µm)	Average and SD	s	N	F	<i>L. octoradiata</i> Data from Carlgren (1938) / Carlgren (1945)
SCAPUS						
Basitrichs 1	11.2-14.4 x 2.0-3.2	12.7±1.2 x 2.5±0.5	2/2	5		(14-16) x (2.5) / (14-15.2) x (2.8)
<i>p</i> -mastigophores B1	12.4-19.6 x 3.7-6.8	15.9±1.6 x 5.1±0.8	2/2	18	+	(12 -17) x (3-3.5) / (12.7-17) x (3-4)
<i>p</i> -mastigophores B2a	23.4-28.5 x 4.8-5.9	26.0±2.1 x 5.4±0.4	2/2	7		
SCAPULUS						
Basitrichs 1	7.6-11.0 x 1.8-2.5	9.1±1.2 x 2.1±0.3	1/1	7	+	ND
Basitrichs 2	14.5-16.2 x 2.2-3.0	15.5±0.9 x 2.7±0.4	1/1	3		ND
<i>p</i> -mastigophores B2a	24.6-29.1 x 4.9-6.8	$27.4{\pm}1.4 \ge 5.6{\pm}0.6$	1/1	8	+	ND
TENTACLES						
Basitrichs 1	12.5-14.5 x 2.2-3.4	13.5 ± 1.4 x 2.8 ± 0.8	1/2	2		(14-17) x (2) / ND
Basitrichs 2	18.0-29.3 x 1.9-4.4	24.3±3.9 x 3.3±0.5	2/2	20	++	(14.0) x (3.0) / ND
<i>p</i> -mastigophores B2a	22.2-31.9 x 4.1-6.2	28.3±2.1 x 5.3±0.4	2/2	38	++	
Spirocysts(*)	16.1-34.1 x 3.4-6.6	25.0±5.4 x 4.5±0.9	2/2	20	++	(12-24) x (1.5-3.5) / ND
ACTINOPHARYNX						
Basitrichs 1	9.8-14.7 x 1.3-2.7	12.5±1.2 x 2.2±0.4	2/2	31	++	
Basitrichs 2	19.0-24.8 x 2.3-3.5	21.6 ±1 .3 x 2.8±0.3	2/2	44	++	(24-29) x (2-2.5) / ND
<i>p</i> -mastigophores A	16.9-23.2 x 4.7-6.3	20.4 ± 1.6 x 5.6±0.5	2/2	24	++	
<i>p</i> -mastigophores B2a	36.8-43.5 x 5.1-7.1	40.6±1.7 x 5.8±0.5	1/2	18	+	
FILAMENT						
Basitrichs 1	9.3-13.9 x 1.6-2.9	11.7 ±1 .1 x 2.3 ±0.3	2/2	32	++	
Basitrichs 2	27.1-33.1 x 3.0-3.9	30.9±1.7 x 3.4±0.3	2/2	21	++	
<i>p</i> -mastigophores B1	8.1-12.5 x 2.9-4.7	10.6±1.2 x 3.5±0.4	2/2	22	++	(10-14) x (4.2-5)
<i>p</i> -mastigophores A	15.9-21.4 x 4.7-6.1	19.3±1.5 x 5.5 ±0 .5	2/2	12	+	(19.7-22.6) x (3.5)
ACONTIA						
Basitrichs 1	12.2-16.2 x 1.8-2.8	13.9 ±1 .4 x 2.5±0.3	2/2	11	+	
Basitrichs 2	26.0-34.2 x 2.9-4.2	31.1±1.4 x 3.5±0.3	2/2	39	+++	(26-31) x (3) / (26.8-29.6) x (3)
<i>p</i> -mastigophores B2a	49.6-58.4 x 4.9-8.7	54.0±2.5 x 6.0±0.7	2/2	34	+++	(31-36) x (3.5-4) / (31-36.7) x (4.2-4.5)

Type material. Holotype: AMNH_IZC_00361521, 1 specimen, dissected; AMNH_IZC_00361521.1-8: histological and cnidae slides; Blanes Canyon, Mediterranean Sea (Spain), 41.629° N, 2.860° E, 623 m depth, 17 February 2020. Paratype: AMNH_IZC_00361522, 1 specimen, dissected; AMNH_IZC_00361522.1-8: histological and cnidae slides; same data as the holotype.

Description

External anatomy (Fig. 2): Aboral end well developed, flat and disc-like, wider than oral disc, to 21 mm diameter. Column divisible into scapus and scapulus. Scapus with a brownish cuticle, forming tenaculi, to 10 mm height and 9 mm in diameter in preserved and contracted specimens; with cinclides scattered along column distally and proximally. Scapulus smooth, whitish, up to 2 mm height. Oral disc narrower in diameter than proximal end in preserved and contracted specimens, not observed; most distal part of column to 9 mm in diameter. Tentacles 32 and 76 in number (in paratype and holotype, respectively), arranged in four cycles, to 2 mm in contracted and preserved specimens; outer tentacles longer than inner ones; translucent with reddish pigmented gastrodermis. Mouth not observed.

Internal anatomy (Fig. 3): More mesenteries proximally than distally. Mesenteries to 38 pairs at actinopharynx level, octomerously arranged in four cycles with forth cycle incomplete distally (8+8+16+16); cycles of mesenteries dimorphic: first cycle, eight pairs of perfect mesenteries, rest of mesenteries imperfect but some with small retractors and acontia; third and fourth cycle poorly developed; mesenteries of forth cycle only developed in exocoels adjacent to perfect mesenteries. Only one pair of directives connected with one well developed siphono-




Fig. 2: External and internal anatomy of *Litophellia enoplosa* sp. nov. A) lateral view of contracted and preserved holotype AMNH_IZC_00361521; B) lateral view of contracted and preserved paratype AMNH_IZC_00361522; C) different view of whole preserved holotype; D) longitudinal section of paratype; arrow points to oral stoma; E) detail of the proximal column of the holotype showing budding; F) oral view detail of the distal column of the holotype showing an acontium expelled through a cinclide (arrow). Abbreviations: ac, acontia; bd, budding juveniles; sc, scapus; scl, scapulus; st, stomata. Scale bars: A-D, F, 5 mm; E, 3 mm.




Fig. 3: Internal anatomy of *Litophellia enoplosa* sp. nov. A) longitudinal section of distal column of the paratype showing the marginal sphincter muscle and the marginal stomata; B) cross section of the column through the mesenteries at the actinopharynx level showing the eight perfect pairs of mesenteries; note single pair of directives attached to the single siphonoglyph (arrow); numbers indicate pairs of perfect mesenteries, number 1 indicates the directive pair; C) longitudinal histological section of distal column showing the mesogleal marginal sphincter muscle; D) detail of imperfect cycles of mesenteries and non-directive pair; note pairs of mesenteries of the fourth cycle (arrows); E) longitudinal section of proximal column showing the basilar muscles (arrows); F) cross section showing the ectodermal longitudinal muscles of the tentacles; G) detail of a tenacula showing the stratified cuticle; H) detail of the proximal column showing a cinclide. Abbreviations: ac, acontia; ci, cinclide; ep, epidermis; ga, gastrodermis; me, mesoglea; mes, mesenteries; si, siphonoglyph; sp, marginal sphincter muscle; st, stomata. Scale bars: A, C, 1 mm; B, 25 mm; D, 2 mm; E, 0.075 mm; F, H, 0.065 mm; G, 0.2 mm.

glyph. Oral stomata ovoid, long axis to 3 mm in diameter (Fig. 2D, 3A). Retractor muscles of perfect mesenteries strong, restricted, reniform (Fig. 3B, D). No gametogenic tissue in specimens collected in February; pedal laceration in larger specimen, three buddings (Fig. 2A, C, E). Parietobasilar muscles well differentiated; muscles fibres on broad and branched mesogleal base in mesenteries of first and second cycles (Fig. 3D). Basilar muscles developed, with short thick mesogleal pennons (Fig. 3E). Acontia in both specimens, numerous, white, not coiled; with two size ranges of basitrichs and one of *p*-mastigophores B2a.

Mesogleal marginal sphincter muscle strong and moderately long; distal part broad, of alveolar nature (Fig. 3A, C). Muscles fibres in mesoglea closer to epidermis. Tentacles and oral disc with ectodermal longitudinal muscles (Fig. 3F). Column wall of similar thickness entire length: epidermis 0.06-0.11 mm; mesoglea 0.09-0.14 mm thick, and gastrodermis 0.09-0.10 mm thick at actinopharynx level.

Cnidom (Fig. 4). Spirocysts (in tentacles), basitrichs (in scapus, scapulus, tentacles, actinopharynx, filaments, and acontia), *p*-mastigophores A (in actinopharynx and filaments), *p*-mastigophores B1 (in filaments), and *p*-mastigophores B2a (in scapus, scapulus, tentacles, actinopharynx, and acontia). A survey of cnidae size ranges is provided in Table 1.




Fig. 4: Cnidae of *Litophellia enoplosa* sp. nov. A, D, E, G, H, K, L, O, P, S, T) Basitrichs; B, Q) *p*-mastigophores B1; C, F, I, N, U) *p*-mastigophores B2a; M, R) *p*-mastigophores A; J) Spirocyst.

Etymology

The specific name *enoplosa* refers to the cuticle covering the scapus of this species, a differential diagnostic feature of *L. enoplosa* sp. nov. from the Greek $\xi vo \pi \lambda o \zeta$ (énoplos) = armed.

Geographic distribution and natural history

Litophellia enoplosa sp. nov. has been collected from deep-sea waters (623 m depth) in the Blanes Canyon, in the north-western Mediterranean Sea (Fig. 1). It was collected on *D. pertusum* dead colony sections and associate with two other actiniarian species, *Protanthea* sp. and Amphianthus sp. and the carnivorous sponge Lycopodina hypogea (Vacelet & Boury-Esnault, 1996).

Discussion

Familial and generic placement

Litophellia enoplosa sp. nov. is characterized by a mesogleal marginal sphincter and acontia, characters that place these specimens within the superfamily Metridioidea (Rodríguez et al., 2014). A regionate column with cuticle forming tenaculi, dimorphic cycles of mesenteries and acontia with basitrichs and *p*-mastigophores B2a further place our specimens within the family Andvakiidae.

Nevertheless, the most distinct anatomical characters of *L. enoplosa* sp. nov. are a clear octomerous arrangement of mesenteries and tentacles, and a single pair of directive mesenteries attached to a single developed siphonoglyph.

Although more common than documented, only a few genera within Actiniaria have a clear octomerous arrangement of mesenteries and tentacles (Barragán et al., 2019). Examples of regular octamerous symmetry in actiniarians are found in Edwardsiidae Andres, 1881 and among Actinostoloidea Carlgren, 1932 (Stomphia (Gosse, 1859), Sicyonis Hertwig, 1882), Phymanthidae Andres, 1883 (some species of Phymanthus Milne Edwards & Haime, 1851), Andvakiidae (L. octoradiata Carlgren, 1938), Aiptasiidae Carlgren, 1924a (some species of Bartholomaea Duchassaing de Fombressin & Michelotti, 1864; Aiptasiogeton Schmidt, 1972a), Actiniidae Rafinesque, 1815 (Bunodactis octoradiata (Carlgren, 1898) [authorship date traditionally attributed to 1899 although original description was published in 1898, see Rodríguez et al., 2023] and Peachiidae Hamilton, Daly & Rodríguez, 2022 in Hamilton et al., 2022 (Metapeachia tropica (Panikkar, 1938)]; in addition, there are some species within some genera with octomerous symmetry (e.g., species within Sagartiogeton Carlgren, 1924b) (reviewed in Grebelny, 1982).

The genus Octophellia was described by Andres to accommodate the acontiate O. timida (Andres, 1881) found in the northern Gulf of Naples at 65 m depth (Andres, 1883, 1884). Because its description provided mostly external characters [i.e., cylindrical, regionate column with cuticle, 16 tentacles in two cycles, 12 mesenteries and acontia, see Andres (1883: 120-121)], the type material is not available and no additional specimens have been ever described, several authors have considered the familial position of the genus doubtful (e.g., Stephenson, 1920; Carlgren, 1921) and the species to be a nomen nudum (Daly & Goodwill, 2009). However, Fautin (2016) argued that because the description of O. timida contains features purported to differentiate the species it does not meet the definition of a nomen nudum according to the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN, 2000).

We checked the available descriptions of O. timida (Andres, 1881, 1883) and agree with Fautin (2016) that based on the characters provided O. timida cannot be considered a nomen nudum. However, available characters are not enough to recognize without uncertainty O. timida under modern standards: Andres (1883) did not provide the nature of the marginal sphincter muscle (mesogleal, endodermal or not developed), details of the mesenterial arrangement or cnidae composition. Thus, we consider O. timida to be a nomen dubium. Nevertheless, based on the brief discussion provided by Andres (1883), we consider O. timida (and thus the genus Octophellia) to differ from L. enoplosa sp. nov. Andres (1883) commented on the similarity of O. timida and edwardsiid species, particularly in the physa-like proximal end and the number of tentacles (octomerous symmetry), but he distinguished O. timida by having acontia and 12 mesenteries ("septus") and thus, resembling more members of the family Halcampidae Andres, 1883. Although Andres (1883) did not specify whether the 12 mesenteries in O. timida were perfect (i.e., attached to the actinopharynx), we assume based on the number of mesenteries attributed to other taxa described in the same work that they were perfect (e.g., Halcampella Andres 1883, described as having 12 mesenteries but known to have a higher number because of the presence of microcnemes, Rodríguez & López-González, 2002). Andres (1883) did not provide any comments about the marginal sphincter muscle in O. timida, but because it has acontia, this musculature would probably be mesogleal or not developed (Rodríguez et al., 2012). We agreed with previous authors (Stephenson, 1920; Carlgren, 1949) considering Octophellia to better align with members of Andvakiidae than those in Sagartiidae Gosse, 1858 (family in which Octophellia was placed up to now (Rodríguez et al., 2023).

Because L. enoplosa sp. nov. has acontia, octomerous symmetry and a single pair of directives it strongly resembles the monotypic genus *Lithophellia*. Only four species within three families (e.g., Andvakiidae, Halcampidae, Peachiidae) have been described to have a single pair of directives without asexual reproduction documented (Barragán et al., 2019). The other three species with a single pair of directives attached to one siphonoglyph other that L. octoradiata are Actinothoë carlgreni Haddon & Duerden, 1896; Gymnophellia hutchingsae England, 1992; and Tenactis riosmenai Barragán et al., 2019. Previous reports lacked further discussion about the fact (e.g., Carlgren, 1938; 1949; England, 1992). Presence of a single pair of directives is the common pattern within the subclass Octocorallia, however, in octocorals the directives are not attached to the single siphonoglyph but to the opposite side of the actinopharynx (Gonçalves, 2016).

Species discussion

Litophellia enoplosa sp. nov. and *L. octoradiata* resemble each other in gross morphology and cnidom; however, both species are clearly distinguishable by external and internal anatomy, cnidae and geographic distribution.

The original description of *L. octoradiata* is based on a sole specimen described with a smooth column without cuticle or tenacula (Carlgren, 1938). However, in *L. enoplosa* sp. nov. the column is clearly regionate, divisible into a scapus and a smooth scapulus, the former with a strong cuticle forming tenacula (Fig. 3G). Carlgren (1938) found cinclides connected with some of the secondary endocoeles in *L. octoradiata* but he did not specify if these were proximally or distally in the column. We found cinclides distributed proximally and distally (Fig. 2C, D, F; Fig. 3H).

Litophellia octoradiata and L. enoplosa sp. nov. share having mesenteries and tentacles arranged octomerously. However, L. enoplosa sp. nov. has up to a fourth cycles of mesenteries (8+8+16+16=48 pairs, last cycle incomplete, at least distally) whereas L. octoradiata only has three cycles of mesenteries (8+8+16=32 pairs) with similar body sizes. Carlgren (1938) described L. octoradiata with similar number of mesenteries proximally and distally, but in L. enoplosa sp. nov. more mesenteries are developed proximally than distally. Similarly to the holotype of L. octoradiata being sterile, we did not find gametogenic tissue developed in specimens of L. enoplosa sp. nov. collected in February. We found in the holotype of L. enoplosa sp. nov. three buds developing proximally and still connected to the specimen (Fig. 2A, C, E), probably produced via pedal laceration; the three buds were of different sizes and already had developed mesenteries. Although it is not possible to infer whether L. octoradiata might also present asexual reproduction because only a single specimen is known, the differences in reproductive strategies of the two species might be explained because L. octoradiata was collected during November (corresponding to the austral spring) whereas L. enoplosa sp. nov. was collected during winter (February).

The sizes and distribution of the cnidae also differ between both litophellid species with *L. enoplosa* having additional cnida types (e.g., *p*-mastigophores B2a in the scapus and tentacles, basitrichs in the filaments) and larger sizes that do not overlap with those of *L. octoradiata* (e.g., *p*-mastigophores B2a in the acontia). Although the type material of *L. octoradiata* was not examined here, Carlgren (1938, 1945) provided measurements that allow for comparison between both species (Table 1).

The geographical and bathymetric distribution of both species of *Litophellia* also differentiates them. *Litophellia enoplosa* sp. nov. was found among cold-water coral rubble in the north-western of the Mediterranean Sea, at 623 m depth. However, *L. octoradiata* was found in the intertidal of Isipingo (Durban, South Africa). Not only the localities are geographically separate, but the two environments where the species have been found are very different ecologically, supporting the differentiation of both species.

Similarly to other invertebrate groups of deep sea in the Mediterranean benthos (Bouchet & Taviani, 1992), deep-sea anemones show low degree of diversity and endemism in the Mediterranean compared to the Northeast Atlantic deep-sea fauna (Vafidis & Chintiroglou, 2002). *Litophellia enoplosa* sp. nov. represents the second deepsea Mediterranean endemic actiniarian species described to date and the sixth family of the order with deep-sea representatives in the region. The only other endemic deep-sea Mediterranean species is *Segonzactis hartogi* distributed in the northern and central Aegean Sea (Vafidis & Chintiroglou, 2002) between 80 and 800 m depth on silty bottoms.

Acknowledgements

Special thanks are addressed to Dr. Josep-Maria Gili (Institut de Ciencies del Mar (ICM), Barcelona, Spain) who made possible the present collaboration. We extend our acknowledgements to the officers and crew of the R/V *Sarmiento Gamboa* for their valuable assistance during the cruise. Daniel Lauretta (Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales Bernardino Rivadavia, Argentina) helped with discussions about nomenclature and Ruth Durán (ICM) provided the map of the Blanes Canyon. Partial support was provided by Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation research project ABRIC (Ref. RTI2018-096434-BI00 granted to P. Puig).

References

- Andres, A., 1881. Prodromus neapolitanae actiniarum faunae addito generalis actiniarum bibliographiae catalogo. *Mitteilungen aus der Zoologischen Station zu Neapel*, 2 (3), 305-371.
- Andres, A., 1883. Le Attinie (Monografia). Volume 1 (Bibliografia, introduzione e specigrafia). Coi Tipi der Salviucci, Roma, 460 pp.
- Andres, A., 1884. Le Attinie (Monografia). Volume primo, contente bibliografia, introduzione e specigrafia. Verlag von Wilhelm Engelmann, Leipzig, 459 pp.
- Angeletti, L., Castellan, G., Montagna, P., Remia, A., Taviani, M., 2020. The "Corsica Channel Cold-Water Coral Province" (Mediterranean Sea). *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 7, 661.
- Badreddine, A., Bitar, G., Sanamyan, N., 2022. First Record of Four Species of Sea Anemones (Cnidaria: Actiniaria) For Lebanese Coast, Eastern Mediterranean Sea. *Journal of Fisheries and Livestock Production*, 10 (3), 333.
- Barragán, Y., Sánchez, C., Rodríguez, E., 2019. Tenactis gen. nov. (Actiniaria, Haloclavidae) a new genus of sea anemones from the Gulf of California. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom, 99 (3), 563-574.
- Bouchet, P., Taviani, M., 1992. The Mediterranean deep-sea fauna: pseudopopulations of Atlantic species? *Deep-Sea Research*, 39 (2), 169-184.
- Carlgren, O., 1891. Protanthea simplex n. gen. n. sp., eine eigentümliche Actinie. Vorläufige Mitteilung. Öfversigt af Kongliga Vetenskaps-Akademiens Förhandlingar, 48 (2), 81-89.
- Carlgren, O., 1893. Studien über Nordische Actinien. Kungliga Svenska Vetenskaps – Akademiens Handlingar, 25, 1-148.
- Carlgren, O., 1898. Zoantharien. Hamburger Magalhaensische Sammelreise, 4, 1-48.
- Carlgren, O., 1900. Ostafrikanische Actinien. Gesammelt von Herrn Dr. F. Stuhlmann 1888 und 1889. *Mittheilungen aus dem Naturhistorischen Museum*, 17, 21-144.
- Carlgren, O., 1921. Actiniaria. I. Danish Ingolf-Expedition, 5 (9), 1-241.
- Carlgren, O., 1924a. Actiniaria from New Zealand and its Subantarctic Islands (Papers from Dr. Th. Mortensen's Pacific Expedition 1914–16. XXI). Videnskabelige Meddelelser fra Dansk Naturhistorisk Forening (Copenhagen), 77, 179-261.
- Carlgren, O., 1924b. Description de deux Actiniaria. Bulletin de l'Institut Oceanographique (Monaco), 438, 26-28.
- Carlgren, O., 1932. Die Ceriantharien, Zoantharien und Actiniarien des arktischen Gebietes. p. 255-266. In: Eine Zusammenstellung der arktischen Tierformen mit besonderer Berücksichtigung des Spitzbergen-Gebietes auf Grund der Ergebnisse der Deutschen Expedition in das Nördliche Eismeer im Jahre 1898. Römer, F., Schaudinn, F., Brauer, A., Arndt, W. (Eds). Gustav Fisher, Jena.

- Carlgren, O., 1938. South African Actiniaria and Zoantharia. Kungliga Svenska Vetenskapsakademiens Handlingar, 17 (3), 1-148.
- Carlgren, O., 1940. A contribution to the knowledge of the structure and distribution of the cnidae in the Anthozoa. *Kungliga Fysiografiska Sällskapets Handlingar*, 51 (3), 1-62.
- Carlgren, O., 1945. Further contributions to the knowledge of the cnidom in the Anthozoa especially in the Actiniaria. *Kungliga Fysiografiska Sällskapets Handlingar*, 56 (9), 1-24.
- Carlgren, O., 1947. Further contributions to a revision of the Actiniaria and Corallimorpharia. *Arkiv für Zoologi*, 17 (9), 1-17.
- Carlgren, O., 1949. A Survey of the Ptychodactiaria, Corallimorpharia and Actiniaria. *Kungliga Svenska Vetenskap*sakademiens Handlingar, 1 (1), 1-121.
- Carlgren, O., 1950. Actiniaria and Corallimorpharia. *Scientific Reports of the Great Barrier Reef Expedition*, 1928-29, 5 (7), 427-457.
- Carpine, C., Grasshoff, M., 1975. Les gorgonaires de la Méditerranée. Bulletin de l'Institut Océanographique, Monaco, 7 (430), 140 pp.
- Cartes, J.E., Díaz-Viñolas, D., González-Irusta, J.M., Serrano, A., Mohamed S. *et al.*, 2022. The macrofauna associated to the bamboo coral *Isidella elongata*: to what extent the impact on isideidae affects diversification of deep-sea fauna. *Coral Reefs*, 41, 1273-1284.
- Couch, R.Q. 1842. An essay on the zoophytes of Cornwall. Annual Report of the Royal Cornwall Polytechnic Society, 9, 27-91.
- Daly, M., Goodwill, R.H., 2009. Andvakia discipulorum, A New Species of Burrowing Sea Anemone from Hawaii, with a Revision of Andvakia Danielssen, 1890. Pacific Science, 63 (2), 263-275.
- Danielssen, D.C., 1890. Actinida. p. 1876-1878. In: Den Norske Nordhavs-Expedition. Zoologi. Mohn, H., Sars, G.O. (Eds). Grøndahl & Søns, Christiania, 184 pp.
- Doumenc, D., Chintiroglou, C., Koukouras, A., 1985. Actinies de la mer Égée : méthodes d'identification, zoogéographie. *Bulletin du Muséum National d'histoire Naturelle*, 4 (7A), 3, 497-529.
- Duchassaing de Fombressin, P., Michelotti, G., 1864. *Supplément au mémoire sur les Coralliaires des Antilles*. Imprimerie Royale, Turin, 112 pp.
- England, K.W., 1992. Actiniaria (Cnidaria: Anthozoa) from Hong Kong with additional data on similar species from Aden, Bahrain and Singapore. p. 49-95. In: *The Marine Flora and Fauna of Hong Kong and Southern China III*. Morton, B. (Ed). University Press, Hong Kong.
- Esper, E.J.C., 1791. Die Pflanzenthiere in Abbildungen nach der Natur mit Farben erleuchtet nebst Beschreibungen. Raspischen Buchhandlung, Nuremberg, 320 pp.
- Esper, E.J.C., 1794. Die Pflanzenthiere in Abbildungen nach der Natur mit Farben erleuchtet nebst Beschreibungen. Zweyter Theil. Raspischen Buchhandlung, Nuremberg, 303 pp.
- Fabricius, J.C., 1779. Reise nach Norwegen mit Bemerkungen aus der Naturhistorie und Oekonomie. Hamburg, Carl Ernst Bohn, 388 pp.
- Fautin, D.G., 1988. Importance of nematocysts to Actinian

taxonomy. p. 487-500. In: *The Biology of Nematocysts*. Hessinger, D.A., Lenhoff, H.M. (Eds). Academic Press, San Diego.

- Fautin, D.G., 2016. Catalog to families, genera, and species of orders Actiniaria and Corallimorpharia (Cnidaria: Anthozoa). Zootaxa, 4145 (1), 1-449.
- Gabrie, C., Lagabrielle, E., Crochelet, E., Meola B., Webster C. et al., 2012. The status of marine protected areas in the Central Mediterranean Sea. MedPAN & RAC/SPA, France, 256 pp.
- Gerovasileiou, V., Smith, C.J., Kiparissis, S., Stamouli, C., Dounas, C. *et al.*, 2019. Updating the distribution status of the critically endangered bamboo coral *Isidella elongata* (Esper, 1788) in the deep Eastern Mediterranean Sea. *Regional Studies in Marine Science*, 28, 2352-4855.
- Gonçalves, J.F., 2016. On the origin of bilaterality: insights from the study of black corals (Cnidaria: Antipatharia).
 Ph.D. thesis. Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, 264 pp.
- Gosse, P.H., 1858. XL.—Synopsis of the families, genera, and species of the British Actiniæ. *Annals and Magazine of Natural History*, 1 (6), 414-419.
- Gosse, P.H., 1859. Characters and descriptions of some new British sea-anemones. *Annals and Magazine of Natural History*, 3 (3), 46-50.
- Gravier, C., 1916. Sur un type nouveau d'actinie de l'ile San Thomé (Golfe de Guinée). *Bulletin du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle* (Paris), 22 (5), 234-236.
- Grebelny, S.D., 1982. Symmetriya aktinei i ee znachenie dlya klassifikatsii Anthozoa [Symmetry of actinians and its significance for the classification of Anthozoa]. Akademiya Nauk SSSR [Academy of Sciences of the USSR], Vladivostok, 123 pp.
- Gusmão, L.C., Grajales, A., Rodríguez, E., 2018. Sea anemones through X-rays: visualization of two species of *Diadumene* (Cnidaria, Actiniaria) using micro-CT. *American Museum Novitates*, 3907, 1-45.
- Gusmão, L.C., Berniker, L., Van Deusen, V., Harris, O., Rodríguez, E., 2019. Halcampulactidae (Actiniaria, Actinostoloidea), a new family of burrowing sea anemones with external brooding from Antarctica. *Polar Biology*, 42, 1271-1286.
- Haddon, A.C., Duerden, J.E., 1896. On some Actiniaria from Australia and other districts. *Scientific Transactions of the Royal Dublin Society*, 6 (2), 139-172.
- Hamilton, N., Gusmão, L.C., Izumi, T., Rodríguez, E., Yap, N.W.L. *et al.*, 2022. Phylogeny and taxonomy of Haloclavidae (Verrill, 1899) with a redescription of the parasitic, burrowing sea anemone, *Peachia chilensis* Carlgren, 1931. *PLoS ONE*, 17 (9), e0266283.
- Hertwig, R., 1882. *Die Actinien der Challenger Expedition*. Gustav Fischer, Jena, 119 pp.
- ICZN (International Commission of Zoological Nomenclature), 2000. *Fourth ed.* International Trust for Zoological Nomenclature, London, 306 pp.
- Koch, G.V., 1878. Mittheilungen über Coelenteraten. Zur Phylogenie der Antipatharia. Morphologisches Jahrbuch, 4, 74-86.
- Lastras, G., Canals, M., Amblas, D., Lavoie, C., Church, I. *et al.*, 2011. Understanding sediment dynamics of two large submarine valleys from seafloor data: Blanes and La Fonera

canyons, northwestern Mediterranean Sea. *Marine Geology*, 280, (1-4), 20-39.

- Linnaeus, C., 1758. Systema Naturae per regna tria naturae, secundum classes, ordines, genera, species, cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis. Editio decima, reformata [10th revised edition], vol. 1. Laurentius Salvius, Holmiae, 824 pp.
- Marion, A.F., 1906. Étude des Cœlentérés atlantiques recueillis par la Commission de dragages de l'aviso le "Travailleur" durant les campagnes 1880 et 1881. Œuvres posthumes de A.F. Marion réunies par Paul Gourret. p. 103-151. In: *Expéditions scientifiques du Travailleur et du Talisman pedant les annés 1880,1881, 1882, 1883.* G. Mason, Paris.
- Mastrototaro, F., Chimienti, G., Acosta, J., Blanco, J., Garcia, S. et al., 2017. Isidella elongata (Cnidaria: Alcyonacea) facies in the western Mediterranean Sea: visual surveys and descriptions of its ecological role. The European Zoological Journal, 84 (1), 209-225.
- Milne Edwards, H., Haime, J., 1851. *Monographie des polypiers fossils des terrains palfozooques, pricidie d'un tableau general de la classification des polypes*. Volume 5. Archives du Muséum d'Historie Naturelle, Paris, 502 pp.
- Müller, O.F., 1778. Zoologia Danica seu Animalium Daniae et Norvegiae Rariorum ac Minus Notorum Descriptiones et Historia. Havniae [Copenhagen], 55 pp.
- Pallas, P.S., 1766. Elenchus zoophytorum sistens generum adumbrationes generaliores et specierum cognitarum succintas descriptiones, cum selectis auctorum synonymis. Fransiscum Varrentrapp, Hagae, 451 pp.
- Panikkar, N.K., 1938. Studies on Peachia from Madras. Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences, 7B (4), 182-205.
- Pax, F., 1908. Die Aktinienfauna Westafrikas. Denkschriften der Medizinisch-Naturwissenschaftlichen Gesellschaft zu Jena, 13, 463-504.
- Pax, F., Müller, I., 1962. Die Anthozoenfauna der Adria. *Fauna et Flora Adriatica*, 3, 1-343.
- Presnell, J.K., Schreibman, M.P., 1997. Humason's Animal Tissue Techniques. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, 572 pp.
- Rafinesque, C.S., 1815. Analyse de la Nature ou Tableau de l'Univers et des Corps Organisés. Rafinesque, C.S., Palerme, 224 pp.
- Rodríguez, E., López-González, P.J., 2002. A new species of *Halcampella* (Actiniaria, Halcampoididae) from the eastern Weddell Sea and Antarctic Peninsula. *Scientia Marina*, 66 (1), 43-52.
- Rodríguez, E., Fautin, D., Daly, M., 2023. World List of Actiniaria. https://www.marinespecies.org/actiniaria (Accessed 2 February 2023).
- Rodríguez, E., Barbeitos, M.S., Daly, M., Gusmão, L., Häussermann, V., 2012. Toward a natural classification: phylogeny of acontiate sea anemones (Cnidaria, Anthozoa, Actiniaria). *Cladistics*, 28, 375-392.
- Rodríguez, E., Barbeitos, M.S., Brugler, M.R., Crowley, L.M., Grajales, A. *et al.*, 2014. Hidden among sea anemones: The first comprehensive phylogenetic reconstruction of the order Actiniaria (Cnidaria, Anthozoa, Hexacorallia) reveals a novel group of hexacorals. *PLoS ONE*, 9 (5), e96998.

- Ross, D.M., Zamponi, M.O., 1982. A symbiosis between Paracalliactis mediterranea n. sp. (Anthozoa-Actiniaria) and Pagarus variabilis A. Milne-Edwards and Bouvier. Vie et Milieu, 32 (3), 175-181.
- Santín, A., Grinyó, J., Uriz, M.J., Gili, J.M., Puig, P., 2020. First deep-sea *Hamigera* (Demospongiae: Porifera) species associated with Cold-Water Corals (CWC) on antipodal latitudes of the world. *Deep Sea Research Part I Oceanographic Research Papers*, 164, 103325.
- Schmidt, H., 1969. Die Nesselkapseln der Aktinien und ihre differentialdiagnostishce Bedeutung. *Helgoländer Wissen*schaftliche Meeresuntersuchungen, 19, 284-317.
- Schmidt, H., 1972a. Prodromus zu einer Monographie der mediterranen Aktinien. Zoologica, 42 (121), 1-120.
- Schmidt, H., 1972b. Die Nesselkapseln der Anthozoen und ihre Bedeutung für die phylogenetische Systematik. Helgoländer Wissenschaftliche Meeresuntersuchungen, 23, 422-458.
- Stephenson, T.A., 1920. On the classification of Actiniaria. I. Quarterly Journal of Microscopical Science, 64 (256), 425-574.
- Stephenson, T.A., 1928. *The British sea anemones*. Ray Society, London 1, 426 pp.
- Taviani, M., Angeletti, L., Cardone, F., Montagna, P., Danovaro, R., 2019. A unique and threatened deep water coral-bivalve biotope new to the Mediterranean Sea offshore the Naples megalopolis. *Scientific Reports*, 9 (1), 3411.
- Tur, J.M., 1989. Contribució a la fauna d'actinaris (Anthozoa) del litoral catala: taxonomia i sistematica. Ph.D. Thesis. Universitat de Barcelona, Spain, 209 pp.
- Tur, J.M., 1993. Redescription and biological aspects of *Hormathia alba* (Andres, 1881), a luminescent sea anemone (Anthozoa, Actiniaria). *Helgoländer Meeresuntersuchungen*, 47, 213-219.
- Vacelet, J., Boury-Esnault, N., 1996. A new species of carnivorous sponge (Demospongiae: Cladorhizidae) from a Mediterranean cave. Bulletin de l'Institut Royal des Sciences Naturelles de Belgique, Biologie, 66, 109-115.
- Vafidis, D., Koukouras, A., Voultsiadou-Koukoura, E., 1997. Actiniaria, Corallimorpharia, and Scleractinia (Hexacorallia, Anthozoa) of the Aegean Sea, with a checklist of the eastern Mediterranean and Black Sea species. *Israel Journal of Zoology*, 43, 55-70.
- Vafidis, D., Chintiroglou, C., 2002. Segonzactis hartogi sp. n. (Condylanthidae) and other sea anemones of the Aegean deep water. Belgian Journal of Zoology, 132 (2), 87-93.
- Weill, R., 1934. Contribution a l'étude des Cnidaires et de leurs nématocystes. Les Presses Universitaires de France, Paris, 700 pp.
- Williams, R.B., 1998. Measurements of cnidae from sea anemones (Cnidaria: Actiniaria), II: further studies of differences amongst sample means and their taxonomic relevance. *Scientia Marina*, 62 (4), 361-372.
- Williams, R.B., 2000. Measurements of cnidae from sea anemones (Cnidaria: Actiniaria), III: ranges and other measures of statistical dispersion, their interrelations and taxonomic relevance. *Scientia Marina*, 64 (1), 49-68.